ARKANSAS STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

May 29, 2025 9:01 A.M.

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS:

DR. SARAH MOORE, Chair (via Zoom)

MS. KATHY ROLLINS, Vice Chair

MS. ADRIENNE WOODS

MS. LISA HUNTER

MS. LEIGH KEENER

MR. KEN BRAGG (via Zoom)

MR. RANDY HENDERSON

DR. GARY ARNOLD

NON-VOTING BOARD MEMBER:

MR. JACOB OLIVA, Secretary of Education

ALSO APPEARING:

MS. STACY SMITH, Deputy Commissioner

MS. SHEILA WHITLOW, Associate Deputy Commissioner

ADE LEGAL COUNSEL:

MR. DANIEL SHULTS

LOCATION:

BLYTHEVILLE HIGH SCHOOL AUDITORIUM 600 N. 10th Street Blytheville, Arkansas

INDEX

	PAGE
AGENDA:	
<pre>I. Call to Order</pre>	3
<pre>II. Moment of Silence</pre>	3
III. Action Agenda	8
III.1. Blytheville School District Level 5 - Intensive Support Hearing Presenters: Stacy Smith, Deputy Commissioner and Sheila Whitlow, Associate Deputy Commission Description: The Division of Elementary and Secondary Education recommends that the State B of Education classify the Blytheville School District as in need of Level 5 - Intensive Supp in accordance with Ark. Code Ann. 6-15-2916. The Blytheville School District has appealed this classification and requested a hearing.	oard
IV. Public Comments	. 90
V. Adjournment	. 124
Court reporter's certificate	. 125

PROCEEDINGS

MS. KATHY ROLLINS: Good morning.

AUDIENCE: Good morning.

MS. ROLLINS: Glad to see all of you here. If there is anyone that wants to give testimony that hasn't signed in, you'll need to do that, so if you can do that now, that would be good. Before we get started, we're going to go ahead and do a moment of silence, please.

(MOMENT OF SILENCE)

MS. ROLLINS: Okay. Thank you very much. So glad to see a room full of people here. I know all of us that are here care about our children here in Blytheville. And this is such an important meeting for us, for our community, and I just ask that everyone give each other some grace this morning. I know this can be a very emotional meeting, but we are here for the children, and let's just keep that in mind.

Let me introduce Secretary Oliva, who is with us this morning. Secretary?

SECRETARY JACOB OLIVA: Thank you. And I want to echo the sentiments from Ms. Rollins.

Thank you, everybody, for coming out and being

part of our conversation, which is what we're going to be engaging in today.

Just to kind of give some historical perspective, many of you may have been here just over a year ago when we had a joint meeting with the State Board and the local school board and talked about some concerns that we were seeing in declining enrollment, fiscal sustainability, kind of the long-term projections for the Blytheville School District, and most importantly student performance.

So at that time we engaged in a partnership where we agreed to provide some additional support, and we've asked the Blytheville School Board to provide frequent updates to our State Board, and they've done that in Little Rock over time.

But we've gotten to a point where we need to make some maybe more robust or long-term decisions about the future of what is our agency's role, what is the support role from outside folks and what does the governing structure look like for Blytheville.

So this morning we're going to kind of

follow along with that conversation that's been going on for over a year, to keep this dialog at the forefront, making sure we're doing what's right for our students.

So what's going to happen is this is a formal noticed meeting where the Board has the authority to take action and take a vote on any possible recommendations that are made by our agency. We're going to do an overview of the journey, of some of the topics of where we've been for the last year and a half historically and then talk about what we're seeing now for future projections.

And after that presentation, the local school board will have the ability to respond and provide an update to this Board and engage in dialog. It will be a formal response where anybody that wants to speak on the board's behalf will be sworn in so that it will be an official oath and an official testimony.

And then our Board is going to open in a public forum and discuss amongst each other some of the information that was presented to them, and they may ask some additional questions of our agency or of the school board

here locally.

And then before they take any action, they're going to allow public comments, so we'll set some time aside to hear from people that signed up that want to address our board as well.

So it's going to be a robust and informative morning, and we look forward to engaging in that conversation.

I do want to recognize that we have some local elected officials that are here today, because they know how important this conversation is to the community. So I know I saw Senator Wallace. If you don't mind standing. Thank you for being here.

(Audience claps.)

SECRETARY OLIVA: Also Representative Joey Carr is here as well.

(Audience claps.)

SECRETARY OLIVA: And I believe we have some representatives from Representative Crawford's office joining us as well. I don't know if you're here. If you could stand and be recognized. If there's any other local -- the mayor is here. Okay. I was like, I'm looking

around. It's like I may have missed some. Thank you for being here.

(Audience claps.)

SECRETARY OLIVA: If there's any other local elected officials, and if you want to address this board, we would welcome that as well. But if I've missed anybody, it's not on purpose. I just want to give you a chance to stand and be recognized, and thank you for being here. And just thank you.

I know there's a lot of school administrators, teachers, local school employees, as well as parents and business folks and concerned citizens that are here as well.

So without further ado, Ms. Rollins, I'd like to invite Stacy Smith from our team to come to the podium, and then provide some remarks and get some overview.

MS. ROLLINS: If I may take a little privilege, I believe everyone -- I'd like to introduce our board. I know we can't really see name tags from back there. So Dr. Sarah Moore, our chair, is with her family today, but she is joining us by Zoom, and we're always

2.2.

glad to have her expertise.

We have Adrienne Woods, Randy Henderson.

On the other side we have Dr. Gary Arnold, Lisa

Hunter, and Leigh Keener with us this morning.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ROLLINS: And our member Ken Bragg is also joining us by Zoom this morning. So we're glad to be here and have everyone's interest and valuable comments this morning. So thank you. Go ahead, Deputy.

MS. STACY SMITH: Good morning. So this morning is considered to be a hearing because the school district has appealed the Level 5 classification, and so the Department of Education will have a presentation of no more than 20 minutes, probably be less than that, and then Blytheville has 20 minutes also to present.

And so at this time I'll ask you to go ahead and do the oath for anybody that's going to present during this testimony part, during the hearing.

MS. ROLLINS: I'll go ahead and swear people in that are going to be part of the testimony this morning. So please stand and

raise your right hand, please. Okay. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

(Affirmative answer is given.)

MS. SMITH: Good morning. Stacy Smith,
Deputy Commissioner with the Division of
Elementary and Secondary Education. I'm
actually excited and happy to be in Blytheville
this morning. We've had a team working in
Blytheville all year. Our team has made some
great relationships with teachers and staff and
the community here. Blytheville is a great
place to be, and Blytheville does have a bright
future ahead of them.

So at this time, there are several things that we have concerns about that we feel like they need additional support in. And so those are some of the things today that I'm going to walk the Board through.

The first of the slides that we've seen more than once, this just shows the declining enrollment that the Blytheville School District has faced. All right. This is not something that's uncommon to this area of the state.

We've seen multiple school districts in the area having declining enrollment. All right. But this is also an opportunity where industry is coming in, bolstering, and we've got to make sure that our school systems are prepared to provide what we need in terms of attracting industry here.

And as we walk through some of the data today on the school system, we're going to see that that's not necessarily the case, all right? At this time they're down 102 students even from last year's enrollment numbers.

On academics, this was the simulated letter grades that were recently released on last school year's ATLAS testing, okay? Not the tests that were just given here a few weeks ago, but last year at the end of the year.

So you can see for '23-'24, the primary, the elementary, and the high schools all have simulated letter grades of Fs. The middle school does have a letter grade of a C, and that's something worth celebrating, all right? But we still have three schools that have Fs, and we still have some concerns about the achievement, academic performance happening in

all of the schools.

This shows an overview of the academic performance of Blytheville students from last year's assessment. And what I want to show here is, when you look at that for like the elementary school, third through fifth grade, and it says ELA, and it says, first percentile, that doesn't mean they're number one, okay? In a percentile ranking, you want to be at the hundredth percentile. You want to be the best performing out there; that is 100.

Blytheville's scoring in the first percentile, on the lowest range of districts in the state. That is all districts in the state.

For ELA in elementary school, for math, also, less than the first percentile. It wasn't even a full percentile point. It was like half of a point.

Science, first percentile. So of all the districts in the state, they are in the lowest achievement level you could be in.

For the middle school achievement, you see third, fifth, and eighth. Again, the lowest in the state. The middle school is where you saw a C letter grade, and we're going to talk about

2.2.

why they have a C. But we still have the overarching concern that their achievement is exceptionally low.

High school, again you see ELA and mathematics in the fifth percentile. Biology, you had a jump in that one. It's at the 18th percentile. But all of them are well below, making Blytheville School District one of the lowest performing school districts statewide.

The growth scores, again, for the elementary school, you're seeing that they're in the lowest percentile. And we talk about growth. Growth is measured by individual students. Each student is predicted to make a year's worth of growth, all right?

And so when you look at this, you see like for elementary, when it says 116 out of 306 or 37 percent, that means 37 percent of the students in third through fifth grade, in English language arts met their expected year's growth. Only 37 percent. That means more did not.

And to emphasize this a little bit more, they're already behind. For kids to catch up, they need to be making more than their year's

worth of growth, all right, because we have catching up to do because we are scoring so low.

that people understand, and if you could, just go deeper on what is an expected growth target. So when we talk about students performing at or above grade level, we use a term called proficiency. And when we look at proficiency rates, when students graduate from high school, are they going to be able to read on grade level and be able to be ready to obtain what we like to call our three Es. Are our students going to be ready to be employed, enlisted, or enrolled?

Well, there's some basic skill that you would expect students to have with an aptitude to meet one of those destinations of those three Es, with every student being on their own individual pathway.

So if we're in the first percentile, and that's just of all the students in the state meeting what we call proficiency, that's a problem.

Now, we know that kids come to us from all

walks of life, and our schools are going to do
the best they can to take the child from where
they are and get them to move along the
continuum of learning as much as they can. And
so that's where we establish an individual
learning growth target. So those students get
a snapshot of, okay, you started day one of
school here. Your growth target is
individualized to the student. Every student
has their own individual growth target.

So if we start here at the beginning of the year to demonstrate at least a year's worth of growth, we expect you to finish here. It may not be on grade level, but you can still be productive towards grade level.

So when we say that only 37 percent of the students met their individual growth target, that means those students will never reach grade level. Because you've got to exceed your growth target to get to grade level.

So we're setting up two-thirds of the students in this district to never achieve grade level work. That's why that's a big deal when we talk about individualized -- setting an individual learning target.

MS. SMITH: Yeah, when you're looking at the growth thing here, and I do want to highlight the middle school ELA, 78th percentile. So then you saw more kids meeting their growth or exceeding, and on science you had 82 percent. So that's what you want to see, but we need that number in all of those categories to be well over 50, 60, 70 percent of students meeting their growth.

So I think if anything, it shows, in ELA and science, a lot of times when you get into situations from the Department of Ed, a lot of times we hear, you don't understand our students; you don't understand our community. It shows right there that these students can, and it shows that staff can. We just have to get our systems aligned so that we see this across the board.

Another category in our performance rating that actually helps create the A to F letter grade is growth of the lowest 25 percent, which for most districts, when you talk about growth of the lowest 25 percent, you're talking about the students that are scoring level one on the assessment, okay, which is the lowest you can

perform on our state test, and it means that you are behind grade level.

So for most districts, when we say the growth of the lowest 25 percent, it's taking into account the kids who scored level one, and then maybe some kids that scored in level two. For this district, they have more students in level one than in any other group. So the lowest 25 percent, that doesn't even cover all the students in level one that are behind grade level.

So again, you're seeing math in elementary in the first percentile, the 19th percentile, the 12th percentile. These numbers have got to get well over 50 percent, 60 percent, 70 percent.

You see some highlights there in the middle school where they did achieve those. You see some highlights over there in the high school. So this does speak to intervention that is working, all right, but we've got to get it where we're getting more kids pulled into that so that we get more kids moving achievement.

Again, growth is something that we measure and we value in our state, but that does not

undermine the fact that achievement is what the goal is. We want every student to achieve grade level proficiency, and this school district at this point in time is not doing that.

Graduation rate from the previous year was 77 percent. Again, that's in the fourth percentile, the lowest performing district in the state. The average graduation rate in Arkansas is 88, almost 89 percent graduation rate. So again, we're behind here. We're not graduating our kids.

And when we talk about this readiness towards merit and distinction, that's actually new. And that's building on career pathways, all right? And this area is booming with industry opportunities for kids and building career pathways. You have interested colleges and interested partners to work with.

This is an area in which we believe that this school district could excel in and create some really strong pathways where kids are not only graduating with their high school degree, but they're graduating with industry certifications that can take them straight into

1

24

25

23

a job, a high-paying job. That also brings value to the community, value to the business partners, value to our students, and it changes lives.

So when we talk about support for the district this year, they had some outside They had TNTP, which works with contracts. teachers on less internalization and supervision. They had really great reading, which is again worked with K3 leadership and teaching/coaching, and they also did an RFP and brought in a group called Educational Directions. This is something that the department folks worked with the district staff to put together an RFP in which they solicited outside help to come in and support administrators within their school district.

Educational Directions have been on site at least from the time we did this presentation, 65 on-site visits, 51 virtual interactions, 115 documented touch points, and this is for the last month's presentation. So if they've been here since then, it's increased.

I think that the support for this group has been positive. I think the district has

1
 2
 3

enjoyed working with this group, and that is something we'd probably like to see continue. That's a positive.

Intensive Support provided by -- directed from people at the Department of Education.

On-site support, over 100 days of direct onsite support from the OCFS team led by Ms.

Whitlow. We've had -- we've facilitated multiple school visits to the Academy of Math and Science in Little Rock with the board.

We've engaged in governance engagement with their board. We've done facilitated meetings with the School Boards Association.

We've brought in the board president from Pine Bluff under their school board, which -- where they had had some systems issues before and governance issues, to come in and talk about what they had to do in their district.

We've worked on operational support trying to provide financial and staffing assistance through multiple different offices in our agency.

So this support is reflective of Level 5 support, which is what we're recommending today. And I'll compare this in a minute with

another district that we've seen come before you guys in the last year.

Another example of Intensive Support that our department has provided is on-site visits for safety. Office of Educator Effectiveness has been on site at least three times helping figure out how to get teachers on pathways or making sure that they're coded correctly.

We've had Division of School Academic
Facilities and Transportation come on site.
We've done numerous visits with our learning
services team reviewing literacy plans, doing
site visits to the primary elementary buildings
to ensure that the high-quality structural
materials are being used effectively.

We've provided K3 literacy support through the really great reading program that we talked about earlier.

The Educational Cooperative has provided Intensive Support. They've documented 185 onsite visits. The director regularly meets with the interim superintendent. She has led dialog and had conversations with the board about contracts, about best financial practices. They've actually contracted with their business

office for additional financial support. They have content teachers and specialists that are on site providing mentoring.

So there is lots of support happening at the school. I want to thank the Blytheville teachers, and I want to thank the Blytheville principals and administration. They have been receptive. They have been open to the assistance and the help. We have people who are showing up to work, to work.

And they love their community, and they love their kids. And I know this board loves their community and loves their kids. So people are showing up.

But this is a district that is in need of a lot of support to be able to get them out of the hole that they're currently in.

And I want to kind of compare this with a district earlier that we kind of saw had systems failure, and that was Watson Chapel.

They had coding issues. They had some finance issues. We went in, directed by this board to go in, still level four support, kind of mirroring almost some of the same support we saw provided here.

The difference was at some point Watson
Chapel was able to maintain without us directly
standing there saying, you've got to do this
next; have you done this yet; have you checked
that yet.

They were able to maintain and continue to make progress with the outside contracts that they brought in. What we're seeing here is, we've got lots of support here, but we're still having to do lots of reminders, lots of assistance. At this point in time, we get more calls, our OCFS team gets more calls and work — to work in Blytheville than we do all the other schools under state authority. We spend more time right now in Blytheville than any other place.

Again, that just shows the amount of support that is needed to get this school district on track.

SECRETARY OLIVA: If I can add, when we were before this community over a year ago, we made a commitment as an agency to say enough is enough. We can't leave these children in limbo. We've got to support these teachers.

We've got to support these administrators. And

we had to bring in some outside help and work with local help, like Education Partners.

Those numbers you saw, hundreds of on-site visits, was our agency sticking to the commitment we made.

What we're going to hear more about is the frustration of how much we have to babysit the people that are elected to govern the district. The teachers are being receptive. The students are learning. The administrators are getting in the classrooms. They're doing everything we need to do. But when the elected officials who represent this community are derived to make paths and decisions, it's like we have to pull them along. And that's what we're seeing in making recommendations to this Board, some of the frustration.

MS. SMITH: So on supervision of instruction, promising practices that we've seen this last year is we've seen administrators in the classroom doing more observations.

We do believe that the quality of feedback needs to improve, and again that's some of the contracted work and the support that needs to

be provided to improve instructional practices in the classroom.

But you see the change over the years of documented observations or feedback in classrooms to what we currently have. So that is an improvement.

Human capital, at the beginning of the school year, the 2025, the green was the number of licensed teachers. The yellow, 14, was partially licensed, and the red was unlicensed. Currently we went back and pulled the numbers at the end, and again, we've had our staff on site at least three times working directly with teachers to get teachers on a pathway.

At the end of last year, we had -especially in the primary grades, you had
virtual instruction happening, which was a
concern. That's been alleviated. They have
classroom teachers working with students.

High school, we're still struggling in areas of licensure area and working on that, but they have improved in that area, but it's with direct support, but they still have a long ways to go.

I will tell you, we don't have complete

2.2.

confidence in the data that we're pulling. So when we're pulling these data numbers, it's data that's been entered, right, from district folks.

And again, that's where the governance part of our staff, we're not in there entering the data or coding things, all right? So part of our recommendation today of authority is to be able to get in there and really get into those systems and make sure that the data is accurate.

When looking at interim assessments, we're seeing promising data about interims throughout this school year. Again, folks are teaching; folks are working; folks are in classrooms.

We're hoping to see improvement in ATLAS scores from a test that was taken just in the spring. But again, we're talking about a district that is so low that we're not expecting to see high achievement levels out of this last testing. We're hoping to see better growth scores, but we're not expecting to see large numbers on the achievement data.

So concerns related to governance, lack of coherence in leadership. Again, they've had

six superintendents in eight years. Lack of fiscal oversight, some fiscal inefficiencies, lack of internal control with some of those data elements I just talked about, and currently providing -- we're currently providing Intensive Support without governance oversight.

So human capital and leadership. This is probably one of the biggest concerns that we have. This is kind of the time line for this last year. In June of last summer, Ms. Blankenship was appointed as interim superintendent. In August, the previous superintendent was terminated. November they posted the position, but not until November. So they terminated the superintendent in August but didn't post the position until November.

In November, they reviewed some -- they had some applicants that they reviewed, but a decision was made to stay with the current superintendent. They didn't feel like they had enough quality candidates.

And at that point we were like, don't settle. Get the right candidate. We wanted to support the district in that decision.

February they decided to do a consultant firm. But again, they let their previous superintendent go in August. They didn't hire a consulting firm until late February. And again, a lot of this has to do with our staff asking, what are you doing? Have you done this yet?

In April the firm brought two of the 12 applicants to the board. The board declined to interview the two applicants.

On April 28th, the superintendent position was re-posted. April 29th, they had a special board meeting to discuss an excess regarding the superintendent. No action taken.

And May 8th is when we came to you and said, we have concerns. They don't have a superintendent. Since May 8th, they have -- on May 22nd, they called a regular board meeting and decided now they're going to interview three applications. They're literally going to interview this week. They have an applicant here today, which personally I would be embarrassed that I'm interviewing for a superintendent on the same day that the State Board of Education is coming to your district

to consider whether or not you're going to be the governing board.

(Audience claps.)

So again, this shows a lack of urgency in determining leadership, coherent and simple. It's constant. This right here, if anything, I think reflects more of -- all right. Per pupil expenditure report. So this is another thing that we have some concerns about that we want to talk about, the fiscal integrity or efficiency of the district. There are some things here that are raising some red flags and some concerns that if we continue to stay on this path the district will become in fiscal distress. They're not there right now. And I don't want -- we're not saying that anybody has purposely misused money. But there is inefficiencies occurring, okay?

When you look at the state averages compared to the district spending in certain categories, there are questions to be asked here. So pointing out some pieces here, when you look at like custodial, the average state cost per pupil is about \$111. For this district, it's about \$618.

When we compare that to -- because

Secretary Oliva, when I was reviewing this data
with him, he pushed back, because he wants to

make sure what we're saying is accurate. And
he said, well, Stacy, they're a smaller
district. So how does that compare with
districts that are like five? You know,
they're in a rural community. How does that
compare?

And so I actually went and pulled data from districts their like size, and in most of the cases on this page, they're overspending per pupil amount in these areas than districts in their like size.

And the custodial for districts their like size, where we have up here the state average is 111, for districts their like size, we saw some districts that were maybe around 300 and some that were less. But they're still, again, sitting at that 600.

Where they were comparable, the only two areas I could really find where they were comparable to districts in their size was the sanitation one and accident insurance. And everything else that was on here in districts

compared to their own size, they were over. So again, this is an opportunity for savings in this district and going back and look at.

And it also is the question of are we coding correctly. Like there could be some serious coding issues that maybe is telling a different story. But when we pull the data from what they've entered, this is what it shows.

When you're looking at district operations overall, their current operating budget is required to be turned in to DESE on September 30th. That budget is supposed to be approved by the board. That did not occur. The board did not approve their budget until October.

The district has spent, according to data they've entered, 1.5 million that was not budgeted. So when you look at their overall budget, they have lots of areas in which are red or negative. That means the budget that they submitted was not very accurate.

For fiscal year '25, revenue is down significantly, about 15 percent. They're losing students. When you lose students, you lose funding, all right? And so adjustments

4

9

10

21 22

18

19

20

23 24

25

have to be made. The district has not reconciled their bank statements since April of 2024.

So when we contracted to work with the Educational Service Cooperative to come in and assist with finances, this is some of the work they're doing, is getting everything reconciled. So again, that leads to overall concerns.

In fiscal years '22 and '23, they actually had surpluses. They were putting 2.3 to 3.5 million into their building fund, all right? Since then, they've actually had to go into their building fund and expend money. fiscal year '24 they had to spend 2.1 million, where before they were actually saving money, and this current year to date, they're almost at a million dollars. At this moment they are spending a lot more money than they previously had in the past, and they're losing students.

Their ending fund balance right now is not a huge concern, okay? We calculated it at about 18 percent. But it's gone down. down 15 percent than it was previously. That is a concern.

2
 3
 4

So when you stay on this trajectory, you can see where it's heading, all right? So these are things right now that we need to catch now before it becomes a problem.

Other things that, as we're looking at this, additional pay in the form of bonuses or stipends today is over \$200,000. This -- again, it's about -- this just raises questions. When you have a job description that says you're -- let me give an example. If I were the curriculum and instruction person in a district or the assistant superintendent, I'm being paid for that job and that's my job description.

What we see happening here is a lot of times those roles then have additional stipends put on top of them to arrange PD. Well, arranging professional development is probably within that job description.

When asking for a specific job description on the stipend, we've not seen those yet. It doesn't mean they don't exist. But at this moment we don't have them.

When we see federal funds for homeless money, we have stipends that are given out for

homeless services. That's federal funding that has to be documented, especially when it's paired with an employee who's being paid with state dollars. You have to have time sheets. You have to document how you're providing services to those students or that population, right, or you end up having audit findings.

So they have lots of stipends in excess of over \$200,000 that we just need more information about. We need to clarify. We need to make sure that their salary schedule is set and job descriptions are clear.

So they're projected to end the year with 2.9 million net legal balance. Again, that's down 18 percent from previous, a difference of about \$600,000.

Board-related expenses. So one of the questions that was specifically asked from one of the State Board members was has this board received training. And the answer is yes.

One, we've organized training, okay? And then so we started looking at, well, what are the expenditures that we could show that they've actually had training. And I'll tell you, we were surprised.

We know that we have board members who have traveled to Atlanta. We have board members who have traveled to Biloxi. We've had board members who have traveled to Little Rock numerous times for lots of conferences.

I'm not saying that training is not needed, because it is needed. But at this moment right now, we can calculate up to \$56,000 on board expenses, and some of those items are listed on the board. You have reimbursement to individual board members, and they're ranging from \$700 up to over \$7,000.

You also have a line item in the budget that has non-district employees, all right? For a lot of districts, non-district employees are board members. Hey, that budget here in this district is like at \$30,000. But we can't tell who those people are right now, because we haven't gone in and been able to dig into the audit part of it and look at the receipts. It just raises questions about the use of funding and what we've got going on here.

We've got \$12,000 in credit card expenditures. Until we see the receipts, we don't know what those are for, okay? Legal

services to six firms from the board. Again, we know that they've fired a superintendent. We know they did some internal research on practices there. But again, we've got six firms there. We have the superintendent search firm of \$7,500, which at one point they rejected to even interview any candidates that that search firm put forward.

So again, it's lots of questions about what we're spending our money on and what the governance section is in this area.

So our recommendation in this is going to be -- the last slide from my presentation this morning is our recommendation is that the State Board classify this district in need of Level 5 - Intensive Support, because to be blunt, we are providing Level 5 - Intensive Support right now.

(Audience claps.)

MS. SMITH: We'd also recommend that the State Board remove the authority of the local board and authorize the Commissioner to assume the authority of the local district board of directors.

(Audience claps.)

MS. SMITH: And we would recommend that the State Board direct the Commissioner of Education to appoint a superintendent and pursue the possibility of a transformation contract.

(Audience claps.)

SECRETARY OLIVA: And Board, just so you know, I think the state's inner team should be also commended for their commitment to making sure that we're providing those Level 5 - Intensive Supports. We're providing more support --

(Audience claps.)

SECRETARY OLIVA: -- to these teachers, to these school leaders, to these students probably almost than any other district. But when I think about what is the school board's role, right, what are their primary responsibilities, and you look at governance and operations, right? Part of that governance is overseeing the budget, which we have a lot of questions about, and I don't want to have to come back to this board and say, we're in financial urgency because we're spending money and it's not budgeted, and we're not able to

balance the spreadsheets.

We can't even turn in a budget and meet the statutory deadlines, when that's one of their number one functions is to make sure that the district is financially stable.

And governance, one of their jobs is to hire a superintendent, which we've been asking them to do for a year, and they still haven't done it. It wasn't until last month all of a sudden, well, we'll start interviewing folks.

Where is the urgency on the board's behalf to make sure that the systems are going to be supported and sustained? And I feel like when we came here a year ago, we said, we have to act with urgency. These students are going to be in third grade once. We've just had high school seniors graduate. That was their senior year. Are they going to be ready for the next phase of their lives? We can't sit around and wait for people to figure it out that are never going to figure it out, and that's why we're coming to the Board with this recommendation.

(Audience claps.)

MS. SMITH: So that concludes my presentation. Because this is a hearing, the

Blytheville School District has up to 20 minutes, and then the Board can ask questions to whoever you want to ask.

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you. So at this time, I want to ask the district, who is going to go ahead and make your comments, to come forward, please. If you would please identify yourself before you start speaking.

MS. JENNIFER BLANKENSHIP: Good morning. I am Jennifer Blankenship, serving as the interim superintendent of the Blytheville School District.

MR. DESMOND HAMMETT: Good morning. I'm

Desmond Hammett, serving as the board president

of the Blytheville School Board.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Let me just say that when I was in this position and spoke before you guys back in August, I did not think that I would still be here, but we know it's about the students, and we needed someone to fulfill this role, so I was thankful that the board chose me to do this, because this is our district. We care about the district. It's all about the students.

So our commitment, my commitment is about

the urgency of improving students' outcomes, providing equitable, high-quality education for all of our students -- all means all -- and accountability through collaboration and partnership.

We have had some increases in our number of licensed teachers, waivered teachers and partially licensed. That number has gone down since we've even put this on here. We've had one aspiring teacher.

Our virtual instruction we're looking at next year. We have our building principals. They've been hired. They've worked hard with the support from Educational Directions, getting some coaching. We all have. It's been our mission to make sure that we give our students what they need, to give our teachers and the principals and everybody the support for their particular position.

You've seen this slide before, but we've just increased the amount. When we partnered with Educational Directions, they brought us some great coaches, even one for me to guide and support and give ideas, and it just was a great partnership, and that the board decided

to continue their particular partnership for the next school year.

Yes, our academic performance. Dr. Smith spoke to that. We have historical challenges, and we acknowledge those. But however, we do know that progress is on the way. We're using data informed instruction, increased coaching support. Benchmark assessments indicate early size of growth, especially in K3 literacy. Some of the information that she just went over, that's been shared with you. We've highlighted some of our gains and our growth where we're trying to move our students.

We've implemented evidence-based instructional practices, curriculum improvement, getting everybody high-quality instructional materials, leverage training, i-Ready Wonders. We're trying to do all those things to make sure that teachers are supported, that they can support our students and grow our students, and then move them as well.

Most of our students were at level one and two. We know that growth has occurred. If you looked at the data I sent you earlier, within

level one we've moved some students out of that into level two. There's room, definitely, for improvement, and we know that we have to move the needle. We have to push our teachers, to push our students, and have those data conversations.

As we're looking here, just some of the fourth grade data, how the students dropped from level one, moving to level two. And these are just still some of the things that Ms.

Smith spoke to earlier, also for math. She spoke about the growth that was shown over a year's time at the middle school.

And so we're still using those interventions. Every campus has targeted interventions with their students.

We're doing our best to make sure that they take it seriously, know that it's real, and we have to move our students. We have to teach our students. We have to deliver to them the content and give it to them on grade level. We know grade level is really huge. And although they may -- you know, it's going to take some time. But our point is we have to do the work.

Enrollment update that she talked about,

our decline in students is a big deal. We're trying to do some recruiting to get those students back. We've had some issues here, but we can't make excuses. We've lost students, and we want them back.

It's not due to dissatisfaction alone.

Just rural flight people move into bigger areas for more things to do, but maintaining student support services despite our reduced numbers is what we're trying to do. That's what we want to maintain and make sure we support the students that we do have and try our best to bring those other students back.

At this time, I am going to let Mr. Hammett address the board issues and speak on some of those things. But do know that the district has taken into account everything that's been shared from our local cooperative, to the Office of Coordinated Support, to Educational Directions so that we could do the work to move our students, to grow our students, because without students, there's no school. And we know parents are sending us the best they have, and it is our job to educate all of them, and that's what we're trying to do.

(Audience claps.)

MR. HAMMETT: Good morning. I'll first start with the legal memoranda and our position of the Level 5 classification. This memoranda presents factual, legal, and policy arguments opposing the Arkansas Department of Education's recommendation to classify the Blytheville School District as in need of Level 5 - Intensive Support.

It also serves as a rebuttal to the letter issued by the Mississippi County Chamber of Commerce dated May 27th, 2025.

Blytheville School District has complied fully with the ADE guidance, achieved measurable academic gains, and acted in good faith to ensure systematic improvements.

Pursuant to DESE Rule 8.09.2, Blytheville School District was afforded 20 calendar days from the receipt of the May 14th, 2025, notice to appeal the Level 5 recommendation. However, the hearing was scheduled for May 29th, only 15 days later, depriving the Blytheville School District of its full legal window to prepare its appeal. This procedural error undermines due process as guaranteed under the Arkansas

Code Annotated 25-15-201, Administrative Procedures Act.

Blytheville School District's full compliance of measurable progress. We know where we were a year ago. Some of the same information is being brought to us again. We knew Blytheville was a -- this would be a heavy lift. We accepted every avenue of help and the resources to improve our district.

Blytheville School District has engaged with the Arkansas Department of Education recommendations to consult Mya Graham, exsuperintendent. She's been in position for the past two months working with our finances.

Blytheville School District contracted with Educational Directions and adopted its recommendations. They came to us as an external partner. We knew we needed leadership support, enforced guidance around our administrative practices, and they've been here on the ground. The district has met them, and we've accepted every recommendation that they brought forth.

Blytheville School District has also welcomed the Transformation Partnerships. We

put our piece for administrative support, instruction and curriculum, hiring, recruitment, and retention.

We've engaged with the leadership of the Academy of Math and Sciences to get those services to Blytheville School District. There has been some delay in some of the responses from the district pertaining to this particular information. Those barriers and obstacles have been removed.

With myself working with Ms. Whitlow and the Arkansas Department of Education, we've collaborated with the Academy of Math and Sciences. We've toured the Academy of Math and Sciences twice, and the superintendent of the Academy of Math and Science was invited by me to the Blytheville Elementary School, and we spent a day in the district here.

We're looking for changes. We're looking for something new to help improve our district. We have not closed the door to any opportunity to improve our district.

Blytheville School District has assigned mentors to our novice teachers. Blytheville School District has increased the number of

licensed teachers and implemented licensure pathways for non-licensed personnel.

One school, as mentioned earlier, has improved from an F to a C in one academic year, demonstrating progress in student achievement.

We can improve. We've shown improvement. This has been a year. We knew we had issues coming into this school year, but the Blytheville School Board has taken it very serious, and we have worked to remove barriers and obstacles.

Up until May the 8th, I was in direct communication with Sheila Whitlow weekly.

Weekly. For some -- I thought this was my job, as many text messages and phone calls that I was receiving, to help remove obstacles and barriers to progress in the Blytheville School District. I met their challenge. I answered every call and every text message.

Blytheville School District followed ADE advice to retain its interim superintendent and retain a professional search firm. Back in November, in the words of Secretary Oliva, if no one meets that threshold or the best candidate, rise to the cream of the crop, don't

settle. We're not going back.

We then, in good faith, accepted Jennifer Blankenship to continue in that role.

Through the next couple of months, January, February -- I'm sorry -- December, January, and February, we knew that this would be a heavy lift for Mrs. Blankenship, that she was trying to step into that role and do the best, and she's done the best that she could. She had a voice and vote of confidence from Secretary Oliva at that time.

However, we knew we needed to get a superintendent, a credentialed, qualified superintendent for the Blytheville School District.

We voted to get -- go with McPherson and Jacobs for our superintendent search.

McPherson and Jacobs brought us two candidates.

The board unanimously -- one of the members of the State Board of Education asked about a vote. It was brought to you that the Blytheville School District's Board was dysfunctional; we had split votes. We have not had a split vote in over four years.

The Blytheville School Board -- the board

unanimously said, hey, we didn't get the pool of applicants that we were -- we desired, so we didn't deny or decline to interview the candidates that were brought forth. As a matter of fact, the timing of this, with the extension of the two weeks window to get more applicants, get a pool of applicants, just expired, and we moved quickly to get those interviews scheduled for this week. While it may be embarrassing to some, we have a challenge, and we had to continue to work.

When we reviewed and heard of the May 8th State Board meeting and what was presented, it was disappointing and discouraging. However, the board rose to the challenge. We still need to lead and guide and help move the district forward.

We didn't tuck our tails. We didn't run away from the challenge. We became more committed, more engaged to show that we're not dysfunctional, that we're willing to do the work, and that -- Blytheville School District operates under the model policies of the Arkansas School Board Association. Legal advice is consistently sought from ASB counsel

Lucas Harder and the Blytheville School District Robert Dale Coleman.

The board is governed by a court-mandated eight-member structure under the 1993 Voting Rights Act Order. Despite the votes are consistently unanimous, one dissenting member does not reflect the board's general cohesion for effectiveness.

The Chamber's May 27, 2025, letter advocates state takeover based on perceived failures. However, none of the Chamber board members have children in Blytheville School District. No Chamber board member has attended a Blytheville School Board meeting since August 2024.

This letter overlooks Blytheville School
District's recent academic and administrative
progress. Blytheville School District's
improvements, especially F to C school
turnaround, contradict claims of dysfunction.

We can and will make progress. It's been one year. We were here last August, terminating the -- going through the termination process of a superintendent. We didn't get here last year. This year didn't

culminate all of these breakdowns or failures. This has been a pattern over the last five to six years, that we've addressed, we've identified, and we take accountability for those things.

Blytheville School District is worthy and deserving of an opportunity to continue this journey of improving our schools together. It takes the community. It takes all of us, parents, teachers, and administration. And I commend the teachers and the principals for this last year's work. We've welcomed in Educational Direction, the Co-op. We've welcomed every resource in. And we as a board have made changes. We've adopted policies and accepted recommendations to improve.

The frustration that was brought to you May 8th was more in regard to us tabling Action

Item K at that time to interview Dr. Andrew

Curry and Dr. Ryan Huff. With the State

Department officials very frustrated, leaving the meeting saying, the State Board will take care of this, out of frustration. Those two candidates have been -- are -- one is here today, and one was interviewed Tuesday along

with another candidate that was interviewed last night.

We are trying to get there. We're working hard to get there. This should not be a recommendation out of a favor of frustration because we didn't hire a preferred candidate at the time.

But just to give us a fair chance to do as Secretary Oliva asked us to do back in November, get the best candidate possible. By extending the application period for two weeks, that's what we wanted to do, to get a fair pool size of qualified people.

At the time they brought the two recommendations to us, they did not have the scoring rubric of those candidates compared to the other candidates that had applied.

How did we get to the final two was the question that was asked. And once again, I referred back to one of the State Board members saying, what was that vote, since we had a lot of split votes. And the question was answered, that was a unanimous decision.

We didn't see the scoring rubric. We asked, hey, how did we get to these two

applicants? Dr. Keith Williams said, I know them personally, and I gave them a call. So yes, we paid -- we're paying \$18,000 to a search firm for an individual from McPherson and Jacobs to tell us that no, these applicants didn't apply, that I called them; I knew them personally.

Two of those applicants, Ms. Whitlow has told me, before we even knew who the applicants were, hey, I talked to two guys; you've got two great candidates coming; get out of their way and just let them do the job; I know them personally.

Dr. Andrew Curtis said, hey, I know Sheila Whitlow personally, during his interview

Tuesday night, that I talked to her about the school district.

Let me give you some historical background on this superintendent process. An interim superintendent that we wanted to get was Sally Bennett. Sally Bennett was dead-set on coming in, meeting our administrative team. She was going to do a day in the district. And she said, hey -- I said, well, what I would like you to do is talk to Sheila Whitlow and get a

1

678

5

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

perspective of the State Department on some of the challenges that they're seeing. And she said, oh, yeah, great; give me her number and I'll give her a call.

The next morning, the day that she was scheduled to do a day in the district, she changed her mind. That hurt. Sally Bennett would have been a great interim superintendent at the time that we needed it.

There's another superintendent out of over near Paragould, Jonesboro area. She's retired. She was helping her daughter run a clothing Made a call to her on a reference, a referral. Hey, would you be interested in working as an interim superintendent for the Blytheville School District? Sure, that would be great. Let me make sure that I can get away from my daughter's store and come spend some time with you guys. She agreed. I sent --Teresa Whatley at the time sent out memos and informed the board, we're going to do a day in the district. Come meet my administrative team, the team that we have in place, the people that you'll be working with. Yes, yes, yes, sir, yes, sir. Well, I would like for you

to talk to Ms. Whitlow of the State Department. You want to guess what happened the next day? She cancelled. Oh, I'm not interested at this time.

I'm not understanding how, when I approached those people, those candidates, they're eager and up for the challenge to come to work as an interim superintendent in our district. And there are several others that have had interest and then declined. I'm not saying that there's any red flag there, but we've tried to get credentialed and qualified leaders in the interim spot before while this process of us looking for a full-time candidate. We've done the work.

A Level 5 classification at this juncture would unfairly stigmatize a district showing measurable improvement. This is one year. One year.

Educational Directions, some of the funding that we were talking about, how we went in and got some funding, do you know what that's for? Those external partners that we've reached out to at Directions.

Labeling the Blytheville School District as

2
 3
 4

_ .

a failing factory undermines morale, hampers recruitment, and alienates stakeholders.

Blytheville School District's performance satisfies the accountability intent of the Arkansas Educational Support and Accountability Act.

And in conclusion, the Arkansas Department of Education has board discretion under Arkansas Code 6-15-2916. But such authority must be exercised in alignment with facts and procedure.

Blytheville School District respectfully requests the State Board reject the Level 5 recommendation, affirm the district's progress, and continue constructive partnership. We are here to work with you and move the district forward. Thank you.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ROLLINS: At this time we will open it up for Board questions. Would you mind standing at the podium? We may have some questions, in other words. Board to my left, is there anyone there that would like to ask a question this morning?

MS. LEIGH KEENER: I do. I'd like some

clarity on the time line of the procedures that you mentioned, the 20 days that the board is saying they were not given.

MR. HAMMETT: I'll defer to our school attorney on that matter.

MS. KEENER: Thank you.

MR. ROBERT L. COLEMAN: The letter --

MS. KEENER: Would you go to the podium?

MR. COLEMAN: Sure.

MS. KEENER: Thank you. I appreciate it.

MS. ROLLINS: We'll also need you to identify yourself.

MR. COLEMAN: My name is Robert L. Coleman. I'm attorney with the law firm of Reid, Burge, Prevallet, and Coleman, and I represent the Blytheville School Board. If you'll look at the letter that was sent to the Blytheville School District, you'll see that the letter itself, on the second page, says that we have 20 days to respond. And if you'll then turn to the first page of the letter, you will see that the letter is dated May 14th. So it's very evident that since today is May 29, that's 15 days.

And the rule that's cited says we have 20

days, and the letter says we have 20 days. But we're here on day 15. That seems like kind of a rush.

MS. ADRIENNE WOODS: Thank you. Can we hear from the Department as well?

MS. SMITH: Yeah. So correct, we scheduled the meeting on this date. They requested their hearing within seven days of receiving their letter, okay? So our time line of having this hearing was okay. If they wanted to ask for an extension they could have. If they had filed their letter tomorrow after we had this hearing, it would have been a problem.

If we wouldn't have heard from the district or known that they were going to ask for the appeal as late as yesterday, day before, we might have regrouped. But they asked for their appeal within seven days.

MS. WOODS: Okay. Thank you for that.

MR. COLEMAN: I will have to dispute that. You can look at the letter. You have it. It doesn't say you'll be given more time if you ask for it. I mean I would just challenge her to show you where we were told that.

It says that we've got to respond, and we

have 20 days to respond, and we were given 15 days before the hearing. It says a hearing is going to be held today. It doesn't say if you respond, then the hearing will be delayed; if you ask for more time the hearing will be delayed. It says that there's going to be a hearing today.

And in light of the fact that a hearing was going to be held today, we did not want to go unheard on the merits of some of these arguments that were made.

So we strongly object to this. We believe that it's a denial of due process. It's a denial of your own rules and a violation of your own rules and a violation of our due process rights under the Arkansas Administrative Act.

MS. KEENER: So you were given 20 days to respond, and you responded seven days later?

MR. COLEMAN: No, we were given 20 days to respond, and were told that there's going to be a hearing on the 29th, and so the response was filed on the -- well, I believe it was filed on the 21st, seven days later, which we felt was out of necessity. We didn't want to be

unheard, come in here and, they don't care, they don't object to this action.

MR. DANIEL SHULTS: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. Daniel Shults, counsel for the Department. The Board's counsel is correct. The letter does reflect the time line he laid out. However, what it says is that a special meeting has been scheduled for May 29th. The letter further, later down, says, if they choose to file an appeal, which is discretionary on the part of the Board, they may file an appeal. If they do, we're prepared to -- we will conduct a hearing today.

Now, as Deputy Commissioner Smith pointed out, they could have raised an objection to the time line. Hearings get rescheduled; meetings get rescheduled. No objection was made. This is the first the Board -- the Agency has been made aware of, of a timing of the hearing objection.

But the rule sets out they have 20 days to appeal. Once appealed, we have 60 days to conduct a hearing. Both of those requirements were complied with. They appealed within seven days, which is inside the 20. Again,

discretionary on their part. And then this is -- we have held the hearing within 60 days following them posting their notice of appeal, then the appeal was filed on this issue.

MS. KEENER: Thank you. I appreciate that clarity. I feel confident that we're within -- we followed everything appropriately.

MS. ROLLINS: Further questions from the Board?

MS. KEENER: I had another question for the Board president -- or the Board chair, excuse me. You mentioned a couple of candidates that you were interested in that were -- after you spoke with them they were later discouraged. But both candidates you mentioned you were looking into them for interim positions, correct?

MR. HAMMETT: Yes. That's what they had agreed to, because at the time -- this was early on after the termination of our previous superintendent, that one was retired; she was eager to come help work with the Blytheville School District on an interim basis until that position was filled.

MS. KEENER: When you say early on, can you

give me a time line?

MR. HAMMETT: This was September, Octoberish, after the State Board meeting in August of last year.

MS. KEENER: So the superintendent -previous superintendent was fired in May -- or
excuse me -- put on paid leave in May?

MR. HAMMETT: Correct.

MS. KEENER: Ms. Blankenship stepped in and so we're talking five to six months later you're still looking for an interim?

MR. HAMMETT: Well, five to six months later? August, September. About two months that we were there at the point of getting an interim in for the year.

MS. KEENER: Thank you.

MS. LISA HUNTER: I'm just going to follow up on that question. So you had an interim in place --

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. HUNTER: -- and you were continuing to search for an interim. What was the rationale for that, as opposed to spending your time and effort in finding a permanent superintendent?

MR. HAMMETT: Well, we were getting ready

to start the school year in August, September, and October. It was brought -- you know, it was our knowledge that Ms. Blankenship didn't have the credentials or qualifications at the time, so she was going to apply for a waiver.

So during that time we were under the guidance of Ms. Blankenship saying, hey, I can complete a waiver, apply for the waiver with the State, and we would be good at that time.

However, we needed someone in the seat to start the school year. Ms. Bennett said, I will come in on an interim basis to get you to the next superintendent, not putting a specific time line for six months or a year or to finish out the school year. But it was understood that she would be with us until -- and then we were also getting information on the transformation partnership as well during that time. Also looking for support and leadership through an RFP as well. We had two options that were presented to us that we were considering at the time.

MS. HUNTER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HAMMETT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. SMITH: One of these that I want to hit

on was the fact that multiple times in their rebuttal they talked about Sheila Whitlow discouraging. Their rebuttal with that statement just shows why this board needs to be dissolved. We have spent --

(Audience claps.)

MS. SMITH: -- thousands of dollars and invested hours of ADE people's time driving to Blytheville, spending hours upon hours, days upon days with these schools, working with the teachers, working with the administration, asking people to be -- to consider to come to Blytheville.

What this Board doesn't realize is that when we're talking to these folks, they don't want to come to Blytheville because of this Board.

(Audience claps.)

MS. SMITH: He referred to Sheila Whitlow as a red flag. Sheila, stand up. There's not a red flag on this woman.

(Audience claps.)

MS. SMITH: She spends countless hours in this district, and if there -- this is the example of why they need to be dissolved. We

cannot do our work here and get this district where they need to be when we have opposition like this, that literally in their reply for why their students are the lowest-performing students in the state, blame Sheila Whitlow, who hasn't been here but this year.

They did say in their statement one thing I do agree with. This didn't happen overnight.

And it by surely didn't happen because Sheila

Whitlow showed up. If anything, they've received more support; they've received more guidance.

We are asking tough questions. And let me be clear. They don't like the fact that Sheila Whitlow is here. Because we're asking questions, and it's about time that somebody came down here and asked some questions.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ROLLINS: Ms. Leigh Keener, do you have another question? We need to have quiet, please, in the audience.

MS. KEENER: Can I get -- there we go.

There we go. I appreciate that, Ms. Smith.

Thank you so much for that. I think you

articulated what I was thinking in my head much

better than I could do. There is something else that the Board chair said that I agreed wholeheartedly with, and that is that Blytheville School District deserves to continue moving forward together. And I believe at this time if the board is unanimously resistant to moving forward, it might be time that the state and the district move forward together without the board.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ROLLINS: Are there any further questions? Okay. We're going to go ahead and -- go ahead.

MS. WOODS: I'm sorry. I have a couple of questions. I want to talk about the superintendent search still. We're talking a lot about the interim superintendent and I -- let me back up. What you are having to do is very hard. It is hard to sit in a room with a bunch of people that are mad at you, and defend actions when not everybody always has the full picture. So I want you to know that I acknowledge that.

But I also know that you are an elected official, and that has responsibilities. And

you made a statement that it kind of took me aback. You said, acting like this is my full-time job with as many text messages as I was responding to and I was answering every call. This is your job.

MR. HAMMETT: Uh-huh.

MS. WOODS: You were elected for this.

(Audience claps.)

MS. WOODS: There are months that you will not do anything to the extent that you had to. But your job to these students in this district is to find them a superintendent and to balance the budget.

So I want to talk about you've got two people brought in, in April. You decided -- you declined to interview them, but now suddenly we're here and you're interviewing them. So what had happened since April?

MR. HAMMETT: Well, we didn't -- I'm sorry.

MS. SMITH: You can pull it up.

MR. HAMMETT: I'm sorry. We did not decline to interview at that time.

MS. WOODS: Okay.

MR. HAMMETT: We asked questions for the rubric --

MS. WOODS: Which were what? The rubric?

MR. HAMMETT: The rubric of the scoring,

how we got there, and comparable to all of

that, which we didn't have all of the

information that was brought to us at that

time. There were some other disturbing

statements made --

MS. WOODS: Okay.

MR. HAMMETT: $\mbox{--}$ to the board from some of the representatives that $\mbox{--}$

MS. WOODS: Representatives from the agency

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. WOODS: -- the controlling agency?

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. WOODS: Yes.

MR. HAMMETT: Yes. Encouraging us not to -- hey, don't disqualify this candidate. He's a good friend of mine. I'll mentor him if you give him a chance. Overlook the DWI that's on his record. And we were like taken aback, like, whoa, wait. So there's a time line of things that had happened.

MS. WOODS: But from April --

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. WOODS: -- the 24th or the 25th -- MR. HAMMETT: We did not decline to interview those two applicants.

MS. WOODS: But you now brought them back?

MR. HAMMETT: They were brought -- we
extended it to get more applicants in. The
search firm brought those two candidates back
as the top candidates that they still deemed to
be their top --

MS. WOODS: Candidates?

MR. HAMMETT -- candidates. The board asked, was there anyone close or comparable, just to have three applicants to interview at that time. So all three have been scheduled interviews. Two have taken place, and one is scheduled for tonight.

MS. WOODS: But you just said that you have objections to one of them because of a DWI?

MR. HAMMETT: We didn't have objections.

The search firm said, please don't throw this person out. We just said, can we open it up to get more applicants in? We never declined to interview them.

MS. WOODS: So but why didn't you interview them before now? I mean a whole month has

passed.

MR. HAMMETT: We wanted a larger -- more time for applicants to apply.

MS. WOODS: I understand. But here's the optics of the situation.

MR. HAMMETT: Okay.

MS. WOODS: April 24th, you have two candidates. It's now May the 29th, and we're just now interviewing them. You could have reopened the search and still interviewed those people.

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. WOODS: You could have showed some movement. So that's what I'm trying to figure out. What have we done for a month? And I'm not -- I know I'm ramming on you and I know --

MR. HAMMETT: No, that's --

MS. WOODS: -- that there's --

MR. HAMMETT: I understand.

MS. WOODS: -- I mean other people here on your board. Who was responsible for saying, I will set up this interview?

MR. HAMMETT: I took that opportunity to set up --

MS. WOODS: Okay. Why haven't we --

MR. HAMMETT: -- the interview.

MS. WOODS: -- done anything for a month?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR.}}$ HAMMETT: We extended the search for two weeks --

MS. WOODS: But why didn't we interview those two candidates in the interim? That would have just shown -- that would have given this room of people a feeling that you're moving forward on something.

MR. HAMMETT: I understand.

MS. WOODS: Let's also not mistake the fact, we're at year end in a school that we still haven't made an interview.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. HAMMETT: We had three interviews in November.

MS. WOODS: Back in November?

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. WOODS: Okay.

MR. HAMMETT: We had three interviews, and we were prepared to move on a superintendent. The candidates weren't desirable at that time to be of high quality. So we've made traction with interviews and seeking out a full-time superintendent. We have not just been sitting back kind of massaging ourselves.

MS. WOODS: Doing nothing till this week?

MR. HAMMETT: Yes. Yes.

MS. WOODS: Okay. Let's talk about the budget. Why didn't the budget get approved? Because you're a million and a half dollars over. Who is on your finance committee?

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Would you repeat that question? I'm sorry.

MS. WOODS: Sure. I wanted to go back to the budget and --

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Okay.

MS. WOODS: -- I'm sorry, I can't find my slide. We have expenditures of a million and a half dollars that were not budgeted for. So who was responsible for setting the budget? It didn't get approved until I think you said October, Secretary, or November?

MR. HAMMETT: October.

MS. KEENER: October?

MS. WOODS: Okay.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Our finance director, but I don't know if she's quite prepared to answer that, because we didn't know we were going to be discussing and going that far in-depth in the budget. But she --

MS. WOODS: We're here for a hearing. MS. BLANKENSHIP: Yes. She was hired in October -- August or September and --MR. HAMMETT: She did. MS. BLANKENSHIP: She's here. MS. RHONDA SUTHERN: I started --MR. HAMMETT: She needs --MS. WOODS: Hang on. Yeah. We need you to -- can you raise your hand and be sworn in? MS. BLANKENSHIP: Be sworn in, yes. And you can come up to the mike. MS. ROLLINS: I need to swear you in before you speak. Raise your --MS. BLANKENSHIP: Before you speak. Raise MS. ROLLINS: -- right hand. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the MS. SUTHERN: Yes, ma'am. My name is Rhonda Suthern. I started as the director July 1 of this year. I came from Rivercrest. I was there for 20 years as district treasurer. So when I was asked to fill this void, that was

payable. Shortly after that, the superintendent was gone. So was the director of finance. So I was there by myself, flying by the seat of my pants, doing whatever was necessary to keep everything afloat.

Shortly after that we hired a new payroll specialist. She and I worked together until July 1, when they asked me to take over. At that point I felt I had some sort of authority to make decisions. However, I have tried, in my opinion, and tried. I've seen many, many things that are not correct, that are not right. We got with -- this district only has private audits, which is one reason a lot of things were not caught.

I spoke with her after July, when I stepped in, and I said, have you seen this, this, and this, some things that I felt were very uncouth. She said, yes. So we were -- I believe that was one reason that some of those things were changed because of audit and not because of me asking.

I have asked and I have brought up the stipends. I have brought up and asked several things that I felt were not done correctly.

As far as the budget is concerned, we did put together a budget, but we had very -- I was not -- I've done budgets for 20 years, but I was not, shall I say, versed on this district. The only thing I -- the only support I had was the budget I had from the year before. So that's what we went on.

I have questioned many expenditures, but I had no one to stand in behind me to say no.

I've said no plenty of times, but I don't have that backing to say no. I've asked questions on why this was spent and why that was spent and what was going on, and I just feel like I personally have not had the support -- well, there hasn't really been anybody there. There is no superintendent.

And I spoke with Ms. Perkins on a few occasions. But then she was gone. And we had an interim, and I do believe that Ms.

Blankenship has done her best, but I mean she's not versed in the finance, and there's so many things that are done in the finance that is not what I'm used to.

Because when you have a state audit, everything gets brought up, and at that point

things are able to be changed. This district has not ever had a state audit, to my knowledge. It's always been a private audit. Private audits are great, I guess, but they don't know the school finance. You see what I'm saying? They don't know, okay, this has to be coded here; this has to be coded here; this person can't be paid that; that person can't be paid that. And I have been trying to bring that to the forefront.

But how many times I've -- I've heard it so many times, but this is the way it's always done. And I've said, well, that's why this district is where they are, because it's done -- always has been done. And it is not correct.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: That's the narrative we're trying to get away from.

MS. SUTHERN: Right.

(Audience claps.)

MS. BLANKENSHIP: And then that's the -- I was saying that's the narrative we're trying to get away from. We've reviewed all of those stipends. We've gone through some things.

We've gone through contracts, found things that

could be taken out, things that might have been overcharged, and we've just gone through a lot of those things. So Ms. Myron has been really great in showing things --

MS. SUTHERN: Uh-huh.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: -- showing Ms. Rhonda how to go through, look at this, take this out, question this, ask questions about this. So there are a lot of things from the previous year that we've tried to work out that we've gotten worked out, and still working on those kinks. And so that's where we are in this progress. Just still trying to move forward and taking the advice and the collaboration and putting it to work.

MS. KEENER: You mentioned that -- you seem very frustrated. But you tried to put your foot down, tried to change some things --

MS. SUTHERN: Can I say --

MS. KEENER: Sure. Just a second.

MS. SUTHERN: Okay.

MS. KEENER: What -- you're standing there; you're in the position of financial leadership for the district. You've got the superintendent standing behind you agreeing

with you. What more did you need that you didn't get to put your foot down and to make those decisions and have that authority?

MS. SUTHERN: I don't feel like that we had -- that I had the support to say that they would tell -- you know, I just don't feel like we had the support to go through it because of the back knowledge wasn't there.

MS. KEENER: The support from whom? What more did you need?

MS. SUTHERN: Well, as a whole, we don't -I mean I don't feel like -- Ms. Blankenship -I don't want to say anything badly about her,
but as far as finance as what I'm used to doing
is through like the handbook and these things,
what is legal or what I call legal and what is
not. I don't feel like she's well-versed
enough to say, okay, no, we're not going to do
this, or yes, we're going to do this. But when
Ms. Myra come in, then I felt like, okay, this
is some --

MS. BLANKENSHIP: They're knowing what they're doing.

MS. SUTHERN: Yeah. Right. And when Ms. Myra come in, I felt like, oh, okay, then you

know, now we can move forward, because I felt like if she said we can't do this and we can't do that, then I felt like, okay, this would be — that we would go forward and change some things. There's some things now that even on the — that was approved by the board as far as the stipends and as far as some of the contracts, I don't approve. I don't agree with.

MS. KEENER: So --

MS. SUTHERN: We have classified people being -- getting stipends when they should not be. I've raised my concerns about that. It's not that I'm trying to be mean toward that person getting the stipend. I am not -- that is not me. But ethically you can --

MS. BLANKENSHIP: It's learning the rules.

MS. SUTHERN: -- not do that.

MS. KEENER: Well, that was my question, I quess --

MS. BLANKENSHIP: And I think --

MS. KEENER: -- what I was trying to get at.

MS. SUTHERN: Well, I've tried to bring it up.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Right.

MS. KEENER: Is there -- I couldn't figure out whether it was insubordination from those who were spending unethically --

MS. SUTHERN: I don't think it's insubordination.

MS. KEENER: -- or --

MS. SUTHERN: I think it was lack of knowledge.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Right.

MS. SUTHERN: And then me being there, like the first day I was here, the very first day in this district, I was hired to do accounts payable. She brought me in a stack of bills.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Say who she is.

MS. SUTHERN: Shy was the previous director of finance. At this point I was accounts payable. She come in, and she said, do you know how to do the job? I said, yes, I know how to do accounts payable. I've been doing it for a long time. And I said -- she brought me the stack of bills, and I went through it, and I asked questions. And I said, okay, how do I approach the superintendent on signing off on these bills? She said, oh, we don't do that

here. Red flag. I'm accounts payable. I felt like I had no -- and I raised that as a concern, but it never changed.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: And she's speaking of the last school year.

MS. SUTHERN: Right.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: So -- and part of that frustration, I think, is because she finished the year -- or started the year, and some of those people were not in places when she needed questions. We've done a lot of digging into things and invoices that came that we didn't have the background knowledge, so a lot of her time was spent, and my time, trying to find previous information. So when she's referring to she and last year, she's thinking of previous people.

MS. WOODS: Right. And I wanted to talk, though, about the budget that was set for this year.

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Okay.

MS. WOODS: Was that just based on the previous year?

MS. SUTHERN: It was.

MS. WOODS: Okay.

1 MS. SUTHERN: It was just based --2 MS. WOODS: When was that presented to the 3 board to vote on? 4 MS. SUTHERN: I never knew it was not 5 presented to the board to be voted on. 6 MS. BLANKENSHIP: I don't remember the 7 date. 8 MS. WOODS: Was it at the September 9 meeting? That's what I'm trying to figure out. 10 Where did we miss it? 11 MS. SUTHERN: Because it is due in 12 September. 13 MS. BLANKENSHIP: Yeah. 14 MS. SUTHERN: I never knew the board did 15 not approve it. MR. HAMMETT: Well, it was --16 17 MS. KEENER: Bottom line, it sounds like a 18 lot of dysfunction --19 MS. SUTHERN: Uh-huh. 20 MS. KEENER: -- among those that are in a 21 positions of power. 22 MS. SUTHERN: Uh-huh. 23 MS. KEENER: This is appalling. 24 MS. SUTHERN: But I came here -- I felt 25 like I could bring it up and out. I felt like

we could -- I could, okay, July 1, we're going to move forward. We're going to do this; we're going to do that.

Every day there has been something come up, I'm going to say from the previous year, that we have spent countless hours on trying to fix. And I'm going to be honest. And people that come in to help have seen the same thing. Ms. Myra has been in here working with us, and I'd just look at her daily, I said, do you see what we're dealing with, what I'm dealing with. We can't move forward because we're trying to put out fires from the previous thing, because there's something every day --

- MS. ROLLINS: Okay.
- MS. SUTHERN: -- that comes up.
- MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.
- MS. SUTHERN: Okay.
- MS. ROLLINS: Thank you. I know Dr. Arnold has a question.
 - DR. GARY ARNOLD: Comments, actually.
 - MS. ROLLINS: Comments?
- DR. ARNOLD: Yes. Thank you. It seems to me, when sitting through the testimony, that the district is spending a lot of energy and

working very hard at climbing the wrong mountain.

As Secretary Oliva has said, you know, we're looking for systemic change. We're looking for system alignment. We're looking at the bigger picture. Anecdotes are informative, but again, at the same time, Chairman Hammett, you had mentioned that you are looking for change.

And I'd like to re-frame the whole phrasing of Level 5 to see that as the change that the district is looking for, that we're at that point. And because the data sets on the slide deck are really a desperate cry for help. And when we're at that point, it seems to me that there would be welcome arms to the help offered. There has been plenty of open arms to the help previously offered, but this is just an extension of the need for help.

And then finally, you mentioned the fact that you're concerned about the stigma that it would leave upon the district, and I hate to say that it's really less of a stigma upon the district and indeed a stigma, and please forgive me, on the board for their lack of

governance in this situation.

(Audience claps.)

DR. ARNOLD: And so that's personal stigma versus a better future for the district.

MS. ROLLINS: Dr. Moore, who is online, have you got any questions?

DR. MOORE: None at this point in time. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

MS. ROLLINS: Okay. Thank you. I think my concern goes to the loss of your students. And I know you've continually said this morning that you were going to work at getting them back. And we know how difficult that is. I mean do you have a plan to do that --

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. ROLLINS: -- that's in place?

MR. HAMMETT: Yes. Just in the month of April, we've come up with some initiatives that we're going out to the community marketing, selling different programs to get our students back in. We've met with a third party student recruitment to take our messages to the homes, make touch points, make visits to get those students back.

We are working to show the good that's here

in the Blytheville School District to those parents of students that are bringing their students back. We have seen an increase for the upcoming school year of students returning to the district, and we're working hard to get the message out, to market our school district, tell our programs, to tell our teachers that we have high-quality teachers and instruction taking place and our building leaders are leading that campaign as well for each of their schools, and they have the support of the board.

MS. ROLLINS: When is your registration?

MS. BLANKENSHIP: Registration for this school year, the principals are working on setting those dates. I think primary may have already set theirs to start next month.

MS. ROLLINS: I mean this is so urgent that

MS. BLANKENSHIP: It is.

MS. ROLLINS: -- this gets completed. And it's a beautiful community. You've got industry here. Your students need a strong district and to get them back home to learn here, as you know, is so important. It seems

kind of late to be starting this now, but we're glad to hear that you are.

MS. WOODS: Are you-all familiar with transformation contract?

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. WOODS: Do you-all know what a -- so has there been any discussion by the board to approach the state about entering into a transformation contract?

MR. HAMMETT: Yes.

MS. HUNTER: So Ms. Rollins, was there a followup on that information?

MS. ROLLINS: No. Go ahead.

MS. HUNTER: So I think we all acknowledge this hasn't happened overnight. And my question -- and so much in question is a question about leadership. So can you tell me a little bit about the tenure of your board? Is everyone new or is there some tenure, that people have seen this happen along the way?

MR. HAMMETT: Yes, we have four tenured members, Mrs. Wells, Mrs. Langston, myself, and Mrs. Johnson. We have four new members within the last two years, Mrs. Nichols, Ms. Palmore, Mrs. Lewis was elected this year, and Mr.

Jefferson as well within the first one to two years.

MS. HUNTER: Okay. Thank you for that.

I'm just going to make a comment about how I

view the role of the school in the community.

And you know, I am not an educator. I am in

the private sector. And so I believe that your

customers or every school's customer is the

business community. What you are asked to do

is, you know, produce students who are ready

for either, you know, to enter the workforce or

the military. And so that is how you're

measured.

And to me, the letter from the local Chamber spoke volumes. They believe that you're not producing a product, and I'm not trying to, you know, use that in any type of demeaning kind of way. But your product is not sufficient for their needs. So we all, I think, need to take notice of that. And it is the responsibility of leadership to recognize that and meet the expectations of your customer.

So you know, having tenure on your board, you know, you should be in tune to what your

business community needs. And the fact that you have such a booming opportunity here with industry just off the charts here is, I think, very telling.

MS. ROLLINS: Mr. Henderson?

MR. RANDY HENDERSON: Yeah. I purposely didn't really have any questions, just more comments, allow my peers to ask, you know, different questions.

My comment is, I know it's been brought up several different times. The industry jobs, the opportunities that we have here in Mississippi County, in the city of Blytheville. You know, when I think about that, I think every student in Arkansas deserves a fair education, and that applies to Blytheville as well.

And you know, talking with the state Chamber, you know, males the age of 25 to 54, there are 65,000 males not working in the state of Arkansas right now. They either have checked out, not looking for a job, or is out of work. And when you crop that down to 1.3 percent, which represents Mississippi County, there's 800 males at the age of 25 to 54 not

working, not looking for a job, or has checked out.

And when I think about that, I think about the unfilled jobs in the industry sector. I think about how hard it is to create pathways. I think about the students, the industries, the pathways. I think every student deserves an opportunity, if they do not decide to go to college, they should have an opportunity to make six figures. We've got to create a pathway of this broken system that we have.

You know, when there's lack of education in many areas, there's crime. And you know, when I think about crime, I think about weapons.

And you know, one of my favorite quotes by Nelson Mandela said, education is the most important weapon, which we can use to change the world.

(Audience claps.)

MR. HENDERSON: We've got to fix this broken system. And it is systemic. These problems just didn't start within the last year. So we've got to fix it.

MS. ROLLINS: Board, are we ready for public comment? Anything? Okay. At this time

we will start with public comment, and we'll go off the sheets that you signed when you came in. I will call three names. We're going to ask you to come down this aisle, because this is blocked off, and we don't want anyone getting hurt. So come down on this right-hand side. Come up to the podium. And you have three minutes for your comments.

We're going to start with Ross Thompson,
Nicole Eskin, and Paula Pitts. You want to
come and line up, please? And we ask that you
state your name before you start.

MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, everyone. My name is Ross Thompson. A lot of people know me here. I was a police officer here for 30-plus years. My wife was also a teacher here for 30-plus years. But we've both recently retired, but we are still very active in the community. We live here, worked here, actually went to school here, stood on that very stage and sang in the choir on occasion.

I want to thank Ms. Blankenship, the teachers, and the staff that are working under these conditions. That's asking a lot of them. This is a workforce. This is a workplace. And

they have endured a lot over these last few years here. I want to thank the State Board for being here and being blunt and direct with us. We need to hear that.

Mr. Hammett spoke, and I applaud his efforts, but he failed to acknowledge the indefensible massive failure that the Blytheville School District has become.

I personally know many of these board members, and they are good people. I know they're hurt by this disgusting and appalling and unacceptable performance of the Blytheville School District.

I can only speak for myself, and I don't know if there was some type of vote that was taken. I heard something about earlier what their positions were. But I can only speak for myself, but I would venture to say that a majority of the board members probably agree it's time to dissolve the board and start all over again. Thank you.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ESKIN: Hello, you-all. How are you-all doing today? I know it's a little heavy in the room. I just came in later. Had to help

with one of the attendants for the superintendent.

COURT REPORTER: What is your name?

MS. ESKIN: Oh, I'm sorry. Nicole Eskin. Yes. And I was wanting to just have a little encouragement. I know the place we're in. It is what it is. Whatever just happened it is the past.

But I do want to thank Ms. Blankenship for sacrificing, for leaving one position to be a help in another. Thank you, and you are needed. You are needed.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ESKIN: To the board, to our teachers, to everyone in this place, to this wonderful community, thank you. Thank you for showing up today. Thank you for supporting everybody.

Now, we know we can't help, like I said, the things that happened. It is in God's hands, and we're going to let his will be done.

So my thing is, what are we going to do now? Yes, whatever decision that's made, will our community step up and do what's needed to help our school? It's about our children; you know what I'm saying? Regardless of what, we

have to come together with the Chamber. I am a member of the Chamber board, and as I've stated to Ms. Liz, we're going to do what we've got to do to work together.

There might have been some division before. I don't know of what other division we had. We have to put our personal things to the side and think about what is best for our school, for our children, and for our community.

So I'm just here today just to encourage you all. Thank you for taking the time to come here to help us, how ever needs to be helped.

So with that being said, I love you all, and we're going to move forward, and there is power in togetherness.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ROLLINS: The next three names, Robin Freeman-Barnes, Carolyn Rollins. It's hard to read handwriting. Sorry. And Vera James, please come forward.

MS. FREEMAN-BARNES: Good morning. I'm just making sure that it's still morning. It's been a while since we've been here. My name is Robin Freeman-Barnes. Initially I wanted to throw up my laptop and do a couple of slide

shows to the public from a teacher's perspective. I'm a fourth grade teacher here, and I commute to Blytheville every day. I come from Proctor, Arkansas. I signed on to be a teacher.

COURT REPORTER: Ma'am, please turn around.

MS. ROLLINS: Can you speak into the

microphone?

MR. HAMMETT: They can't hear you.

MS. ROLLINS: Will you face forward?

MS. FREEMAN-BARNES: Yes. Thank you. I signed on to be a fourth grade teacher here. I commute from Proctor, Arkansas, every day. Did I know what I was going to face? No. I was not briefed. I was not prepared. But due to the time constraint, I'm going to talk about the teacher's perspective from me, just for the board.

My background is not in teaching, but I came to teach. I'm passionate about this community. I have a toddler. I have a 3-year-old. So teaching was conducive to those initial years with me being a 37-year-old first-time mother. And I empathize with the parents here in the community. There are some

big steppers here. They step for their children. They show up, mother and father, single mothers, homeless mothers. I see the parental side as a married woman.

And I feel for the community, despite the board being scrutinized for whatever has occurred. I just would like to say that personally, I put in an application for director of public relations March 29th. I never heard back from anyone. I'm not here to get that job, right? I could be not wanting to speak again, because I'm signing up to be a teacher.

But I have a master's in communication, master's in business, and I wanted to convey that message, because I was looking at the bottom up communication. And when the bottom up communication is strong and the top down communication sucks, you really have no way to fix the burning candle that's at both ends. But I spoke with Mr. Jenkins, and he let me know that someone would get back with me.

So on with my personal life. I kept putting one foot before the other. I have students with exceptionalities, disabilities,

dyslexia, who have shown great growth.

MS. ROLLINS: You're at three minutes. You need to finish up with --

MS. FREEMAN-BARNES: Yes, ma'am. I've had those students with exceptionalities show great growth from October to now, and I feel very great. But the thing is about these meetings is you can't get a full depiction of what's going on in the community when you limit teachers. Teachers should get the most time to speak at interventions like this. This is an intervention for the community.

I'm not bullying the board or trying to take over the school board's perspective, but there are two different things that I would have liked you-all to understand from the teachers' perspective. Because if I'm driving an hour and I'm willing to be a teacher here for 15, 20 years, there has to be an outlet for a teacher to say what do you feel. Because it's the parent and then it's the teacher. And then it's the custodian and it's the janitors. It's everybody who's hands on.

So to finalize this talk, I would like all district members to make sure that if you ever

get into the position where you are an elected official, you're sworn under oath and you have to do the work, you need to come out and be a big stepper. Even if you get a third party differentiating the problem, you have to come into the classroom to see what the peers feel, what the teachers feel. You can't theorize what we're going through at the board meeting.

(Audience claps.)

MS. FREEMAN-BARNES: I've watched you on YouTube and I've had to cut it off because I've had a headache. But I just want to be transparent to say, I've done this kind of work as the director of public relations for Rockefeller Institute, Agriculture Department, but as an educator, I care about the black community and the white community and the --

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.

MS. FREEMAN-BARNES: -- Hispanic community

(Audience claps.)

MS. FREEMAN-BARNES: -- and I just wanted to be clear that I don't know your decision, but I really, really, really want this community to come together.

MS. ROLLINS: Your time is up. Thank you.

MS. FREEMAN-BARNES: Thank you so much --

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.

MS. FREEMAN-BARNES: -- for this outlet and opportunity. Have a blessed day.

(Audience claps.)

MS. JAMES: Hello. My name is Vera James. I am the wife of the late Willie James who spent 50-plus years in the Blytheville School District. And my concern, it was mentioned in this meeting today getting rid of the school board, abandoning it, probably.

And first I want to go back for a second. I hear all the complaints and know a lot of things about what teachers go through being teachers in the public schools. But my concern is, and my main thought is, we're pointing the fingers at everybody, but I'm pointing my fingers at the parents. My point is that it's how our children behave when they're being trying to be taught in the classroom they're doing this and they're doing that. And one of the biggest problems, I think, is that you need to take the telephones from the kids out of the classroom so they can concentrate more --

(Audience claps.)

MS. JAMES: -- on what's going on. And my question would be if you eliminate the board and the State Department of Education takes over, then are you going to be in this city as often as the board members now? Are you going to be working with the parents, directly with the parents?

And I think that parents need to attend the meetings to see what's going on, because the school board meetings are open. You get your name on the agenda, you can talk about what's going on. And if a child is dealing with issues at home, if Dad is a drug dealer,

Momma's a whatever, then they're going to have some problems and they're not going to have the ability to learn, because they're concentrating on what's happening at home and what they're going to return to when they leave school today.

And some of those kids, we thank God that we do have breakfast for the kids. But some have to deal with not being fed properly, not being taken care of properly, and most important, I cannot stand it; I'm 77 years old,

soon will be 78; it's the parent calling their own children stupid, dumb, and crazy. That has an effect on how that child behaves when he or she enters into the classroom.

And so I just want to say thank you-all for these meetings, and I just wanted to say what I feel like. But I'm still holding the parents accountable for their children. Thank you.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ROLLINS: The next three, James -- Tony Hollis, Michelle Turner, Teresa Miner, please.

MS. MINER: Good morning, My name is

Teresa Miner, and I'm the homeless liaison for
the district. Ma'am?

MS. ROLLINS: Can you speak into the microphone?

MS. MINER: Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. I'm the homeless liaison for the district. And my question is a question to ask them how do we deal with the challenges implementing the McKinney-Vento Program, like we're trying to -- affordable housing and providing that allowable -- making sure that we are spending allowable on there with our funds.

Ms. Mill had said that she had spoken

something about the McKinney-Vento Program as far as the -- yes. And I was just wanting you to know that we only started trying to get -- oh, about the stipends. Yes. About the stipends. And the stipends only started this year. We've only been able to pay one time out of the stipends, so I was just trying to get an understanding about the McKinney-Vento as far as the stipends, because we've only paid out one time on those stipends. Okay. Okay. So that was my question. Thank you.

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.

MR. HOLLIS: I'd like to say hello to everyone. My name is Tony Hollis. I also want to apologize for not knowing that there was someone from the State here somewhat working with, I guess, our staff. I think they mentioned the lady, Ms. Shelly, and I guess my concern is that where is the breakdown in communication with the representative from the state? I mean what is going on there?

We in the community, you know, we'll -- me for one was not even privy that this was going on. And so I'd like to know, where is the breakdown in communication in terms of the

representative working with the administration?
Because it seems like from seeing the back and
forth with the attorney and on this side, it
seems like there's something going on there.
And so we need to fix that.

We need to find out what is going on there, because it's about trying to get our students to become efficient, correct? And if we can't have representatives working with the school district administration, then, you know, I feel like that's where the failure is at right there, okay?

Now I want to close on this here. I want to speak about finances as well. I'm one of the persons in the community that I do send FOIs out to the superintendent. I want to say I did see a figure where it spoke about legal fees. I think I saw a figure of \$84,000 or something like that. My thing is that we have spent a lot of money on attorney fees. When I send out an FOI, I don't specifically get exactly what I ask for. For example, I may send an FOI out asking what do we pay our local attorneys here in Blytheville, Arkansas.

They'll send me a whole bunch of

information that is co-mingled with a lot of other stuff that I didn't ask for. But I never get the specific documentation in my hand that I asked for. Either we're paying an attorney or we're not paying an attorney. I'm sure he's not working free.

So if I can send out an FOI specifically asking what dollar figure are we paying our local attorney, I should get something in my hand. I shouldn't get a bunch of crap that I don't know what I'm looking at.

And so I would just like more transparency on providing documentation, legal documentation in terms of attorneys' fees, you know, I asked for. And so that's pretty much all I have to say. Thanks a lot.

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you. The next three people, Stephanie Benson, Tommy Coleman, Collin Robinson. Okay. They may have left. Rebecca Robinson, Sonya Lucas-Moduca, and Carissa Williams, please. While she comes down, I'll go ahead and call Lasharia Will Smith and Melody Webb. Come forward. That's fine. Just identify yourself, please.

MS. WEBB: Hello. I'm Melody Webb. I'm a

parent. I want to address that me and my kids

-- I've got a daughter, she got AIP, and we've
been homeless. And I go to Ms. Miner over at
the school board to get help. Me and my kids
been sleeping in a car, all type of stuff. And
she asked me where -- what do I do. So I tell
her what I got to do to go, you know, make sure
my kids lay down, you know. I don't think that
was appropriate for her to do that.

And another thing, the stuff they send home for kids on weekends, which me and my kids don't have a proper place to stay, and that's been three months ago, and I've been asking her, calling for help. I'm not getting any help. But people drive around in new cars, church members, everybody is living good, but me and my kids is in this program, and we're still living on the street, because me and my kids' father had a bad falling out. So I got me and my kids and left.

So I go to them for help. I get none. She wants me to sign for any little thing she gives me, and it's not nothing. I meant to bring the little food bag that they send home every Friday. There's nothing in there. My kids are

still hungry after. Whatever little she gives that day, that's for that day. They're spending money. They're spending money on themselves and not helping the community. That's what I wanted to say.

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you. Please identify yourself.

MS. LASHARIA SMITH: My name is Lasharia Smith. I am a mother of three children attending school in Blytheville School District. I've been reaching out to bring urgent attention to the serious incidents that have affected my children's safety, emotional well being, and rights as students.

My second grade son has a diagnosed bladder condition. Despite providing medical documentation to the school, he has repeatedly been denied access to the restroom by his teacher, resulting in multiple accidents throughout the school year. These repeated incidents have caused him distress and embarrassment, yet no corrective action has been taken.

My kindergarten son has an IEP for development delay in speech therapy at school.

Recently the same school bus driver involved in other incidents got in his face and yelled to him for not hearing the command to sit in the front. Multiple students reported that he grabbed him by the shirt and dragged him to the front of the bus. While the transportation team denies the grabbing, she admits the driver got aggressively in his face and yelled, which is entirely inappropriate, and especially for a child with developmental needs.

In a separate incident my daughter was dropped off at an outdated address without my knowledge or consent. The same week, my daughter was confronted by an unauthorized adult who was allowed to board her school bus by the same driver.

I have contacted the principals, superintendent, school board, even the Arkansas Department of Education in Little Rock, but no resolution has been offered. These events show a clear failure to protect and support my children, especially those with documented medical and developmental needs.

My family is also enrolled in the McKinney-Vento Program, yet the support we received was 1
 2
 3

elective and dismissive. The district's McKinney-Vento shows favoritism and made me feel ashamed for asking for help, which goes against the purpose and requirements of the program.

I am asking for a formal response from the district and a full internal investigation into each incident and an assurance that policies will be followed and staff will be held accountable to ensure the safety and the dignity of every student in this district. Thank you.

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.

MS. LUCAS-MODUCA: Good morning, everyone. My name is Sonya Lucas-Moduca, and I'm a bus driver for Blytheville for 16 years. I just want to say that -- I'm kind of nervous -- but anyway, I just want to say that as a bus driver, I love the students. I don't know. But anyway, I just thank everyone for accepting me in this community as a great bus driver, and I'm embarrassed, so embarrassed. This is a great school district, and we are crying out for help. I know as a bus driver, we're crying out for help.

They have -- you know, since the new people have been in, it's like we have went down as a bus driver, you know. We try to stick together, but at the same time -- we used to make \$21 an hour driving, sitting. Now they done took us from 21 to 12 as we sit, and I don't think that's right, because we still have a family to feed. And that's all I want to say.

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.

(Audience claps.)

MS. ROLLINS: The next three -- I'm not sure I understand this first name, but Ms.

Leachman. Is it Leachman or Loachman? Sharon

Coburn and Bradley Ballard, can you come forward, please?

MS. LEACHMAN: How you doing?

MS. ROLLINS: Please introduce yourself.

MS. LEACHMAN: My name is Meshala Leachman. I'm here in Blytheville. I just moved from Atlanta, Georgia, about a year ago. I enrolled four children into the Blytheville School District. Since I enrolled my kids into the school district, I have also all four children to have a 504 Behavior Act Plan.

My children have been denied their rights under the 504 Behavior Plan because my kids are repeatedly sent home, repeatedly sent home for what they have written in their active plan.

I have contacted Ms. Walker. I contacted Ms. Blankenship. I also contacted two school counselors. I contacted Ms. Jackson. I contacted the middle school, Ms. Bennett.

I had a daughter that was sat in a -- when we first moved here, I had a daughter that was sat in a -- they said it was a conflict resolution. She was sat in a room with 25 students. 25 students to intimidate her. She was being transferred to ALE. There was students standing outside of my house fighting my children.

And basically my main concern is that my children have a 504 Plan. Them are federal plans. I'm also enrolled in the McKinney-Vento Program. Ms. Miner has been nothing but help to me. As I told Ms. Miner, I said, Ms. Miner, it takes a team. When I get ready to contact Ms. Walker or I need to contact somebody from the school, Ms. Miner, she contacts them for me. When she contacts them, if they haven't

contacted me back in a certain amount of time, she reaches back out to them. Like I told her, you only can do so much.

My thing and my problem is with Blytheville School System, and I'm going to say this, because I have behavioral problems, and I went through Blytheville School System. They are very uneducated. Half of the students that is in here, which is my four students, have been suspended numerous of times to where they had an appointment with -- three of my students are about to fail. How are they about to fail if you-all say that out of 52 percent of the kids that pass the high school test, that two of my -- my two children was out of that 52 percent.

I never had these problems in Georgia. Now that I have my kids in Blytheville School

District, now I'm at risk of me feeling that my kids are going to fail. How are they going to fail with active IEPs? How are they going to fail with 504 Behavioral Plans? The plan that's supposed to be reinstated every year but have not been reinstated in this year.

Like I said, I have talked to Ms. Walker.

I've talked to Ms. Blankenship. I've called

principals. I have talked to staff members. I have got the paperwork myself and emailed it over to the school officials. I'm not understanding why that either my kids has been here just only four years, because I'm ready to get them up out of this school system at a risk of failing, and then also friends that my kids have and counseled with have been kicked out of school this year.

How are you going to get some students back if you're kicking them out of school? Every day you kick them out of school. I mean it's proper protocol. If you're going to send my child home from school, then I'm going to get a phone call, not a resource officer bringing my child home. What is a resource officer doing taking my child home? Not only mine, there was another lady that got up here and said she wanted to hold accountability to the parents as well. The parents, the mayor, the school officials, the school board --

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you, ma'am.

MS. LEACHMAN: -- the teachers, every last one of us --

MS. ROLLINS: Your time is up.

MS. LEACHMAN: -- should be held accountable for when it comes to these children. Ma'am, I'm sorry. I understand that my time is up, and I hope that you please let me say one more word, because when I came into this school, when I came to the school board and I came to everybody, I was treated as an aggressor. I was approached by uniformed officers, you know, when I came as a concerned parent for my child.

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.

MS. LEACHMAN: So and part of being in this community, I hope that you listen to me, because as you want our board to stand up and us to listen to you-all and go into the office and stuff like that, we should be your team --

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.

MS. LEACHMAN: -- we all should be a team. We be all standing there in judgment should be a team with us as well. And I thank you, too.

MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.

(Audience claps.)

MR. BALLARD: Good morning. My name is Bradley Ballard, and I was born and raised right here in Blytheville. My family has lived

2
 3
 4

here for generations. And like so many others in this room I've stayed because I believe in this city. I believe in its potential, and most of all I believe in its children.

But today I'm standing here not just with belief but with urgency. This school district is in crisis, and it's time for bold action.

And I'm asking you with full conviction to dissolve the Blytheville School Board and take control of this district before more damage is done.

(Audience claps.)

MR. BALLARD: We're watching a system collapse in real time. Test scores at rock bottom, student morale is low, families are leaving, but what's even worse is how our most vulnerable children are being treated. If Blytheville has -- if a child has special needs in Blytheville, more often than not they're pushed aside, sent home to learn --

(Audience claps.)

MR. BALLARD: -- and in isolation because the district lacks the staff and frankly the will to support them. This is not education; this is abandonment. And it's doing real harm

to children who deserve every opportunity to grow with their peers.

But it doesn't stop there. The leadership here or lack thereof has created a toxic environment. I've heard from employee who have been talked down to, disrespected, and even humiliated by board members.

Teachers are afraid to speak up. Vendors and service providers have come here in good faith to offer solutions only to be mocked, dismissed by the people who think leadership means domination and not collaboration.

And now I'm hearing the board president is blaming Sheila Whitlow for the district's failure to even secure a superintendent or an interim superintendent. Let me be very clear. This mess is not the fault of one woman. This is the result of a board that is too prideful to leave and too fractured to function. We cannot keep pointing fingers while our children fall through the cracks.

Today you have the power to stop this. You can cut through the chaos and bring order, accountability, and hope back to our schools.

This isn't about politics. It's about

	115
1	protecting the next generation. Thank you.
2	Have a great day.
3	(Audience claps.)
4	MS. ROLLINS: The next three, Blake Karns,
5	Joe Coleman, and Wagular Jackson. Are any of
6	those people here?
7	WOMAN: Well, excuse me, my name is not on
8	there, but I would like to speak.
9	MS. ROLLINS: You're not on the list.
10	MS. SMITH: She's got to go by her list.
11	WOMAN: Oh, you've got to go by your list?
12	MS. SMITH: Yes.
13	MS. ROLLINS: Yes.
14	WOMAN: Okay. My name is not on there, but
15	I would like to speak.
16	MS. JACKSON: Can she put her name on
17	there?
18	WOMAN: No, I didn't get my name on it. I
19	didn't know there was a
20	MS. JACKSON: Can she put her name on
21	there?
22	WOMAN: No, I can't do
23	MS. ROLLINS: The board says no.
24	WOMAN: The board said no.
25	MS. JACKSON: That's why we're here today.

MS. ROLLINS: Please introduce yourself.

MS. JACKSON: My name is Juanita Jackson. I want to talk about proactive and reactive. I want to commend the State for coming in and being reactive in the way that you are being reactive, I commend you, because in every system, we should have a check and balance. They'll find it here.

The second thing is, follow the money. I was always told, with a failing business, homicide, and a failing city, follow the money. So I'm glad to see that the State has independently come in to follow the money.

Now we have to be proactive. We have Dr. Perkins who was here doing an excellent job. She had a plan. She was proactive. She was dismissed by the same board that now you want to dismiss. Let's save some money. My suggestion is, let's bring Dr. Perkins back, get her to drop that lawsuit, save us some money. Reimburse her for her attorneys' fees and back pay. Save us some money, because the numbers is what counts. And give her a written reprimand. Because she was accused of misappropriating the money, but look what we

2
 3
 4

find when we follow the money. Because everybody was doing what they were doing, you know. Nobody was getting a check and balance system.

As you heard the new -- I guess you've got a new accounting director for the school board. But listen, what it all boils down to, I remember the song Whitney Houston sang, I believe the children are our future. Teach them well to lead the way. We're not doing that here, and we've got to get back to doing that.

So again, I commend the State for coming in and taking over, but we need to save some money, because that's -- you know, the school is a business. The kids are our product. They are our future. That means when these board members leave, they step up. You know, if they're not equipped now, we're just going to repeat history. Save the money.

Let's get back to the plan of being proactive and not reactive. Let's get Dr. Perkins back in here and try to come to some kind of resolution, because she has a plan. She's qualified.

	118
1	MS. ROLLINS: Thank you. We appreciate it.
2	(Audience claps.)
3	MS. ROLLINS: Okay. That is the last of
4	our comment period. Ms. Smith?
5	MS. SMITH: Again, we recommend and ask
6	that this Board classify this district in need
7	of Level 5 - Intensive Support.
8	MS. ROLLINS: At this time, Board, is there
9	any other discussion, comments?
10	DR. ARNOLD: Would it be possible to put
11	the slide back up with the three
12	recommendations on the screen? If not, we'll
13	just go with the oral version.
14	MS. SMITH: You might have it in your Board
15	packets on the very last.
16	DR. ARNOLD: Thank you.
17	MS. SMITH: Are you signed up?
18	MS. LEWIS: Yes.
19	MS. SMITH: Carlonie Lewis signed up. You
20	have it? No? No? We've got it right here.
21	Can she go?
22	MS. ROLLINS: Yes.
23	MS. SMITH: Ms. Lewis?
24	MS. LEWIS: Yes. Hi. I am my name is
25	Carlonie Lewis. I am a former board member for

eight years. And I just want to know -- let everybody know the why we were here from the first year, from the year before, because all of us had gotten together, got to the board, told the board, reached out, we needed help. We needed help.

We seen things that was going on that wasn't right, and we asked for help. That's the reason why you-all made it down the year before. Okay. That incentive we received was great, thanks to the teachers and everybody else, but one of the reasons was it was under Dr. Perkins' hat. She did that. She was doing what we asked her to do. She was promoting, doing everything that we asked her to do. It was the board that stuff became personal. Yes, I got off the board, that I felt like I could help my students better, my parents better, my teachers better. And that's what I do every day.

But I want to make another statement with our students. Had anybody asked me, sit down and ask our students what is wrong. What do you need to be educated? I have May 1st, it was so shocking, and I can share my stories

with some of you that we got from the students.

My students is the number one priority to me.

We have smart kids. They want to be educated, and we're -- she said product. I don't look at my kids being a product. I look at them being our future, because they are our future. They just need help. Either if it's a parent -- I remember coming to school. I graduated '84. If it wasn't our parents, guess what, it was our teachers. They were on us. They were making sure we got what we needed to go forward.

So I'm asking now, the decisions that you make, I noticed that when you did your takeovers, I've read up on all of them, that you did resolve the board, you suspended, you did whatever you had to do. Please do the same so we can start all over and start fresh, because we need it here at Blytheville School District. Thank you.

(Audience claps.)

MS. SMITH: So Board, so the first action in the request would be to classify in need of Level 5 - Intensive Support. If the Board chooses to do that, then after that, then I

would ask for a second motion with the other two recommendations up there, to remove the authority of the local board and authorize the Commissioner to assume all authority, and then also direct the Commissioner to appoint a superintendent and pursue the possibility of a transformation contract. So that would be two motions that we're requesting today.

DR. ARNOLD: I would move that we classify the Blytheville School District in need of Level 5 - Intensive Support.

MS. WOODS: Second.

MS. ROLLINS: Dr. Arnold made the motion.

There was a second by Adrienne Woods. Is there any further discussion? I believe we should do a roll call vote, Secretary.

MS. ROLLINS: Ms. Keener?

MS. KEENER: Yes.

MS. ROLLINS: Ms. Hunter?

MS. HUNTER: Yes.

MS. ROLLINS: Mr. Henderson?

MR. HENDERSON: Before I make my call, I just want to say that these decisions are tough, especially tough when you're in your hometown. But I made an oath, and also I made

a promise to my mom and the stakeholders. 1 MS. ROLLINS: Dr. Arnold? 2 3 DR. ARNOLD: Yes. 4 MS. ROLLINS: Ms. Woods? 5 MS. WOODS: Yes. 6 MS. ROLLINS: Ms. Moore -- Dr. Moore? 7 DR. MOORE: Yes. 8 MS. ROLLINS: That's, I think, unanimous on 9 that motion. 10 MS. SMITH: Again, the second request would 11 be to remove the local -- remove the authority of the local board and authorize the 12 13 Commissioner to assume all authority of the local district board of directors and to direct 14 15 the Commissioner of Education to appoint a 16 superintendent and to pursue the possibility of 17 a transformation contract. So that's our 18 recommendation. 19 MS. HUNTER: So moved. Do I need to read the entire --20 21 MS. SMITH: Yeah, you --2.2. MS. HUNTER: -- motion? 23 MS. SMITH: Yeah. 24 MS. HUNTER: Okay. So I make a motion to 25 remove authority of the local board and

	123
1	authorize the Commissioner to assume the
2	authority of the local district board of
3	directors and to direct the Commissioner of
4	education to appoint a superintendent and to
5	pursue the possibility of a transformation
6	contract.
7	MS. ROLLINS: Motion by Ms. Hunter. Do we
8	have a second?
9	MS. KEENER: Second.
10	MS. ROLLINS: Second by Leigh Keener. All
11	those in favor, say aye.
12	MS. WOODS: Aye.
13	DR. ARNOLD: Aye.
14	MR. HENDERSON: Aye.
15	MS. HUNTER: Aye.
16	MS. KEENER: Aye.
17	DR. MOORE: Aye.
18	MS. ROLLINS: Any more discussion? Okay.
19	We'll do a roll call vote again. I'm sorry.
20	Ms. Woods?
21	MS. WOODS: Yes.
22	MS. ROLLINS: Dr. Arnold?
23	DR. ARNOLD: Yes.
24	MS. ROLLINS: Mr. Henderson?
25	MR. HENDERSON: Yes.

MS. ROLLINS: Ms. Hunter? MS. HUNTER: Yes. MS. ROLLINS: Ms. Keener? MS. KEENER: Yes. MS. ROLLINS: Dr. Moore? DR. MOORE: Yes. MS. SMITH: Thank you. (Audience claps.) MS. ROLLINS: We really appreciate all of you being here. I know this is a very difficult and emotional day, but I do believe that the community and the children will benefit from this. The meeting is adjourned. Thank you. (Hearing concluded at 11:27 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF ARKANSAS)

COUNTY OF SHARP)

I, Melanie Heath, Certified Court Reporter #870, a notary public in and for the aforesaid county and state, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings given to the best of my understanding and ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this proceeding was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested, or otherwise, in the outcome of this action; and that I have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect impartiality, that requires me to relinquish control of an original transcript or copies of the transcript before it is certified and delivered to the custodial attorney, or that requires me to provide any service not made available to all parties to the action.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 20th day of June, 2025.



State Certificate No. 870

My Notary Expires: November 18, 2032

