STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

England School District

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): October 25, 2018

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: November 30, 2018

School Food Authority Name:

General	Prograi	m Participation						
	1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)							
	✓ School Breakfast Program							
	✓ Natio	✓ National School Lunch Program						
	□ Fresh	☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program						
	☐ Afterschool Snack							
	□ Seam	less Summer Option						
2.		e School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (S	Select all that apply)					
	✓ Community Eligibility Provision							
	☐ Special Provision 2							
Review Findings								
3,	Were an	y findings identified during the review of this School Food Autho	ority?					
	✓ Yes	□ No		······				
REVIEW FINDINGS								
		ss and Reimbursement – Performance Standard 1						
YES	NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action				
		Certification and Benefit Issuance	✓	√				
	V	Verification						
 		Meal Counting and Claiming	✓.	✓				
	√	Charge Policy and Unpaid Meal Procedures	√					
Findin	gs:			· 				
	_	ability and low probability labeled students on the Direct Certi	ification list are not being	entered into the				
		rtification portal for confirmation.	Ü					
F		nt procedure for capturing an accurate number of adult meals is	s insufficient. There is no o	confirmation				
		s in place to ensure all the listed adult names actually come int						
1		,						
B. M	eal Patte	rns and Nutritional Quality						
YES	NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action				
1		Meal Components and Quantities	✓	✓				
	V	Offer versus Serve						
	V	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis						
Finding	os:							
		etermined if the Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP)	meal pattern is being follo	owed. Also, there is				
		ate menu or production record documentation to validate if pre-						
		pletion of the certification tool for the menu section of the review						
-Four days of breakfast meals did not meet the federal meal pattern requirements for the fruit contribution requirement.								
		2 breakfast meal pattern minimum is 1 cup of fruit per day.		1				
	-All five days of lunch, meals did not meet the federal meal pattern requirements for the fruit contribution requirement.							
1	Based on production records, no fruit was served on Monday, Tuesday, or Thursday. Wednesday and Friday are							
1		1/4 cup of fruit was served each day. The daily fruit meal patter	•	=				
1	_	days of lunch, meals did not meet the federal meal pattern requ						
1	on production record documentation, there were a total of 10 meal options offered the entire week. 8 out of the 10 meal							
options did not meet the daily vegetable meal pattern minimum of ¾ cup for K-8.								
opnous and not most are dairy vogetable meat patient infilling of 74 cup tot N=0.								
C. General Program Areas								
C. Ge	NO	ogram Areas	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action				
	NO -	Resource Management	Technical Assistance					
		Civil Rights	<u> </u>	ļ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
			•	,				

	✓	SFA On-Site Monitoring		
1		Local School Wellness Policy	✓	✓
	V	Smart Snacks in Schools		
	✓	Professional Standards		
	✓	Water		
	V	Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American	√	
~		Reporting and Record Keeping	✓	✓
✓		School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach	✓	✓
	✓	After School Snack		
	✓	Seamless Summer		
	✓	Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program		
	1	Other:		

Findings:

- 1. The required documentation that must be kept in order to claim meals outside of the meal pattern given to students with special dietary needs is not retained.
- 2. The district's procedures for accommodating students/households with limited English proficiency was unavailable at the time of review.
- 3. The Wellness Committee showed to have met on September 7, 2018, but there were no sign-in sheets to validate this meeting.
- 4. Thermometer calibration records were not available for observation at the time of review.
- 5. Revenue reported on the claim for reimbursement did not match reports from the district's APSCN financial system.
- 6. Documentation of Summer Feeding outreach was not available at the time of review.