

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

School Food Authority Name: Lawrence County School District

Date of Administrative Review: January 27, 2017

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: March 14, 2017

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
 - School Breakfast Program
 - National School Lunch Program
 - Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 - Afterschool Snack
 - Seamless Summer Option
2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
 - Community Eligibility Provision
 - Special Provision 2

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 - Yes
 - No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verification
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
		Finding(s) Details:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
		Finding(s) Details:		
		1) Items that are not whole grain rich were credited as whole grain rich items which made the district not meet the weekly whole grain rich meal pattern requirement. 2) Meat items were credited incorrectly which made the district not meet the weekly meat/meat alternate meal pattern requirement. 3) Grains were being credited incorrectly which made the district not meet the whole grain rich meal pattern requirement.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SFA On-Site Monitoring
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy

		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	School Meal Environment
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Competitive Foods
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Smart Snacks in Schools
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Professional Standards
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Water
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Food Safety
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Storage
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Buy American
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Reporting and Record Keeping
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Other
		<p>Finding(s) Details:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) On-site review was not conducted for the afterschool snack program as required 2) It was observed at the time of the review the district had garlic powder from China, tropical fruit from Thailand and marjoram and jerk seasoning from Turkey, India and France. The required Buy American Justification form was not completed or available for review. 		
<input type="checkbox"/>	x	D. Civil Rights		
		Finding(s) Details:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	x	E. Other		
		Finding(s) Details:		