STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Little Rock School District

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 14, 2019

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: January 28, 2019

School Food Authority Name:

General Program Participation

✓ School Breakfast Program

		nal School Lunch Program							
	✓ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program								
	✓ Afterschool Snack								
_	☐ Seamless Summer Option								
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)								
	□ Community Eligibility Provision								
		al Provision 2 Base Year							
	Review Findings								
٥.	3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?								
✓ Yes □ No REVIEW FINDINGS									
A M	eal Acce	ss and Reimbursement – Performance Standard 1	•						
YES	NO	ss and Acomputednical Terror mance Standard 1	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action					
√ /		Certification and Benefit Issuance	√	✓					
√		Verification							
	7	Meal Counting and Claiming							
	7	Charge Policy and Unpaid Meal Procedures							
Finding	75.		<u> </u>	· head					
		3 student eligibility source documents reviewed, 471 from the ba	ase year provision 2 schools	and 592 from the non-					
	provision schools, there was one (1) student with the incorrect eligibility status.								
_		ice with 7 CFR 245.6a(h) verification reporting and recordkeeping		ntation of contact with					
	buseholds regarding verification activities was not retained by the district.								
3) Th	e require	d verification narrative was not readily available at the time of re	eview.						
4) Or	ne applica	ation verified did not provide sufficient proof of income docume	ntation, but was accepted by	the district. Two					
ap	plications	s were incorrectly verified due to using the wrong income for cal	culation.						
B. M	eal Patte	rns and Nutritional Quality							
YES	NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action					
√		Meal Components and Quantities	✓	√					
✓		Offer versus Serve	✓	✓					
	V	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis							
Finding									
		s of observation, cereal with greater than six (6) grams of sugar v		tudents. The CACFP,					
		Adult Care Food Program, meal pattern is not being followed cor							
		of observation, the minimum meal pattern for the fruit compone	nt of 1 cup was not offered t	o the students.					
		ogram Areas	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action					
YES	NO ✓	Pasauraa Managamant							
		Resource Management Civil Rights							
 `			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	<u> </u>	SFA On-Site Monitoring							
•		Local School Wellness Policy	<u> </u>						
	V	Smart Snacks in Schools							
	1	Professional Standards							
	√	Water							
				.,					
<u> </u>		Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American	√	√					
		Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American Reporting and Record Keeping							
√	·	Reporting and Record Keeping	✓						
√			√	V					

✓	Seamless Summer		
✓	Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program	. 🗆	
V	Other:		

Findings:

were observed with dents.

- 1) On the day of observation, the student list with meal modifications was taped on a door that is visible to others when open. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA) require medical information to be kept confidential. Meals Modification falls under the Civil Rights protected class of Disability.
- 2) Documentation of how potential stakeholders are made aware of their ability to participate in all local wellness policy activities was not readily available at the time of review.
- 3) All schools must adhere to food and safety standards in the Arkansas State Board of Health Food Code.
 2-103.11 Person in Charge, employees are visibly observing foods as they are received to determine that they are from approved sources, delivered at the required temperatures, protected from contamination, and accurately presented, by routinely monitoring the employees' observations and periodically evaluating foods upon their receipt. On the day of the review, cans
 - 3-501.17 Ready-to-Eat, Potentially Hazardous Food, (Time/Temperature Control for Safety Food) Date Marking. When received, all foods should be dated to ensure proper use and safety of food supply (FIFO -first in first out inventory procedures). This was a common observation at the sites visited.
 - 3-305.11 and 4-204.122 Food Storage. Foods must be stored at leave 6 inches above the floor. Food in packages and working containers may be stored less than 6 inches above the floor but still off the floor. On the day of the review, food was observed to be on the floor.
 - 4-203.11 Temperature Measuring Devices, Food. Thermometer calibration records were not available for observation at the time of review at several sites visited.
 - 6-501.111 Controlling Pests. The premises shall be maintained free of insects, rodents, and other pests. On the day of the review, monthly pest control documentation was made available; however, some pests were observed in the light fixtures of Central High School cafeteria office.
 - 8-201.14(D)(6) Contents of a HACCP Plan. Records to be maintained by the person in charge to demonstrate that the HACCP PLAN is properly operated and managed. Time and temperature records for the storeroom at Central High School were being maintained, but on the day of the review, the recorded temperature was not accurately reported. The thermometer showed a temperature of 80+ degrees. It is important that the person in charge insures the recording of these temperatures are recorded accurately daily.
- 4) The November claim had food expenditures for a total of \$353,451.08, however the APSCN detailed expenditures showed the total of food to be \$329,461.99; therefore, causing a \$23,989.09 discrepancy.
- 5) Afterschool snack counts appear to be prepopulated and inaccurately recorded daily. Most of the daily records for the review month of November completed by the site coordinators had questionable daily attendance and records on days when snacks were not given.