STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Date of	f Admin	uthority Name: Mt. Vernon- Enola School District istrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): November sults were provided to the School Food Authority: November 1981 November 1982 November 2082 Nov	•					
Genera 1.	eral Program Participation 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) ✓ School Breakfast Program ✓ National School Lunch Program							
	☐ Fre	esh Fruit and Vegetable Program						
	✓ Afte	terschool Snack						
 Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) ☐ Community Eligibility Provision 								
								-
	Findin		d Authority?					
3.	✓ Yes	any findings identified during the review of this School Foo	u Aumority:					
	v ies	REVIEW FINDINGS						
A. M	Teal Acc	cess and Reimbursement – Performance Standard 1		 -				
YES	NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action				
	V	Certification and Benefit Issuance						
	V	Verification						
	V	Meal Counting and Claiming						
	V	Charge Policy and Unpaid Meal Procedures						
	Ieal Pat	terns and Nutritional Quality	,					
YES	NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action				
1		Meal Components and Quantities	√	√				
	V	Offer versus Serve						
	V	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis						
Findin			<u> </u>					
3 pa	1) Upon completion of the USDA Meal Certification Worksheets for the week of October 8-12, 2018, meals served 3 of the 5 days did not meet daily minimum requirement for vegetables in grades K – 5. The K - 5 lunch meal pattern minimum requirement is ¼ cup vegetable per day. On October 9 and 11, 5/8 cup of vegetable was offered.							
		er 12, ½ cup of vegetable was offered. The Meal Certificat inimum requirement for vegetable subgroups dark green, be						
	ot met.	minium requirement for vegetable subgroups dark green, or	ans/peas (regumes), and	red/orange were				
		on the day of review and on production records completed	for the week of the revie	w during the month				
of	f Octobe	er the meals served at breakfast to Pre-K were not in complia	ance with the Child and A	Adult Care Food				
Pı	Program (CACFP) meal pattern. Students were being served cereal containing more than 6g of sugar. In addition,							
dı	uring the	e review period grain based desserts were served to Pre K st	udents on two of five day	ys reviewed.				
		Program Areas	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action				
YES	NO ✓	Resource Management						
	-	Civil Rights						
	· /	SFA On-Site Monitoring						
	· /	Local School Wellness Policy						
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Smart Snacks in Schools						
	V ✓							
		Professional Standards						

	V	Water		
	V	Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American		
	✓	Reporting and Record Keeping		
	√	School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach		
✓		After School Snack	✓	✓
		Seamless Summer		
		Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program		
		Other:	. 🗆	

Findings:

1) Observed on the day of review students were being served a chewy granola bar (0.5oz equivalents) and a milk for snack. This does not meet the minimum grain meal pattern requirement.