## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

School Food Authority Name: Riverview

**Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date):** <u>January 17, 2017</u>

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: January 27, 2017

## **General Program Participation**

1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)						
	X School Breakfast Program						
	X National School Lunch Program						
	X Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program						
	X Afterschool Snack						
	☐ Seamless Summer Option						
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)						
	□ Community Eligibility Provision						
	☐ Special Provision 2						
Review	Findings						
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?						
	X Yes   No						

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS					
X		A. Program Access and Reimbursement					
	_	YES	NO				
		X		Certification and Benefit Issuance			
			X	Verification			
	☐ X Meal Counting and Claiming		Meal Counting and Claiming				
		Findin	Finding(s) Details:				
		1)		mining officials are back dating start date of benefit issuance to the			
		date the parent signed the free and reduced price application.					
		2) Determining officials manually indicated approval date, indicated level of					
		benefit, and signed applications as required. However, the benefit issuance					
				fer into the Etrition computer system did not occur until days after the			
		eligibility determination was made. Thus, delaying the benefit issuance to					
			the student(s).				
		3)	3) Some free and reduced price meal applications need more details on				
			documentation of changes or updates made by the district.				
		4)	4) One application was missing the last for digits of the person filling out the				
		applications social security number or the box checked indicating no social					
			security number.				
		5)	5) One application was missing the signature of the person filling out the				
		application.					
V							
X		B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality					
		YES X	NO	Meal Components and Quantities			
		X		Offer versus Serve			
			v				
			X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis			

		Finding(s) Details:					
		1) Meals offered as part of the K-12 breakfast meal pattern did not meet the					
		weekly minimum requirement for the grains component.					
		2) Meals offered as part of the K-8 lunch meal pattern did not meet the					
		weekly minimum requirements for the dark green or the red/orange					
		vegetable subgroups.					
		3) Offer versus Serve signage was not displayed to students.					
X		C. School Nutrition Environment					
		YES	NO				
			X	SFA On-Site Monitoring			
			X	Local School Wellness Policy			
			X	School Meal Environment			
			X	Competitive Foods			
			X	Smart Snacks in Schools			
		X		Professional Standards			
			X	Water			
		X		Food Safety			
			X	Storage			
		X		Buy American			
			X	Reporting and Record Keeping			
			X	School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach			
			X	Other			
		Finding(s) Details:					
		1) All managers and food service workers at Riverview School District had					
		only received 4.5 hours of training for this school year.					
		2) The district does have a Food Safety Plan, however it was not up-to-date.					
		3) Proper food safety procedures were not used while students utilized a					
		share table.					
		4) Fresh tomatoes purchased through the Department of Defense (DOD)					
		Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program as part of USDA Foods (Commodities)					
				a product of Mexico and therefore do not meet the Buy American			
			requi	rements for federal child nutrition programs.			
П	X	D. Civi	il Rig	hts			
		Finding(					
	V	E O	l				
	X	E. Oth					
		Finding(	s) Det	Calls:			