

Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program

## REPORT INTERPRETATION GUIDE

# ALGEBRA I, GEOMETRY, AND BIOLOGY SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATIONS

**2014 ADMINISTRATION** 

Introduction	E Examinations
Overview of the ACTAAP	1
Questions and Answers about the 2014 Spring End-of-Course Examinations Frequently Asked Questions	
EDUCATIONAL IMPROVEMENT PLANS  Multiple Measures for Developing Educational Improvement Plans	3
USING AND DISSEMINATING THE 2014 SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATIONS RESU	
Using the Spring End-of-Course Examinations Results	
Disseminating the Spring End-of-Course Examinations Results	
Conclusion	5
THE 2014 SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATIONS REPORTS	
Overview of the Spring End-of-Course Examinations Reports	6
2014 Spring End-of-Course Examinations Report Descriptions and Sample	
Student Report	
Student Label	
Class Roster Report	
School Roster Report.	
School Summary Report: Overview	
School Summary Report: Combined Population	
School Summary Report: General Population	
School Summary Report: IEP Students	
School Summary Report: LEP Students	
School Summary Report: Monitored Former LEP Students	
School Summary Report: Gifted and Talented Students	
School Summary Report: Highly Mobile Students	
School Summary Report: Free and/or Reduced Lunch Students	
School Profile	

#### Introduction

The purpose of this Report Interpretation Guide is to provide district and school personnel with information on how to interpret and use reports related to the 2014 administration of the Spring End-of-Course Examinations. This Report Interpretation Guide provides general information about the components of the Spring End-of-Course Examinations, describes the purpose of the program, and provides answers to commonly asked questions regarding the program. This guide contains report samples that illustrate student-, school-, and district-level information and gives detailed explanations of the report content. This guide also provides an overview of the performance levels associated with the Spring End-of-Course Examinations. School and district staff can use the results listed as one measure of student ability in the development of educational improvement plans to enhance student performance in the future.

**Note:** Students coded as "LEP student less than one year in the U.S." or "Foreign Exchange" will receive Individual Student Reports and will be included on the roster reports but will not be included in any class or school averages or in summary data. Additionally, these students will not be counted in the accountability calculations for 2014. However, if a student was not coded as "LEP student less than one year in the U.S.," the student's scores **will be included** in accountability calculations and will appear on all reports.

#### OVERVIEW OF THE ACTAAP

The **Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program (ACTAAP)** is authorized under Arkansas Legislative Act 35 to promote the development of the *Arkansas Algebra I* and *Geometry Mathematics Curriculum Frameworks* and the *Arkansas Biology Science Curriculum Framework* as well as the development and use of assessment in accordance with the statewide educational goals. The ACTAAP includes ongoing norm-referenced testing. The ACTAAP also includes criterion-referenced tests specifically developed to measure thinking skills and problem-solving strategies associated with real-life performance expectations for school or work.

The Spring End-of-Course Examinations are criterion-referenced tests that became operational in the 2001–2002 school year for Algebra I and Geometry and in the 2007–2008 school year for Biology. All test questions on the Spring End-of-Course Examinations align with the goals and subject-specific competencies described by the *Arkansas Algebra I* and *Geometry Mathematics Curriculum Frameworks* and the *Arkansas Biology Science Curriculum Framework*.

The goals for the ACTAAP are to

- improve classroom instruction and learning;
- support public accountability;
- provide program evaluation data; and
- assist policy makers in decision-making.

## FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

The following are commonly asked questions regarding the Spring End-of-Course Examinations and associated answers to these questions. This list of questions has been compiled based on feedback from district staff (e.g., teachers, school and district test coordinators, principals, superintendents). This list is not exhaustive, but the questions listed have been selected due to the number of times they have been asked by a broad cross-section of the Arkansas education community.

#### 1. Who is required to take the Spring End-of-Course Examinations?

The Spring End-of Course Examinations should be administered to **all** students completing Algebra I or the equivalent, Geometry or the equivalent, or Biology by the end of the spring semester for high school credit who are eligible for testing under standardized conditions, with or without accommodations. A student enrolled in a concurrent credit course for high school credit in Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology must test. A student enrolled in a course of study equivalent to Algebra I or Geometry that sequences the course content over a two-year period must test at the end of the two years, regardless of whether or not the student has completed or passed the course.

#### 2. There is too much testing required by the state. How are teachers supposed to have time for instruction?

The Arkansas Department of Education requires norm-referenced tests and criterion-referenced tests to be administered. The Spring End-of-Course Examinations were administered in Spring 2014. Each End-of-Course Examination requires two days of testing. This test is part of the overall plan for education within the state and is to be used to gauge the success of curricular and instructional change. All other tests given at the district level are at the discretion of the district.

For answers to other questions regarding the Spring End-of-Course Examinations, please contact:

Office of Student Assessment Arkansas Department of Education Four Capitol Mall, Room 305B Little Rock, AR 72201-1071 Telephone: 501-682-4558

#### MULTIPLE MEASURES FOR DEVELOPING EDUCATIONAL IMPROVEMENT PLANS

In real life, individuals are judged on a multitude of performances on a daily basis. In order to adequately identify, describe, and address specific performance strengths and weaknesses, it is necessary to acknowledge that individual competencies do not spring from a single source. To put it simply, if you want to improve individual performance, you need to identify the areas in which need is apparent. In the educational measurement setting, this has been termed "multiple measures." The underlying thinking of multiple measures is basic common sense: in order to improve learning, individually or collectively, it is important to be able to examine information from a variety of sources to identify what needs improving and how this can be accomplished. Multiple measures are often categorized by classifying each measure as "quantitative" versus "qualitative." A quantitative measure implies that a number or rating can be associated with the measurement while a qualitative measure implies that the measurement is more decision-based or anecdotal, relying on information and insights provided by an individual or group of individuals. The following describes the types of measurements that might fall into the quantitative versus qualitative categories:

#### **Quantitative**

- Criterion-referenced test results (e.g., Spring End-of-Course Examinations)
- Norm-referenced test results
- Classroom test results (current and past)

#### Qualitative

- Classroom work in the subject area or related subject area (current and past)
- Teacher observations (current and past)
- Any other pertinent student measures related to the subject area and/or to student testing issues

In attempting to develop any plan for educational improvement for an individual student or groups of students, it is necessary to know where you are (establish a baseline), determine where you need to be (establish a goal or end result), determine the path (establish an implementation plan or model), determine how you are going to get there (establish what resources are necessary), and determine how you will know when you have arrived (establish measures of success). In order to develop an educational improvement plan that can be demonstrated to be effective, educators will need to use the quantitative and qualitative information from the sources listed above as well as other resources.

#### Using the Spring End-of-Course Examinations Results

The reports for the Spring End-of-Course Examinations provide students, teachers, and special program staff with a performance record for students relative to the expectations outlined within the *Arkansas Algebra I* and *Geometry Mathematics Curriculum Frameworks* and the *Arkansas Biology Science Curriculum Framework*. The most important use of these data is to identify students who need remediation in specific areas. The following are suggestions for school and district personnel who are responsible for the assessment and for any school remediation programs:

- Check the reports to find out which students did not perform at or above a proficient level. An asterisk listed next to the student's name on the Class Roster Report and the School Roster Report shows that the student did not perform at or above the proficient performance level.
- For those students who did not perform at or above the proficient performance level, notify the students, parents, and appropriate school personnel.
- Analyze the reports to determine in which skill areas students did not perform well.
- Develop and implement remediation strategies and goals for individuals and groups of students. Analyze previous remediation strategies used with students to determine necessary curricular additions or changes.
- Analyze instructional and curricular approaches to ensure that students are receiving instruction that is in direct alignment with the educational goals and competencies outlined within the *Arkansas Algebra I* and *Geometry Mathematics Curriculum Frameworks* and the *Arkansas Biology Science Curriculum Framework*.
- Develop Academic Improvement Plans (AIPs) as required for all students who do not reach the proficient performance level on any End-of-Course examination.

#### DISSEMINATING THE SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATIONS RESULTS

Make a complete and thorough analysis of the results as soon as possible. After the report forms have been received and the results have been reviewed by district staff, disseminate the results to students, parents, teachers, counselors, and others who may play a role in individual student education. The following suggestions may be helpful:

- Make certain that the appropriate teachers and guidance personnel receive the appropriate Student Report(s), Student Label(s), Class Roster Report(s), School Roster Report(s), School Summary Report, School Profile, and School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers as soon as possible.
- Send the student (home) copy of the Student Report with an accompanying letter from the principal emphasizing the importance of the Student Report. This will likely generate numerous questions from interested parents. At the next PTA/PTO or other parent meeting, discuss the Spring End-of-Course Examinations results to help parents better understand the results and encourage them to become more involved in any follow-up remediation, if necessary.
- Schedule both individual and group sessions with students to review the Student Reports and Class Roster Reports.
- Summarize information from the School Roster Report(s), School Summary Report, and School Profile or, through a newsletter or pamphlet, present information to school board members, school or district advisory committees, parent advisory groups, or other interested individuals.
- Use any other informational materials distributed by the Arkansas Department of Education to further explain and describe the test results.

#### Using and Disseminating the 2014 Spring End-of-Course Examinations Results and Conclusion

- If appropriate, prepare a brief summary of the results and the actions being taken by the school/district to appear in the school news section of the local newspaper(s).
- Communicate to teachers and administrators, by letter or report, a list of the Algebra I, Geometry, and Biology skills with the lowest performance by students.

#### Conclusion

The Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program is the result of ongoing curriculum and instruction implementation within the state, culminating in the development of criterion-referenced testing instruments that are directly linked with the Arkansas Algebra I and Geometry Mathematics Curriculum Frameworks and the Arkansas Biology Science Curriculum Framework. Improving student performance on the End-of-Course Examinations is contingent upon the curricular and instructional approaches applied within a specific school and district setting. In order to move toward more effective education models, Arkansas has adopted performance standards that promote the success of all citizens. The sort of statewide implementation this undertaking implies is monumental. It requires the concerted effort of schools, districts, and thousands of educators. Moreover, all of this effort will be for nothing without the support of students, parents, and other affected members of the education community. The reports described within this guide are one step toward disseminating information to the community and beginning this concerted effort. The next step is to actively and collectively implement the statewide goals, expectations, and performance standards of the Spring End-of-Course Examinations in order to develop educational improvement plans for individual students and for all students which best serve the citizens of Arkansas.

#### OVERVIEW OF THE SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATIONS REPORTS

Reports of results for the Spring End-of-Course Examinations are sent to districts to provide information about student performance. Reports are provided separately for Algebra I, for Geometry, and for Biology. Samples of the Student Report, Student Label, Class Roster Report, School Roster Report, School Summary Report, School Profile, and School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers are provided in this guide. A description of each report immediately precedes the report samples.

On the School Roster Report, School Summary Report, and School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers, students are reported by group. Reports do not include data for 1st Year LEP or Foreign Exchange students except where noted. The groups are as follows:

- Combined Population—All students for whom answer documents were returned for the 2014 administration
  of the Spring End-of-Course Examinations.
- Combined Population without Highly Mobile (appears only on the School Roster Report)—All students for whom answer documents were returned for the 2014 administration of the Spring End-of-Course Examinations excluding those students who were identified as having enrolled in the school or moving between schools in the district after October 1, 2013.
- General Population—All students excluding those who were identified with an Exceptional Student Identification (ESI) code (IEP students), as LEP, and/or as Highly Mobile. Students identified as Gifted and Talented and/or as eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch are included in the General Population report unless they have also been identified with an ESI code (IEP students), as LEP, and/or as Highly Mobile.
- **IEP Students**—Students identified with an ESI code (see page 25 for a listing of the ESI categories) which identifies them as participating in a specific educational program. Students who were identified with more than one ESI code are reported in the Multiple Disabilities category.
- LEP Students—Students identified as Limited English Proficient (LEP).
- Monitored Former LEP Students-Year 1—Students identified as Year 1 Monitored Former LEP.
- Monitored Former LEP Students-Year 2—Students identified as Year 2 Monitored Former LEP.
- 1st Year LEP Students (appears only on the School Roster Report)—Students identified as Limited English Proficient and who have been in the U.S. less than one year.
- Gifted and Talented Students—Students identified as Gifted and Talented.
- **Highly Mobile Students**—Students identified as having enrolled in the school or moving between schools after October 1, 2013.
- Free and/or Reduced Lunch (not reported on the School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers)—Students identified as being eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch.
- Non-economically Disadvantaged (not reported on the School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers)—Students not identified as being eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch.
- **Non-disabled Students** (not reported on the School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers)—Students not identified with an ESI code.

On the Combined Population and General Population summary reports, the groups are further broken down for the following student sub-groups.

- All Students—Includes all students in the group being reported.
- **Gender**—Results are reported separately for females and males. Students whose demographic information did not include gender or those for whom both options were marked are not reported in this sub-group.
- Ethnicity—Results are reported separately for ethnicity (Hispanic, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, American Indian/Alaska Native, Black, White, Two or More Races, and Not Indicated). Students who were identified as Hispanic and any additional ethnicity code are reported as Hispanic. Students who were identified with two or more ethnicity codes not including Hispanic are reported as Two or More. Students whose demographic information did not include ethnicity are reported under Not Indicated.
- **Gender/Ethnicity**—Results are reported for females within each ethnic group and for males within each ethnic group. Students whose answer documents contained multiple marks for gender or students whose demographic information did not include gender or ethnicity are reported under Not Indicated.
- **Migrant**—Results are reported for students in each group who were also identified on their answer documents as migrant.

Student name and birth date, classroom/group name, school and district name, and school and district LEA number are printed on the reports according to what was coded on the student answer documents and/or provided by APSCN, and coded on the Classroom/Group Information Sheet.

Note: The data and the scale score information provided in the sample reports are for display purposes only and do not represent actual results. Each sample has been prepared independently and is not meant to be tied to any other sample in this Report Interpretation Guide. All student names on the samples are fictitious, and any similarity to actual student names is purely coincidental.

#### STUDENT REPORT

Each school will receive two copies of the Student Report, a student (home) copy in color and a school copy in black and white. The Student Report is a one-page, two-sided report. Side one provides information specific to the student listed. Side two provides information on how to help the student to achieve and a description of the additional informational resources that are available. A sample of the Student Report is provided on pages 10 and 11.

The Student Report provides individual student feedback on how the student performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The following information is provided on side one of the Student Report:

- Student information reflects what was coded on the student's answer document or provided from the student's APSCN record for student name, grade, and birth date.
- A letter from Dr. Tom W. Kimbrell, Commissioner of Education, introduces the report.
- Scale Score Section (bottom left of report)
  - The four performance levels (advanced, proficient, basic, and below basic) and the cut scores associated with Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology are shown. The general definition of each performance level is provided. These definitions are especially helpful for parents in understanding the level at which their student is performing.
  - The student's scale score and performance level are shown under the performance levels with an arrow showing where the student falls in the scale score range. The school, district, and state average scores are also provided and can be used for comparative data. A student is required to have attained a scale score associated with the proficient or advanced performance level in order to be considered performing at an acceptable level. It is important to note that the information listed at the strand level for the student plays an important role in gauging student needs but should not be used as the only measure in determining additional instruction.
- (Raw) Scores by Strand Section (bottom right of report)
  - A table with each strand listed in the left column is provided. The strands are directly aligned with the Arkansas Algebra I or Geometry Mathematics Curriculum Framework or the Arkansas Biology Science Curriculum Framework.
  - The total number of multiple-choice and open-response points for each strand is shown in the last two columns along with the number of raw score points achieved by the student. This information provides insight into specific areas in which the student may need additional instruction. For example, the number of points attained by the student for specific strands may show that the student had greater difficulty with Linear Functions concepts than with the other strands. Also, the list of multiple-choice versus open-response points earned may provide important clues to the student's needs. For example, a student may have performed adequately on the multiple-choice questions but poorly on the open-response questions indicating that the student may be having trouble responding in this format.
  - A score of "NA" (No Attempt) for an open-response item indicates that the student did not attempt to answer the item and is assigned a score of "0."
  - Definitions of and information for scale scores are provided under the (Raw) Scores by Strand table.

#### STUDENT LABEL

Each school will receive a Student Label for each student's permanent record or transcript kept on file at the school. The Student Label includes the student's total scale score for Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology with the student's associated performance level for the 2014 administration of the Spring End-of-Course Examination.

The Student Label includes the student's name, grade, date of birth, and course taken. It also includes the student's scale score and performance level for the appropriate Spring End-of-Course Examination. This label will be added to the student's permanent record or transcript as a permanent record of the 2014 *Spring Algebra I, Geometry*, or *Biology End-of-Course Examination* test results. A sample of the Student Label is provided below.

ACTAAP Spring End-of-Course Examination Algebra I Date of Test: May 2014

BIDEN, JEAN Grade: 09

DOB: 06-02-1999 Course Taken: Algebra I District: Arkansas School District (99-99)

School: Arkansas School (99-99-999) Scale Score: 121 Below Basic

## STUDENT REPORT (PAGE 1)



#### SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION—ALGEBRA I STUDENT REPORT

## For the Family of ASHLEY ADAMS

Test Date: May 2014
Grade: 9
Birth Date: 07-21-1998
School Name: Arkansas School
(99-99-999)

District Name: Arkansas School District

(99-99)

#### Dear Family,

Recently, Ashley participated in the Arkansas End-of-Course Examination in Algebra I. Skills assessed on this test are based on the *Arkansas Algebra I Mathematics Curriculum Framework*. The End-of-Course Examination in Algebra I includes multiple-choice questions as well as open-response questions that require the student to construct a handwritten answer.

This report summarizes Ashley's test results. These results are used by the school to make important educational decisions for Ashley. **Please review these results with Ashley and Ashley's teacher.** Using these test results to guide Ashley in the right academic direction is an important step for ensuring future success.

Sincerely,

Tom W. Kimbrell, Ed.D. Commissioner of Education

#### Ashley's Test Results

	250	MEETS STANDARDS	Algebra I Scale Score  Advanced—Students consistently integrate procedural and conceptual knowledge and the synthesis of ideas in algebra. They demonstrate an understanding of the function concept and compare algebraic properties of functions. They apply their knowledge of algebra in more advanced areas of mathematics. These students can formulate generalizations and create models and communicate their mathematical reasoning through clear, concise use of mathematical symbolism and logical thinking.
	200	MEETS S	Proficient—Students integrate mathematical concepts and procedures to the solution of more complex algebra problems. They demonstrate an understanding of algebraic reasoning. They perform algebraic operations involving polynomials, judge and defend the reasonableness of answers, use elements of the function concept in symbolic form, and make and defend conjectures and ideas.
	151	T STANDARDS	Basic—Students demonstrate procedural and conceptual knowledge in solving algebra problems. They recognize relationships presented in algebraic form. These students can generalize from patterns and examples in algebra, use correct mathematical language and symbols to communicate relationships and reasoning processes, and use calculators appropriately to solve problems.
		DOES NOT MEET STANDARDS	Below Basic—Students fail to show sufficient mastery of algebraic skills to attain the basic level.
Ashley'	<b>S</b> sco	re c	of <b>242</b> is at the <b>Proficient</b> Level.
School Ave District Ave State Aver	erage	Sco	re 220

Algebra I (Raw) Scores by	Strand	
This table shows the number of points Ashley scored in each of the Algebra I strands.	Multiple- Choice	Open- Response
Language of Algebra Students will develop the language of algebra including specialized vocabulary, symbols, and operations.	11 of 12	6 of 8
Solving Equations and Inequalities Students will write, with and without appropriate technology, equivalent forms of equations, inequalities, and systems of equations and solve with fluency.	9 of 12	5 of 8
Linear Functions Students will analyze functions by investigating rates of change, intercepts, and zeros.	8 of 12	5 of 8
Non-linear Functions Students will compare the properties in the family of functions.	7 of 12	6 of 8
Data Interpretation and Probability Students will compare various methods of reporting data to make inferences or predictions.	7 of 12	5 of 8

NA = No attempt to answer the item. Score of "0" (zero) assigned for the item.

Ashley's total scores reported for Algebra I are scale scores. Scale scores are transformed raw scores. When multiple forms of a test are used, or when results are compared from year to year, scale scores are needed to adjust for possible differences in test form length or difficulty. They are used in numerous national testing programs, including the ACT and SAT examinations, and are routinely used in many other statewide testing programs, providing the basis for long-term, meaningful comparisons of student results across different test administrations. For more information about converting raw scores to scale scores, see the Raw to Scale Score Conversion Tables posted on the ADE website at the Testing link.

QAI Mockup

### STUDENT REPORT (PAGE 2)



#### How Can I Help Ashley?

- ✓ Talk with Ashley's school about this report and possible areas for improvement.
- ✓ Attend parent/teacher conferences and other important meetings and participate in parent/teacher organizations at Ashley's school.
- ✓ Focus on improving the skills where Ashley scored the lowest.
- Stay in touch with Ashley's school throughout the year regarding Ashley's progress and performance. Ask the school the following questions:
  - · What instructional materials are used for Algebra I?
  - How can I get more involved in Ashley's education?
  - What are the homework expectations and how can I help?
  - Do you have online resources to help Ashley?

#### What Additional Resources Are Available?

Additional information is available online at the Arkansas Department of Education website:

#### http://ArkansasEd.org/

or contact the Office of Student Assessment at 501-682-4558.

Under ADE DIVISIONS at the Learning Services link, click on Curriculum and Instruction. Click on Curriculum Frameworks, Math, and Algebra I to locate the Mathematics Curriculum Framework mentioned in the Commissioner's letter on the front of this report.

Testing resource materials can be found by clicking on the **Testing** link under Popular Links. Scroll down the page and click on the **End-of-Course Algebra I** link where you will find the following assessment materials.

Released Item Booklets These contain actual test items from previous examinations.

Teacher Handbooks These handbooks are designed to demonstrate how the open-response

items are scored. Sample student papers with scoring explanations are

included for Algebra I.

Report Interpretation

Guides

These guides contain components of the examination, the purpose of the program, answers to frequently asked questions, samples of reports, and

information about how to interpret reports.

#### CLASS ROSTER REPORT

The Class Roster Report is a one-sided, single-page or multi-page report depending on the number of students, which provides a list of students and the results for those students who participated in the 2014 Spring End-of-Course Examination. The class name appearing on the report reflects what was coded on the Classroom/Group Information Sheet for classroom/group name. A sample of this report is provided on the following page.

The Class Roster Report provides school and district staff with information on how students within a specific class or group performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The following information is included on the Class Roster Report:

- The four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced) are shown to the right of the school information with the associated range of scale scores for Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology.
- All students within the classroom/group are listed in alphabetical order by last name (with their respective State Reporting Identification Numbers) in the left column with the Spring End-of-Course Examination results for each student provided in the columns that follow. All of the information provided on the Individual Student Report is also provided for each student on the Class Roster Report (e.g., performance level, scale score, strand-level information). Grade and Course Taken\* information is also provided.
- Students who did not attain the proficient or advanced performance level are indicated with an asterisk next to their names.
- An LEP student who has been in the U.S. less than one year is designated with an "(L)" following the Student ID number.
- A Foreign Exchange student is designated with an "(FE)" following the Student ID number.
- Following the listing of students, the class average for each strand is provided. Class averages do not include 1st Year LEP or Foreign Exchange student scores.
- The mean scale scores for the school, district, region, and state are provided and can be used as comparative data.

A student is required to have attained a total scale score associated with the proficient or advanced performance level in order to be considered performing at an acceptable level for Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology. Again, it is important to note that the information listed at the strand level for the student can play an important role in gauging student needs but should not be used as the only measure in determining additional instruction.

<sup>\*</sup>Course Taken information is provided for Algebra I and Geometry only.

#### Averages do not include the following groups: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students Date of Test: May 2014 Interpretation Probability 0/NA 3/3 9/6 8/6 2/2 10/7 7/6 11/7 8/5 4/5 5/4 250 and above Proficient Advanced Non-Linear Functions (ADV) 12/8 0/NA 2/2 10/7 7/6 11/7 8/5 4/5 5/4 PERFORMANCE LEVEL SCALE SCORE 200-249 COURSE TAKEN Linear Functions 2 = Algebra A & B (PRO) N/N 12/8 2/2 10/7 7/6 11/7 3/3 9/6 8/6 8/5 4/5 5/4 l = Algebra I 3 = Other (BAS) 151-199 Basic Solving Equations and Inequalities 12/8 4/NA 11/6 2/0 4/0 7/0 8/0 9/4 2/0 150 and below **Below Basic** SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA (BEL) Language of Algebra 7/4 8/4 4/NA 3/NA 8/4 7/4 12/4 12/5 12/6 12/8 8/4 6/4 8/NA 4/4 N/S **CLASS ROSTER REPORT** ALGEBRA I SCALE SCORE 164 168 190 121 175 127 121 175 168 118 222 235 251 161 104 **ALGEBRA I** PERFORMANCE BEL PRO PRO ADV BAS BAS BEL BAS BEL BAS BAS BE COURSE TAKEN GRADE 09 10 09 12 09 12 2 T 8 N 00 00 172 170 175 193 7890123456 (L) 8901234567 (L) 2345678901 (FE) District: Region: State: School: MEAN SCALE SCORE Student ID # 3456789012 4567890123 5678901234 6789012345 9012345678 0123456789 2233445566 3344556677 4455667788 5566778899 6677889900 **Arkansas School District** Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program Multiple-Choice/Open-Response Points Possible Not a Requirement for this Grade **Arkansas School** Modified form adapted to Braille Not Proficient in Algebra I No Attempt (Zero Score) 666-66-66 PIERCE 1st Year LEP Student : Foreign Exchange Student 66-66 COMBINED POPULATION: ALGEBRA I CLASS AVERAGE: Not Indicated Student Information BESTER, CODY \* & BIYDREAM, JEAN \* CANCRON, MARV \* WAYLAN, JOHN Q\* ADDLER, VICKY \* DUNKIRK, BLINEY SMITHLY, VICKY \* ADCOCK, VICKY \* BANCRON, MARV JAMWAY, JOHN Q AMWAY, JOHN Q\* District Number: KIRKLEY, BLINEY School Number: DREAMY, JEAN \* District Name: School Name: BYRD, JEAN \* VEST, CODY \* Class Name: ≨ ₹ ∞ర يُ نِـٰ

#### SCHOOL ROSTER REPORT

The School Roster Report is a one-sided, multi-page report providing a list of students for whom answer documents were returned for the *Spring Algebra I, Geometry*, or *Biology End-of-Course Examination* and the results for those students. The school information appearing on the report reflects what was coded on the Classroom/Group Information Sheet for district name, school name, and district/school LEA number. A sample of this report is provided on the following pages.

The School Roster Report provides school and district staff with information on how all students within a school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The following information is provided on the School Roster Report:

- The four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced) are shown to the right of the school information with the associated range of scale scores for Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology.
- Results for students are reported separately by group. See page 6 for a listing and definitions of the groups.
- All students in the school are listed in alphabetical order by last name (with their respective State Reporting Identification Numbers) in the left column with the Spring End-of-Course Examination results for each student provided in the columns that follow. All of the information provided on the Individual Student Report is also provided for each student on the School Roster Report (e.g., performance level, scale score, strand-level information). Grade and Course Taken\* information is also provided.
- Students who did not attain the proficient or advanced performance level are indicated with an asterisk next to their names.
- An LEP student who has been in the U.S. less than one year is designated with an "(L)" following the Student ID number.
- A Foreign Exchange student is designated with an "(FE)" following the Student ID number.
- Following the listing of students within each group, the school average for each strand for that group is provided. School averages do not include 1st Year LEP or Foreign Exchange student scores.

A student is required to have attained a total scale score associated with the proficient or advanced performance level in order to be considered performing at an acceptable level for Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology. Again, it is important to note that the information listed at the strand level for the student can play an important role in gauging student needs but should not be used as the only measure in determining additional instruction.

<sup>\*</sup>Course Taken information is provided for Algebra I and Geometry only.

7/2

6/2

7/2

4/NA 9/4 9/5 9/6

4/5 3/6 9/0 7/0

9/5 9/6 4/0 7/0

3/5 9/6 4/0 7/0

3344556677

KIRK, ELLIOT

L: 1st Year LEP Student FE: Foreign Exchange Student

7,0 4,0 7,0 8,0

9/5 9/6 4/0 7/0

7/0 4/0 7/0 8/0

Averages do not include the following groups: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students

#### PERFORMANCE LEVEL SCALE SCORE Proficient 200-249 COURSE TAKEN 2 = Algebra A & B 3 = Other Linear Functions (PRO) 12/8 4/NA 9/4 9/5 9/6 7/2 4/5 9/6 9/0 7/0 l = Algebra I Solving Equations and Inequalities (BAS) Basic 151-199 12/8 9/4 9/5 9/6 7 6/1 7 8/0 5/2 4/0 7/0 7/0 4/0 7/0 8/0 SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION 150 and below **Below Basic** ALGEBRA Language of Algebra 6/4 7/4 3/NA 7/4 5/4 3/NA 7/4 6/4 5/NA 10/4 10/5 12/8 6/3 6/4 6/3 SCHOOL ROSTER REPORT ALGEBRAI SCALE SCORE 64 169 89 168 190 121 175 127 121 175 168 118 222 235 235 251 **ALGEBRA I** PERFORMANCE LEVEL BEL PRO PRO ADV BAS BAS BEL BAS BEL BEL BAS BAS COURSE TAKEN GRADE 09 09 12 11 09 12 9 2 1 1 2 9 2345678901 (FE) 3456789012 4567890123 5678901234 6789012345 7890123456 8901234567 9012345678 0123456789 2233445566 **Arkansas School District** Student Information—Name & Student ID # Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program Multiple-Choice/Open-Response Points Possible Not a Requirement for this Grade **Arkansas School** COMBINED POPULATION WITHOUT Modified form adapted to Braille Not Proficient in Algebra I No Attempt (Zero Score) 666-66-66 **COMBINED POPULATION** GENERAL POPULATION SCHOOL AVERAGE: Not Indicated SCHOOL AVERAGE: BEST, COURTLAND ' STATE AVERAGE: ANDERSON, MARK \* **DREYFUS, JUSTIN \*** BANCROFT, MARY \* CASTRO, MARVIN \* ADCOCK, JASON \* HIGHLY MOBILE DUNKIRK, BOB JACKSON, JOHN District Number: ADDLER, KARIE \* School Number: District Name: School Name: BYRD, JERRY \* BIDEN, JEAN \* ≨z ∞ర

Interpretation and Probability

Non-Linear Functions

Data

250 and above

Advanced (ADV) 12/8

12/8

6/2 3/4

6/2 4/3

Date of Test: May 2014

Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program	•	<i>(</i> )	СНОО	ALGEBRA I SCHOOL ROSTER REPORT	A I R REPOI	SPRING END-OF-COORSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I SCHOOL ROSTER REPORT		. g	Page 2	† 100
District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School District School Number: 99-99-999 School Name: Arkansas School	itrict					PERFI Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	ORMA B (E	COURSE TAKEN 1 = Algebra I 2 = Algebra A & B 3 = Other NCE LEVEL SCALE SC asic Proficient ask) (PRO) 1-199 200-249 2	CORE Advanced (ADV) 250 and above	
NA = No Attempt (Zero Score)						ALGEBRAI				
= = dent		GRADE	COURSE	PERFORMANCE LEVEL	ALGEBRA I SCALE SCORE	Language of Algebra	Solving Equations and Inequalities	Linear Functions	Non-Linear Functions	Data Interpretation and Probability
Multiple-Choice/Open-Response Points Possible						12/8	12/8	12/8	12/8	12/8
GENERAL POPULATION (cont'd)	g)									
	8899002233 9900223344	9 6		BAS BAS	161 168	6/4	0/2	7/0 4/0	7/0 4/0	0/4 0/4
WATLAND, JOSEPH	0022334455	<u>Y</u>	-	1	104	4/NA	AN/2	0//	0//	0//
					2	5		7//	100	7.1
IEP STUDENTS BONDS, KARLA*	2223334445	7	-	BEL	114	5/2	0/9	5/3	2/0	0/0
SCHOOL AVERAGE:					114	5/2	6/0	5/3	2/0	0/0
<u>LEP STUDENTS</u> JIMINEZ, LUIS CANTRELL, MARVIN * 55566	333445556 555667778 (L)	6 1	ε −	PRO BAS	241 168	8/4	11/5 8/0	8/4	11/5 3/0	8/4
SCHOOL AVERAGE:					241	8/4	11/5	8/4	11/5	8/4
<u>DENTS</u>	5556667778 (L)	თ	-	BAS	168	6/4	0/8	3/0	3/0	1/0
SCHOOL AVERAGE:					168	6/4	8/0	3/0	3/0	1/0

#### Interpretation and Probability Date of Test: May 2014 7/0 8/0 2/NA 12/8 9/5 4/0 1/4 9/2 4/0 2/0 2/0 Averages do not include the following groups: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students 250 and above Non-Linear Functions Advanced Page 3 12/8 4/4 6/4 4/NA 3/5 3/4 4/0 2/0 2/0 PERFORMANCE LEVEL SCALE SCORE Proficient Linear Functions 200-249 2 = Algebra A & B 4/4 6/4 4/NA (PRO) 12/8 4/5 4/0 2/0 5/5 4/5 4/0 2/0 3 = OtherSolving Equations and Inequalities (BAS) Basic 151-199 4/NA 12/8 7/0 8/0 2/NA 4/NA 8/0 9/6 0/9 7 150 and below **Below Basic** ALGEBRA SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION Language of Algebra (BEL) 5/NA 5/NA 4/4 6/4 1/NA 12/8 6/4 10/6 9/0 5/2 SCHOOL ROSTER REPORT ALGEBRA I SCALE SCORE 198 118 168 44 165 168 251 251 168 104 ALGEBRA PERFORMANCE LEVEL BAS BAS BEL BAS ADV 띪 COURSE GRADE 9 194 6 6 MONITORED FORMER LEP STUDENTS-YEAR 2 MONITORED FORMER LEP STUDENTS-YEAR 1 9900223344 3344556677 8889990002 FREE AND/OR REDUCED LUNCH STUDENTS **Arkansas School District** Student Information—Name & Student ID # Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program Multiple-Choice/Open-Response Points Possible Not a Requirement for this Grade Modified form adapted to Braille **GIFTED AND TALENTED STUDENTS** Arkansas School Not Proficient in Algebra I No Attempt (Zero Score) HIGHLY MOBILE STUDENTS 666-66-66 L: 1st Year LEP Student FE: Foreign Exchange Student District Number: 99-99 SCHOOL AVERAGE: SCHOOL AVERAGE: SCHOOL AVERAGE: SCHOOL AVERAGE: Not Indicated SCHOOL AVERAGE: DREYFUSS, JUSTIN \* ADAMSON, JILIAN \* MCDONALD, CODY \* VIGGERS, CODY \* School Number: TULLY, JOSEPH \* School Name: District Name: KIRK, ELLIOT ₹z 50

Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program	SCHOO	SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I SCHOOL ROSTER REPORT	EXAMIN. REPORT	ATION			Date of Te Page 4	Date of Test. May 2014 Page 4
District Number: 99-99				PERFO	COURSE TAKEN 1 = Algebra I 2 = Algebra A & B 3 = Other PERFORMANCE LEVEL SCALE SCORE	COURSE TAKEN 1 = Algebra I 2 = Algebra A & B 3 = Other NNCE LEVEL SCALE S	CORE	
District Name: Arkansas School District School Number: 99-99-999 School Name: Arkansas School			-	Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	Basic (BAS) 151–199	Proficient (PRO) 200-249	Advanced (ADV) 250 and above	
NA = No Attempt (Zero Score) NI = Not Indicated				ALGEBRAI				
11 11 11	GRADE COURSE TAKEN	SE PERFORMANCE IN LEVEL	ALGEBRA I SCALE	Language of Algebra	Solving Equations and	Linear Functions	Non-Linear Functions	Data Interpretation
Student Information—Name & Student ID #			000 E	)	Inequalities			and Probability
Multiple-Choice/Open-Response Points Possible				12/8	12/8	12/8	12/8	12/8
NON-ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS								
SCHOOL AVERAGE:			175	7/4	1/4	9/9	5/2	2/3
NON-DISABLED STUDENTS								
SCHOOL AVERAGE:			167	6/3	1/1	7/2	6/2	7/2
L: 1st Year LEP Student FE: Foreign Exchange Student	-	_		Averages do n	ot include the f	ollowing group	Averages do not include the following groups: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students	EP students ange students

#### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: OVERVIEW

The School Summary Report is a one-sided, multi-page report providing student results aggregated to the school level. Groups are reported independently from one another (see page 6 for additional information). The school information appearing on the report reflects what was coded on the Classroom/Group Information Sheet for district name, school name, and district/school LEA number.

Note: The District Summary Report provides district staff with summary information on how students within the district performed on the 2014 Spring End-of-Course Examination. The School and District Summary Reports are set up identically to one another, except that the district report does not contain data specific to each school. The district-level report also contains an additional page for 1st Year LEP students.

#### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: COMBINED POPULATION

The Combined Population Report gives the results for **all** students\* for whom answer documents were returned for the 2014 administration of the Spring End-of-Course Examination. A sample is provided on the following pages.

The School Summary Report: Combined Population provides school and district staff with summary information on how all students in the school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The following information is provided:

- The total number of students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned is provided at the top of the page under the district name.
- The Combined Population group is broken out and reported for the following student sub-groups:

All Students

Gender

Ethnicity

Gender/Ethnicity

Migrant

**Note:** See pages 6–7 for a full description of all groups.

- In the columns on the School Summary Report, data are provided for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided. Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each level in the school, district, region, and state. The first column on the report indicates the specific student population that is being reported on that particular line (row).
- The information provided on the School Summary Report: Combined Population can be used to compare the performance of students in the school with the performance of students at the district, region, and state levels.

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

Assessment, and Accountability Flogram	Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, essment, and Accountability Program	_	SCHOOL	OL SUMI	SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I L SUMMARY REPORT: COMBINED POPU	ALGI	ALGEBRAI EPORT: CO	AA I COMBINED POPULATION	POPUI	-ATIOI	Z		Date of lest: May 2014 Page 1	it. Ividy 201	<b>1</b>	
		District Numbe District Name: Total Number o	: 5	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School District Total Number of Students Tested: 112	chool Dis	trict		ις Σ	School Number: School Name:	<u>.</u>	99-99-999 Arkansas School	hool				
	omu I	er & Percent of Stu Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	Number & Percent of Students Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	lents	Numb	er & Percent of Basic (BAS) 151–199	Number & Percent of Students Basic (BAS) 151-199	lents	Numbé	er & Percent of St Proficient (PRO) 200-249	Number & Percent of Students Proficient (PRO) 200-249	ents	Num	lber & Per Advanc 250 an	Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above	ents
All Students	School 8	District 8 <b>6</b> %	Region 293 13%	State 3,285 <b>9</b> %	School [26 23%	District 26 <b>20%</b>	Region 667 <b>30%</b>	State 8,905 <b>25%</b>	School [46 41%	District 46 <b>35</b> %	Region 849 <b>38</b> %	State 14,486 <b>41</b> %	School 32 <b>29%</b>	District 53 <b>40%</b>	Region 423 <b>19</b> %	State 8,926 <b>25</b> %
Gender Female	5	5	112 <b>10</b> %	1,282	11 21%	11	333 <b>30</b> %	4,344 <b>24</b> %	21	21 33%	449 <b>40</b> %	7,634	15 <b>29</b> %	27	220 <b>20</b> %	4,483
Male	<b>2%</b>	8 <b>4</b> %		1,975	15 <b>25</b> %	15 22%		4,540 <b>26</b> %	25 <b>42</b> %	25 <b>36</b> %	398 <b>36</b> %	6,833 <b>38</b> %	17 28%	26 <b>38</b> %	203	4,439 <b>25</b> %
Ethnicity	(			1		(			(			1			į	0
Hispanic Asian	%0 0	% •	4 <b>%</b> 0	24/ 1 <b>0%</b> 29	% <b>0</b>	<b>%</b> o	3 <b>9%</b> 0	34% 93	% <b>0</b>	%o	40% 0	7,047 <b>41%</b> 201	0%-	°°-	15 19%	383 <b>15%</b> 226
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	% o ° °	%	% o °	% 0 0	% o %	% o %	%	0% 0%	%	% o %	% 0 0	37% 0 0%	100% 0% 0%	%00L 0%0	,00 0%0	41% 0% 0%
American Indian/ Alaska Native	% <b>0</b>	<b>%</b> 0		17 <b>6</b> %	°°	°°	3 20%	70 <b>26</b> %	<b>%0</b>	°°°	33%	121 <b>45</b> %	% <b>0</b>	°°	17%	63 <b>23</b> %
Black	12%	11%		1,700 <b>21</b> %	18 <b>31</b> %	18 <b>30</b> %	413 <b>38</b> %	3,022 <b>37%</b>	24 <b>41</b> %	24 <b>39</b> %	353 <b>32</b> %	2,711 <b>33</b> %	9 1 <b>6</b> %	12 <b>20</b> %	108 <b>10</b> %	661 <b>8</b> %
White	2%	<del>- %</del>		1,255 <b>5</b> %	8 15%	11%	221 <b>21</b> %	4,833 <b>20%</b>	22 <b>42</b> %	31%		10,379 <b>43%</b>	22 <b>42</b> %	40 <b>56</b> %	297 <b>28</b> %	7,562
Two or More Races Not Indicated	o <b>o</b> o	o <b>o</b> o	o <b>o</b> o	28 <b>48%</b> 9	% <b>0</b>	o <b>%</b> o	0 0 7	15 <b>26</b> % 12	% <b>0</b>	o <b>o</b> o	0 <b>0</b> 0	10 17% 17	% <b>0</b>	<b>00</b> 0	<b>00</b> 0	5 <b>9</b> %
Gender/Ethnicity - Female Hispanic Asian	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	2% 0% 0	97 8% 15%	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>		401 33% 43	%0 0	% <b>0</b>	15 3 <b>6</b> %	547 <b>44</b> % 89	°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	<b>0</b> %	17%	188 <b>15%</b> 104
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	%°°°	% % • • •	%0%0	%0 0 9	%0 0	%°°°		17% 0 0%	%0 0	%0%°	%°°°	35% 0 0%	100% 0 0%	100% 0%	100% 0 0%	41% 0 %2
American mulan/ Alaska Native Black	0% 2% 1%%	0°2 17%	0 0 10 16%	698 47%	%ook	<b>0</b> 00%		26% 1,573 37%	0 10 37%	0 10% 24%	0 206 35%	46% 1,534 36%	<b>1</b> 3%°€	0°2°2	0% 67 <b>11</b> %	24% 383 <b>9</b> %
White	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	19	450	8%	<b>6</b> %		2,280 <b>19%</b>	46%	32%	228	5,388 <b>45</b> %	46%	21 <b>62</b> %	145 30%	3,759 <b>32</b> %
Two or More Races Not Indicated	%°°°	%°°	°°°°	14 <b>43</b> % 10%	%°°°	°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	%°°°	19% 10%	%0 0	%°°°	°°°°	16% 90%	°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	°°°°	%°°°	16% 10 50%

Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program	AP	SCHOOL SI	SPRING	SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I UMMARY REPORT: COMBINED POPULATION (continued)	)F-COURSE ALGEBRA I ORT: COMB	IRSE E 3RA I OMBIN	XAMINA IED POP	TION	oo) NC	ntinue	- <del>-</del> -		Date of Tes Page 2	Date of Test: May 2014 Page 2	4	
	<u> </u>	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School Di Total Number of Students Tested: 112	99-99 Arkansas School District Students Tested: 112	chool Distr ted: 112	ict		Scho	School Number: 99-99-999 School Name: Arkansas	: 99-99- Arkans	99-99-999 Arkansas School	<del>-</del> 0					
Condeve (Fith aireits, Mala)	Number Be 1 School Dis	Number & Percent of Students Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below ool District Region Sta	dents <u>State</u>	Number School D	Number & Percent of Students Basic (BAS) 151–199 <u>ool District Region Ste</u>	ent of Student (BAS) -199 Region S	lte	Number F School Di	er & Percent of St Proficient (PRO) 200–249 District Region	epn:	ints <u>State</u>	Numb School	oer & Percent of Str Advanced (ADV) 250 and above District Region	Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above 00 District Region Ste	ents <u>State</u>	
Hispanic Asian Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander American Indian/ Alaska Native Black White Two or More Races Not Indicated Migrant	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%	41. 41. 41. 41. 41. 41. 41. 41.	% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	459 35% 30% 17% 1,443 333 2,547 77 2,547 77% 67 67 67 67 67 67 85%	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000044410000000000000000000000000000	45.000000000000000000000000000000000000	299 388 388 388 388 450 600 7175 833 833 843 833 843 843 843 843	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	228 21,0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	195 125% 125% 41% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
The following groups are not included in this report: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange stu	ded in this report:	1) 1st Year LEP s 2) Foreign Excha	tudents nge students													

#### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: GENERAL POPULATION

Students included in the General Population Report are those who were **not** identified with an ESI code (IEP students), as Limited English Proficient (LEP students), and/or as Highly Mobile. Students identified as Gifted and Talented and/or as eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch are included in the General Population Report unless they have also been identified with an ESI code, as Limited English Proficient, and/or as Highly Mobile. A sample is provided on the following pages.

The School Summary Report: General Population provides school and district staff with summary information on how General Population students in the school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The following information is provided:

- The total number of General Population students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned is provided at the top of the page under the district name.
- The General Population group is broken out and reported for the following student populations sub-groups:

All Students Gender Ethnicity Gender/Ethnicity Migrant

**Note:** See pages 6–7 for a full description of all groups.

- In the columns on the School Summary Report, data are provided for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided. Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each level in the school, district, region, and state. The first column on the report indicates the specific student population that is being reported on that particular line (row).
- The information provided on the School Summary Report: General Population can be used to compare the performance of General Population students in the school with the performance of General Population students at the district, region, and state levels.

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program	ive Testing,	O , E		SCHOOL	SPRING END OOL SUMMARY	END-C	-OF-COURSE ALGEBRAI REPORT: GE		SE EXAMINATION !A I GENERAL POPULATION	ION PULAT	<u>z</u> <u>0</u>		<u>0 č</u>	ate of Test age 3	Date of Test: May 2014 Page 3	
		District Numbe District Name: Total Number	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkar Total Number of Studer	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School District Total Number of Students Tested: 103	chool Dis	strict		ഗ ഗ	School Number: 99-999 School Name: Arkansas	E.	99-99-999 Arkansas School	lool				
	N N	ber & Per Below B 150 ar	Number & Percent of Students Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	udents )	Ā Z	mber & Pe Basi 15	Number & Percent of Students Basic (BAS) 151-199	dents	Num	Number & Percent of Students Proficient (PRO) 200-249	Percent of Stu ficient (PRO) 200–249	ndents	Num	iber & Pe Advand 250 al	Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above	udents
All Students	School 6 <b>6</b> %	District 6 5%	Region 208 11%	State 1,915 <b>6</b> %	School 22 21%	District 22 18%	Region 545 <b>28</b> %	State 6,716 <b>22%</b>	School 43 <b>42</b> %	District 43 <b>35</b> %	Region 803 <b>41%</b>	State 12,953 <b>43%</b>	School 32 <b>31%</b>	District 53 <b>43%</b>	Region 409 <b>21%</b>	State 8,557 <b>28%</b>
Gender Female Male	4 <b>88</b> 2 <b>4</b>	4 <b>,</b> 7 %	89 <b>9%</b> 119	796 <b>5%</b> 1,111	9 <b>19</b> %	9 15% 13	275 27% 269 28%	3,412 22% 3,295	20 <b>42%</b> 23	20 33% 23	431 <b>43</b> % 371 39%	6,941 <b>45%</b> 6,002 41%	15 31% 17	27 <b>45</b> % 26	212 21% 197	4,327 <b>28%</b> 4,228
Ethnicity Hispanic	c	c	^	بر 1	c	c	ιc	293	c	c	6	624	c	c	6.	303
Asian	%0°	% o 8	<b></b> 0	<b>4</b> ~ 6	<b>%</b> 0	<b>%</b> 0	<b>13</b> %	30 % 30 %	%°°	%0°	<b>6 6 6 8 9 8 9 9</b>	49%	00-7	°° - 3	33% 557 757 757 757 757 757 757 757 757 75	2 <b>4</b> %
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	% ° ° °	% • • •	% • ° °	% <b>0</b>	% 0	% % • • • •	% % • • •	% 0 0	% • • •	% % 0 0	% • • •	%0°	%°°	% 0	%0 0	%10 0
American Indian/ Alaska Native Black	<b>0</b> %	°°°	0 0 163 763	0 <b>4</b> %	°°,	o <b>o</b> {	<b>0</b> %	45 <b>20%</b>	<b>00</b> %	°°°	2 <b>67</b> %	109 <b>48%</b> 2 564	。 <b>%</b>	°°5	33%	62 <b>28</b> %
White	.01 ~−	%- -	<b>17%</b> 43	, 103 16% 714	<b>58</b> % 8 %	<b>56</b> %	<b>37%</b> 176	2,334 38% 3,728	<b>44</b> % 21	<b>42%</b> 21%	35% 435	37% 9,498	<b>18%</b> 22	<b>23%</b>	11% 288	9% 7,322
Two or More Races	<b>0</b> %	<b>1</b> % 0%	2% 0 0%	3% 28 <b>48</b> %	15% 0 0%	11% 0% 0%	19% 0 0%	18% 15 26%	40% 0 0%	30% 0 0	46% 0 0%	45% 10 17%	<b>42%</b> 0 <b>0</b> %	57% 0 0%	31% 0 0%	34% 5 9%
Not Indicated	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	3 <b>7%</b>	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	4 <b>9</b> %	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	11 <b>26</b> %	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	25 <b>58</b> %
Gender/Ethnicity - Female       0       0       2       22         Hispanic       0       0       10%       3%         Asian       0       0       0       2%         Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander       0       0       0       0         American Indian/ Alaska Native       0       0       0       0         Alaska Native       4       4       74       487         White       0       0       13%       267         Two or More Races       0       0       0       14         Not Indicated       0       0       0       43%         Not Indicated       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0 <td>0%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%0</td> <td>00% 00% 00% 00% 00% 00% 00% 00%</br></br></td> <td>10% 10% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>22 3% 3% 0 0 0% 13% 487 13% 267 267 267 267 267 267 267 267 267 267</td> <td>% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %</td> <td>00% 7,7% 000% 000% 000% 000%</td> <td>15% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>1,394 19,000 10,000 1,394 1,394 1,394 1,394 1,000 1,00</td> <td>00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00</td> <td>00000000000000000000000000000000000000</td> <td>45% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>355 353% 353% 613% 100% 00% 146% 466% 16% 16% 16%</td> <td>00000000000000000000000000000000000000</td> <td>00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00,</td> <td>30% 100% 1 12% 1 12% 1 12% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>149 22% 922% 923% 93% 94% 34% 10% 10% 10%</td>	0%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%00%0	00% 00% 00% 00% 00% 	10% 10% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 3% 3% 0 0 0% 13% 487 13% 267 267 267 267 267 267 267 267 267 267	% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	00% 7,7% 000% 000% 000% 000%	15% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,394 19,000 10,000 1,394 1,394 1,394 1,394 1,000 1,00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	45% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	355 353% 353% 613% 100% 00% 146% 466% 16% 16% 16%	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00,	30% 100% 1 12% 1 12% 1 12% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	149 22% 922% 923% 93% 94% 34% 10% 10% 10%

		udents	State	154 <b>26%</b> 103	20% 0	788 788 788 788 788 788 788 788 788 788	3,658	35 0 0 0 4 4 0 0 35 93 % 4 1	13%	
May 2014		Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above	Region	37% 1	100% 0	33-8	<b>9%</b>	% % ° % <b>0</b> ° 0 ° 0	2 <b>%</b>	
Date of Test: May 2014 Page 4		ber & Per Advano 250 an	District	<b>%0</b>	%°°°	%°°	<b>26%</b> 19	% 0 % 0 % 0 0 0 0	% <b>0</b>	
<u> </u>		Nu	School	% <b>0</b>	% 0 0	% • • • •	23% 11	% % ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	0	
(pən	hool	dents	<u>State</u>	269 <b>45%</b> 79	38% 0 0	49% 49%	35% 4,501	<b></b>	42 <b>51%</b>	
contin	99-99-999 Arkansas School	er & Percent of Stu Proficient (PRO) 200–249	Region	10 <b>53%</b> 0	% <sub>0</sub> °	<b>67</b> %	32% 217	40 <b>0</b> 0 <b>0</b> %%%	1%	
NO (	er: 99-99	Number & Percent of Students Proficient (PRO) 200–249	District	0 <b>0</b> 0	% o o	<b>°°°</b>	<b>44</b> %	% % ° % 00 ° ° ° °	% <b>0</b>	
SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I SUMMARY REPORT: GENERAL POPULATION (continued)	School Number: 99-999 School Name: Arkansas	Num	School	% <b>0</b>	<b>%</b> 0	<b>%</b> 0 <b>0</b> 9	<b>46%</b>	% % % %0 0 0 0	% <b>0</b>	
RSE EX/ RA I VERAL F	w w	dents	State	149 <b>25%</b> 20	10°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	17% 17%	38% 1,906	18% 27% 1 7%	22 <b>27</b> %	
)F-COURSE ALGEBRA I RT: GENERA		& Percent of Stu Basic (BAS) 151–199	Region	<b>11</b> %0	% o o	<b>%</b> 0 <b>%</b> 3	38% 101	% % % 00 0 0 0	33%	
END-OI A REPOR	trict	Number & Percent of Students Basic (BAS) 151–199	District	% <b>0</b>	% <sub>0</sub> 0	% 000	<b>26</b> %	% % ° %	% <b>0</b>	
PRING E	School Dis	NuN	School	% <b>0</b>	% 0 0	<b>%</b> 00	<b>27%</b>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	% <b>0</b>	
	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School District Total Number of Students Tested: 103	dents	State	29 <b>5%</b> 4	% % 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% % §	<b>19%</b> 445	<b>4</b> 4- 64- 80 % 0	4 <b>5</b> %	1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students
SCHOOL	:	er & Percent of Stur Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	Region	% <b>0</b>	% <sub>0</sub> 0	<b>%</b> 000	<b>20%</b>	% % % <b>%</b> 0 0 0	% <b>0</b>	it Year LEP
<b>-</b> 5	District Numbe District Name: Total Number o	Number & Percent of Students Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	District	% <b>0</b>	% <sub>0</sub> 0	% °°	4,1	% % % mooo	% <b>0</b>	eport. 1) 1s
A Testing, elity Prograr		Nun	School	% <b>0</b>	% <sub>0</sub> °	% °°-	4,1	% % % mooo	<b>0</b>	luded in this r
Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program			Gender/Ethnicity - Male	Hispanic Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian/ Alaska Native	White	Two or More Races Not Indicated	Migrant	The following groups are not included in this report:

#### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: IEP STUDENTS

The results in this section of the School Summary Report are for those students who were identified with an Exceptional Student Identification (ESI) code, or IEP students. IEP students are included as part of the Combined Population Report but are not included in the General Population Report. A sample is provided on the following page.

The School Summary Report: IEP Students provides school and district staff with summary information on how exceptional students in the school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The following information is provided:

- The total number of IEP students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned is provided at the top of the page under the district name.
- Data are first provided for "All IEP Students" and then broken down by the following ESI categories listed on the left side of the report:

Autism Other Health Impairment
Deaf-Blindness Emotional Disturbance
Hearing Impairment Specific Learning Disability
Mental Retardation Speech/Language Impairment

Multiple Disabilities Traumatic Brain Injury
Orthopedic Impairment Visual Impairment

**Note:** Students for whom more than one ESI code was marked on their answer documents are reported in the Multiple Disabilities category.

- The information provided for "Non-disabled" includes only those students who were **not** identified with an ESI code.
- The information provided for "Migrant" includes only those IEP students who were also identified as being Migrant students.
- In the columns on the School Summary Report, data are provided for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided. Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each level in the school, district, region, and state. The first column on the report indicates which students are being reported on that particular line (row).

The information provided on the School Summary Report: IEP Students can be used to compare the performance of exceptional students in the school with the performance of exceptional students at the district, region, and state levels. The information in this report can also be used to compare the performance of exceptional students to non-disabled students at the school, district, region, and state levels.

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

ACTAAP	Ь			SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION	END-(	OF-CO	F-COURSE	EXAMIR	VATION				Date c Page	Date of Test: May 2014 Page 5	y 2014	
Arkansas Comprehensive Testir Assessment, and Accountability Pro	ig, igram		SC	SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT:	SUMMA	IRY RE	PORT		IEP STUDENTS	S						
	,	District Number District Name: Total Number of	0)	99-99 Arkansas School District Students Tested: 4	chool Dis	trict		0) 0)	School Number: School Name:		99-99-999 Arkansas School	chool				
All IEP Students	Numbe School	Number & Percent of Students Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below School District Region	t of Studen (BEL) elow Region 69	nts <u>State</u> 92.9	Numb School	er & Percent of Basic (BAS) 151–199 District Rec	Number & Percent of Students Basic (BAS) 151–199 hool District Region St	ents State 1,073	Number School	Number & Percent of Students Proficient (PRO) 200–249 chool District Region	nt of Studer PRO) 19 Region 13	nts State 521,	Numbe School	Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above chool District Region Site	nt of Stude (ADV) above Region 2	ents State 78
ESI Categories Autism Deaf-Blindness Hearing Impairment Mental Retardation Multiple Disabilities Orthopedic Impairment Cher Health Impairment Emotional Disturbance Specific Learning Disability Speech/Language Impairment Traumatic Brain Injury Visual Impairment Non-disabled Migrant	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	2, 2, 356 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	100% 100%	24% 13 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	245 23% 200% 200% 200% 200% 200% 200% 200%	245 000000000000000000000000000000000000	212 836 836 836 836 836 836 836 836 836 836	13.8% 13.3% 13.3% 10.0% 10	33.2 33.2 33.2 33.2 33.2 33.2 33.2 33.2	323		25.2 25.2 25.2 27.2 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20
The following groups are not included in this report: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students	s report: 1) 1	lst Year LEP Foreign Exch	students ange studer	ıts												

#### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: LEP STUDENTS

The results in this section of the School Summary Report are for students who were identified as Limited English Proficient (LEP). LEP students are included as part of the Combined Population Report but are not included in the General Population Report. A sample is provided on the following page.

The School Summary Report: LEP Students provides school and district staff with summary information on how LEP students in the school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The information listed on the School Summary Report: LEP Students can be used to compare the performance of LEP students in the school with the performance of LEP students at the district, region, and state levels. The following information is provided:

- The total number of LEP students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned is provided at the top of the page under the district name.
- The information provided for "Migrant" includes only those LEP students who were also identified as being Migrant students.
- In the columns on the School Summary Report, data are provided for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided. Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each level in the school, district, region, and state. The first column on the report indicates which students are being reported on that particular line (row).

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program	APP e Testing, sility Program		Š	SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: LEP STUDENTS	S END.	OF-CC ALGI ARY RI	JF-COURSE ALGEBRA I RY REPORT	EXAM:: LEP	INATIO	N N			_	Date of Test Page 6	Date of Test: May 2014 Page 6	
		District Number: District Name: Total Number of	: 5	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School District Total Number of Students Tested: 0	chool Di	strict			School Number School Name:	; *	99-99-999 Arkansas School	chool				
Limited English Proficient	Numb School 0	Number & Percent of Students Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below hool District Region State 0 0 1 188 0% 0% 3% 144	ent of Stude sic (BEL) I below Region 1	ents <u>State</u> 189 <b>14%</b>	Num  School 0 0	ber & Perc Basic 151- District 0	Number & Percent of Students  Basic (BAS)  151–199  col District Region Sta 0 24 56 0% 0% 60% 44	dents State 567 43%	Num School 0 0	ber & Pro <u>Dist</u>	Percent of Stu ficient (PRO) 200–249 rrict Region 0 13	dents  State 455 35%	Num School 0 0 0	Advanc Advanc 250 an District 0 0	Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above lool District Region Stat 0 0 2 98 0% 0% 5% 779	idents State 98 7%
	<b>%0</b>	<b>%0</b>	% <b>0</b>	14 <b>14</b> %	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	2 <b>40</b> %	42 <b>43</b> %	% <b>0</b>	0 0%	3 <b>60</b> %	36 <b>37</b> %	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b> %
The following groups are not included in this report: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students	led in this report:	1) 1st Year I 2) Foreign E	_EP studeni Exchange si	ts tudents												

#### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: MONITORED FORMER LEP STUDENTS

The results in this section of the School Summary Report are for students who were identified as Monitored Former LEP. Monitored Former LEP students are included in the results for both the Combined Population Report and the General Population Report. A sample is provided on the following page.

The School Summary Report: Monitored Former LEP Students provides school and district staff with summary information on how Monitored Former LEP students in the school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The information listed on the School Summary Report: Monitored Former LEP Students can be used to compare the performance levels of Monitored Former LEP students in the school with performance of Monitored Former LEP students at the district, region, and state levels. The following information is provided:

- The information in the report is broken down into Monitored Former LEP—Year 1 and Monitored Former LEP—Year 2.
- The total number of Monitored Former LEP students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned is provided at the top of the page under the district name.
- The information provided for "Migrant" includes only those Monitored Former LEP students who were also identified as being Migrant students.
- In the columns on the School Summary Report, data are provided for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided. Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each level in the school, district, region, and state. The first column on the report indicates which students are being reported on that particular line (row).

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

					ı	1
			lents	State 16 <b>39%</b>	10 <b>53</b> %	2 <b>67</b> %
lay 2014			Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above	Region 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>
Date of Test: May 2014 Page 7			er & Percent of St Advanced (ADV) 250 and above	District R 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>
Date Page			Number - Ac		% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>
			-	School 0 0		-0
	(0	loor	nts	State 22 <b>54</b> %	6 <b>32</b> %	33%
	ENTS	99-99-999 Arkansas School	Number & Percent of Students Proficient (PRO) 200–249	Region 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>
	STUE	School Number: 99-99-999 School Name: Arkansas	er & Percent of St Proficient (PRO) 200–249	District Re 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>
;	LEP N	lumber: lame:	umber & Pro			
i	VATIC	School Number School Name:	ž	School 0	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>
	XAMII O FOF	S S	Ω	<u>State</u> 3 7%	2 11%	% <b>0</b>
i I	SE E SA I OREI		Number & Percent of Students Basic (BAS) 151-199	'	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>
	)F-COURSE ALGEBRA I : MONITOR		& Percent of Basic (BAS) 151–199	Rec		
İ	J-OF-( AL RT: N	District	nber & P Ba	District 0 0 0%	% <b>0</b>	° <b>°</b>
i	S END REPO	chool [	N	School 0 0%	% <b>0</b>	°°
ļ	SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I MMARY REPORT: MONITORED FORMER LEP STUDENTS	99-99 Arkansas School District Students Tested: 0		tate 0 <b>0</b> %	1 5%	% <b>0</b>
Ċ	SF UMM	er: <b>99-99</b> <b>Arkan</b> of Studen	tudents -)	SI SI		
	OL SI	District Number: District Name: Total Number of	· & Percent of St elow Basic (BEL 150 and below	Region 0	<b>%0</b>	% <b>0</b>
	SCHOOL SU	District District Total N	Number & Percent of Students Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	District 0 <b>0</b> %	% <b>0</b>	<b>0</b> %0
Ь			Numbé	School 0 <b>0</b> %	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>
A	ive Testir ability Pro				ar 2	
M	Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program			Monitored Former LEP—Year 1	Monitored Former LEP—Year 2	
5	sas Con ent, and			Former	Former	
	Arkan			onitored	onitored	Migrant

#### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: GIFTED AND TALENTED STUDENTS

The results in this section of the School Summary Report are for students who were identified as Gifted and Talented. Gifted and Talented students are included in the results for both the Combined Population Report and the General Population Report. A sample is provided on the following page.

The School Summary Report: Gifted and Talented Students provides school and district staff with summary information on how Gifted and Talented students in the school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The information listed on the School Summary Report: Gifted and Talented Students can be used to compare the performance of Gifted and Talented students in the school with the performance of Gifted and Talented students at the district, region, and state levels. The following information is provided:

- The total number of Gifted and Talented students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned is provided at the top of the page under the district name.
- The information provided for "Migrant" includes only those Gifted and Talented students who were also identified as being Migrant students.
- In the columns on the School Summary Report, data are provided for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided. Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each level in the school, district, region, and state. The first column on the report indicates which students are being reported on that particular line (row).

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

District Number: 99-99   District Name: Arkansas School District Name: Arkansas School District Number of Students Tested: 11   Number & Percent of Students Tested: 11   School District Region State School District Region School District Region State School District Region Scho	Arkansas School District Students Tested: 11  ents Number & Percent of Students Basic (BAS) 151–199 20 0 0 144 205 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Schoo Schoo	I Number: 99-99-999  I Name: Arkansas School  Number & Percent of Students  Proficient (PRO) 200-249 2 2 6 1,494 2 9% 33% 32% 0 0 0 1 0% 0% 0% 33%	Number & P. Advar 250 a 250 b 20 a 82% 91% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above 100 District Region St. 20 20 63% 91% 63% 0 0 1	State 3,016 64%
Number & Percent of Students  Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below  School District Region State School 0% 0% 0% 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	District Region 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	200 7	Percent of Students icient (PRO) 200–249 (CT Region State 96 1,494 33% 32% 0 0 0 33% 33% 33% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Number & I Adva 25C School Distric 9 20 82% 91% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Percent of Studenced (ADV) and above the Region 185 6 63% 100%	State 3,016 64%
School   District   Region   State   State   O'	District Region 14 0% 5% 0% 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	School 2 18% 18% 0 0%	Region 96 33% 0 0 0%		~	State 3,016 <b>64%</b>
%0 %0 %0 %0 0 0 0 0 0 0	%0 %0 0 0	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>			2
						%29
The following groups are not included in this report: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students						

### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: HIGHLY MOBILE STUDENTS

The results in this section of the School Summary Report are for students who were identified as having enrolled in the school or moving between schools after October 1, 2013. Highly Mobile students are included as part of the Combined Population Report but are not included in the General Population Report. A sample is provided on the following page.

The School Summary Report: Highly Mobile Students provides school and district staff with summary information on how Highly Mobile students in the school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The information listed on the School Summary Report: Highly Mobile Students can be used to compare the performance of Highly Mobile students in the school with the performance of Highly Mobile students at the district, region, and state levels. The following information is provided:

- The total number of Highly Mobile students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned is provided at the top of the page under the district name.
- The information provided for "Migrant" includes only those Highly Mobile students who were also identified as being Migrant students.
- In the columns on the School Summary Report, data are provided for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided. Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each level in the school, district, region, and state. The first column on the report indicates which students are being reported on that particular line (row).

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program	SCHOOL		SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I SUMMARY REPORT: HIGHLY MOBILE STUDENTS	JF-CO ALGE PORT:	F-COURSE ALGEBRA I	EXAMIII	NATIO! BILE S		STX			Date of T Page 9	Date of Test: May 2014 Page 9	4	
	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School Total Number of Students Tested: 5	: 99-99 Arkansas School District i Students Tested: 5	School Dis sted: 5	trict		0, 0,	School Number: 99-999 School Name: Arkansas		99-99-999 Arkansas School	School					
Num School 1 1 20%	Number & Percent of Students           Below Basic (BEL)           150 and below           hool         District         Region         Stain           1         1         373           20%         20%         18%         20	udents  State 373 20%	Numbe School [2 40%	Basic (BAS) 151–199 District Regis 2 40% 50	Number & Percent of Students  Basic (BAS)  151–199  100	ents <u>State</u> 684 <b>37%</b>	Num School 2 40%	ğ	Proficient (PRO) 200–249  District Region 2 20 40% 21%	udents State 614 33%	Nu School 0 0	mber & Pe Advan 250 a <u>District</u> 0	Number & Percent of Students Advanced (ADV) 250 and above tol District Region Str 0 10 20 % 0% 11% ′	udents State 200 11%	
Migrant 0	%0 %0 0 0	3 <b>15</b> %	% <b>0</b>	<b>%0</b>	% <b>0</b>	9 30%	<b>%0</b>	% <b>0</b>	<b>%0</b>	10 <b>50%</b>	<b>%0</b>	% <b>0</b>	% <b>0</b>	5%	
The following groups are not included in this report: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students	rt: 1) 1st Year LEP stud 2) Foreign Exchange	ents s students													
															7

### SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: FREE AND/OR REDUCED LUNCH STUDENTS

The results in this section of the School Summary Report are for students who were identified as eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch. Students who are eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch are included in the results for both the Combined Population Report and the General Population Report. A sample is provided on the following page.

The School Summary Report: Free and/or Reduced Lunch Students provides school and district staff with summary information on how students in the school who are eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination. The information listed on the School Summary Report: Free and/or Reduced Lunch Students can be used to compare the performance of students in the school who are eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch with the performance of students who are eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch at the district, region, and state levels. The following information is provided:

- The total number of students\* who are eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch in the school for whom answer documents were returned is provided at the top of the page under the district name.
- The information provided for "Non-economically Disadvantaged" includes only those students who were not identified on their answer documents as eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch.
- The information provided for "Migrant" includes only those students eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch who were also identified as being Migrant students.
- In the columns on the School Summary Report, data are provided for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided. Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each level in the school, district, region, and state. The first column on the report indicates which students are being reported on that particular line (row).

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION ALGEBRA I SCHOOL SUMMARY REPORT: FREE AND/OR REDUCED LUNCH STUDENTS	School Number: 99-99-999 School Name: Arkansas School	Number & Percent of Students Number & Percent of Students Number & Percent of Students  Basic (BAS) Advanced (ADV)  151–199 250 and above	District         Region         State         School         District         Region         State         School         District         Region         State           19         546         5,658         26         26         568         6,879         13         18         212         2,641           28%         34%         32%         41%         38%         36%         39%         21%         26%         13%         15%	7 121 3,247 20 20 281 7,607 19 35 211 6,285 6 11% 19% 18% 41% 31% 43% 42% 39% 54% 33% 35%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
SPR IMARY REF	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School District Total Number of Students Tested: 63		State School 2,345 19 13% 30%	940 7 <b>5% 14%</b>	% <b>0</b>	
OOL SUM	District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkan Total Number of Studen	Number & Percent of Students Below Basic (BEL) 150 and below	Region 259 16%	34 <b>5</b> %	<b>%0</b>	Year LEP students
	Distric Distric Total N	ber & Pe Below I 150 a	District 5 7%	3 5%	<b>%0</b>	rt: 1) 1st `
APP Testing, ability Program		Num	School 5 8%	3 <b>6%</b>	% <b>0</b>	uded in this repol
Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program			Free and/or Reduced Lunch	Non-economically Disadvantaged	Migrant	The following groups are not included in this report: 1) 1st Year LEP students

### SCHOOL PROFILE

The School Profile provides school and district staff with summary information on how students\* in the school performed on the Spring End-of-Course Examination.

The School Profile is a four-page booklet providing an overview of the school's results for the Spring End-of-Course Examination. District- and state-level data are also included so that student performance within the school can be compared with the performance of students at the district and state levels. A sample of the report is provided on the following pages.

The following information is provided on the School Profile:

- District and school information that reflects what was coded on the Classroom/Group Information Sheet.
- Overall Results (Combined Population)
  - The "Overall Results (Combined Population)" are located on page 1 of the School Profile.
  - The "Percent of Student Scores: Proficient and Advanced" bar graph shows the percent of students in the school who scored at proficient and advanced performance levels at the school, district, region, and state levels.
  - The "Percent of Student Scores in Performance Levels" bar graph shows the percent of students who scored
    at each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced) at the school, district,
    region, and state levels. The associated scale score range for each performance level is also provided.
- Results by Population Group and Results by Gender and Ethnicity
  - The "Results by Population Group" table is located on page 1, and the "Results by Gender and Ethnicity" table is located on page 2 of the School Profile.
  - The first column in each table indicates the specific student population that is being reported on that
    particular line (row). With the exception of "Migrant Students," the groups in the "Results by Population
    Group" table can also be found on the School Roster Report.
  - The columns in the "Results by Population Group" and "Results by Gender and Ethnicity" tables provide
    data for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). Results are
    provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each performance level.
  - The columns on the right side of the "Results by Population Group" and "Results by Gender and Ethnicity" tables provide the mean scale scores, which are broken out by group for the school, district, and state.

Note: The District Profile provides an overview of the district's results. The School and District Profiles are set up identically to one another, except that the district report does not contain data specific to each school.

<sup>\*1</sup>st year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report except where specifically noted.

### 2014 Spring End-of-Course Examinations Report Descriptions and Samples

- Course Taken Summary (not included for Biology)
  - The "Course Taken Summary" table is located on page 2 of the School Profile.
  - Data are first provided for "All Students" and are then broken down by the following Algebra I or Geometry courses listed on the left side of the report:

Algebra I Geometry

Algebra A & B Geometry A & B

Other Investigating Geometry

Other

- The first column in the "Course Taken Summary" table indicates the specific course that is being reported on that particular line (row). The second column from the left identifies the number of students tested in the school. The remaining columns provide data for each of the four performance levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced). Results are provided in terms of the numbers and percents of students performing at each performance level in the school, district, and state.
- Performance on Multiple-Choice Items
  - The "Performance on Multiple-Choice Items" table is located on page 3 of the School Profile.
  - Each line (row) provides the strand name and description, the number of multiple-choice items and data
    on the average number of items students answer correctly. The results are provided in terms of averages
    and percents at the school, district, and state levels.
- Performance on Open-Response Items
  - The "Performance on Open-Response Items" table is located on page 3 of the School Profile.
  - Each line (row) provides the strand name, the number of open-response points possible, and data on the average points scored. The results are provided in terms of averages at the school, district, and state levels.
- Proficient and Advanced Performance History
  - The "Proficient and Advanced Performance History" bar graph is located on page 4 of the School Profile.
  - The "Proficient and Advanced Performance History" bar graph shows the number and percent of students in the school who scored at the proficient or advanced performance levels on the Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology End-of-Course Examination since January 2011.
- Performance Level Descriptors
  - The "Performance Level Descriptors" table is located on page 4 of the School Profile.
  - Each line (row) provides the performance level, the associated scale score range, and the performance level descriptor.



# SCHOOL PROFILE—ALGEBRA


District: Arkansas School District (99-99)
School: Arkansas School (99-99-999)

Test Date: May 2014

# SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATON ALGEBRA I

The Algebra I Spring End-of-Course Examination was administered in May to students who have completed coursework in Algebra I. This School Profile provides a summary of your School's overall performance on this examination. Data for 1st Year LEP students and Foreign Exchange students are not included unless specifically noted. Additional detail is provided in the accompanying School Level reports (Rosters, Summary Reports, and Item-by-Item Reports).

### Algebra I Overall Results (Combined Population)



### **Results by Population Group**

The following table shows the number and percent at each performance level and the mean scale scores for students in each population group for your School, District, and the State.

	Belov	v Basic	Ва	asic	Prof	icient	Adv	anced	Mear	Scale S	cores
Population Group	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	School	District	State
Combined Population <sup>1</sup>	2	3%	13	19%	37	54%	16	24%	221	229	213
Combined Population without Highly Mobile <sup>2</sup>	2	3%	12	18%	37	54%	16	24%	222	230	214
General Population <sup>3</sup>	0	0%	10	16%	36	59%	15	25%	226	233	220
Students with Disabilities	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	197	197	163
Non-disabled Students	1	2%	13	20%	36	55%	15	23%	222	230	217
Limited English Proficient Students	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	175	175	173
1st Year LEP Students	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	180	180	185
Monitored Former LEP Students—Year 1	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	162	162	181
Monitored Former LEP Students—Year 2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	182	182	180
Economically Disadvantaged Students <sup>4</sup>	2	6%	6	18%	20	59%	6	18%	213	222	198
Non-economically Disadvantaged Students	0	0%	7	21%	17	50%	10	29%	229	235	226
Migrant Students	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	180	180	191

### Notes

- <sup>1</sup> Combined Population includes all students tested except those classified as 1st Year LEP or Foreign Exchange.
- <sup>2</sup> Combined Population without Highly Mobile includes all students tested except those classified as 1st Year LEP, Highly Mobile, or Foreign Exchange.
- <sup>3</sup> General Population does not include students who are classified as IEP, LEP, Highly Mobile, or Foreign Exchange.

<sup>4</sup> Based on Free and/or Reduced Lunch.

Page 1

# SCHOOL PROFILE—ALGEBRA I

## Results by Gender and Ethnicity

The following table shows the number and percent of students in your School at each performance level for the Gender and Ethnicity population groups. More detailed data for these and other population groups and comparisons to District, Region, and State results can be found in your School Summary Reports.

	Below	Basic	Ва	sic	Prof	icient	Adva	anced	_	Mear	Scale Sc	ores
Population Group	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		School	District	State
Combined Population	2	3%	13	19%	37	54%	16	24%		221	229	213
Gender												
Female	1	3%	5	16%	19	59%	7	22%		221	229	211
Male	1	3%	8	22%	18	50%	9	25%		221	228	215
Ethnicity												
Hispanic	1	3%	8	22%	18	50%	9	25%		221	228	215
Asian	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%		224	224	226
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%		202	202	181
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%		202	202	181
Black	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%		180	198	196
White	1	3%	5	16%	19	59%	7	22%		221	229	211
Two or More Races	1	2%	11	18%	34	56%	15	25%		224	232	225

## **Course Taken Summary**

The following table shows the number and percent of students in your School participating in the Algebra I Spring End-of-Course Examination who scored at each performance level for each of the Algebra I courses taken.

	Number					Numbe	r and Pei	cent of S	tudents				
Course	Tested (School)	В	elow Bas	sic		Basic			Proficien	t		Advance	d
	(Scriool)	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
All Students	68	2	2	3864	13	13	9969	37	50	12756	16	28	9272
		3%	2%	11%	19%	14%	28%	54%	54%	36%	24%	30%	26%
Algebra I	35	0	0	2019	4	4	5282	18	13	8323	13	25	7027
		0%	0%	9%	11%	7%	23%	51%	52%	37%	37%	42%	31%
Algebra A & B	29	1	1	1289	8	8	3248	18	18	2467	2	2	715
		3%	3%	17%	28%	28%	42%	62%	62%	32%	7%	7%	9%
Other	4	1	1	556	1	1	1438	1	1	1966	1	1	1530
	,	25%	25%	10%	25%	25%	26%	25%	25%	36%	25%	25%	25%

Page 2

# SCHOOL PROFILE—ALGEBRA I

### **Performance on Test Items**

### **Performance on Multiple-Choice Items**

The following table indicates the overall skill demonstrated by students on the multiple-choice items for each Algebra I Strand. There is one point possible for each multiple-choice item.

Algebra I Ctrondo	Number	Ave	rage Nu	mber a	nd Perc	ent Co	rrect
Algebra I Strands	of Items	Scl	hool	Dis	trict	St	ate
Language of Algebra Students will develop the language of algebra including specialized vocabulary, symbols, and operations.	12	7.8	65%	8.2	68%	7.0	58%
Solving Equations and Inequalities Students will write, with and without appropriate technology, equivalent forms of equations, inequalities, and systems of equations and solve with fluency.	12	8.1	62%	8.5	65%	7.5	58%
Linear Functions Students will analyze functions by investigating rates of change, intercepts, and zeros.	12	8.6	71%	9.0	75%	7.3	61%
Non-Linear Functions Students will compare the properties in the family of functions.	12	7.6	63%	8.0	66%	7.2	60%
Data Interpretation and Probability Students will compare various methods of reporting data to make inferences or predictions.	12	6.5	59%	6.8	62%	6.9	62%

### Performance on Open-Response Items

The following table indicates the overall skill demonstrated by students on the open-response items in Algebra I. Open-response items require students to write a response to an algebra item.


Algebra I Strands	Possible	Avera	ge Points \$	Scored
Aigebra i Strailus	OR Points	School	District	State
Language of Algebra	8	2.4	2.6	2.2
Solving Equations and Inequalities	8	3.7	4.2	3.8
Linear Functions	8	3.2	3.5	2.7
Non-Linear Functions	8	2.1	2.3	2.1
Data Interpretation and Probability	8	0.9	0.9	1.2

Page 3

# SCHOOL PROFILE—ALGEBRA I

### **Proficient and Advanced Performance History**

The following graph displays the number of students tested in your School and percent scoring at the Proficient or Advanced performance levels on the Algebra I End-of-Course Examination since January 2011.



### **Performance Level Descriptors**

Performance Level	Score Range	Descriptor
Advanced	250 and above	Students consistently integrate procedural and conceptual knowledge and the synthesis of ideas in algebra. They demonstrate an understanding of the function concept and compare algebraic properties of functions. They apply their knowledge of algebra in more advanced areas of mathematics. These students can formulate generalizations and create models and communicate their mathematical reasoning through clear, concise use of mathematical symbolism and logical thinking.
Proficient	200–249	Students integrate mathematical concepts and procedures to the solution of more complex algebra problems. They demonstrate an understanding of algebraic reasoning. They perform algebraic operations involving polynomials, judge and defend the reasonableness of answers, use elements of the function concept in symbolic form, and make and defend conjectures and ideas.
Basic	151–199	Students demonstrate procedural and conceptual knowledge in solving algebra problems. They recognize relationships presented in algebraic form. These students can generalize from patterns and examples in algebra, use correct mathematical language and symbols to communicate relationships and reasoning processes, and use calculators appropriately to solve problems.
Below Basic	150 and below	Students fail to show sufficient mastery of algebraic skills to attain the basic level.

Page 4 Run Date: mm/dd/yy

### SCHOOL ITEM-BY-ITEM SELECTIONS OF CORRECT ANSWERS

The School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers provides school and district staff with information on how students within a school performed on the released common items that contributed to individual student results. This report is intended for use in conjunction with the Released Item Booklets in order to examine school results for individual items. A sample is provided on the following pages.

The School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers provides the results for each item (multiple-choice and open-response). Fifty percent (50%) of the items in the 2014 Spring End-of-Course Examinations will be provided in the Released Item Booklets for Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology. The first page of the School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers contains information to be used in conjunction with the released items, and the second page of the report contains information for items not released. The School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers is produced for the same groups as reported on the School Summary Report with the exception of Free and/or Reduced Lunch students. The following information is provided on the School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers:

- Information specific to Algebra I, Geometry, or Biology is provided on the report.
- The number of students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned for the reported group is provided under the school information.
- · Released Items
  - Data for released items are located on page 1 of the School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers.
  - The first column (Item # in Released Item Booklet) provides the item number that corresponds to where the item appears in the Released Item Booklet.
  - The second column (Item Type) describes the item type: multiple-choice (MC) or open-response (OR).
  - The third column (Key) provides the correct answer choice for all multiple-choice items. The open response items indicate "Rubric" meaning that a scoring rubric was used to determine the student scores.
  - For multiple-choice items, the remaining columns provide the number and percent of students who selected
    the correct answer at the school, district, and state levels. This information allows school and district staff
    to compare results for each multiple-choice item at the school level to district- and state-level results.
  - For open-response items, the remaining columns provide the average score attained by students at the school, district, and state levels. This information allows school and district staff to compare results for each open-response item at the school level to district- and state-level results.

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.

### • Items Not Released

- Data for items not released are located on page 2 of the School Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers.
- The number of students\* in the school for whom answer documents were returned for the reported group is provided under the school information.
- The first column numbers the items for reference purposes only. These numbers do not correlate with numbers or positions of the items in the test booklets.
- The second column (Item Type) describes the item type: multiple-choice (MC) or open-response (OR).
- The third column (SLE) provides the strand, content standard, and student learning expectation associated with each non-released item.
- For multiple-choice items, the remaining columns provide the number and percent of students who selected
  the correct answer at the school, district, and state levels. This information allows school and district staff
  to compare results for each multiple-choice item at the school level to district- and state-level results.
- For open-response items, the remaining columns provide the average score attained by students at the school, district, and state levels. This information allows school and district staff to compare results for each open-response item at the school level to district- and state-level results.

Note: The District Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers provides individual item results for the 2014 Spring End-of-Course Examination at the district and state levels. The School and District Item-by-Item Selections of Correct Answers are set up identically to one another except that the district report does not contain data specific to each school. The district-level report also contains an additional page for 1st Year LEP students.

<sup>\*1</sup>st Year in the U.S. LEP and Foreign Exchange student scores are not included in this report.



# SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION SCHOOL ITEM-BY-ITEM SELECTIONS OF CORRECT ANSWERS

ALGEBRA I: COMBINED POPULATION DATE OF TEST: MAY 2014

Page 1

District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School District

School Number: 99-99-999 School Name: Arkansas School

Total Number of Students Tested: 38

### **RELEASED ITEMS**

Item #				Number a	and Percent Selec	cting the Correct A	<u>Answer</u>	
In Released	Item		School	School	District	District	State	State
Item Booklet	Type	Key	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	MC	Α	14	36.6%	14	36.6%	14,217	42.9%
2	MC	Α	20	76.7%	20	76.7%	23,370	71.8%
3	MC	С	20	76.7%	20	76.7%	22,164	66.9%
4	MC	В	28	73.7%	28	73.7%	25,555	77.2%
5	MC	В	31	81.6%	31	81.6%	20,829	62.9%
6	MC	Α	28	73.1%	28	73.1%	16,627	50.2%
7	MC	С	27	71.1%	27	71.1%	16,455	49.3%
8	MC	D	26	68.4%	26	68.4%	19,499	58.9%
9	MC	С	12	31.6%	12	31.6%	12,093	38.6%
10	MC	Α	20	52.6%	20	52.6%	14,333	43.3%
11	MC	D	9	23.7%	9	23.7%	14,630	44.2%
12	MC	В	36	94.7%	36	94.7%	22,326	68.6%
13	MC	В	16	42.1%	16	42.1%	16,451	49.9%
14	MC	D	27	71.1%	27	71.1%	23,888	72.1%
15	MC	D	26	68.4%	26	68.4%	21,028	68.2%
16	MC	Α	23	60.5%	23	60.5%	20,814	62.8%
17	MC	С	35	92.1%	35	92.1%	20,324	83.7%
18	MC	Α	20	52.6%	20	52.6%	14,333	43.3%
19	MC	D	35	92.1%	35	92.1%	23,894	72.1%
20	MC	В	33	86.8%	33	86.8%	20,270	61.2%
21	MC	D	20	76.3%	20	76.3%	20,963	63.3%
22	MC	В	33	86.9%	33	86.9%	27,714	83.7%
23	MC	С	32	84.2%	32	84.2%	19,454	58.7%
24	MC	В	25	65.8%	25	65.8%	20,592	62.2%
25	MC	D	34	89.5%	34	89.5%	18,233	55.0%
26	MC	В	21	55.3%	21	55.3%	15,470	46.7%
27	MC	С	28	73.1%	28	73.1%	24,711	74.6%
28	MC	D	25	65.9%	25	65.9%	18,815	56.2%
29	MC	Α	26	68.4%	26	68.4%	18,200	56.0%
30	MC	D	25	65.9%	25	65.9%	21,325	64.4%

	Item Type	Key		Average Score of All S	tudents	
	Турс		School	District	State	
А	OR	Rubric	0.5	0.5	2.5	
В	OR	Rubric	3.2	0.5	2.5	
С	OR	Rubric	0.4	0.5	2.5	

The following groups are not included in this report: 1) 1st Year LEP students 2) Foreign Exchange students



# SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATION SCHOOL ITEM-BY-ITEM SELECTIONS OF CORRECT ANSWERS

**ALGEBRA I: COMBINED POPULATION** DATE OF TEST: MAY 2014

Page 2

District Number: 99-99 District Name: Arkansas School District

School Number: 99-99-999 School Name: Arkansas School

Total Number of Students Tested: 38

### **ITEMS NOT RELEASED**

Item   Type   SLE*   #   %   #   School   District   District   State   %   %   #   %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %   %     %     %     %   %     %     %   %     %     %   %     %   %   %     %					NIla a a	and Dansont Calar	.ti		
Type SLE* # % # % # % #29%  1 MC DIP5.11 14 36.6% 14 36.6% 14.217 42.9%  2 MC LA.1.4 20 76.7% 20 76.7% 23,370 71.8%  3 MC DIP5.6 20 76.7% 20 76.7% 22,164 66.9%  4 MC SEI.2.5 28 73.7% 28 73.7% 25.555 77.2%  5 MC LF.3.4 31 81.6% 31 81.6% 20,829 62.9%  6 MC DIP5.1 28 73.1% 28 73.1% 16.627 50.2%  7 MC SEI.2.8 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 16.455 49.3%  8 MC NLF.4.1 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 19.499 58.9%  9 MC NLF.4.4 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12.093 38.6%  10 MC DIP5.10 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14.333 43.3%  11 MC NLF.4.3 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 14.630 44.2%  12 MC SEI.1.1 36 94.7% 36 94.7% 22.326 68.6%  13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16.451 49.9%  14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.888 72.1%  15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21.028 68.6%  16 MC LF.3.7 23 60.5% 20 52.6% 21.023.24 83.7%  18 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16.451 49.9%  19 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 10 42.1% 13.888 72.1%  10 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20 52.6% 20.326 68.6%  10 MC DIP5.5 2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23.888 72.1%  10 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 26 68.4% 20.052.6% 41.333 43.3%  11 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16.451 49.9%  14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.888 72.1%  15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20.052.6% 41.333 43.3%  16 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 10 42.1% 10 42.1% 10 42.1%  18 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.888 72.1%  19 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20.052.6% 41.333 43.3%  19 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 15 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16.451 49.9%  20 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20.052.6% 41.333 43.3%  21 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.894 72.1%  22 MC DIP5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23.894 72.1%  23 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20.903 38.6%  24 MC DIP5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12.093 38.6%  25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12.093 38.6%  26 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14.630 44.2%  27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12.093 38.6%  28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14.802 44.7%							~		4
1 MC DIP.5.11 14 36.6% 14 36.6% 14,217 42.9% 2 MC LA.1.4 20 76.7% 20 76.7% 23,370 71.8% 3 MC DIP.5.6 20 76.7% 20 76.7% 22,164 66.9% 4 MC SEI.2.5 28 73.7% 28 73.7% 25.555 77.2% 5 MC LF3.4 31 81.6% 31 81.6% 20.829 62.9% 6 MC DIP.5.1 28 73.1% 28 73.1% 16.627 50.2% 7 MC SEI.2.8 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 16.455 49.3% 8 MC NLF.4.1 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 19.499 58.9% 9 MC NLF.4.4 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12,093 38.6% 10 MC DIP.5.10 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 11 MC NLF.4.3 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 14,630 44.2% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.1 36 94.7% 36 94.7% 22,326 68.6% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 16.421 40 68.4% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16.451 49.9% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20.814 62.8% 17 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23.894 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 MC LF3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23.894 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 21,028 68.2% 21 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 21,093 38.6% 22 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 22 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 22 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 22 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 28 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 22 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,073 12.25 64.4% 26 68.4% 12,									
2 MC LA.1.4 20 76.7% 20 76.7% 20,370 71.8% 4 MC DIP5.6 20 76.7% 20 76.7% 22,164 66.9% 4 MC SEI.2.5 28 73.7% 28 73.7% 25,555 77.2% 5 MC LF.3.4 31 81.6% 31 81.6% 20,829 62.9% 6 MC DIP5.1 28 73.1% 28 73.1% 16,627 50.2% 7 MC SEI.2.8 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 16,455 49.3% 8 MC NLF.4.1 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 19,499 58.9% 9 MC NLF.4.4 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12,093 38.6% 10 MC DIP5.10 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 11 MC NLF.4.3 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 14,630 44.2% 12 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 16,451 49.9% 13 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 27 23.888 72.1% 13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21.028 68.2% 16 MC NLF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20 82.8% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 16 MC NLF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20 82.8% 20.814 6									
3 MC DIP.5.6 20 76.7% 20 76.7% 22,164 66.9% 4 MC SEI.2.5 28 73.7% 28 73.7% 25.555 77.2% 5 MC LF3.4 31 81.6% 31 81.6% 20,829 62.9% 6 MC DIP.5.1 28 73.1% 28 73.1% 16.627 50.2% 7 MC SEI.2.8 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 16.455 49.3% 8 MC NLF.4.1 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 19.499 58.9% 9 MC NLF.4.4 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12,093 38.6% 10 MC DIP.5.10 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 11 MC NLF.4.3 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 14,630 44.2% 12 MC SEI.1.1 36 94.7% 36 94.7% 22,226 68.6% 13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23,888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20,324 83.7% 18 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 20,324 83.7% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 22 MC DIP.5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23,984 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,970 61.2% 24 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 26 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,973 38.6% 26 MC LF3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,973 38.6% 26 MC LF3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24.078 72.7% 25 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 26 MC LF3.5 12 31.6% 26 MC LF3.	1								
4         MC         SEI.2.5         28         73.7%         28         73.7%         25.555         77.2%           5         MC         LF.3.4         31         81.6%         31         81.6%         20.829         62.9%           6         MC         DIP.5.1         28         73.1%         28         73.1%         16.627         50.2%           7         MC         SEI.2.8         27         71.1%         27         71.1%         16.455         49.3%           8         MC         NLF.4.1         26         68.4%         26         68.4%         19.499         58.9%           9         MC         NLF.4.4         12         31.6%         12         31.6%         12.093         38.6%           10         MC         DIP.5.10         20         52.6%         20         52.6%         14.333         43.3%           11         MC         NLF.4.3         9         23.7%         9         23.7%         14.630         44.2%           12         MC         SEI.1.1         36         94.7%         36         94.7%         22.326         68.6%           13         MC         LA.1.9         16									
5         MC         LF.3.4         31         81.6%         31         81.6%         20,829         62.9%           6         MC         DIP.5.1         28         73.1%         28         73.1%         16,627         50.2%           7         MC         SEI.2.8         27         71.1%         27         71.1%         16,655         43.3%           8         MC         NLF.4.1         26         68.4%         26         68.4%         19,499         58.9%           9         MC         NLF.4.4         12         31.6%         12         31.6%         12,093         38.6%           10         MC         DIP.5.10         20         52.6%         20         52.6%         14,333         43.3%           11         MC         NLF.4.3         9         23.7%         9         23.7%         14,630         44.2%           12         MC         SEI.1.1         36         94.7%         36         94.7%         22,326         68.6%           13         MC         LA.1.9         16         42.1%         16         42.1%         16,451         49.9%           14         MC         NLF.4.2         27									
6 MC DIP.5.1 28 73.1% 28 73.1% 16.627 50.2% 77 MC SEI.2.8 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 16.455 49.3% 8 MC NLF.4.1 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 19.499 58.9% 9 MC NLF.4.4 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12.093 38.6% 10 MC DIP.5.10 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14.333 43.3% 11 MC NLF.4.3 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 14.630 44.2% 12 MC SEI.1.1 36 94.7% 36 94.7% 22.326 68.6% 13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21.028 68.2% 16 MC LF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20.814 62.8% 17 MC LF.3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23.884 72.1% 18 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14.333 43.3% 19 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 52.6% 14.333 43.3% 19 MC DIP.5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23.884 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20.270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20.963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21.325 64.4% 23 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18.484 55.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20.963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21.325 64.4% 23 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18.484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24.078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20.970 61.2% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20.970 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20.963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24.078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20.970 61.2% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 20.970 61.2% 23 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18.484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 25 92.1% 18.484 55.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 20.37% 18.4824 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 26									
7 MC SEI.2.8 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 16,455 49.3% 8 MC NLF.4.1 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 19,499 58.9% 9 MC NLF.4.4 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12.093 38.6% 10 MC DIP.5.10 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 11 MC NLF.4.3 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 14,630 44.2% 12 MC SEI.1.1 36 94.7% 36 94.7% 22,326 68.6% 13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23.888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20,814 62.8% 17 MC LF.3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23.894 72.1% 18 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC LA.1.6 20 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC LA.1.6 20 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
8         MC         NLF.4.1         26         68.4%         26         68.4%         19,499         58.9%           9         MC         NLF.4.4         12         31.6%         12         31.6%         12,093         38.6%           10         MC         DIP.5.10         20         52.6%         20         52.6%         14,333         43.3%           11         MC         NLF.4.3         9         23.7%         9         23.7%         14,630         44.2%           12         MC         SEI.1.1         36         94.7%         36         94.7%         22,326         68.6%           13         MC         LA.1.9         16         42.1%         16         42.1%         16,451         49.9%           14         MC         NLF.4.2         27         71.1%         27         71.1%         23,888         72.1%           15         MC         SEI.2.5         26         68.4%         26         68.4%         21,028         68.2%           16         MC         LF.3.7         23         60.5%         23         60.5%         20,814         62.8%           17         MC         LF.3.2         35	6								
9 MC NLF.4.4 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 12,093 38.6% 10 MC DIP.5.10 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 11 MC NLF.4.3 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 14,630 44.2% 12 MC SEI.1.1 36 94.7% 36 94.7% 22,326 68.6% 13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23,888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20,814 62.8% 17 MC LF.3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 20,324 83.7% 18 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC DIP.5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23,894 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%	7								
10 MC DIP.5.10 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 11 MC NLF.4.3 9 23.7% 9 23.7% 14,630 44.2% 12 MC SEI.1.1 36 94.7% 36 94.7% 22,326 68.6% 13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23,888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20,814 62.8% 17 MC LF.3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 20,324 83.7% 18 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC DIP.5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23,894 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 26 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
11       MC       NLF.4.3       9       23.7%       9       23.7%       14,630       44.2%         12       MC       SEI.1.1       36       94.7%       36       94.7%       22,326       68.6%         13       MC       LA.1.9       16       42.1%       16       42.1%       16,451       49.9%         14       MC       NLF.4.2       27       71.1%       27       71.1%       23,888       72.1%         15       MC       SEI.2.5       26       68.4%       26       68.4%       21,028       68.2%         16       MC       LF.3.7       23       60.5%       23       60.5%       20,814       62.8%         17       MC       LF.3.2       35       92.1%       35       92.1%       20,324       83.7%         18       MC       LA.1.7       20       52.6%       20       52.6%       14,333       43.3%         19       MC       DIP.5.3       35       92.1%       35       92.1%       23,894       72.1%         20       MC       SEI.2.6       33       86.8%       33       86.8%       20,270       61.2%         21       MC									
12 MC SEI.1.1 36 94.7% 36 94.7% 22,326 68.6% 13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23,888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20,814 62.8% 17 MC LF.3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 20,324 83.7% 18 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC DIP.5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23,894 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%								14,333	
13 MC LA.1.9 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 16,451 49.9% 14 MC NLF.4.2 27 71.1% 27 71.1% 23,888 72.1% 15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20,814 62.8% 17 MC LF.3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 20,324 83.7% 18 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC DIP.5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23,894 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%		MC	NLF.4.3		23.7%			14,630	
14       MC       NLF.4.2       27       71.1%       27       71.1%       23,888       72.1%         15       MC       SEI.2.5       26       68.4%       26       68.4%       21,028       68.2%         16       MC       LF.3.7       23       60.5%       23       60.5%       20,814       62.8%         17       MC       LF.3.2       35       92.1%       35       92.1%       20,324       83.7%         18       MC       LA.1.7       20       52.6%       20       52.6%       14,333       43.3%         19       MC       DIP.5.3       35       92.1%       35       92.1%       23,894       72.1%         20       MC       SEI.2.6       33       86.8%       33       86.8%       20,270       61.2%         21       MC       SEI.2.6       33       86.8%       33       86.8%       20,270       61.2%         21       MC       SEI.2.6       30       79.9%       30       79.9%       21,325       64.4%         23       MC       DIP.5.4       30       79.9%       30       79.9%       21,325       64.4%         23       MC		MC							
15 MC SEI.2.5 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 21,028 68.2% 16 MC LF.3.7 23 60.5% 23 60.5% 20,814 62.8% 17 MC LF.3.2 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 20,324 83.7% 18 MC LA.1.7 20 52.6% 20 52.6% 14,333 43.3% 19 MC DIP.5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23,894 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC LA.1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NIF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
16       MC       LF.3.7       23       60.5%       23       60.5%       20,814       62.8%         17       MC       LF.3.2       35       92.1%       35       92.1%       20,324       83.7%         18       MC       LA.1.7       20       52.6%       20       52.6%       14,333       43.3%         19       MC       DIP.5.3       35       92.1%       35       92.1%       23,894       72.1%         20       MC       SEI.2.6       33       86.8%       33       86.8%       20,270       61.2%         21       MC       LA.1.6       20       76.3%       20       76.3%       20,963       63.3%         22       MC       DIP.5.4       30       79.9%       30       79.9%       21,325       64.4%         23       MC       LA1.3       25       92.1%       25       92.1%       18,484       55.7%         24       MC       DIP.5.2       12       31.6%       12       31.6%       24,078       72.7%         25       MC       SEI.2.4       26       68.4%       26       68.4%       12,093       38.6%         26       MC									
17     MC     LF.3.2     35     92.1%     35     92.1%     20,324     83.7%       18     MC     LA.1.7     20     52.6%     20     52.6%     14,333     43.3%       19     MC     DIP.5.3     35     92.1%     35     92.1%     23,894     72.1%       20     MC     SEI.2.6     33     86.8%     33     86.8%     20,270     61.2%       21     MC     LA.1.6     20     76.3%     20     76.3%     20,963     63.3%       22     MC     DIP.5.4     30     79.9%     30     79.9%     21,325     64.4%       23     MC     LA1.3     25     92.1%     25     92.1%     18,484     55.7%       24     MC     DIP.5.2     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     24,078     72.7%       25     MC     SEI.2.4     26     68.4%     26     68.4%     12,093     38.6%       26     MC     LF3.5     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     20,512     61.9%       27     MC     NIE.4.5     16     42.1%     16     42.1%     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     20,512     61.9%       28     MC<								21,028	
18     MC     LA.1.7     20     52.6%     20     52.6%     14,333     43.3%       19     MC     DIP.5.3     35     92.1%     35     92.1%     23.894     72.1%       20     MC     SEI.2.6     33     86.8%     33     86.8%     20.270     61.2%       21     MC     LA.1.6     20     76.3%     20     76.3%     20,963     63.3%       22     MC     DIP.5.4     30     79.9%     30     79.9%     21,325     64.4%       23     MC     LA1.3     25     92.1%     25     92.1%     18,484     55.7%       24     MC     DIP.5.2     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     24,078     72.7%       25     MC     SEI.2.4     26     68.4%     26     68.4%     12,093     38.6%       26     MC     LF.3.5     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     20,512     61.9%       27     MC     NLF.4.5     16     42.1%     16     42.1%     16     42.1%     12,093     38.6%       28     MC     SEI.3.7     9     52.6%     9     52.6%     14,802     44.7%       29     MC     LA.1.2     16									
19 MC DIP.5.3 35 92.1% 35 92.1% 23,894 72.1% 20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC LA1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
20 MC SEI.2.6 33 86.8% 33 86.8% 20,270 61.2% 21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
21 MC LA.1.6 20 76.3% 20 76.3% 20,963 63.3% 22 MC DIP.5.4 30 79.9% 30 79.9% 21,325 64.4% 23 MC LA1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
22     MC     DIP.5.4     30     79.9%     30     79.9%     21,325     64.4%       23     MC     LA1.3     25     92.1%     25     92.1%     18,484     55.7%       24     MC     DIP.5.2     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     24,078     72.7%       25     MC     SEI.2.4     26     68.4%     26     68.4%     12,093     38.6%       26     MC     LF.3.5     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     20,512     61.9%       27     MC     NLF.4.5     16     42.1%     16     42.1%     12,093     38.6%       28     MC     SEI.3.7     9     52.6%     9     52.6%     14,802     44.7%       29     MC     LA.1.2     16     23.7%     16     23.7%     14,630     44.2%									
23 MC LA1.3 25 92.1% 25 92.1% 18,484 55.7% 24 MC DIP.5.2 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 24,078 72.7% 25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%								20,963	
24     MC     DIP.5.2     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     24,078     72.7%       25     MC     SEI.2.4     26     68.4%     26     68.4%     12,093     38.6%       26     MC     LF.3.5     12     31.6%     12     31.6%     20,512     61.9%       27     MC     NLF.4.5     16     42.1%     16     42.1%     12,093     38.6%       28     MC     SEI.3.7     9     52.6%     9     52.6%     14,802     44.7%       29     MC     LA.1.2     16     23.7%     16     23.7%     14,630     44.2%									
25 MC SEI.2.4 26 68.4% 26 68.4% 12,093 38.6% 26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
26 MC LF.3.5 12 31.6% 12 31.6% 20,512 61.9% 27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
27 MC NLF.4.5 16 42.1% 16 42.1% 12,093 38.6% 28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%									
28 MC SEI.3.7 9 52.6% 9 52.6% 14,802 44.7% 29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%								20,512	
29 MC LA.1.2 16 23.7% 16 23.7% 14,630 44.2%		MC	NLF.4.5	16	42.1%			12,093	38.6%
								14,802	
30 MC NLF.4.9 20 31.6% 20 31.6% 14,802 44.7%								14,630	
	30	MC	NLF.4.9	20	31.6%	20	31.6%	14,802	44.7%

	Item	OLE*		Average Score of All S	Students	
	Type	SLE*	School	District	State	
A	OR	LA.1.2	0.5	0.6	1.6	
В	OR	NLF.4.9	0.4	0.4	1.8	

The following groups are not included in this report: 1) 1st Year LEP students

S = Strand
CS = Content Standard
SLE = Student Learning Expectation

<sup>2)</sup> Foreign Exchange students

<sup>\*</sup> SLE (Student Learning Expectation) is expressed as "S.CS.SLE", where

### **DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE LEVELS**

The general performance levels preamble for the ACTAAP states that the students must demonstrate their ability to be successful and productive citizens. Student performance is categorized into four levels of performance for the Spring End-of-Course Examinations: advanced, proficient, basic, and below basic.

The general definitions of the performance levels for Algebra I are as follows:

### Advanced

Advanced students consistently integrate procedural and conceptual knowledge and the synthesis of ideas in algebra. They demonstrate an understanding of the function concept and compare algebraic properties of functions. They apply their knowledge of algebra in more advanced areas of mathematics. These students can formulate generalizations and create models and communicate their mathematical reasoning through clear, concise use of mathematical symbolism and logical thinking.

### **Proficient**

Proficient students integrate mathematical concepts and procedures to the solution of more complex algebra problems. They demonstrate an understanding of algebraic reasoning. They perform algebraic operations involving polynomials, judge and defend the reasonableness of answers, use elements of the function concept in symbolic form, and make and defend conjectures and ideas.

### Basic

Basic students demonstrate procedural and conceptual knowledge in solving algebra problems. They recognize relationships presented in algebraic form. These students can generalize from patterns and examples in algebra, use correct mathematical language and symbols to communicate relationships and reasoning processes, and use calculators appropriately to solve problems.

### **Below Basic**

Below basic students fail to show sufficient mastery of algebraic skills to attain the basic level.

### PERFORMANCE LEVELS FOR THE 2014 SPRING END-OF-COURSE EXAMINATIONS

The general definitions of the performance levels for Geometry are as follows:

### Advanced

Advanced students consistently integrate, apply, and synthesize geometric concepts. These students can correctly formulate generalizations, create models, and communicate their mathematical reasoning through clear, concise use of mathematical symbolism and logical thinking.

### **Proficient**

Proficient students consistently integrate and apply geometric concepts to analyze and solve more challenging problems. They demonstrate an understanding of geometric patterns and spatial reasoning. They justify geometric relationships, make conjectures, and defend ideas using proper mathematical language and symbolism.

#### Basic

Basic students demonstrate knowledge of geometric concepts and procedures in problem solving. They demonstrate knowledge of geometric relationships and corresponding measurement skills. Basic students partially demonstrate the abilities to apply these skills.

### **Below Basic**

Below basic students fail to show sufficient mastery of geometric skills to attain the basic level.

The general definitions of the performance levels for Biology are as follows:

### **Advanced**

Biology students performing at the advanced level display a comprehensive understanding of biological concepts, including the role of chemistry and cells in life processes, genetics, evolution, the diversity of life, and the ecological and behavioral relationships among organisms. These students are able to design and conduct scientific investigations which answer biological questions about real-world situations. In addition, these students are able to apply complex reasoning skills to make logical predictions and draw well-formulated conclusions.

### **Proficient**

Biology students performing at the proficient level demonstrate a solid understanding of biological concepts, including the role of chemistry and cells in life processes, genetics, evolution, the diversity of life, and the ecological and behavioral relationships among organisms. In addition, these students are able to design and conduct scientific investigations, analyze data, and apply scientific principles to solve real-world, biological problems.

### Rasic

Biology students performing at the basic level display knowledge of biological concepts, including some understanding of the role of chemistry and cells in life processes, genetics, evolution, the diversity of life, and the ecological and behavioral relationships among organisms. These students partially demonstrate the ability to apply this knowledge. They are able to conduct basic level scientific investigations, but demonstrate a need for additional assistance to reach the proficient level.

### **Below Basic**

Below basic students fail to show sufficient mastery of biology skills to attain the basic level.



Arkansas Comprehensive Testing, Assessment, and Accountability Program

DEVELOPED FOR THE ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201

QAI 13267-RIG AR1404

