1	there.
2	So for attendance purposes, as well as academic
3	purposes, we feel like that an early-start on the
4	13th would be beneficial to us. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Thank you very much.
6	Any questions before we move on?
7	All right. Did you have any are you good?
8	Okay. All right. I see no opposition, Ms.
9	McLaughlin, on this item?
10	MS. McLAUGHLIN: No, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. We're ready to move
12	to a motion.
13	MR. WILLIAMSON: Move we grant the waiver.
14	MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Mr. Williamson,
16	second by Ms. Chambers.
17	All in favor say "aye."
18	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
19	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
20	Fantastic. Okay.
21	So we're through the Act 1240 waivers. Thank
22	y'all so much for being here today and sitting
23	through a long meeting.
24	A-5: CONSIDERATION OF CHARTER AUTHORIZING PANEL DECISIONS -
25	SUMMARY INFORMATION

1	b)	CONSIDERATION OF CHARTER AUTHORIZING PANEL DECISION
2		ON OPEN ENROLLMENT CHARTER SCHOOL AMENDMENT: eStem
3		PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOLS
4		CHAIRMAN BARTH: We'll now move down to back
5		down to the Charter Authorizing Panel decisions and
6		get through as many of those as we can before the
7		special Charter Authorizing Panel meeting in about 40
8		minutes. And so I'll turn it over to Mr. Ballard for
9		the Capital City Lighthouse issue.
10		MR. BALLARD: All right. So we have the
11		Consideration for
12		CHAIRMAN BARTH: Oh, I apologize.
13		MR. BALLARD: Were you wanting to okay.
14		CHAIRMAN BARTH: I apologize. I just skipped
15		over eStem. It got marked off when I was dealing
16		with Covenant Keepers earlier. eStem and we do
17		have let's slow-walk this one a little bit because
18		Mr. Bacon was going to call in.
19		MS. McLAUGHLIN: Mr. Bacon, are you on the
20		phone?
21		DR. BACON: Yeah, I'm on the phone. It's kind
22		of hard to hear, but I'm here.
23		MS. McLAUGHLIN: Okay.
24		CHAIRMAN BARTH: Mr. Bacon, can you hear me?
25		DR. BACON: Yeah, I can hear you.

CHAIRMAN BARTH: I mean Dr. Bacon.
DR. BACON: Yes, I can hear you, Dr. Barth.
CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. All right. Thank
you.
All right. Mr. Ballard, so this is the we
are on the eStem case at this point.
MR. BALLARD: Yes. All right. So the
Consideration for the Charter Authorizing Panel
Decision on Open-Enrollment Charter School Amendment
for eStem Public School
On January 15, 2019, the representatives of
eStem Public Charter School appeared before the
Charter Authorizing Panel requesting an amendment to
their charter. By a unanimous vote, the Panel
approved the request. No request for the State Board
of Education to review the decision was made by the
Panel. The State Board may exercise a right to
review and conduct a hearing on the Charter
Authorizing Panel's determination at the State
Board's next meeting.
CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. So Dr. Bacon is on
the phone, if there are any questions. Does anyone
to my right have any questions on this item?
MS. ZOOK: I do.
CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Ms. Zook.

1	MS. ZOOK: Okay. Good afternoon, Dr. Bacon.
2	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Can you hear okay, John?
3	DR. BACON: Yeah.
4	MS. ZOOK: Can you hear me?
5	DR. BACON: Yeah.
6	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay.
7	MS. ZOOK: Okay.
8	DR. BACON: I can.
9	MS. ZOOK: I noticed that your growth was below
10	ready and you had these waivers last year. So how do
11	you account for the fact that this waiver is being
12	helpful for the students you're teaching?
13	DR. BACON: So after we we aren't asking for
14	any to do anything differently than what we have
15	done. We were advised by ADE Legal that some of the
16	waivers that we had held, accreditation standards in
17	the past, we needed some additional another waiver
18	from the Arkansas code or perhaps from the ADE rules
19	and regs. And so in a lot of ways this is really
20	just a clean-up to let us continue to do things that
21	we've had waivers from from the very beginning, when
22	the charter was approved in 2007.
23	MS. ZOOK: Right. I guess that's what I
24	understand. But it doesn't seem to be accomplishing
25	what we hoped the waivers would do, which is to

increase achievement and/or growth.

DR. BACON: Right. And so we -- as you know, during the first round of the report cards we had straight A's for all three of our schools. And then last year we started our expansion, and so probably about 40 to 45 percent of the students that we got last year are new to eStem. And so we definitely

and we expanded enrollment in the elementary and the

junior high and restructured the grades. And so I do

moved our high school to the UA-Little Rock campus

went through quite a transition of just kind of -- we

think that, you know, with time -- what we were doing

was working for students for seven, eight years. And I think that part of the thing is we need to stay the

course with our program, but it's just the

transitioning of new students and getting them

established at eStem. I think we'll see that as we

go on down the line.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Thank you.

And where are you -- and are you fully implementing the laws surrounding dyslexia and the screening of your students K-12?

DR. BACON: Yes, ma'am. We have -- we created a position this year for dyslexia coordinator for our charter school system, and she has helped in making

1	sure that we have our screeners in place. We've
2	added additional dyslexia interventionists only to
3	provide support for the students who do exhibit the
4	markers. This year we started using the Wilson
5	reading program, based on the recommendation of some
6	of the dyslexia experts in the state. And so that's
7	what we're using as our basic phonics you know,
8	phonetically-based reading instruction in the lower
9	grades and then we're still using Connections as our
10	intervention model.
11	MS. ZOOK: Well, I do commend you for using a
12	program that requires little, if any, adapting by the
13	newly-trained teachers. So I commend you for that.
14	Thank you.
15	DR. BACON: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Okay. Any
17	questions on this side?
18	All right. Then the proper motion in this case
19	is to review or not to review the decision of the
20	Charter Authorizing Panel.
21	MS. ZOOK: I move that we not review.
22	MS. DEAN: Second.
23	MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Motion by Ms. Zook,
25	second by Ms. Dean.

1	Any further discussion?
2	All in favor say "aye."
3	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
4	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
5	All right. Thank you, Dr. Bacon, for taking
6	time to join us today.
7	DR. BACON: Thank you.
8	c) CONSIDERATION OF CHARTER AUTHORIZING PANEL DECISION ON
9	REVIEW OF CAPITAL CITY LIGHTHOUSE ACADEMY
10	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Now we are at
11	Capital City Lighthouse Academy.
12	MR. BALLARD: So the Capital City it's
13	consideration of the Charter Authorizing Panel
14	Decision to Review Capital City Lighthouse Academies.
15	On January 15, 2019, representatives of Capital
16	City Lighthouse Academy appeared before the Panel to
17	report on the charter's academic performance. By a
18	unanimous vote, the Panel voted to accept the report.
19	No request for the State Board of Education to review
20	the decision made by the Panel was submitted. The
21	State Board may exercise a right to review and
22	conduct a hearing on the Charter Authorizing Panel's
23	determination at the State Board's next meeting.
24	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Thank you.
25	I'll start on my left. Are there any questions

1		on this side on this item?
2		Okay. Anyone over here?
3		All right. Then the motion is to review or not
4		to review.
5		MS. DEAN: I move to not review.
6		MS. ZOOK: Second.
7		CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Motion by Ms. Dean,
8		second by Ms. Zook.
9		All in favor say "aye."
10		(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
11		CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
12		All right. Thank you.
13	d)	CONSIDERATION OF CHARTER AUTHORIZING PANEL DECISION
14		ON REVIEW OF BLYTHEVILLE NEW TECH HIGH SCHOOL
15		CHAIRMAN BARTH: Now we are to Blytheville New
16		Tech High School conversion charter.
17		MR. BALLARD: All right. So this is the
18		Consideration of Charter Authorizing Panel Decision
19		to Review on the Blytheville New Tech High School.
20		On January 14, 2019, representatives of
21	15	Blytheville New Tech High School appeared before the
22		Panel to report on the charter's academic
23		performance. By a unanimous vote, the Panel voted to
24		accept the report. No request for the State Board of
25		Education to review the decision was made by the
The state of the s		

1	Panel. The State Board may exercise a right to
2	review or conduct a hearing on the Charter
3	Authorizing Panel's determination at the State
4	Board's next meeting.
5	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Any questions to my
6	right?
7	MS. ZOOK: I noticed that they are going to
8	return in December at the Charter Panel's request for
9	a status report.
10	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Any comments, questions
11	to my left?
12	Ms. Newton.
13	MS. NEWTON: My only comment would be that, you
14	know, this is not the level of performance that I
15	would expect from a conversion charter. I would
16	expect it to be higher. So I'm very interested to
17	see what happens in December because I really expect
18	to see I hope to see some improvements by then.
19	CHAIRMAN BARTH: On that, they're having some
20	trouble hearing you although you're talking right
21	into your microphone. So I think we're we may
22	have some mic issues going on.
23	The comment was that they will return in
24	December and Ms. Newton voiced her expectations and
25	concerns that there be heightened performance shown

1	at that time.
2	My follow-up question is: will that will come
3	will that come back to us as a report or will that
4	simply stop at the Charter Authorizing Panel unless
5	there are flags raised?
6	MS. HYATT: So I Mary Claire Hyatt, Arkansas
7	Department of Education.
8	I believe that it was a voluntary report on
9	behalf of Blytheville New Tech. And so if we did it
10	as an action agenda item where the Charter Panel
11	accepted their report, then it would come to you, as
12	this item is coming to you, to review or not to
13	review.
14	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay.
15	MS. NEWTON: That would be a preference, I
16	think.
17	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay.
18	MS. NEWTON: I would like to see
19	MS. HYATT: Absolutely.
20	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. So that will be the
21	process.
22	All right. Anything else on this item?
23	Then the motion is to review or not to review
24	the Charter Authorizing Panel's decision.
25	MS. CHAMBERS: I move to not review.

1	MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Ms. Chambers, second
3	by Mr. Williamson.
4	All in favor say "aye."
5	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
6	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
7	Okay. Great. That gets us through all of the
8	charter issues and it gets us to 1:00, even though
9	it's 2:31.
10	B-1: FORDYCE SCHOOL DISTRICT REQUEST TO TRANSFER EDUCATIONAL
11	COOPERATIVES
12	CHAIRMAN BARTH: And so we pick up with the
10	request by the Fordyce School District to transfer to
13	request by the rolayce school bistlict to transfer to
13	a separate educational cooperative a different
14	a separate educational cooperative a different
14 15	a separate educational cooperative a different educational cooperative. And I'll turn it over to
14 15 16	a separate educational cooperative a different educational cooperative. And I'll turn it over to Ms. Freno. We see these from time to time.
14 15 16 17	a separate educational cooperative a different educational cooperative. And I'll turn it over to Ms. Freno. We see these from time to time. MS. FRENO: Thank you, Dr. Barth.
14 15 16 17 18	a separate educational cooperative a different educational cooperative. And I'll turn it over to Ms. Freno. We see these from time to time. MS. FRENO: Thank you, Dr. Barth. Lori Freno, Department of Education.
14 15 16 17 18	a separate educational cooperative a different educational cooperative. And I'll turn it over to Ms. Freno. We see these from time to time. MS. FRENO: Thank you, Dr. Barth. Lori Freno, Department of Education. The Fordyce School District would like to switch
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a separate educational cooperative a different educational cooperative. And I'll turn it over to Ms. Freno. We see these from time to time. MS. FRENO: Thank you, Dr. Barth. Lori Freno, Department of Education. The Fordyce School District would like to switch the educational service coop that it is a member of.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a separate educational cooperative a different educational cooperative. And I'll turn it over to Ms. Freno. We see these from time to time. Ms. FRENO: Thank you, Dr. Barth. Lori Freno, Department of Education. The Fordyce School District would like to switch the educational service coop that it is a member of. It would like to move from the South Central
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a separate educational cooperative a different educational cooperative. And I'll turn it over to Ms. Freno. We see these from time to time. MS. FRENO: Thank you, Dr. Barth. Lori Freno, Department of Education. The Fordyce School District would like to switch the educational service coop that it is a member of. It would like to move from the South Central Education Service Co-op in Camden to the Southeast

1	of the co-ops at issue have any they have not
2	questioned or objected to the Fordyce School District
3	moving. And the Fordyce School District board of
4	directors also has approved this transfer.
5	And Dr. Hubbell, the superintendent of the
6	Fordyce School District, is here in case you have any
7	questions for her.
8	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. But we don't have a
9	formal presentation typically; we just go straight to
10	the decision?
11	MS. FRENO: That is correct, I mean, unless you
12	have questions.
13	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Great. Thank you.
14	Are there any questions about this request?
15	Ms. Newton.
16	MS. NEWTON: Dr. Hubbell
17	SUPT. HUBBELL: Yes, ma'am.
18	CHAIRMAN BARTH: If you'll just state your name
19	for the record.
20	SUPT. HUBBELL: Judy Hubbell.
21	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Thank you.
22	MS. NEWTON: Dr. Hubbell, teachers rely on co-
23	ops for quite a bit of their professional
24	development.
25	SUPT. HUBBELL: Yes, ma'am.

1	MS. NEWTON: And sometimes they make connections
2	at co-ops with people that they feel comfortable
3	working with. Have you talked to your staff about
4	the move and what is their feelings on the move?
5	SUPT. HUBBELL: Yes, ma'am, I have. The vast
6	majority of them are in favor of it. We use the
7	Monticello co-op quite a bit because we can't get a
8	particular training or service at Camden. And I find
9	that I'm paying for the Camden services and then I'm
10	having to pay for more services at Monticello. So
11	that's my thought in it. And I think that the vast
12	majority of my faculty and staff prefer the
13	Monticello co-op.
14	MS. NEWTON: Okay. That was my only question.
15	SUPT. HUBBELL: Yes, ma'am.
16	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Any additional
17	questions, Ms. Newton?
18	Ms. Zook? Anybody else?
19	Okay. All right. So you have you hear the
20	request.
21	Thank you.
22	SUPT. HUBBELL: Yes, sir. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN BARTH: The proper motion is to accept
24	the request for a move to a different co-op by this
25	district.

1	MS. CHAMBERS: I move to approve the requested
2	transfer.
3	MS. DEAN: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Ms. Chambers, second
5	by Ms. Dean.
6	All those in favor say "aye."
7	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
8	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
9	All right. Congratulations. Thank you.
10	SUPT. HUBBELL: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Good luck with your work.
12	B-2: ENHANCEMENTS FOR ARKANSAS BETTER CHANCE PROGRAM
13	CHAIRMAN BARTH: We're now down to Enhancements
14	for the Arkansas Better Chance Program, and our
15	friends from the ABC program are here.
16	Welcome.
17	MS. UNDERWOOD: Good afternoon. Susan Underwood
18	with the ABC program and Audrey Freshwater with the
19	ABC program.
20	We are we have the opportunity to present the
21	program year 2018-19 distribution of the \$3,000,000
22	committed by Governor Hutchinson to improve the
23	quality of the Arkansas Better Chance program. On
24	your attached spreadsheet you'll see that there are
25	over 90% 90.2% of the funding that's going to be

1	distributed to the ABC programs according to the
2	child enrollment thresholds. Each of these ABC
3	programs will receive a one-time \$116.22 per child
4	according to their accomplishments on their current
5	enrollment as outlined on the ABC grant renewal.
6	Then there will be 9.8% of the funding will be
7	distributed to assist the competitive ABC Innovation
8	grantees to continue implementing their final plans
9	in the different areas that they were awarded, which
10	are social/emotional, STEM, outdoor economic friendly
11	learning environments, the natural playgrounds, and
12	the literacy support.
13	Then there is we're also asking that you
14	approve a one-time payment differential to the Ozark
15	Opportunities, Incorporated in the amount of \$8,748.
16	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Any questions about
17	these proposed
18	Dr. Moore.
19	DR. MOORE: Yes, I have a few questions, if you
20	don't mind.
21	MS. UNDERWOOD: Yes, ma'am.
22	DR. MOORE: Could you describe how the
23	innovation grant awards were determined?
24	MS. UNDERWOOD: Yes. It was a competitive
25	grant. We had the different areas that would help to

1	enhance their programs, they wrote according to that.
2	They had to align it with the early childhood
3	standards and tell us how they would utilize it, how
4	it would enhance the learning of the children, and
5	how they would make sure that the teachers were
6	trained in order to accomplish those goals.
7	DR. MOORE: That's great. Do you know how many
8	applications y'all received?
9	MS. UNDERWOOD: Yes, ma'am. We had those we
10	had probably over about 75 applications in the
11	different areas.
12	DR. MOORE: Okay.
13	MS. UNDERWOOD: And then because of our
14	restraints we weren't able to fund everybody.
15	DR. MOORE: Right. The continuous quality, are
16	those does every program get continuous quality
17	funds?
18	MS. UNDERWOOD: Yes, ma'am.
19	DR. MOORE: Okay. On the per-pupil basis?
20	MS. UNDERWOOD: Yes. Last year it was focused
21	on the teachers' qualifications. This year we wanted
22	to provide it to the programs to continue to enhance
23	their programs.
24	DR. MOORE: Is there any reporting as to how
25	they're using the funds or any restrictions, or is it

1 all --2 MS. UNDERWOOD: Yes. There -- at the -- we have 3 a midyear and a final year report that they will report all that, and they will show us exactly what areas that they're doing. 5 6 DR. MOORE: Okay. 7 MS. UNDERWOOD: And also on the -- and on the 8 innovation grants they have provided us an update as 9 to what their goals were, how they met those goals, 10 and what was -- what areas that they needed to 11 accomplish next. 12 DR. MOORE: That's great. Hopefully that will 13 continue to guide y'all's decision-making in the 14 future for funding as well. Thank you. 15 MS. UNDERWOOD: 16 CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Ms. Zook, anything? 17 Mr. Williamson? 18 I think I'm still concerned --MS. ZOOK: Yes. 19 and I don't think you were here yet last year when I 20 was concerned, so -- that the grants are being given 21 based on how well the person writes a grant as 22 opposed to the actual quality of the program or the 23 concrete objective data that can be presented to show 24 the money was awarded to improve quality. The 25 continuous quality -- I don't see any data, objective

1 data that shows me that all of these in fact did improve the quality of their programs. I don't see 2 all of them that have done anything with regard to 3 pre-testing on dyslexia. I don't see anything that 5 tells me that you all are working with the kindergarten programs in the districts where they are that show that you're trying to align the pre-k programs with the Science of Reading that will be 9 started in kindergarten. 10 And so in the future I'd like to see some 11 objective data that says this is what we looked at 12 and this is how we decided who got the money. 13 Because this just looks like you took the number of 14 things, divided it by the amount of -- divided it 15 into the amount of dollars, and distributed it 16 accordingly. And unless pre-K in Arkansas is unique 17 in the world, then everybody did not have the growth 18 and improve the quality that in fact that \$3,000,000 19 was intended for. 20 MS. UNDERWOOD: Okay. 21 So if you can do that for me --MS. ZOOK: 22 MS. UNDERWOOD: Thank you. 23 MS. ZOOK: -- in the future. 24 And do you do any comparison to the children --25 for example, you mentioned to me just a few minutes

21

22

23

24

25

ago about the number of children in Pine Bluff that are in pre-K programs. And those -- obviously, it's not all of them. And do you do anything where you work with the kindergarten in the districts or the charters to find out how did they perform once they got there, how did they compare to the children who were not in pre-K? Because I think if we're going to ever make the case for additional funding or the need for or -- then we can't rely on a hundred-andsomething-kid survey that was done in Michigan 25 years ago that had total wraparound services, and therefore it showed that pre-K did make a difference long-term. But there's never been any research since then that shows that pre-K makes the difference in the prison pipeline, in the achievement at 4th, 5th and 6th grade.

So I think because Arkansas is a leader in so many new areas now, I think that that would be a great thing for us to show how we are going to lead with pre-K and make it the high quality that we in fact are. I mean, \$114,000,000 -- \$111,000,000 is a lot of dollars. And if we show that it's making a difference I think that that in fact is worthy and great and I'd be willing to, you know, give my input to my Representatives and Senators to say "yeah, more

1	money." But at this point, without any objective
2	data I just can't feel encouraged to say that to my
3	Representative and Senator.
4	MS. UNDERWOOD: Okay. We do have a longitudinal
5	study. I'll be glad to send that to the Board for
6	your viewing. That would be great.
7	MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
8	MS. UNDERWOOD: And as we have more components
9	I'll be glad to provide that for you.
10	MS. ZOOK: Terrific. Thanks.
11	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Any questions or
12	comments over here?
13	Okay. So the question before the Board is
14	whether to accept these enhancements for the ABC
15	program.
16	MS. NEWTON: Move approval.
17	MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Ms. Newton, second by
19	Ms. Chambers.
20	All in favor say "aye."
21	(MAJORITY CHORUS OF AYES)
22	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
23	MS. ZOOK: No.
24	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. So the vote is 7-to-1 in
25	favor.

1 And thank y'all so much for being here. Thanks 2 for all you do. PRAXIS FUNDAMENTAL SUBJECTS: CONTENT KNOWLEDGE (5511) FOR 3 ALTERNATIVE LEARNING K-12 ENDORSEMENT 4 5 CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. We now move to the 6 Praxis Fundamental Subjects: Content Knowledge for Alternative Learning K-12 Endorsement. And I see Ms. 8 Luneau. 9 MS. LUNEAU: Joan Luneau, Educator Preparation 10 here at the Department of Ed. 11 I've got two tests today for your approval. 12 want to just quickly explain the two new endorsement 13 areas that we have. These were approved in the 2018 14 licensure rules, and they are the alternative 15 learning K-12 endorsement and the online teaching K-16 12 endorsement. Both of these are exception areas, 17 which mean they will require coursework and an 18 assessment. We anticipate that this will be graduate 19 level coursework. We've been working with Higher Ed. 20 to get competencies written, so those are ready to 21 go. So we just need a test before we can move 22 forward. 23 Both of these are similar in that they prepare 24 teachers to work in teaching different environments, 25 and so that's kind of the approach we've taken with

There's not a specific test for either area. 1 them. 2 And I'm going to do these individually. 3 start with the alternative learning -- and this is Agenda Item 3 -- and we have -- we are recommending 4 5 the Fundamental Subjects test. We're already using that for AQT for this licensure area -- or for 6 7 teachers who work in an ALE, but for this licensure 8 area we'd keep that as the licensure assessment. 9 So we are asking to adopt the Praxis Fundamental 10 Subjects Content Knowledge with a cut score of 148 to 11 be used for the Arkansas Learning -- Alternative 12 Learning Educator licensure content assessment. 13 CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. You've heard the 14 proposal. 15 Dr. Moore. 16 DR. MOORE: Yes. For both this one and the next 17 one, is this -- will this be a requirement for ALE 18 teachers? 19 MS. LUNEAU: It will not be a required --20 DR. MOORE: Can districts require their teacher 21 to have this? 22 MS. LUNEAU: The district could do that, but it 23 will not be a state requirement. Uh-huh. 24 DR. MOORE: So why would a teacher -- a teacher 25 would probably go through this if their district

1	required it?
2	MS. LUNEAU: If their district but with it
3	being graduate level and they probably 15-hour
4	graduate level credits. So if they're already
5	working in that environment and they want to go up on
6	the pay-scale there's lots of reasons. But we
7	would anticipate districts recommending that their
8	teachers in those environments use this.
9	DR. MOORE: That makes sense. Thank you.
10	MS. LUNEAU: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Any additional
12	questions?
13	Ms. Newton.
14	MS. NEWTON: My question had to do with the
15	second one. Are you
16	MS. LUNEAU: I'm going to do that separately.
17	MS. NEWTON: Okay. I'll wait then.
18	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Any more questions on
19	this first proposal from the Department?
20	If not, I would entertain a motion.
21	MS. ZOOK: Move approval.
22	MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Ms. Zook, second by
24	Ms. Chambers for approval.
25	All in favor say "aye."

(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES) 1 2 CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign. All right. And now the -- on to the second 3 item, Online Testing -- Online Teaching K-12 5 endorsement. MS. LUNEAU: Okay. Online Teaching K-12 --6 7 again, there's not a specific test for this one and 8 we do have a provision in licensure rules that if a 9 test is not available for a licensure area we can use 10 a current PLT. We want to use this kind of as a 11 temporary measure because we're already working to 12 develop a performance assessment for this endorsement 13 and we've started that process. So we just need a 14 test on the books until we can get that done. 15 And so what we're asking for is recommending 16 that current PLT or other pedagogy assessment be 17 adopted immediately to be used for this endorsement 18 area. 19 CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. I know Ms. Newton has a 20 question. 21 My question was with the PLT. MS. NEWTON: 22 Would there be teachers that have already taken this? 23 And so would they be -- if they applied, could they 24 get this endorsement if they'd already taken this? 25 MS. LUNEAU: Once they get the coursework.

1	MS. NEWTON: Okay. So they have to have
2	coursework
3	MS. LUNEAU: And the test.
4	MS. NEWTON: and the PLT?
5	MS. LUNEAU: Uh-huh.
6	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Dr. Moore.
7	DR. MOORE: Yes. Just on the idea of online
8	teaching K-12 in general, is there any PD offered by
9	the Department for online teachers and/or do any of
10	the higher ed. institutions provide training in
11	teaching online, as we're seeing more districts and
12	charters move to having online teachers?
13	MS. LUNEAU: I can't answer the professional
14	development.
15	COMMISSIONER KEY: No, the Department does not
16	offer that.
17	Does Team Digital?
18	MS. STACY SMITH: (Nodding head up and down.)
19	COMMISSIONER KEY: Okay. So Team Digital does
20	and they're funded through a grant from the
21	Department.
22	DR. MOORE: And they probably work with the
23	districts that are now housing their own online
24	schools?
25	COMMISSIONER KEY: Yes. I yes. That's I

•	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	don't know that all of them go through Team Digital
2	for that, but that is something they do have access
3	to. And I know Team Digital is especially if they
4	are using, you know, Virtual Arkansas, those
5	services, then that's something that's available to
6	schools.
7	DR. MOORE: It's important, as online teaching
8	is different from face-to-face.
9	MS. LUNEAU: It's very different. We do have
10	three universities in the state that already have
11	certificate programs for online teaching and so
12	they're already approved through ADHE. So what this
13	would allow them to do is to seek approval for it to
14	be a licensure program. So there are three that are
15	probably ready to go right now.
16	DR. MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Any additional questions or
18	comments?
19	All right. Then just like the previous item, it
20	comes from the Department with a recommendation to
21	accept it.
22	MS. NEWTON: Move to approve.
23	DR. MOORE: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Motion by Ms.
25	Newton, second by Dr. Moore.

1	All in favor say "aye."
2	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
3	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
4	Great. Thank you, Ms. Luneau.
5	MS. LUNEAU: Thank you.
6	B-5: 2018 STUDENT SERVICES REPORT
7	CHAIRMAN BARTH: We're now down to item 5, which
8	is the 2018 Student Services Report. And, Ms.
9	Knowles, welcome back.
10	MS. KNOWLES: Thank you. Love being here two
11	times in one day.
12	I'm Suzanne Knowles, Guidance and School
13	Counseling. And I'm here today really just to give
14	you an opportunity to ask questions more than
15	anything, because you already have the student
16	services annual report that we provide every year
17	that's required by Arkansas Code 6-18-1007.
18	That being said, let me just say this and I'm
19	very excited to say that we have a school counselor
20	bill out right now that would take away this
21	requirement; so no longer would there be a compliance
22	report if this bill it has passed the Senate and
23	the House Education Committee today. So, you know,
24	you don't really see me cheering, but I am.
25	But moving from this type of report, which is

1 kind of compliance after-the-fact to having schools actually identify the services and supports they 2 provide students and posting that on their website so 3 that their students can see, their parents can see, 4 5 their community members can see, and we can see what services they're providing, which is a lot more 6 present and in the future kind of thinking -forward-thinking, as opposed to kind of, you know, 9 what we did before. 10 So anyway, does anybody have any questions that 11 I could answer for you or attempt to answer? 12 CHAIRMAN BARTH: So anybody to my left? 13 Ms. Newton. 14 MS. NEWTON: If a district is not meeting the 15 requirement that a counselor spends at least 75% of 16 their time in direct counseling, is there any -- are 17 there any measures instead of after the fact, after the year is over? Are there any measures to find 18 19 that out, what you're talking about, on the front-20 And then also are there any -- is there any 21 teeth to it? 22 Well, I will say that that's one MS. KNOWLES: 23 of the things that we've tried to address going 24 forward -- being a little bit more present as opposed 25 to past-tense. From this report, and historically,

when a counselor isn't meeting the 75/25 ratio then the superintendent receives a letter from our office — but we also give that information to Standards. But then — and the superintendent — we do offer to provide support to the school counselors; we offer to provide support to the district if they have questions. So we offer a lot of technical assistance and guidance and things like that. But if they don't want it, they don't. Very rarely do the counselors not want it, but I don't always have the superintendents who want us to come and work with their counselors — I mean, they don't always request that, but the counselors often do. Let me just say that.

MS. NEWTON: Okay. Are there any consequences to a school not providing the required minimum time?

MS. KNOWLES: Well, that's why Standards gets that as well, because it is in the Standards for Accreditation that they provide a comprehensive counseling program. But the 75/25 is in this act; it doesn't spell that out in Standards. So that's one of the things we've addressed going forward is really being a lot more -- we want to provide more clarity on what that 25/75 means, you know. So now, going forward, hopefully we'll have direct and indirect --

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which we've never had indirect counseling in the state, so you were either directly with the student or you were doing paperwork. I mean, there wasn't any in-between. So we've created a more -- a system that would be more aligned with the national standards so that we would have direct -- working directly with students; we would have indirect, which is sort of on the path of the student and so that could be a lot of different things. And then administrative, which is way more well-defined and is really about kind of duty and paperwork and things like that. They're really more like duties as opposed to working with students.

So I think in that sense part of the student 1991 -- but part of that going forward is to have a better plan because now, particularly when it's posted on your website -- and one of the messages we've been already sharing with counselors is, you know, you have to make sure that plan reflects what a child -- which, you know, we hope never happens but, you know, we do live in the real world -- then

services plan, which has actually been required since you actually do. Because the first time you don't do something and you say you do and something happens to you've just said something -- you know, you're saying

you're providing services that you're really not. So we've got to be really careful about that and how we show that information.

But what I really like about it is this report -- I think it's -- you know, it definitely has its But I think going forward being able to see what services a district provides and come back and say, okay, how can you -- and it will require them to be more reflective. I don't think the report has required them to be reflective, but this will require them to be a lot more reflective about what they're offering. We also have a checklist that we've been sharing the last couple of years that we're working on and revising it. So it says, you know, here are things that you really should be doing based upon legislation, but also just a comprehensive school counseling program. And it's a way for the school, the district, the counselor, whoever it is, to look at it and determine whether or not they're offering the things they should be offering. So, instead of just saying "we do it," how do you do it, what exactly are you doing, and being a lot more reflective and thoughtful about that process.

CHAIRMAN BARTH: So --

MS. NEWTON: I just know that over my career,

24

25

1

2

even as a teacher, from the very beginning to the end —— the role of the counselor in the last few years has been vital to my success as a teacher; you know, not just in the career choices, but just the everyday, you know, dealing with students and helping them through their life challenges. It just —— it's just —— it's vital that they have that time to be able to work with students every day. And it's just —— it's night—and—day of what it used to be as far as the counselor. When I first started it was mainly focused on career and helping them make those choices for after K-12, but now it's not that way. And I cannot over—emphasize the need for counselors in our schools today and for them to have the time that they need.

MS. KNOWLES: Well, and I really appreciate that. And we feel that way as well, which is one of the reasons why -- I mean, that and the recommendations of the School Safety Commission and just really kind of -- really every stakeholder in the state, I think, says a counselor should be working with the students more on career things, on mental health, safety, those things. And so, you know, we're really -- really -- I say hopeful -- but not hopeful in a sense that I don't think it can

happen, but hopeful in the sense that I really feel 1 2 like we are making some differences. 3 And we're doing some things here at the 4 Department with the Guide for Life and just supporting, you know, those skills students need for 5 success just outside of academics as well -- that 6 7 other, you know, non-cognitive stuff. A lot of that 8 will all fit well together. 9 So I think, you know, in terms of providing 10 services to kids I really like the direction we're 11 going in -- not that my opinion is, you know, the one 12 that matters, but I want to share it with you anyway 13 because I really do feel that way. 14 Any other questions? 15 CHAIRMAN BARTH: Well, I'll just follow-up on 16 this line. 17 So in terms of the standards, which is where the 18 hammer is -- right -- in terms of really pushing 19 schools to move on these issues, it really -- is the 20 ratio really the one -- is that really the one place 21 that there's an explicit standard? Is that right? 22 MS. KNOWLES: The 450 by district -- so 450 23 students per counselor by district, which doesn't 24 mean 450 by counselor; it means -- you know, it could 25 be 600 with one and 200 with another, or whatever.

24

25

But that's explicit, the fact that they have a plan and that the plan addresses the requirements that we've provided from the Department of Ed., which we have become more and more clear about, not saying that you have to do these things but really clear about what a comprehensive school counseling program has been for probably the last four -- but I would say really heavily the last two, you know. And some of that -- I mean, we do that in our office all the time and it's constant, but part of that is not just that we say we have a program. But, number one, it's good for kids; and number two, when you say "I can't do this because I'm doing something else," if you don't have data to show that you're really taking too much time doing that, and if you don't have any way to advocate for your own program -- I mean, I can't go to every superintendent and say, "Come on, let's have a talk." The counselors have to do that. really want there to be conversation. want, you know, some of that conversation before decision-making so that we're providing the best we can for our kids.

CHAIRMAN BARTH: So it's that third piece where some of that more proactive or thoughtful reflective work --

1	MS. KNOWLES: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN BARTH: is going on?
3	MS. KNOWLES: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN BARTH: I'm seeing Ms. Smith. Are you
5	wanting to hop in?
6	MS. STACY SMITH: Only because she said it, I
7	just want to take a moment to say this morning when I
8	showed you Guide for Life and I was saying names,
9	this is one of those people who's put in a lot of
10	time on that and has done a great job. So I just
11	wanted to acknowledge you to them.
12	MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Great.
14	MS. STACY SMITH: Thank you.
15	MS. KNOWLES: I thought I did something wrong
16	here.
17	MS. STACY SMITH: No, you didn't.
18	MS. KNOWLES: I must've missed something really
19	important.
20	MS. ZOOK: Spoken like a true counselor.
21	So
22	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Ms. Zook.
23	MS. ZOOK: When you all do these reports, do you
24	share them with Standards and Support so when they go
25	into there they will see this is a potential citing

or probation item?

25

1

MS. KNOWLES: Yes. And I will say that last -in this last Standards for Accreditation that was approved in May that was when the requirement first began that districts had to post their plan. schools have had plans since 1991 -- and I will be totally honest with you: what we've seen is just a wide range of what they have. Some do a phenomenal job; some I'm not proud to say anything about. what I will say is this: we -- I -- because I felt like Standards was evaluating the plans, we offer to help support that in our office. So we have a collaborative document and when there's -- so we check them as well to make sure that if -- and if there's something that we see, then we provide assistance directly to the district -- and going forward, that will continue. And actually I've been going to the monitoring meetings so that when the monitoring list comes out I can always -- I can also give feedback, if I have feedback about something that they might want to work on. So we've really I would say combined forces in a better way than I think we've ever had. So I do feel like that's a Initially, probably more work for us, but going forward I don't think so because I think we'll

1	just have better services for kids.
2	MS. ZOOK: Good.
3	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Any additional questions?
4	All right. Thank you very much.
5	And so the motion is to accept the report and
6	that comes from the Department, of course.
7	MS. McFETRIDGE: I move to accept the report.
8	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Motion by Ms.
9	McFetridge.
10	MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Second by Mr. Williamson.
12	All in favor say "aye."
13	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
14	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
15	Thank you so much.
16	MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
17	B-6: CONSIDERATION OF THE 2017-2018 ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT
18	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. We now move to the
19	2017-2018 Annual Statistical Report. And this is Mr.
20	Rogers, who just stepped out. All right. So he had
21	to go to another meeting. Let's
22	Okay. Great. Thank you. Thanks for stepping
23	in.
24	MS. MOORE: Hi. I'm Alisa Moore; I'm with the
25	

the Department.

CHAIRMAN BARTH: Welcome.

MS. MOORE: Thank you. The annual statistical report is a report that is issued every year about this time of year. It has to be issued, by law, by the 15th of February. The Commissioner signs and we present it to the Governor. And it shows mostly the financial information for the schools, co-ops, and charters within the state -- and it will be a lot of expenditures. We give lots of information in what you will see, in what I call lines 1 through 14, which is debt, the assessment, tax millage, and things such as that. And one of the most important things that schools and you as a board are interested in across the state is per-pupil expenditure. So all of those lines come down to a total net expenditure, on line 81, I think, divided by 88 on line 2, and that will give you your per-pupil expenditure for each school district.

CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Great.

Any questions? Any questions about these numbers?

DR. MOORE: No. I just think this is a lot of hard work by the Department, but it's very important to get this information out there. And I wish that

24

25

1	parents and school boards and taxpayers could
2	would access this even more often to better
3	understand how the districts are making decisions.
4	MS. MOORE: Right.
5	DR. MOORE: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Great. All right. If there
7	are no other questions, then I would entertain a
8	motion to accept this report.
9	MR. WILLIAMSON: So moved.
10	MS. DEAN: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Mr. Williamson,
12	second by Ms. Dean.
13	All in favor say "aye."
14	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
15	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
16	Thanks so much for stepping in.
17	MS. MOORE: Thank you.
18	B-7: HIGH SCHOOL COURSES AND GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS
19	CHAIRMAN BARTH: We are now down to where we
20	started the day, which is Graduation Requirements.
21	So I welcome back Ms. Smith.
22	MS. SMITH: So Stacy Smith.
23	So this morning in the work session I shared the
24	38 and the graduation requirements and the
25	alternative pathways to graduation. So if anybody

has any questions, I'll be glad to answer any.
CHAIRMAN BARTH: Any questions?
MS. SMITH: If not, then I'd ask for your
approval of the 38 and the graduation requirements.
CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay.
MS. ZOOK: Probably something we didn't ask this
morning is I know we saw this earlier in the year,
which we won't see it twice a year in the future.
But were there any adjustments from early in the year
to this one as far as what you're putting out for the
superintendents to know in preparation for the coming
year?
MS. SMITH: There's no substantive changes.
What you see on this sheet was really just some
What you see on this sheet was really just some cleaning up.
cleaning up.
cleaning up. MS. ZOOK: Then I move that we accept the report
cleaning up. MS. ZOOK: Then I move that we accept the report for graduation requirements for the 19-20 school
cleaning up. MS. ZOOK: Then I move that we accept the report for graduation requirements for the 19-20 school year.
cleaning up. MS. ZOOK: Then I move that we accept the report for graduation requirements for the 19-20 school year. MS. DEAN: Second.
cleaning up. MS. ZOOK: Then I move that we accept the report for graduation requirements for the 19-20 school year. MS. DEAN: Second. CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. A motion by Ms.
cleaning up. MS. ZOOK: Then I move that we accept the report for graduation requirements for the 19-20 school year. MS. DEAN: Second. CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. A motion by Ms. Zook, a second by Ms. Dean.
cleaning up. MS. ZOOK: Then I move that we accept the report for graduation requirements for the 19-20 school year. MS. DEAN: Second. CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. A motion by Ms. Zook, a second by Ms. Dean. All those in favor say "aye."

1	B-8:	CONSIDERATION FOR ENDORSEMENT OF ENGAGEMENT FRAMEWORK
2		CHAIRMAN BARTH: And now we're down to the
3		endorsement for this is the framework has
4		arrived. And so welcome, Ms. Wright, to do this fun
5		and important task.
6		MS. WRIGHT: Thank you so much.
7		Kim Wright, director for Family and Community
8		Engagement.
9		And, yes, I'm finally here today to ask the
10		Board for your endorsement of the essentials to be a
11		part of the Family and Community Engagement
12		Framework. The essentials will serve as the
13		organizational structure for the toolkit which is
14		under development right now.
15		CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. All right. We've talked
16		about this in the past. Are there additional
17		questions or comments?
18		MS. NEWTON: I just
19		CHAIRMAN BARTH: Ms. Newton.
20		MS. NEWTON: want to say congratulations. I
21		know it was a lot of hard work.
22		And just a quick question any idea on how
23		long before the toolkit will be up and running?
24		MS. WRIGHT: It's going to be completed soon.
25		Yes, soon.

1	MS. NEWTON: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. "Soon," is that good
3	enough?
4	MS. NEWTON: I guess so.
5	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Ms Dean.
6	MS. DEAN: I move that the State Board of
7	Education endorse the Engagement Framework.
8	MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Motion by Ms. Dean,
10	second by Ms. Chambers.
11	All in favor say "aye."
12	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
13	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
14	Congratulations to everybody that's been
15	involved in this
16	MS. WRIGHT: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BARTH: and including Ms. Dean. And
18	also we look forward to the continued work, to pick
19	up on Ms. Newton's thread, and even after that this
20	work will never end as we continue to create
21	successful schools in this state.
22	So thank you.
23	MS. WRIGHT: Yes. Thank you.
24	And I would be remiss if I didn't take just a
25	minute I didn't win a Grammy or anything, but it

kind of feels like it. This is a major milestone for 1 So we'd like to take just a minute to say a 2 3 couple of thank-yous. 4 CHAIRMAN BARTH: That's great. You know, first of all, a thank-you 5 MS. WRIGHT: 6 to the Board and especially Commissioner Key and 7 former chair Ms. Reith that got this started. 8 to thank the taskforce, and a special thank-you to 9 Ms. Dean and Dr. Hill who are the chair and co-chair 10 of that; the coalition who just, you know -- I mean, 11 they're the ones that sat side-by-side with us to do 12 this; Ms. Gina Dickey; Arelia Montemayor (ps); 13 stakeholders from across this state. If it wasn't for their participation and them providing feedback 14 15 throughout the entire process we wouldn't be where we 16 are today and have the document that we're so 17 confident that we have. And last but not least, Ms. 18 Coffman and my colleagues that I've been working with 19 on this whole project and without their support none 20 of this would happen. 21 So I just want to say a great big Valentine's 22 thank-you to everyone. 23 CHAIRMAN BARTH: Thank you as well, to add you 24 to the list. 25 All right. Good deal.

CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER FOR STANDARDS FOR ACCREDITATION -1 2 SCRANTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS CHAIRMAN BARTH: We are now down to the -- a 3 Consideration of Waiver for Standards for 4 Accreditation from the Scranton School District. 5 And 6 so, Mr. Barnes, are you going to get us going? MR. BARNES: Good afternoon, Board. CHAIRMAN BARTH: Good afternoon. 9 MR. BARNES: My name is Tim Barnes. I work as a 10 team member that Ms. Coffman talked about in our 11 Standards and Systems Support Unit. 12 CHAIRMAN BARTH: Could you raise your microphone 13 just a nudge? 14 Thank you. 15 MR. BARNES: I've been there a long time; I'll 16 just put it that way. But we're here this afternoon 17 for the Scranton Public Schools. They are requesting 18 a one-year waiver for the Standards of Accreditation 19 in terms of Class Size for grade 6. That's our 20 Standards for Accreditation, which is 1-A-6, which 21 states, "Each public school shall employ -- shall 22 comply with the laws of the state of Arkansas and 23 rules of the Department regarding Class Size and 24 Teaching Load." And in your packet as well you have 25 a letter from the superintendent, Mr. Cook,

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

explaining the situation at the grade level; I believe it's at grade 6. With our new ESSA base system that flagged in December. And I've worked with the elementary principal there and with the superintendent within our compliance issues that we have to see maybe if that wasn't corrected over the holiday break, because we do live in a very mobile society. But it didn't. So my assistance to him was that he needed to go ahead and request this waiver, if the student is probably going to be there for the entire year.

We also talked about various other ways to become compliant -- but when we're talking about a school district that's around the 440 to 450 range, facilities was an issue. Also, you know, you can't meet standards by using federal funds or state categorical funds. So this would be something that would've been a hit on their operating budget as well.

So they're coming before you today requesting this one-year waiver. And I think when we did the standards and revised them, putting that provision in, this is one of those exceptions that I think meets that requirement. They know and understand this is a one-year thing. We've already looked at

their numbers and projections for next year as far as their scheduling is concerned, and it doesn't seem apparent unless they have an increase of enrollment -- and if you've ever been to Scranton and that part of the state, it's -- Arkansas River Valley -- it's beautiful but usually their enrollment has been consistent, at least over the last 13 years that I've worked with them.

So I told the superintendent I'd be ready to kind of support for this waiver because I think this is something that, when we looked at it seriously and changed it, that that's what it is. So we're coming before you to ask the Board to grant this one-year waiver for the Scranton School District for that 6th grade for the one student that they're over.

CHAIRMAN BARTH: Great. Are there any questions?

Ms. Zook.

MS. ZOOK: I think what I wonder is of those students -- and you may not know this. I'm sorry; I didn't know the superintendent wouldn't be here. But often there are students who go out to resource room or there are students who go out to gifted and talented or speech therapy or whatever. So I wondered, do you have any idea about what percent of

the day there's actually 29 students and one teacher in one room?

MR. BARNES: That's a great question, Ms. Zook.

And I'm hoping probably Ms. Coffman too -- has she

left?

MS. COFFMAN: I would never leave you.

MR. BARNES: No. If you haven't had an opportunity to walk through our new ESSA-base system, our accreditation system, that you'll have that opportunity. It still has a few little glitches in it, but not major ones. We're actually able -because, as Ms. Coffman said previously, you know, we monitor every day. This is live data. So when we pull up a master schedule and we look at total enrollment counts and we look at teacher counts we know exactly the subject that they're in for that My best guesstimation -- and it's been a couple of weeks since I've looked at it -- probably these are going to be core area classes, and their art, music, and P.E. as well. So it wouldn't necessarily be something that a special ed. student or gifted and talented -- although those course codes would be there and those students would be assigned. But we can really pinpoint with I'd say probably about 99% accuracy where those students are.

look at those trends so we can help provide the technical assistance for their schedule for next year.

So this is nothing that really -- if you look at a larger school district probably, they would have the facilities and the finances to probably be able to do something with those extra students if it were like five or six or ten students. But we're just talking about one student. And I could probably quarantee you and the State Board that that class has probably been more student-focused than ever because Mr. Rhinehart, who's the principal there -- we've had many conversations, and they wanted to be proactive and not wait like in the past. Some of the members that have been here awhile know that this would probably pop up in May, or April at the earliest. They didn't want to, and with this new system we don't have to do that. You can be proactive in your district and take care of these issues as soon as you're made aware of them.

MS. ZOOK: Scranton is one of the districts that my husband periodically talks about, "If we can do it in Scranton, surely to goodness we can do it everywhere."

MR. BARNES: It is --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	MS. ZOOK: So not to take anything away from
2	Scranton, but
3	MR. BARNES: I can tell the State Board
4	MS. ZOOK: I know y'all have done a lot of
5	great things there.
6	MR. BARNES: in the 13 years that I've been
7	there and worked with this district, this district,
8	even with our old system, were impeccable. They were
9	one of the few districts in the state of Arkansas
10	when we ran an initial accreditation report they were
11	completely clean; they had everything in line and
12	they were in compliance with everything that the
13	state of Arkansas required of them. So that makes it
14	a little bit easier to stand in front of you and say
15	they have taken care of this. They've been
16	proactive. They understand what this means for this
17	year, but they also understand what it means for last
18	year. And we've got the support in place to even
19	help them if they see something trending for next
20	year as far as their enrollment is concerned.
21	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Thank you.
22	Ms. Coffman, do you have anything to add?
23	MS. COFFMAN: I'm good. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. All right.
25	MS. McFETRIDGE: I have a

Yeah, Ms. McFetridge. 1 CHAIRMAN BARTH: MS. McFETRIDGE: -- real quick question. 2 said that you would rectify this before the 2019-2020 3 school year. So I'm just curious why you would need a waiver for a year if it's going to be rectified? 5 6 MR. BARNES: This waiver is for this year. MS. McFETRIDGE: Just this year? 8 The 18-19 school year. MR. BARNES: 9 CHAIRMAN BARTH: So it's really like one 10 semester, whatever? 11 It's basically for this MR. BARNES: Yeah. 12 semester. Once you're notified and once that system 13 kicks it out and you're out of compliance with a 14 class size issue, you have 30 days. We give them 30 15 days to correct it. 16 Now we've been working with this new system, so 17 we wanted to make sure if there's going to be an 18 error I guess we want an error on our behalf -- give 19 themselves more of a benefit of the doubt, to make 20 sure that within the 30 days that a student didn't 21 transfer out of Scranton, then they would be back in 22 compliance. But once it went through the holidays, 23 in January, when they came back to school, it was 24 like, "No, we want to deal with this right now. 25 don't want to wait till April or May and -- with the

1	possibility of this still being an issue." Because
2	it might be a little bit more difficult of a decision
3	to make if you know a school district has been out of
4	compliance six months out of nine, rather than
5	basically one-and-a-half months out of the year.
6	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Ms. Newton.
7	MS. NEWTON: I just had a quick question. Are
8	there any other grades that are getting close to the
9	upper limit?
10	MR. BARNES: There are some grade levels in
11	their upcoming their 4th and 5th grade classes,
12	but they're in the low 20s to mid 20s.
13	MS. NEWTON: Okay.
14	MR. BARNES: So that's why we will be probably
15	working with them or like I said, Mr. Rhinehart
16	will probably give me a call in June and look at
17	those numbers to make sure. We use a semi-
18	departmentalized approach in their upper grades, so
19	it's basically the way they the way they have it
20	scheduled is 5th and 6th grade they do the team
21	teaching. Some of you are aware of that. And that's
22	just how it fell, and it's such a good school.
23	MS. NEWTON: Yeah. That's a good
24	MR. BARNES: They stayed
25	MS. NEWTON: That's a good thing.

1 They stayed --MR. BARNES: 2 MS. NEWTON: Yes. MS. ZOOK: So, Ms. Coffman, is this something 3 4 where -- like I know if we go over the 150 the 5 teacher has to agree to do it. Is this the same kind 6 of thing where the teacher has to agree? 7 Thank you. 8 I would assume that a good leader MS. COFFMAN: 9 has talked to their teacher. 10 But I wanted to come back to Ms. Newton's 11 In the past, in our accreditation process 12 we looked at Cycle 2 data and then things had to be 13 cleaned up by the end of the school year. And what 14 we realized is that, as Tim was saying, that schools 15 could be out of compliance all yearlong if they 16 weren't out of compliance on Cycle 2 data. 17 system looks at it daily and so we can have 18 conversations with schools. 19 The other thing that happened previously is they 20 would come to -- the school districts would come to 21 the State Board in June, when we are ready to make 22 our final presentation, if you recall, and they would 23 say, "Oops, we're out of compliance. Can we have a 24 waiver retroactive for this school year?" And what 25 our new Standards for Accreditation say is if we're

1	really being data-driven we will make our corrections
2	as appropriate to serve kids or if we can't make
3	that correction, or in this case if it's not in the
4	best interest of kids to make it right now, then
5	that's where the waiver is really appropriate. Come
6	ask for it right now; declare that "we are over the
7	number but it would be more disruptive to children to
8	split them in February."
9	And so we're encouraging them to make really
10	strong data-driven decisions, and so that's a winner
11	every time.
12	MS. NEWTON: Good.
13	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Any additional questions
14	over here?
15	All right. Then I'll accept a motion on this
16	waiver request from the Scranton School District.
17	MS. NEWTON: Move to approve.
18	MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Ms. Newton, second by
20	Ms. Chambers.
21	All in favor say "aye."
22	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
23	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
24	Thank y'all so much for walking us through that.
25	MR. BARNES: Thank you. Thank you so much.

1	And, hey, thank you guys for your service as well.
2	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Thank you. Thank you.
3	B-10: CONSIDERATION FOR RELEASE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT: ADE
4	SPECIAL EDUCATION AND RELATED SERVICES, SEC. 24.00 SPECIAL
5	EDUCATION CATASTROPHIC OCCURRENCES
6	CHAIRMAN BARTH: We have a couple of rules to
7	take care of next. One is for public comment and
8	then one is for final approval. And so first up is
9	Ms. Salas-Ford on this is the public comment for
10	the Special Ed. and Related Services rule.
11	MS. SALAS-FORD: Actually, this is the
12	Catastrophic Occurrences one but yes, Special
13	Education Related Services, Section 24.00.
14	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Yeah, Section 24.00
15	MS. SALAS-FORD: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN BARTH: of the Special Education and
17	Related Services.
18	MS. SALAS-FORD: Yes. So, good afternoon.
19	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Yes. I apologize.
20	MS. SALAS-FORD: Courtney Salas-Ford for the
21	Department.
22	So I'm going to give a little bit of background,
23	more so than I usually would on rules. But so for
24	those that aren't familiar, the State has an
25	appropriation of funds that they designate special

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

education catastrophic occurrence funding that they make available to school districts for reimbursement for costs of students with disabilities that rise above a \$15,000 cost threshold. In the past we have looked at different ways of allocating this money and making it a more efficient system of reimbursement to those school districts.

So the Department actually formed a committee to look at this, got a lot of stakeholder involvement and feedback, and came up with a proposal to allocate the money differently than we were doing before. so this proposal is not as a result of legislation, like normal, but is from a Department review of rules for a more efficient way to allocate the funding.

And so, in a nutshell, we are proposing that the first \$15,000 of costs that districts would have previously been able to be reimbursed for would not be reimbursable, but any amount above that they would get a greater percentage. Because in the past that percentage was low and then on top of that, because of the number of claims submitted, they would even get a lesser percentage than that on a pro rata basis.

And so, again, I will be happy to answer any specific questions. And Matt Sewell, director of

1 Special Ed., is available as well. COMMISSIONER KEY: Let me add to that --2 CHAIRMAN BARTH: 3 Sure. COMMISSIONER KEY: If I may add to that as well, 4 5 it goes to something you mentioned on another topic a few minutes ago, Ms. Zook, is we have districts that -- because some districts have staff who are better able to work through the process, fill out the 8 9 paperwork and that sort of thing. So really this is 10 an equity issue, in our view, that it creates a 11 better model of equitable distribution of these 12 funds. Because there -- you know, it's very top-13 heavy under the current distribution now just because 14 of the larger districts have staffing that's better 15 able to maneuver through the system. 16 So we believe that this will obviously -- let's 17 just be honest -- there's going to be some winners 18 and losers as with any type of situation; so I'm not 19 going to gloss over that. But as far as serving more 20 students that need it, we believe that this would be 21 a better way to meet those costs around -- all over 22 the state. 23 MS. ZOOK: And not winners and losers of kids. 24 COMMISSIONER KEY: Correct. Yeah. I mean, it's 25 not that the -- you know, I don't want anyone to

think that there will be students that go un-served because of this. That's not what we're saying.

Those students will still be served. It's just a matter of the distribution of this particular limited pot of funds being distributed more equitably across the state.

MS. SALAS-FORD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Ms. Chambers.

MS. CHAMBERS: Just a quick question. This almost reads like an insurance policy with a deductible and policy layers. Will these districts be able to -- I was trying to think -- is anybody going to be in a huge disadvantage in meeting their deductible, the \$15,000? Is there a backstop for that?

MS. SALAS-FORD: I'm not sure that this exactly will answer your question. But, you know, these districts still have available to them their federal special education funding, they still are eligible to claim Medicaid for a lot of these expenses, and that is all backed out of the \$15,000 when they submit. And so the only amount that they're really eligible for reimbursement is on any state and local funding that they have expended on this child. And so, as Commissioner said, the obligation to provide services

doesn't change; it's just the way and the possible 1 amount in which they might get reimbursed is 2 3 adjusting to be more equitable. CHAIRMAN BARTH: Ms. McFetridge. 4 I'd like to -- I know the 5 MS. McFETRIDGE: reporting is extremely difficult for some districts, 6 and you've said that. How can we make it easier? Because I've heard there's double-reporting on some 9 of this, that they have to do double-reporting. 10 that correct? 11 Yeah, I'll let Stacy answer MS. SALAS-FORD: 12 that. 13 COMMISSIONER KEY: Matt is coming too. 14 MS. SMITH: Stacy Smith. 15 So right now they're having to give every detail 16 report that they have up to that \$15,000. And so we 17 want to get to the point where we're really looking 18 at the students who are most in need and those items 19 that are high-cost items that are costing those 20 districts up to \$65,000, \$100,000 items -- full-time 21 nursing care, equipment, big-ticket items. Right now 22 we're doing a lot of paperwork on little-bitty pieces 23 trying to account for that \$15,000, and the paperwork 24 is tedious. And so that's something that our Special 25 Education Unit -- as we are revising these rules,

1	we're going to try to move this shift towards those
2	big high-cost items.
3	MS. McFETRIDGE: How can we get more funding for
4	these children in this catastrophic situation?
5	Because when you look at the reports it's just really
6	not being funded where it needs to be.
7	MS. SMITH: So currently there's over \$30
8	million that is funded for catastrophic and that's
9	when the ask for more funding is really what drove
10	us to start looking at how the funds were being
11	dispersed.
12	COMMISSIONER KEY: Hey, let's back up a little
13	bit. I think that's not the funded amount; it's
14	funded at Thirteen.
15	MS. SMITH: Thirteen.
16	COMMISSIONER KEY: Yeah.
17	MS. SMITH: Sorry, \$13 million. Sorry.
18	COMMISSIONER KEY: Okay.
19	MS. SMITH: It's funded at \$13 million
20	COMMISSIONER KEY: Thank you.
21	MS. SMITH: and we're asking for \$30 million
22	at this point
23	MS. McFETRIDGE: Right.
24	MS. SMITH: in the way we currently fund.
25	Okay. When we change our rules okay we would

25

have -- the \$13 million would actually cover the If we used the same formula, the same students, the same reimbursements we would have had enough funds to cover it. Right now the way that the system and the funding piece is set up we're reimbursing that first \$15,000 no matter what. Okay. So districts are already receiving some funding for that \$15,000. But once you hit that \$15,000 threshold you're being reimbursed for that entire amount. So we're doing a percentage amount then where we're not necessarily paying the most high needed -- high-cost items. So this -- these rule changes would actually make that first \$15,000 the district's responsibility, and then it would be 100% of the cost from that \$15,000 mark all the way up to \$65,000. So now you're really talking about students who have high-cost needs in a district and districts getting reimbursed for those needs.

MS. McFETRIDGE: So if the districts -- they're not able to report now because it's difficult, are they going to be able to report when that figure goes up above the \$15,000? Am I making sense?

MS. SMITH: No, you are making sense. And we're aware of that. So that is the process that we're going to have to change on making that more

1 streamlined so that the paperwork and the process is 2 not as difficult as it is now. But right now what's 3 happening is we're chasing every little ticket item 4 because districts are just trying to get above the 5 \$15,000 so they can get reimbursed. MS. McFETRIDGE: 6 Sure. 7 MS. SMITH: It's like -- so if you've got the 8 district personnel to be able to hire someone to do 9 paperwork, and you've spent \$13,000, \$14,000, it's in 10 my best interest to spend \$15,000 on you because I'm 11 going to get a reimbursement. 12 MS. McFETRIDGE: Some of these districts are 13 losing quite a bit of money. So is there any way that you can do it different, kind of slow that 14 15 process down so they don't feel that loss of revenue 16 immediately? 17 MS. SMITH: So we looked at carryover fund 18 amounts for lots of those districts as far as their 19 federal carryover, their state carryover. A lot of 20 those districts have federal fund carryover. So they have funding. They are losing an amount in the 21 22 catastrophic for state funding, but they still have 23 federal special education funding. 24 MS. NEWTON: And what --25 MS. SALAS-FORD: Well, I'm just going to add --

1 when the committee met, you know, the committee 2 looked at different funding models from different 3 states, as well as how it would affect districts within our own state. And the consensus of the 5 committee was that this was the most equitable distribution. And so, as the Commissioner said, you 6 know, there are going to be some that are affected more negatively and some more positively. But the 9 committee as a whole felt that this was the most 10 equitable way to do it and still have kids getting 11 the services that they need. 12 CHAIRMAN BARTH: I don't need names, but who 13 were the -- who are the stakeholders, the types of 14 stakeholders who were involved in this work? 15 MS. SALAS-FORD: I could get a list. I don't 16 have that -- oh, do you have it? Okay. 17 MR. SEWELL: Yeah. I don't -- Matt Sewell, 18 Arkansas Department of Education, Special Ed. Unit. 19 I don't have a list of the names of the people 20 who did participate in the meetings, but it was a mix 21 of superintendents, LEA supervisors, and school 22. teachers who participated in the stakeholder 23 meetings. 24 CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Thank you. 25 Ms. Chambers.

1 MS. CHAMBERS: There is a little bit of a 2 correlation as you go from a first layer coverage 3 healthcare plan to a catastrophic plan, and there are pros and cons in terms of how they both work. 5 the questions that you're getting from Ms. McFetridge 6 -- I do think and believe you probably are very 7 prepared for the communication that goes with how to 8 do this, because you participate differently, you 9 think differently; certainly the way you go through 10 the paperwork is different, and it's quite a shift. 11 And just anything you can do to make that easy so 12 they're still taking care of their students would be 13 appreciated. 14 CHAIRMAN BARTH: So obviously if y'all vote 15 today this will go out for public comment. 16 MS. SALAS-FORD: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN BARTH: And I anticipate there will 18 probably be fairly extensive public comment on this 19 issue for some of the reasons that were raised today. 20 And I would also encourage my fellow board members to 21 participate in that public comment. Y'all certainly 22 have a voice in that. 23 So the ask today is to send this out for public 24 comment. 25 MS. NEWTON: Move to release for public comment.

1	MS. DEAN: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Ms. Newton, second by
3	Ms. Dean.
4	All in favor say "aye."
5	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
6	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
7	Thank you.
8	B-11: CONSIDERATION FOR FINAL APPROVAL: ADE SPECIAL EDUCATION
9	AND RELATED SERVICES RULES, SECTIONS 2.00 (DEFINITIONS) AND
10	5.00 (FREE APPROPRIATE PUBLIC EDUCATION)
11	CHAIRMAN BARTH: And are you going to take the
12	next one?
13	MS. SALAS-FORD: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay.
15	MS. SALAS-FORD: I'm going to fill in for Ms.
16	Hyatt who is with the Charter Panel meeting.
17	So we're asking for final approval of Sections
18	2.00 and 5.00 of the Special Education and Related
19	Services rules. The Board actually gave final
20	approval to these exact rules in January; however,
21	before we sent it to the legislative committee for
22	review we noticed that there was an error in one of
23	the definitions for Child with a Disability and that
24	it still referred used the term "mental
25	retardation," which should have been changed to

1	"intellectual disability," in accordance with federal
2	and state law. So we made that correction. It's not
3	necessary to take it out for back out for public
4	comment; however, we do need you all to rescind your
5	vote from January and then re-vote for final approval
6	on these rules.
7	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Are we good?
8	I'd entertain a motion to rescind our January
9	vote.
10	MR. WILLIAMSON: So moved.
11	MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Mr. Williamson,
13	second by Ms. Chambers.
14	All in favor say "aye."
15	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
16	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
17	Okay.
18	MS. SALAS-FORD: And now we would ask for final
19	approval of these rules as amended.
20	MR. WILLIAMSON: Move final approval.
21	MS. DEAN: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Motion by Mr.
23	Williamson, second by Ms. Dean.
24	All in favor say "aye."
25	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)

1	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
2	Thank you very much.
3	MS. SALAS-FORD: Thank you very much.
4	CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Thanks for catching
5	that.
6	B-12: APPOINTMENT OF LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT COMMUNITY
7	ADVISORY BOARD MEMBER
8	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Next up is Ms. Freno.
9	We have a proposal for membership on the Little Rock
10	Community Advisory Board.
11	MS. FRENO: Yes. Thank you, Dr. Barth. Lori
12	Freno, Department of Education.
13	There's a vacancy there's a vacant position
14	on the Community Advisory Board for the Little Rock
15	School District. There is an applicant; her name is
16	Jocelyn Craig. She has applied, she's well-
17	qualified, and ADE requests that the State Board
18	approve her for approve her to sit on the
19	Community Advisory Board.
20	CHAIRMAN BARTH: And the Commissioner has a
21	comment as well.
22	COMMISSIONER KEY: Yes. Just to add to that
23	you know, this position came open I believe in
24	November; so it's been awhile. We were having
25	trouble getting applicants for this one. Some who

had applied actually did not live in that particular zone. Ms. Craig does live in the zone and she is active in the PTA at Fulbright. She has two children at Fulbright, she has a child at Pinnacle View, and by all accounts she'd be a very -- a good member for the Community Advisory Board. And Mr. Poore has met with her and so she's kind of started to get accustomed or acquainted with what the duties and everything would be. So we'd appreciate your approval of this.

MS. ZOOK: I have a couple of questions.

CHAIRMAN BARTH: All right. Ms. Zook.

MS. ZOOK: I think -- I guess I wondered how much training she will get. Because she said she did not feel qualified to hear student discipline and personnel cases, and that is two of the primary responsibilities of the CAB. And I'm also concerned because she only had one reference.

COMMISSIONER KEY: In the -- and I called another reference, so I found out more information from one of her coworkers, someone that I knew from the PTA; and so that was -- I filled in the gap there. And, yeah, training is always an issue. And so Mr. Poore and Dr. Hernandez will be asking -- make sure she gets trained so that they do have -- that

1	she is prepared for those types of hearings that the
2	CAB takes care of.
3	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Any additional questions?
4	I'd entertain a motion to approve.
5	MS. McFETRIDGE: So moved.
6	MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Motion by Ms. McFetridge,
8	second by Ms. Chambers.
9	All in favor say "aye."
10	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
11	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Opposed, same sign.
12	Okay. Unanimous on that. Thanks.
13	B-13: REPORT ON LRSD SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM
14	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Now we return to a couple of
15	items that come from our special meeting in December,
16	and the first is Little Rock School District Special
17	Education Program monitoring.
18	MS. SMITH: All right. Good afternoon again.
19	First, I want to introduce Matt Sewell; you guys
20	know him as our Special Education Unit director. And
21	then Yvonne Greene
22	Yvonne, come on up.
23	Yvonne Greene is over monitoring for the State.
24	This was a huge undertaking to go in and do a
25	monitoring visit in the Little Rock School District

2

3

4

5

O

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in a very short turnaround time, and we took the job very seriously and made sure that we did an in-depth monitoring visit. The monitoring reports are still in the works. The ability for the district to be able to respond to the reports and have 90 days to correct, that's still -- those timelines are still in place. Okay.

But an overview kind of what was -- what occurred with the special education monitoring report -- first off, when I say we did an in-depth study, we went further than we were required to and we did that on the fact that we wanted to make sure that we really did an in-depth report. Okay. We increased the number of folders; we increased the number of classroom visits that we did. There was over 130 folders reviewed. And the way that those folders were chosen was three folders from the A, D and F schools and one folder from the B and C schools. had 177 classroom walk-thru observations that were done in 10-minute segments; and so 177 different classrooms that we went in and made observations and made anecdotal notes on what was happening in the I also conducted over 400 -classroom at that time. close to 450 teacher surveys of the special education teachers and of classroom teachers at the school. So

I feel like as far as standard monitoring protocol the Department and this group over here and the entire Special Education Unit went above and beyond in doing what was asked.

I also want to at this time recognize the Little Rock School District's special education staff and administration. They were very cooperative in providing the extra folders we were requesting, providing us a space; very accommodating when we needed something else -- access to the buildings. Buildings and teachers were all open to us as we were going in, and accommodating and allowing us to see everything that we needed to see and providing all the folders that were requested. So we very much appreciate them. Had they not been, we would not have been able to do the monitoring that we did in the short amount of time that we did.

So from those results, there is a very comprehensive detailed monitoring checklist that has over 100 specific items that when you're reviewing folders that you're looking at those specific items. All right. And so for a report you want to find no errors, okay, in a folder; that's what the expectation is from the federal government and special education that everything is perfect. All

25

So when we looked at this, of the 100 items we found 24 areas that were -- had 20% or more of the folders with something that wasn't correct. So we tried to classify and group what some of those areas of concerns were so that the Little Rock School District could begin addressing those quickly. really hit into kind of five areas. And the Little Rock School District will get a very, very detailed report. At this point we've had a verbal meeting to kind of discuss some of the points and they received the same summary that you have, but they have not received their comprehensive detailed thing of every Okay. So they haven't received that yet and folder. they haven't had the opportunity to say "let me tell you how we're going to fix this" or "let me tell you why that was that way." Okay. So they've not had the opportunity to do that yet. But on some of their pieces that we had noticed on the three-year re-eval on IEP folders -- they had switched this year to a different software for writing their IEPs and so several of the folders had reoccurring things that you saw over and over again. And a lot of times it was how an IEP statement was written or a goal was written that was not in the manner -- the format that caused it as an error. Okay. That's something that

is in software; they can work with their vendor. And those are some things that are going to be easy fixes and they're going to have 90 days to be able to correct those things. Okay. They've already started that process just on our communication and talking to them. All right.

Other things that were kind of on the list to note were things that they can do within professional development support of teachers. Ms. Steele already has a day planned where she's already addressing some of the issues that we had discussed verbally in their findings, and areas that they themselves knew about.

Transition planning for students was another area that kind of kept popping up -- and, again, some of that was specific to how the goal was written and how the student response was reported within the actual IEP folder. Okay. So, again, those are folder processing pieces there. Okay.

Graduate dismissal was an area that we were also looking at and the manifestation destination -- make sure I said that correctly -- review -- MDR -- how's that.

So those were kind of some high areas that we were looking at. The special Education Unit from the Department within the next two weeks expects to have

the entire comprehensive report to Little Rock. And once that report is given to the Little Rock School District that's when their timeline of 90 days will begin to begin addressing those concerns.

Okay. So that's kind of where we are right now as far as where we're at in our steps.

Now the second phase that I want to mention to you -- when we were asked to go in and do this report or do this monitoring we were asked to do things in addition to what is normal standards monitoring. And so in -- to be -- in fairness, I guess -- I don't know if "fairness" is the correct word -- we wanted to make sure that we followed the same protocol for monitoring that we followed for every district in the state, except we did go further with more folders and more observations. Okay.

And then on the second phase we were asked to look at other things -- interviews, what you -- be more in-depth in classroom observations, being able to talk to students. What we've done is we're actually -- we hired a consultant to assist us who's going to work out of Mike Hernandez's office. She's a former LEA supervisor. She's already met with Cassandra Steele. We want this to just be another set of eyes that's not beholden to the Department or

25

to Little Rock School District and that this is seen as a support; that this person actually gets to go into the school for the day, go sit in classrooms, visit with kids, look at folders, interview the principals -- really, really get in and talk to teachers and just make some third-party observations on things that maybe need to happen to help tighten some things up. Ms. Steele and Mr. Poore were very accepting of this, have already met with our consultant, and that person is already in buildings getting that going. We'll be receiving reports and recommendations from that consultant. So, we also have that piece going on as well, okay, and that is just now starting. So I'm hoping by the time we have our complete comprehensive special education report we'll also have some of those more in-depth consultant pieces going on as a support. And this was an opportunity for our consultant to sit down with Ms. Steele and say, "What do you see?" "What are some things that you want me to go in and look for?" You know, "What are areas that you think you might need support with or your schools are struggling with?" And so we get to go in and kind of really get in-depth in some of those pieces and provide more support than we've ever done before.

1	Okay. So do you have any specific questions?
2	This is still in the work has been done as far as
3	going in, but there is still a lot of work as far as
4	processing and determining next steps.
5	CHAIRMAN BARTH: I'll look to my left to see if
6	there are any questions about the report?
7	Dr. Moore.
8	DR. MOORE: I'm not an expert in this field like
9	Ms. Zook is and many of you all. But reading over
10	this last night was very disappointing. And so I
11	think, if possible, I'd like to hear from the
12	District.
13	MS. SMITH: On the special ed. report or the
14	dyslexia report?
15	DR. MOORE: Both.
16	MS. SMITH: Both.
17	CHAIRMAN BARTH: Okay. Why don't we
18	DR. MOORE: Or do you want to wait and do the
19	dyslexia
20	CHAIRMAN BARTH: I think we probably do want to
21	treat them as somewhat separate
22	DR. MOORE: Okay.
23	CHAIRMAN BARTH: issues. And so I think the
24	monitorings were separate.
25	DR. MOORE: All right. We can wait.
	1

We can -- so we can go one of 1 CHAIRMAN BARTH: 2 two ways. We could go ahead and get an overview from 3 the Department on dyslexia and then ask questions on both, or are there things specific to this report 4 5 that we want to get questions -- any questions 6 answered? 7 Ms. Zook, do you have anything on this report? 8 MS. ZOOK: Yes. And I do apologize -- I do 9 apologize because since we did not get the report 10 until late last night, which I understand was y'all 11 did the very best you could -- but I had formulated 12 some questions, some of which the report did respond 13 to, as I stayed up late last night looking, and some 14 which did not. I think what I would like to know is did you -- when you looked at the students' folders, 15 16 did you look to see if the folders at Central Office 17 and the folders in the building matched? 18 MS. SMITH: I want to let Matt and Yvonne -- I 19 really think there's only --20 I'll let you guys address that. 21 MR. SEWELL: As far as we know -- and you can 22 correct me on this -- there was not two separate 23 We met at Central Office and those files were 24 brought from the schools to us at Central Office. 25 MS. ZOOK: Okay. But Central Office is not

1 maintaining a file on every child? 2 MR. SEWELL: To my knowledge, they do not. MS. ZOOK: Well, that used to be required. 3 don't know if it still is. You can check into that. 4 5 MR. SEWELL: Yes. MS. ZOOK: I think the frustration I have is 6 7 this law, in federal and Arkansas, was passed through the '78 and up to 1980. It was revised in 2004. And 8 9 we have the largest district or close to the largest 10 district in the state who is finally being monitored 11 and looked at to the point that there really is no 12 excuse why they're not in full compliance, all 100 So my question is: do you -- did you have 13 14 anyone on the monitoring or an audit team that were 15 trained psychological examiners? 16 MR. SEWELL: On our monitoring team --17 MS. ZOOK: Yes. 18 MR. SEWELL: -- we did not have anyone who is a 19 trained psychological examiner. 20 MS. ZOOK: Okay. So how did you look to see 21 when a referral was made and a decision was made that 22 it was a legitimate referral and the student needed 23 to be evaluation? How did you as non-psychological examiners determine that the correct battery of tests 24 25 was given based on the suspected handicap?