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PROCEEDINGS
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: If I can have your attention, we're calling to order the State Board of Education meeting for October 8, 2015. And we'll start by looking at the consent agenda, which includes the minutes.
(THE CONSENT AGENDA WAS NOT REPORTED)
A-1: CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION FOR ARKANSAS SCHOOL BUS SAFETY WEEK

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll move on to the Action Agenda, and A-1, Consideration of Resolution for Arkansas School Bus Safety Week.

MR. SIMMONS: Thank you, Madam Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: State your name, please.
MR. SIMMONS: Mike Simmons. I'm Senior Transportation Director for the Division of Facilities and Transportation. Under Arkansas Code 6-19-117, it makes the third week in October the Arkansas School Bus Safety Week, which coincides with the National School Bus Safety Week. And I would ask the State Board to pass a resolution stating, "Whereas, the Arkansas State Board of Education recognizes that providing Arkansas students with safe school transportation is a vital part of our education system; and whereas, in Arkansas more than

250,000 students are transported to and from school and school related events each day on more than 6,000 school buses; and whereas, Arkansas school bus drivers travel more than 240,000 route miles each school day; and whereas, the Arkansas Department of Education and Arkansas Division of Public School Academic Facilities and Transportation are committed to raising public awareness about the importance of school busy safety; and whereas, this effort is greatly dependent upon the school district partnerships with local businesses and community leaders; and whereas, school bus drivers, mechanics and transportation directors across the state are to be commended for their unwavering commitment to school bus safety; and whereas, the concerned citizens and leaders are to be commended as well for devoting their time, talent and resources to promoting school bus safety. Now, therefore, be it resolved that we the members of the Arkansas State Board of Education recognize the week of October 19th through the 23rd, 2015, as Arkansas School Bus Safety Week.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You heard the reading of the proposed resolution. What's the pleasure of the Board?

MS. ZOOK: I move that we approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Ms. Zook.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Mr. Williamson. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? The motion carries. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KEY: We have a presentation.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MR. SIMMONS: In addition -- you want to do this or --

COMMISSIONER KEY: Go ahead.
MR. SIMMONS: In addition, we'd like to recognize a couple of our local folks that have really been truly dedicated and stepped up and gone above and beyond in our public awareness campaign and as far as student safety, when it comes to school buses. First, we would like to recognize Ms. Susie Everett for her leadership, service and dedication to promoting the important of school bus safety throughout the state of Arkansas.
[APPLAUSE]
MR. SIMMONS: I've got to say Ms. Everett has truly taken on this cause and put her money where her
mouth is. They have done the commercials most of us have seen on TV for "Flashing Red, Kids Ahead" and donated the airtime during primetime TV time. So we want to thank her a lot for that.

Second is the local transportation director at the Bryant School District. He's very involved at the state level with our associations -- Mr. Tom Farmer, for his leadership, service and dedication to promoting the importance of school bus safety in Arkansas.

## [APPLAUSE]

MR. SIMMONS: And I'd really like to thank a couple of people. This wasn't on here. But Gail Morris has done an outstanding job with our public awareness campaign. She has really jumped -- her and Kevin and Kim Friedman have really worked closely with us and done a great job. So I want to thank them publicly.
[APPLAUSE]
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We also want to thank Mrs. Everett and Mr. Farmer, along with the staff of the ADE for promoting and making the communities and the citizens of Arkansas aware of the need for continued safety as it relates to school buses and the children that ride the buses. So it's up to all of us to
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share the message of the safety issues around children on school buses. So thank you all for the work that you're doing. Thank you, Mr. Simmons, for that information and proclamation.

A-2: CONSIDERATION OF THE LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT REPORT OF PROGRESS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll move on now to -- A-2 is Consideration of the Little Rock School District Report of Progress. Mr. Baker Kurrus.

SUPT. KURRUS: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I'm Baker Kurrus, Superintendent of Schools of Little Rock School District. Good morning. It's nice to be here. I'm here with the Little Rock School District team, as long as -- as well as with Mr. Greg Adams, who's co-chair of the Civic Advisory Committee. And how I'd like to proceed, if it's okay, is to first ask Mr. Burton to come up -- or first ask Mr. Adams to come up, and then after he says a few things about what the Civic Advisory Committee's doing, without further introduction have Mr . Burton come up and show you some information that I think is critical to your understanding of where we are and where we have to go. Let me introduce Mr . Burton first by saying that he's working very hard. All of our team is working very hard; they're here. As you know them, I won't
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introduce them all. He's going to show you a very sobering initial assessment of where we are. It's very, very troubling to me. We have many, many students who were substantially behind where they should be in our distressed schools. These students have a long way to go. We knew this was a challenging task; it's more daunting than we had -than I had anticipated. It doesn't necessarily change what we're doing in everything that we do every day, and it doesn't sort of distract us. But it does show the urgency and the importance of what we have to do. He'll talk to you more about those results and in detail. Then I'd like to come up, if time permits, after he finishes that discussion and sort of close with a few remarks about the things that are topical these days. There's quite a lot going on, as you well know. So, first, I'd like Mr. Adams to come up and report on the CAC, and then Mr. Burton after that would you please give your report. Mr. Adams. Thank you.

MR. ADAMS: Good morning. Greg Adams with the Civic Advisory Committee with LRSD, with my co-chair Dr. Dionne Jackson. And you all may have noticed that Dr. Jackson and I are alternating months so that one month we will -- one of us will be the main
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speaker and the other month the other. And so this is my month, so I'll give you a report of what we've been doing. Really, our big item in the past month is that we had a retreat that we'd been planning for several months and we felt like that was a very productive retreat and constructive for us, helping us to get a better feel for ourselves, about this dual mission that we have which is giving advice to the district and also engaging with the community, and also looking at the four questions that Mr . Kurrus gave us back in the summer and trying to find out how we can responsibly respond to those and take those into advisement and see what we can do to get feedback on those very important questions. And so the end-result of our retreat, we organized ourselves into four different committees. We have a facilities committee, a communication committee, a community engagement committee, and also an academic distress committee. And we took preferences from our committee members, took those into account and made sure we had a good mix of people on every committee of students, teachers, zone representatives, and other adults. And we're going to meet monthly on those subcommittees and our first meeting will be later today. So our plan is to meet on the second

Thursday of the month for our subcommittees and then have our regular meeting on the fourth Thursday of the month. And we're also going to begin meeting at schools, rather than in the district office, so that we get a better understanding of what's happening in the district and also as an effort for community engagement so that people can come to us. Those committees will begin -- again, will meet today. We'll take feedback from our retreat that will help them to organize themselves. They'll choose their own chairs or co-chairs, and then will be giving reports at every meeting that we have.

One other comment I would make about the questions that Mr . Kurrus gave us -- you know -- some of those questions had to do with facilities and the landscape has changed somewhat for facilities, even in the past week with the announcement of a possible west Little Rock middle school facility and pursuing building a southwest high school. So we are in communication with Mr. Kurrus so that we make sure that we have current information for what kind of feedback and engagement we want to have. So, obviously, we are not going in our community to consider the question about whether or not we should have a west Little Rock middle school or whether or
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not we should build a southwest high school; that's an appropriately made administrative decision. But we can give feedback and hopefully helpful information about how those can be done in a good way and what is needed in those schools and that type of information.

So we're looking forward in the future months to be able to bring back more information about what those committees are doing because we think that that's going to be very constructive for us and hopefully helpful to the district.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions? Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: I know as you start these new subgroups and for your own, when I used to work with groups on effective committee work one of the -there were three things that we emphasized: difficult but achievable goals, specific timeframe, and measurable. Do you have those kinds of things built into your current committee? And if so, would you be sure that the subcommittees also have those built in? Because then we will be able to better tell whether or not they are achieving what it is they are commissioned to do.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you. I think that's a great point. And the charge that we're going to be giving
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our subcommittees today is to set up -- is to identify their priorities and to look at those in three-, six- and nine-month timeframes and so that -and we're looking at the three-month timeframe really being in November because we're looking at the ninemonth being at the end of the school year, in May. And so we're asking them to do that, to strategically look at what they need, what they want to accomplish in each of those timeframes, and what kind of information they also need from the district, what kind of data they might need in order to responsibly look at these questions and meet their goals.

MS. ZOOK: And how many meetings at this point have your different committee members had at like PTA meetings, churches, community groups, getting the good word out of the kinds of things that we hear on the positive side when we get the reports here?

MR. ADAMS: We have not had any official meetings for our community engagement effort to this point. And that's one thing we hoped was going to happen with our community engagement and our communications committees. But we have not done anything official in that regard at this point.

MS. ZOOK: So your committee members have not been in their communities --

MR. ADAMS: Oh, they may have.
MS. ZOOK: -- telling the good news?
MR. ADAMS: They may well have, and some of us have, Dr. Jackson and I have, as individuals. But not as part of a plan, an official plan with the committee.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. I would encourage you to do that because, you know, a lot of people are too busy with life to listen to the news or read the newspaper or whatever, so they have to depend on their schools, you know, the heart of their community, to be able to know what's going on. And if someone is there telling them, you know, "this is what's good about this, this is what's happening here, here's where we're going to have a concert with the band, here's where we're starting a new club, you know, for kids, or here's our new tutoring program," I think that would be helpful to those members of our community who are choosing to use our traditional public schools. Thank you.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Thank you.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
MR. BURTON: Good morning, Madam Chairperson and
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Board Members. Marvin Burton, Deputy Superintendent of Little Rock School District. I want to say before I start my presentation that, I mean, what Mr. Kurrus stated was true; we have some major challenges, but we have some great students and that's the positive that we focus our minds on, what we're doing for the betterment of our kids. I have a PowerPoint and it was sent to you but I will start forward with the PowerPoint as far as the progress report.

One of the major things that we're doing that is different than last year -- one is that the way we're structuring and organizing, the organizational structure is, like we have stated, supporting students and teachers. And it's kind of an inverted organizational chart where the focus is simply primarily on students and supporting teachers to support students in their efforts as far as learning. And the attitude that Mr . Kurrus has brought to the district is one of servant leadership. We're here to serve our kids; we're here to serve the parents; and we're here to serve our constituents, our patrons, and certainly our teachers, and support administrators in the building. As associates, that's we do and we make sure that we help support our schools as we move forward. Another major thing
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that we've done is how we are organizing and providing assistance to our schools by way of curriculum and instruction. And also the major thing that we're focusing on, not on particular assessments but we're focusing on student growth, overall student growth, and understanding. And as I go through the presentation you'll see exactly what I'm speaking of. But those are the major areas, focus areas as far as organizational structure. And Mr. Kurrus had mentioned that a little bit earlier in one of his presentations as far as our map flowchart of success.

The next slide deals with central office support. Some things that we're doing productively in regards to assisting teachers to assist students is helping them provide professional development around how to develop pre- and post-test assessments. That's critical, and what we commonly may call common formative assessments. In doing that, our curriculum instruction team, they actually provided training after school and during the school day and so-forth to equip teachers on how to develop commonly planned assessments so that we know where the kids are on small chunks of information. And I will link that to something else $I$ will say in the very near future.

We also from the data that we're receiving is
that, especially on the secondary level, we're struggling with reading. And reading is a monumental task. We utilize more -- it's not like elementary reading, teaching kids to de-code words and phonics and so-forth. When we get into secondary level, middle school and high school, it's more about adult literacy and how we train and equip teachers with the skill-set how to teach adult literacy within their academic discipline. And I will elaborate a little more on disciplinary literacy in a moment as well.

But another central office support is conducting classroom observations with reflective feedback. That's critical not only in administrative goals in the classroom, but also provide in writing to that teacher what they observe, what to look for, and what they would like to see in the future, and how that lesson is actually connected to the curriculum map, what the students are supposedly learning, and how they are monitoring those particular pieces. Those are all very important. And the teachers want that; they need that because as they grow and change and actually address their lesson plans, they need that feedback to make those adjustments, real-time adjustments, instead of just as a major assessment is given and things of that nature.
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Another major central office support is providing technical assistance and support, and that's where we come in. As far as associates, curriculum and instruction lead teachers and soforth, we're in the schools. We're in the schools on a daily basis. We work hand-in-hand and shoulder-toshoulder with the administrators, with the teachers, with the instructional facilitators to provide that level of ongoing support directly to the teachers, so that the teachers will not have to search for particular research; they will not have to ask for a particular professional development. Once it is brought to our attention we're able to provide realtime professional development for the teachers. Because their primary focus is teaching the kids and we want to make sure that we eliminate any barriers or any distractions so that they can dedicate their time to planning and assessing and actually reteaching, what needs to be done.

Now in specific with the Priority and Focus schools, we are working hand-in-hand with Indistar, with ADE, Dr. Wilde's assistance group, in providing a training from August 11 th and 12 th on the 45 -day plan. And they also provided a template on September 16th of exactly how we will capture all the data in
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our Priority and Focus schools. And I'll elaborate on that in just a moment as well because of the various components that will be highlighted in those 45-day plans.

As a district that is required by ESEA flexibility waiver, we have formed an elementary and a secondary district leadership team to support the leadership teams at the schools. Because one of the major indicators in the ESEA flexibility is that schools have to develop leadership teams, and those leadership teams include teachers, it's includes the department chairs, it includes administrators and soforth, and they work together to kind of, as the name implies, to lead the daily discussions and the day-to-day operations for those individual schools. And that's one of the major key components as we work though the district in addressing the needs of Priority and Focus schools.

Another, all Priority and Focus schools have daily dedicated job-alike teachers to plan together. And what do we mean by "job-alike?" If it's a fifth grade, the two fifth-grade teachers will have time during the day to plan together. If it's in a secondary school, they will have a common planning time. It may be the two eighth grade math teachers,
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it may be a group of the algebra one teachers, but they are job-alike planning groups that allow them to develop common formative assessments, allow them to analyze lesson plans, allow them to delve deep into what they're seeing that they're missing instruction that their kids have been identified with. And this is critical because as we provide professional development, given this common planning time, we can push in the professional development. Instead of pulling the teachers out of the building, we can push that professional development in and use really realtime indigenous to that particular teachers. And job-alike also developing three-week units of instruction aligned to state standards, it may be two weeks, it may be three weeks, it may be four weeks; it depends on whatever the unit may be. But given that, the teachers have an opportunity to align it to state standards and common pre- and post-assignments are administered to each instructional unit. The pre-assessment, as I will also state, it gives you -the results are tailored to instruction. So when they administer -- right now -- and if I may be so bold in saying Ms. Zook witnessed this being discussed by two of the high schools, Hall and McClellan, and how they work during our Civic

Advisory meeting. What the schools do, they will administrate pre-tests, and this pre-test is on a particular unit. From that, they will gather that information to determine what are the missing gaps in the instruction and what the kids need to know. From that, they will instruct based upon what they have identified that the kids don't know. Then they will administer that same assessment as a post-assessment to see how well they're teaching and the instructional strategies have gone about. From that, then if there's still missing pieces they can go back and re-teach, differentiate, teach it again in a different manner, use a different format, involve the kids in afterschool tutoring, before-school tutoring, whatever, involve the kids in -- whatever is needed to make sure those kids are mastering what they need to know from that particular unit. And that's the importance of having pre- and post-tests.

And I've kind of gone through the other PowerPoint bullet. But also what's really important is the interim assessment in math and literacy are given at the end of each quarter and that's actually what we are finishing now on the secondary level and also in the elementary. We're administering OLTI, interim assessment, based upon units of instruction
that have been given over the course of this particular nine weeks. Our nine weeks ends -- and I have it in the timeline -- on Monday. So our students, they're taking it online. We're administering the interim assessments in all grade levels through -- actually, through the 11th grade to assess what the kids have learned up to this particular point. And that will give us good baseline data that will also be illuminated in the 45-day plan exactly where the kids are and how well they've progressed.

Data from job-alike teachers will be evaluated. Of course, the leadership team, what they primarily do, one of the major roles is to actually utilize the data. We're not data poor by any stretch of the imagination. We have data, data, data. But the key piece is what do you do with that date. Data by itself does not mean anything, unless you make progressive utilization of the data. What is the data telling you? What is it specifically that you need to do with your students? What specifically does a school need? Because even though we have six specifically identified academic distressed schools, they're all different and they all have different needs. What may be needed at Hall High School by way
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of its population may be different from what is needed at J.A. Fair. So what the data is revealing is what type of professional development that will be provided for that school. And it's really more of a inverted pyramid, as I stated earlier. The teachers, based upon assessing their students, will tell the leadership team "this is what I need; these are the types and kinds of professional development that I need; this is what I need for my students. If my students are struggling readers, we need disciplinary literacy in all the academic areas. If my students are struggling readers, I need System 44 that will help me address some of the reading deficits with my special needs students. If I need a Read 180 to address the middle-of-the-road need students who are struggling readers and writers" -- those are the kinds of decisions that are made. If I need some additional professional development in a specific area, that's actually what the data actually reveals to us and the professional development and whatever support is needed by district staff. And so the school tells the schools and the leadership team tells us and we get to work providing that real-time to them, not waiting, and eliminating any barriers. Because when they say they need it, they need it
then. They don't need it a month -- "let's sit down and let's talk about it and let's plan." Let's get it to them ASAP.

One of the major things that we also, on the second bullet, given the low reader scores on secondary level, that's why the disciplinary literacy that we're using, we utilize it more on the secondary level this year. The elementaries have used disciplinary literacy for years, and in middle school it was using social studies. Now you may ask, like, "What is disciplinary literacy?" We coined -- and SRB uses it as reading and writing across curriculum. Okay. Disciplinary literacy is a way in which we provide skills and techniques and strategies to all content areas to specifically address how to teach reaching and science, how to teach reading and mathematics, how to teach reading and social studies. We cannot rely on just the English teachers helping our kids become readers. They leave for the most part reading on grade level from our elementaries. But once we get them in secondary they struggle with the reading capacity and fluency to navigate through assessments. It's not that they can't do the work, but they struggle with the reading components in order to master and do well on the tests. And
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especially given that we're taking the ACT Aspire this year and the actual ACT, tracking and triangulating the data, it's a reading issue. And as we address reading in all content areas, we have a better chance of our kids performing well on the ACT Aspire and other subsequent assessments.

As I stated earlier, the 45-day plan -- and basically there is a format that was provided by Dr. Wilde and his team to the district during a training. And it will highlight the enrollment by grade level, students with disabilities as of October 1st, our English Language Learner students, number of students with five or more disciplines, number of students absent ten or more days, percent of core teachers absent five or more days. Those -- the last three are extremely important because if a student is not there, and given a quarter is 40 days and they're out five days or seven days or ten days, it makes a big difference in the quality of instruction that kid receives. And so that makes a difference in how well the child is prepared. And then also if you couple that with if the teacher is not there as well. So that's a major concern that we want to see where those particular pieces are and why some students may not be performing; it may be an attendance issue, it
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may be several other different things. But as we capture that data we know exactly how to target our assistance to those schools and to particular students and those teachers.

The next -- I'm sorry, I got ahead of myself. The next -- the number of students with two or more in elementary or three or more years in grade level in English Language Arts that will also be captured on the actual assessment. As far as the 45-day plan, the number of students who fail math, number of students who -- number of students with a $D$ or an $F$ in math class by quarter -- and that may sound a little bit odd, but math is a course in which it builds upon itself. If students leave elementary not really understanding fractions in early middle school, they will struggle in Algebra I. If a student struggles in Algebra I, they will struggle in balancing equations in biology and in chemistry. We have to have a foundation of understanding of where our kids are to make sure we close those gaps, so as they transition from elementary through middle to high school that we have a clear path and that they are well-equipped to navigate through high school instruction. And we also want to capture the number of students who took the unit assessments and the
number of students with D's or F's on a particular unit assessment.

Some of the data and diagnostic screeners that we use -- and like I said, we're not data poor. Universal Math Screeners, Scholastic Math Inventory, Common Formative Assessments, Emerging Literacy, A Survey K-1, a WRAP (which is writing and reading assessments), Scholastic Reading Inventory, TLI SOAR, (which is Student Online Assessment Reporting, which they're undergoing now), and also Writing Samples -all these different pieces, we utilize to know where our kids are real-time so teachers can make direct interventions, direct real-time interventions as they have the kids every day and as they see the kids every day. Because these screeners have equipped them with the knowledge to know how groups and individual students are performing and their past performance and also what their knowledge is at this particular point and how to prescriptively design their lessons that will address the needs of the students.

And our current reality -- and this is the one thing I did as a principal. Let's put it out on the table. This is where we are right now. And this is kind of what Mr . Kurrus kind of stated earlier, we
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have schools with students struggling to meet the grade level expectation. Partial data -- and this is just from a snapshot of Scholastic Reading Inventory -- our secondary schools -- and you can see the data in the last column is the most telling percent; three years below grade level. And you can see it's Cloverdale, 64\%; Henderson, which is 68\%; and you see Hall, 94\%. Henderson feeds Hall. About half of their students that will leave Henderson will attend Fair or they will attend Hall. Now you see Hall, 94\%; Fair, 57\%; and McClellan is 94\%. Cloverdale is the primary feeder for McClellan. So we have to intervene earlier and then we have to track the elementaries as well, and I'll share that. But that's just a brief little snapshot. And as I said, they're still screening these students because to administer the Scholastic Reading Inventory it takes about 35 to 40 minutes per student to do this. So that's -- you may say, "Well, it's only 54 to 58 kids," and things of that nature; they're still doing this. They're still utilizing these screeners to assess where the kids are. For the elementary they have a plethora of assessments and we just dubbed this as Literacy Assessments. So the percentage of category by emerging, literacy survey. For
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kindergartners, WRAP assessments. And as you can see for the little pre-K's, you've got letter identification, $K$ Print Concepts, the number of kids who are below basic, and the number of kids in proficient and advanced. Then you have K Beginning Sounds, 62\% are either basic or below basic and 38\% -- and you see by grade level the number of kids who are below basic or basic. And this particular data is from Baseline Elementary, if I failed to mention that. Now Baseline Elementary is one of the feeders for Cloverdale, which is one of the feeders for McClellan. But also some of the students from Baseline, as they go through Cloverdale they may attend Mabelvale as well, which will feed J.A. Fair and Hall. What I want to demonstrate is that you see the pattern as the kids now -- by the time they leave the elementaries, they have advanced; they have moved forward. But as we move them forward, we need to continue that movement at the secondary level. But you can see the basic trend.

If I can get my little pointer to work -- now the timeline, these are some things that the schools have already submitted as far as the ACSIP. It was due October 1st. And as you very well know, it has to be submitted to Indistar, and they did that in a
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particular format. First quarter ends, as I stated earlier, October 12th. The Priority and Focus schools, they have until October 20th to submit their 45-day plan, which they're in the process of working on that. And with the district, as I said, will provide a lot of the components, like the grades and things of that nature -- the district -- we will collect all that data, populate it and send it to the schools directly. Then the schools will enter it in the Indistar based upon what we send them. They don't have to go out and try to find or research all this. We provide that for them. That takes a lot of the time and effort and pressure off of them, so they have that information readily available. And the quarterly report, which we will provide that for you in November during one of the State Board meetings, it will be a comprehensive document that will have all the data from Indistar that will actually give you what the different screeners are saying. The failures of students by way of grade levels, all that information that I stated earlier by way of the ADE form, that will be in that report. So it will be very comprehensive. I would rather give you a comprehensive report than just bits and pieces, because the comprehensive will be a lot easier to
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see, to track, and what is expected to give a gauge as far as -- as we move forward.

Last slot, Processing through use of best practices. This is something that the schools are actually utilizing. And a lot of it is tracking the same with ESEA flexibility, the turnaround principles, strong leadership, effective teachers, using data basically in the right way, reaching out to the community. For example, one of our schools, McClellan -- Mr. Anderson surveyed his parents to see when would they like to have parent conferences, what time of day. I think the other day he said 79 parents emailed him back, and he had given them some different times, and they would like to have it from 11:00 to 6:00. That fits most of his parents' timeframe; not that the teachers won't be there, you know, at other times, but they would like to have them from 11:00 to 6:00. So he's modifying that. That's just one example of how we're trying to connect with the community, because we know the important piece is how we connect with parents as far as helping us do this monumental task. But our kids can do it. We just have to equip them with the skills and equip our teachers with the skill-set and push in the professional development so that they
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have what they need to move forward.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you, Mr. Burton, for your report. Are there any questions of Mr. Burton? One of the things that I didn't notice in your presentation was the involvement of parents in the remediation -- or not necessarily remediation, but more into having young people come up to grade level. I think some teachers probably would argue that they're overwhelmed now with the responsibilities they have, you know, as their day-to-day jobs, as opposed to being able to recognize and correct some of the things that have led to a student not reading well. And I think probably if we just leave it there it's not going to be as effective as it would be. You know, best practices are best practices. But not having the time to implement them or truly be able to measure is another thing. And that's the other thing I'm wondering: if you identify a group of young people through your assessments in terms of where they are and implement best practices, how do you measure progress from the point of identification to the nine-week or the semester or to the next year? So it's one thing to identify and one thing to implement best practices in the classroom, but I think it goes beyond that in that, you know, teachers
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are overwhelmed now I think with being able to do their jobs and meet standards and reflective of things they have to do and have to accomplish and have to teach, but then to come back and say, "We've got another problem." And if you're a social studies teacher, you're trying to get the material, but at the same time they're not reading teachers. So how -- does that make sense, that question?

MR. BURTON: It makes perfect sense. If I may expound upon the first part of your question, the schools have to by way of the flexibility waivers have developed what's called a School Community Council and that's inclusive of parents, and that's how they bring the awareness to parents as far as their participation in the whole process as far as educating the parents as far as what we need from them. And they provide input -- and a lot of our schools have actually conducted surveys with parents of what they need from the school to help them with their children at home by way of after-school tutoring, Saturday, ACT prep programs, things of that particular nature. The other part, in regards to disciplinary literacy, providing instruction, it is not an add-on to a teacher's workload. I was a biology teacher. I could teach science but I was not
a trained reading teacher. But what we're providing is professional development to teachers how to teach reading in that content area, how to be able to breakdown the complex words that students may have or have some fear of in science, in social studies and so-forth, and give them specific reading strategies, such as closed reading; teaching the kids how to utilize closed reading, to figure out what the author is saying, what the text is saying. So it's not an add-on or even more for a teacher per se, but it's helping those teachers. We're not asking them to teach in biology Shakespeare; we're asking them to teach about mitosis in biology and how could you equip those kids to understand it and be able to read that text and know what they're reading. That's where we're going. And we know it's going to take time. Elementary has done really well with this because they've had more time to build on it. For the secondary I project it's going to take through this year and probably next year to get our teachers equipped with the various skills, using Graphic Organizers, using Text-to-Text, Transitions, using Text to Knowledge, utilizing prior knowledge. Because where we've identified a number of our students, they walk in without the level of prior
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knowledge which helps reading, helps them become better readers. And some of these conversations I've actually had with teachers and students. And so you're absolutely right; just knowing where we are, that's not enough. We have to act upon where we are and take some of the pressure off the teachers. So, yes, most definitely. And I'm glad you stated that because the teachers -- from the teachers, when they witness disciplinary literacy in professional development, they ask for it. Like I said, the secondary teachers are not very much aware of it, but they ask for it because they said, "This will help me be able to teach my subject a lot better and easier for my kids to understand it." And we have literacy lead teachers and social studies teachers providing this -- and English teachers providing this professional development during the school day for these teachers.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And I'm not suggesting that that's not happening. But I think the deeper piece of the question has to do with mobilization of other resources. I think we were fortunate to be able to -- the school board members and maybe some others to read an article about the Marvell-Elaine School

District and how they were pulling together all
pieces of the community around strengthening schools. So that's more my question. Because I'm not suggesting that the teachers are not doing what they need to do or administration is not providing a progression of things that you need to do. But I'm saying that it goes outside of that to be -- to have complete buy-in.

MR. BURTON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I think that's a good model.

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It's not the cure-all or end-all of everything.

MR. BURTON: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: But there are some
applications from a community-based approach -MR. BURTON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- that looks at communitybased; it also looks at faith-based community; it looks at all different facets of the community coming together. So essentially wrap around -MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- the services that -- and it has nothing to do with what goes on in the classroom, but could be encouraging Sunday School.

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You know, reading. So everybody has a piece and a part to play in it. And that's I think strategic in getting the Priority and Focus schools to do better.

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It's not just a classroom answer -- question-and-answer.

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am. Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook. I'm sorry. Ms. Chambers.

MS. CHAMBERS: Thank you. It helps to know where we are; the data is terribly important. The disciplinary literacy is an intriguing concept. I have a similar concern; just it's tough enough to teach biology, I would guess, without going too far into the reading, without starting to detract from what you're trying to teach in biology. Are the numbers -- and I know every school is different, every child is different. But would you say these numbers are representative -- on one of the earlier reports it was a fairly small number of students; the percentage was -- took our breath away. But would you say those percentages apply across quite a few schools?
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MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am. Actually, what the schools did initially, we -- with the absence of the PARCC results the schools utilized -- high schools, they utilized the 7 th grade ACTAAP results. And utilizing those -- and the middle schools did as well; they utilized the 5 th grade ACTAAP results. And -- because I don't want to misquote it -- in literacy, $63 \%$ of Hall's students in literacy were basic or below basic; in mathematics, it was 67\%. So what I'm stating is that the numbers that you may have -- that you stated that you were able to read from SRI, they're very comparative of where those kids that are right now at Hall in the 9th grade, what their reading scores are.

MS. CHAMBERS: So that being the case -- and you may have said this and I missed it, but while I do think everything Mrs. Newton talked about and that you talked about, bringing everything to bear on this, for the 90 -something percentages that were up there that reflected reading three levels below --

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. CHAMBERS: -- that will require something extraordinary just to level-set, just to bring them back where they can then fully participate and learn in these disciplines. So do you have very specific
plans on how to close that very significant gap?
MR. BURTON: Well, a number of the students that were actually stated, one, we utilize City Year and they have more of a one-on-one participation in tutoring with those particular students. We also have Read 180 which also addresses the reading/ writing components for a number of students in all -in these three academic distressed high schools and also in middle schools. We have what is called System 44, which teachers are trained to assist as far as the reading levels for their particular students. So those are some pieces that we're actually using to actually help close that particular gap in the reading. But you're right; when we receive them in the high school it is a monumental task to try to get them on the level that they need to be to be successful on various assessments.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook.
MS. CHAMBERS: Thank you.
MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: Yeah. And I did understand you're looking at the Focus schools too.

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: Because one of my big fears is a child that's basic and below, that their overall
score is $63 \%$, so -- but the gap --
MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: -- between those that are scoring high and those -- and so if we focus just on the Priority schools but don't focus on, say, Central, where, you know, they're not in the academic distress but the kids over there that my friends are tutoring who can't write their name and can't read -- but are we going to overlook them because --

MR. BURTON: No, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. Good.
MR. BURTON: No, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: So you're going to focus on Focus schools and Priority schools?

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am. That's why the slide.
MS. ZOOK: And I know you also have been -- are going to benefit from the special education grant which does training for RTI --

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: -- for your staff. And that will be helpful because what is it, it's a tiered program and you immediately figure out the child didn't get it and you teach it through a different medium. If you didn't get it again, let's do it in a small group, let's do it individually. And I think that as your
staff becomes trained, even though it's over in the special ed. area, that that will through teacher -I've been in the teachers' lounge and, you know, we all want to do the best.

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: And so as those teachers get that more in-depth training I think that will be helpful to your whole school.

MR. BURTON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: But I commend you for the work you're doing and I appreciated your two principals and the presentation they made at your last meeting.

MR. BURTON: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: So I do think the disciplinary literacy is a sensible way of kind of attacking the gap once they've developed. I think it is intriguing. The key, of course, though is to not have those gaps when students arrive in the junior high and then arrive in high school. And so, you know, Baseline is the piece -- you know -- obviously, the elementary school where there's the best hope of preventing the gaps from beginning to grow. Could you talk a little bit more about the plan for literacy at Baseline?

MR. BURTON: Well --
DR. BARTH: And tied to that, is -- I know it's a Baseline project, but that strategy around reading in that school, is there a plan to kind of spread that to some of the other elementaries that are on the cusp of distress?

MR. BURTON: If I may be so bold and ask one of my colleagues, associate superintendent for elementary ed. -- I've attended Baseline's leadership meetings, so I know somewhat what they're doing. But my clarity is not -- might not be as astute as Dr. Mitchell.

DR. BARTH: I've love to hear from Dr. Mitchell. Yeah.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you. First of all, I want to say that Jonathan Crossley is doing a remarkable job at Baseline Elementary School. Mr. Burton and I both attended their leadership team meeting last week and we were simply marveled by the work that they had done in identifying every single child in that school who cannot read and every single child in that school who needs to be identified for Tier 1, Tier 2 or Tier 3 programs. And so they have essentially provided an outstanding afterschool tutoring program. But they're also -- to answer your question, they are
providing afterschool instruction for the parents, which is going to make a significant difference. Because with the clientele that he's serving not all times do they, the parents, know what to do at home with them. So they are spending a significant amount of time doing that. One of the other things that's critical right now is the transition classrooms that you all approved in the SIG grant. And you have a team coming to the school in a couple of weeks and we're going to provide a PowerPoint presentation showing what all we're doing with those resources, which have been very helpful. But they are insuring that each one of those students who cannot read and were having difficulty are having one-on-one sessions with an adult person in the building who's been trained to work with them in that Tier 1 identification. So there's a lot of programs in the building that have been critical that we purchased with the SIG grant. And they're going to the computer lab during school and after school and they're doing reading assessments to identify them and insuring that not only that -- we're not trying to teach them in a language that they don't understand, and that's been a big percentage of the problem here at this particular school. What we
noticed was that when we built those transition classrooms in we thought it would be more students coming in who did not understand the English language. But, in essence, when we did the one-toone assessments we found that there were only about five to six students who qualified for the transition classroom. Those students were prepared to move and transition into a regular classroom. So it's not as dismal as we may think at Baseline. I think by the end of the year you're going to see that they're going to make tremendous growth at that school. They have a lot of social services provided to the students and to the families. We're seeing more parent involvement than we ever have in that community and in that school right now. And we have identified students that for some reason they were not identified last year and they were participants in that school; there were only two gifted and talented students identified last year, whereas we have 40 identified this year. So there's been some negligence going on in that school and we're uncovering those things and finding that those students may have been missing some significant instruction that they needed. Teachers have been well-trained. They are right now over 90 hours of
training, 90 hours where, you know, you're certified just to have 36 at this point. But they came in early this school year, they took that data and they identified those kids who would really need that Tier 1 piece. And so they're right there. We're going to be excited to come back in November to show you what all we have. And after offering that SOAR data, you know, that would give us more of an alignment -- a sense of how we need to align the curriculum for those children one-to-one. But the key has been small group settings with the kids and one-to-one with those kids.

DR. BARTH: That's fantastic. And especially the -- to go back to Ms. Newton's point, I mean, the empowerment of the parents to be a part of that process, especially in terms of reading at the early grades. So that's the most helpful part of this report. So thank you very much.

MS. CHAMBERS: May I ask one last question? CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Chambers has a question.

MS. CHAMBERS: Just one. I hope you feel very significant support from this group. With this plan that you have, is there anything that you need that you don't have to execute it?

MR. BURTON: Not to my knowledge at this point, but I will certainly get with Mr. Kurrus if I think of something. But at this point I can say this, that it has been really wonderful working with Dr. Wilde and his group. We've been shoulder-to-shoulder because they provide excellent professional development and training to the central office staff and our principals around how to make this transition. And having one cogent plan of implementation is marvelous and that helps save a lot of time and it's streamlined and makes our work very synergistic in how we approach all of our components. But thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you.
SUPT. KURRUS: I want to close up but before I do, I'd like to tell you a couple of things that I think are important about what you've heard already. What we're doing that's much, much different is we're planning systemically. Because every problem that you've identified in these particular schools -- and I know you know this and have asked questions about it -- didn't arise in any school other than Baseline. All those problems flowed to those schools. So the key to solving the problems at Hall is never to send another student to Hall who doesn't read at grade
level. We've got this "pig-in-a-python" so-to-speak of kids that we've got to deal with now. It's going to be very hard to do. But the key to solving the problem is systematic change across the board in schools that are on your hot-list right now. These are schools spread all over our school district. And even if $80 \%$ of the students are proficient and advanced that means $20 \%$ of the students are not. And if those $20 \%$ cohorts end up in the same place, then we have a school that hits the list. So what we've done is we've identified best practices in a number of schools, and we have some schools that are achieving at incredibly high levels. We have marvelous teachers, great students, but we have failure, and that's the -- we cannot come before you and not show you what we know. It's difficult to do it. But I can also show you schools where $98 \%$ of the kids are proficient and advanced. I can show you schools where the poverty rates are in the 80's and the proficient and advanced scores are in the 80 's. I can show you those things, but that's not what we're here -- those are problems -- or brags, but those aren't getting to the problem. But think about it this way: plan systemically. In other words, don't just plan how do you deal with a student at

Hall who cannot read. You have to do that; it's critical. But you have to plan systemically so that you don't have more of those students showing up. And then you work systematically in every school with clear lines of communication, clear lines of authority, and clear lines of responsibility, and effective accountability. That's the big difference this year. This team is working very hard, long hours, really hard, but they know exactly what they're trying to do; they're working hard to do it; they've got -- they're building teams; people are working as teams, and it's very gratifying to me to see people work so hard and so well and so -- in such a focused manner. Because we never lose sight of the one thing that we have to keep in mind, is that teaching and learning occurs in a classroom. That's the only place it occurs.

So I want to -- I'm going to close quickly, if I can have just a few more minutes, and talk about a couple of things that are topical right now that you've probably heard about and would like to maybe hear more about.

First is our teachers. Teachers are working very hard. We appreciate our teachers. I hope they know it. I tell them everywhere I go. We're within
just a whisker of getting the contract with our teachers. We worked out all the hard things, so I think we'll get a contract in the next few days with LREA and we'll move forward together in a real collaborative and cooperative way with our teachers. That's critical because that contract expires October 31st, as you may know. I'm very pleased and gratified with the cooperation that we have with our teachers. I could also say the same thing about a lot of community support we're getting -- and not just business support, although I've had big gifts from people who want to help us buy computers. It really gives me a lot of confidence when I know our community is behind us. That's very gratifying to me and it's helpful to our students. When people give us $\$ 20,000$ to buy computers for a school where they need more computers, gosh, that means a great deal to me and it gives me a lot of encouragement.

My dad and I used to throw the baseball a lot and I played a lot of baseball, and he said, "Keep your eye on the ball." He didn't scream at me, but when I was flustered at the plate, things weren't going -- "Baker" -- I can hear him now -- "Keep your eye on the ball." We're going to keep our eye on the ball. Okay? We've got a lot of things that are
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going in different directions. I just left the Supreme Court. I'm leaving that to the lawyers. Okay? I'll get served today with a lawsuit; that's part of the job. But we're not going to be distracted in the least by any of this stuff. Okay? Every day I'm going to get up, I'm going to go sit in that chair, I'm going to give you everything I've got, and our team is going to do the same thing. And our parents need to know that. They need to know that we're not going to be distracted. Come what may, let the chips fall where they may, we're going to work as hard as we can every single day. We're going to pursue a world-class school in southwest Little Rock without fail. We don't have any excuses. Our kids deserve that. Okay? There is really no real question about whether we need a middle school in west Little Rock. The board voted to do that. The board, in June -- I mean, January 22nd made a motion and voted unanimously to build a school in west Little Rock and to proceed in southwest Little Rock. I'm not waiting on anybody to do those things. We're going to collaborate and cooperate with the community and we're going to move out on those projects. It's going to be tough. We know we've got a lot of financial issues, but we're not waiting on
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anybody on those things. So keep that in mind. And then just rest assured that we're going to work hard every day; no matter what happens, we're just going to be with you. And thank you to the ADE. These plans that you see that we're working on were all hatched out of the heads of the people that work here in collaboration with the people that work at LRSD. And that's what we need. We need teamwork every chance we can. We need to team up with our teachers, our parents, our students, and then our community, and then I think we'll do quite well.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Are there any questions for Mr. Kurrus? Ms. Zook. MS. ZOOK: Just one thing. I know -- of course, I got this out of the paper, so I don't know for sure. But it's my understanding that when the previous board and administration purchased the property in southwest Little Rock that the community wanted the school to be where the current McClellan is. Are y'all working with the community to get all of that -- I'm trying not to get in your weeds, but it is a question that was on my mind.

SUPT. KURRUS: Well, it's a question on several people's minds. I've asked -- I've got a packet of material to give to Mr . Adams and we're meeting
today. And one of the questions in there is what was the deal. I've looked at all the minutes with respect to that and I've talked to -- I guess I could tell you who I've talked to; they're prominent people, people on the city board. I called B.J. Wyrick and I called Joan Adcock; I've spoken with Pam Adcock; I've talked to Troy -- I mean, I've talked to all the people. I know these people; they know me. We talk and we're going to get together and try to come to some ideas. The thing that we have to do though, we have to act. We cannot sit around and lose enrollment. We cannot sit around and ask our kids to go to substandard facilities. These are clean facilities. They're the same everywhere. There's no big conspiracy to defraud African American kids of anything. I won't stand for it, and the people that know me know that. So that's not the problem. The problem is we don't have what we need everywhere. We've got pockets of excellence: Wakefield, Stephens, King, Roberts -- they're all first-class facilities. We don't back up to anybody when we go into those facilities. But everywhere else it's just so-so. We cannot give that to our community and expect our kids to do as well as they can do. And I'll just say we're going to engage the
community down there. We will not make everybody happy; that's not the object of the game. The object of the game is to collaborate, cooperate, listen, try to make the best judgment we can, very calmly, and then do what's best for our students. And that's what we're going to endeavor to do. It's a great question though and I see that being something we're going to have to really work on.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? What is the Board's pleasure? Oh, I'm sorry; Commissioner Key.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. Before y'all vote on this item, $I$ just want to read a statement that a lot of folks have been asking, and this is an appropriate time to do that in the context of the LRSD report. This is a statement that I prepared. It will be released regarding the federal lawsuit that was filed.

Our legal team will review the filing of the Arkansas Attorney General's office, so it's premature at this time to comment on the voracity of claims made by Representative Walker or Mr. Ross, Ms. Springer or the other plaintiffs. This we do know: student learning occurs in a classroom, not the
courtroom. To that end, this agency will remain focused on supporting Baker Kurrus and his team in approving the delivery of education to all the students of the Little Rock School District. The presentation by Mr. Burton to the State Board of Education today clearly demonstrates the urgency of this task.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you.
MS. ZOOK: Madam Chairman, I move that we accept Action Item 2 and confirm our support of the Commissioner's statement.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Motion by Ms. Zook. Is there a second?

MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Chambers.
All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Excuse me; any opposed? Then the motion carries. Thank you.

A-3: CONSIDERATION OF APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF SCHOOL CHOICE APPLICATION - CLEAVES

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll move on to -- does anyone need a break? If not, we'll move on to the next action item, which is A-3, Consideration of
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Appeal from Denial of School Choice Application Cleaves. Ms. Jennifer Davis.

MS. DAVIS: Good morning. Jennifer Davis, Staff Attorney with the Department. You'll have to excuse me if I start coughing. We have a school choice appeal under the School Choice Act of 2015. Is Ms. Cleaves here? Ms. Cleaves lives in the Forrest City School District and she was denied an application for her child to the Palestine-Wheatley School District. And I'm going to go ahead and cover the hearing procedures because I'm sure you've forgotten them by now, or blocked them, one of the two. Each party will get like 5 minutes to open, an opportunity if they want to open, and then followed by 20 minutes to present their case about why either the district, the nonresident district denied the application or the parent about why their child should be accepted. You can ask questions at any time. And then at the end you can either affirm the decision of the nonresident district to deny the application or you can go ahead and grant the school choice transfer.

All right. Is Palestine-Wheatley -- and just a reminder though that anybody that is wanting to give testimony today will need to be sworn in.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Are there any
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attorneys present? Okay. Everyone else will need to raise their right hand if you intend to testify. Ms. Cleaves -- right. Do you solemnly swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
(ALL WITNESSES ANSWERED AFFIRMATIVELY) CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Did you hear the hearing procedures?

MS. CLEAVES: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Let's start then with the Palestine-Wheatley School District. Will you state your name for the record, please?

SUPT. ESTES: John Estes, superintendent of
Palestine-Wheatley School District.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Go ahead.
SUPT. ESTES: We turned Ms. Cleaves down because Forrest City declared an exemption. We have space and would be glad to teach her children if y'all say she can go.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thank you. Forrest City District? Is there a representative from Forrest City District? State your position.

MR. JONES: I'm Sam Jones, counsel for the Forrest City School District. And we've submitted multiple items in opposition to the appeal. They all
should look very familiar because there are no new issues; they're the same issues $y$ 'all addressed over the past three years in various incarnations of the School Choice Act. I don't know if you want me, Ms. Chair, to go ahead and continue.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, I think if we hear from Ms. Cleaves first then we'll bring you back, Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Cleaves, would you step forward and give your position in this matter?

MS. CLEAVES: First of all, good morning to everyone on the Board. And I would just like to say thank you guys for granting me the opportunity to be here to address my concerns, which involves the appeal under the Public Choice Act. Basically, I'm here representing my daughter. I understand that education is essential to life, and as a parent it is my obligation to make sure that my child receives the best education that is possible. Therefore, I'm here with the hope that my daughter is granted the opportunity to attend the Palestine-Wheatley School District. I constantly read the newspapers; I constantly review the different assessments and the tests that are being completed and utilized; and I
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understand that the testing at Palestine-Wheatley School District, their scores are much higher than the Forrest City school city district. And, therefore, I'm just here to make sure that I give my daughter the opportunity to be a productive citizen in life, and education is something that's essential and you have to have it. With that being said, I'm the oldest of my siblings. We're all graduated from high school, furthered our education, graduate level. I have a BS in Psychology, a Masters in Counseling. So, therefore, $I$ just want to make sure that my daughter receives the tools that is necessary in order that she can be a productive citizen and be able to contribute to the community when she matures into her adulthood.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES: Let me offer this observation first, hopefully to shorten the proceedings. The application was made, if you look at your materials, under the Opportunity School Choice Act, but this is a second-grader and none of the Forrest City primary schools are in academic distress. Therefore, the application, if you will, really can't be considered by this body because it's outside the scope of qualifying for an Opportunity School Choice transfer.
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Now you heard Mr. Estes say -- and it is what they wrote down -- that they denied the application based on the exemption claim by Forrest City. Now that's under the 2015 School Choice Act, but that's not where the application came from. It came under the Opportunity School Choice Act. I see some puzzled looks. This individual would have been eligible to seek a transfer if she had been 5th grade or above. But because she's just a 2nd grader not coming -- not seeking to come out of a school in academic distress, the application should have been denied out of hand on that basis. Now nevertheless we're here, so I'll try to make the rest of this presentation brief because it really addresses the exemption issue that y'all have wrestled with before that I don't think even applies here. But nevertheless, that's the basis upon which she was turned down. And I compliment Ms. Cleaves on her presentation, but I respectfully submit there's nothing in the presentation that takes this case out of the exemption claimed by Forrest City and nothing in the presentation that authorizes her to seek a transfer under Opportunity School Choice. So there's no legal reason before this body, I respectfully submit, to even consider, much less grant the appeal. We've
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been through this before Forrest City properly claimed the exemption; you've dealt with and read the Attorney General's opinion about -- it's based on an ongoing federal court case. And this body has made the decision, with some reluctance on the part of some, to respect or go along with the Attorney General's opinion. The issues are the same; nothing has changed, except for the little bit of confusion about how this arose and how it came to this body. But for all those reasons, both the inapplicability of Opportunity School Choice and respect of this family, and because you've already decided multiple times, in Goodall, White and other cases, that Forrest City has properly claimed the exemption under the 2015 Act, I think you have no option but to deny the appeal.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Davis, do you have anything? Or, Ms. Cleaves, do you have any response to that?

MS. DAVIS: She does. But before we go, I just wanted to address the application. Because when I did receive the appeal in the mail I noticed it was an Opportunity School Choice, and her child is not assigned to a distressed school. So when I talked to her, you know, to ask her, because that was also
denied on an exemption, to make sure, like, what did she apply under, she said that this was the application that she was just given. And I didn't feel that it was fair to deny her an opportunity to be heard by you guys simply because she might've filled out the wrong application. So that was just a clarification on that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth has a question, Ms. Davis.

DR. BARTH: So, Ms. Davis, can you remind us of the deadline differences on Opportunity School and the general Public School Choice?

MS. DAVIS: The Opportunity School Choice, I believe it's July 30th that you have to apply. And under Public School Choice you have -- May 1st is when it was changed. But she did not get her denial letter from Palestine-Wheatley until July 22nd -- or July 27 th , excuse me. That's when it was dated, so give it a few days that she received it. So even though she applied in -- I believe she applied -I've got her application right here -- she applied on May 25th.

DR. BARTH: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: But she wasn't -- like I say, they didn't deny or make a decision on it until July 27 th.

DR. BARTH: Okay. Great. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Cleaves.
MS. CLEAVES: I would like to say as well that also I know I was denied but, however, my neighbor's kids was accepted in the Palestine-Wheatley School District. So therefore my concern is that my daughter is granted that opportunity as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES: I just wanted to point out we have the same issue Professor Barth just raised in the Goshaw [ps] appeal last time where very same district, Palestine-Wheatley, was late in making the denial and I urged the Board not to hold that against Forrest City because it had nothing to do with formulating or determining if the denial would be made or when. So I don't think that's an issue that can be held as to -- against Forrest City.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook, do you have a question?

MS. ZOOK: Yes. Is Forrest City making efforts to gain unitary status as required for an accredited school in the state of Arkansas?

MR. JONES: I think what we pointed out in this submission and all the others is the issues of the applicability of the McKissic decree -- or currently
set for trial in January before Judge William R. Wilson -- well, I guess it's Billy Roy Wilson now. And while that is not specifically a unitary status case, the issues are very, very similar and the outcome of that case will go a long way toward determining the issue you just raised.

MS. ZOOK: And how old is that case, the McKissic case that's going to be heard in January?

MR. JONES: No, it's not the -- I'm sorry if I said McKissic. McKissic is the desegregation case. It's the lawsuit that Forrest City brought against Palestine-Wheatley and Wynne, in which one of the defenses raised by both Palestine-Wheatley and Wynne is that the Forrest City district is in fact unitary.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. So Forrest City didn't initiate gaining unitary status?

MR. JONES: Not in the technical sense. No.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. And are they, and are you by extension, aware that that is part of being an accredited school in Arkansas?

MR. JONES: Well, as a matter of fact, when we -- I think we've already responded to -- I guess it's the Commissioner's Memorandum and submitted that report that addressed the very question you asked, several weeks ago. That's part of the Standards for
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Accreditation review.
MS. ZOOK: Ms. Davis?
MS. DAVIS: A Commissioner's Memo was sent out at the beginning of September that outlined the requirements for districts that were not declared by a court order in full unitary status. And I do believe that the Standards Unit and Equity Assistance Units have looked at those and determined that none of the responses were sufficient. So a letter is being drafted and sent out to all the districts, outlining a very specific set of tasks that they need to provide to us in order to stay in alignment with the standards. Otherwise, they could be placed on probationary status.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. And also the most recent Attorney General's opinion, did it address ADE or the State Board of Education?

MS. DAVIS: I believe it addressed ADE. Yes.
MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
MS. DAVIS: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth, did you have a question?

DR. BARTH: I guess it's a question for Palestine-Wheatley, and it may not be answerable. But Ms. Cleaves' most recent comment about her
neighbor, do you have any insight into that case? SUPT. ESTES: I don't, Dr. Barth. I don't know who her neighbor is or where they -- what the situation is.

DR. BARTH: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any closing comments from either party? Ms. Perry? I'm sorry; not Ms. Perry. I'm looking at -- Ms. Davis. MS. DAVIS: I don't have anything else. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, you've heard the presentations. What is the pleasure of the Board? Dr. Barth.

DR. BARTH: First, I want to thank Ms. Cleaves for her dedication to her young people. But because of the ongoing absence of unitary status $I$ will move to deny the appeal.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So Dr. Barth has made the motion to deny the appeal. Is there a second? MS. CHAMBERS: I second.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Chambers seconds. All in favor?

DR. BARTH: Aye.
MS. CHAMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Two, Dr. Barth and Ms.
Chambers. Any opposed?
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MS. ZOOK: Aye.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You're abstaining?
MS . DEAN: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So there are three votes to -- against, denying the waiver.

MS. DAVIS: So you're denying? Because Dr. Barth -- I'm sorry; I'm confused. It's probably the head cold.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: They're not -- his motion was to deny the waiver and that motion did not pass.

MS. DAVIS: Okay. I didn't hear Ms. Chambers' vote, so $I$ was just making sure -- I mean, Ms. Childers' (sic) vote, so just making sure. Thank you.

DR. BARTH: So, I mean, we have made I don't know how many decisions like this and it feels that we have to have consistency in our decisions.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Clay, do you want to give some clarity or input or --

MS. CLAY: First, let me make sure I understand. The motion was to deny; it was seconded. And then there were three votes in favor of that motion?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No.
MS. CLAY: No.
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CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No. There were two votes

MS. CLAY: Two votes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- in favor of the motion, and that was Ms. Chambers and Dr. Barth.

MS . CLAY: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: There were three opposing. MS. CLAY: Okay. Then, effectively, the opposite would take place.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Right.
MS. CLAY: But if that's the will of the Board,
I would advise you to -- someone to make an additional motion and carry the action.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You heard her recommendation, so -- Dr. Barth wants to comment.

DR. BARTH: Yeah. Ms. Clay, because of our history on these cases and the fact that we have denied now over several years, but this year a number of cases, including from the same district, I mean, does that raise concerns about equity?

MS. CLAY: There is certainly an argument that each individual appeal before you is, like I said, individual. And I assume you all make that determination based on the facts of each individual appeal. There certainly could be an argument the
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other way, but you have to make a determination on the facts of each appeal.

MS. ZOOK: Madam Chair, based on the fact that we want parents to be involved, and we state that repeatedly, and based on the fact that most desegregation cases were brought because of the inequities for African American children -- and this is an African American child, and based on the fact that the Attorney General's opinion spoke to ADE's involvement but not to the State Board of Education, I move that we grant the transfer for the Cleaves -Mrs. Cleaves and her student.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: The motion is from Ms. Zook to grant the transfer from the Forrest City district to the Palestine-Wheatley district for Ms. Cleaves. You heard the motion. Is there a second?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Mr. Williamson. Question, Dr. Barth.

DR. BARTH: A comment. And, you know, the reason the School Choice case was changed -- the law was changed was because the old School Choice law took race into account. Federal courts deemed that unconstitutional and, therefore, then the law was changed. And I just am very, very troubled by this
consideration in this case. So I'll be voting no obviously, but I think it's -- I think we're moving down a troubled path here.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Can I say something?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Certainly.
MR. WILLIAMSON: I've struggled with this since the -- well, this is I think my fourth meeting today, so only 80 left. And for the first three meetings, 1 mean, I've really struggled with this whole concept. And, you know, acknowledging that there's federal court orders out there, many that haven't been looked at for decades. And I believe that, you know, every student has a right to a good education and I think every family defines that a little bit differently. And if this family believes that she'll get a better education in another district, I -- you know -- I'm going to switch votes, you know, from what I've done in the past to approving this one. It's not without a lot of discussions with several people, but I feel like that's the right thing to do.

MS. CHAMBERS: I have a question and I have no idea what the right protocol is. I don't want to stand on consistency if we're consistently wrong.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Right.
MS. CHAMBERS: And I do understand the unique
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circumstances that have surrounded each one of these, but I question what the core evaluative process is for when we -- when there's a law or a policy that we need to abide by. What is it at its core that we're held accountable to? And then, where did the variables really come into play as opposed to being -- I don't want us to be horribly inconsistent. We have no idea how these would be determined case by case. So I don't know if there's a process for bringing that back and really understanding her position. We talk about it every time and yet, the outcome is a little bit different. And so I would just ask if there's some means for us to bring this topic to a more consistent place, taking individual circumstances into consideration but being more consistent in our core position.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. The Commissioner and then maybe Ms. Davis.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Well, I mean, I would respond that there is inconsistency in each of these situations when you dig down into the history, I mean, because each of these districts, in whatever form they were in at the time -- you remember a couple of months ago, or maybe it was last month, we had a district that geographically looked nothing
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like the district that was impacted by the desegregation order at the time. So to -- I would just say I think the consistency lies in analyzing each one of the cases and looking at the distinct backgrounds. Some are court rulings; some are Health, Education and Welfare agency rulings of an agency that doesn't exist. I mean, there are a number of factors that come into play in each of these; you know, factors of -- that, as Dr. Barth mentioned, you know, that the race of the students that we're talking about that at one point in time was in statute a factor, and now it's no longer a factor. But it is what it is; I mean, those are some of the realities. And I think this board just has to be comfortable in analyzing each one and making a vote as individual members that this collective board then moves forward with that decision.

MS. DAVIS: I just wanted to add that hopefully with the district -- you know -- they're having to self-report now, which is why the Standards Unit has been -- you know -- has had difficulty in enforcing these set of standards, that they make strides to obtain unitary status. But districts are selfreporting for purposes of school choice now. And so now that the Standards Unit is starting to enforce
that, because they know which districts to look at -and hopefully, a lot of these districts that have really old, you know, 1958 desegregation orders or orders that they didn't even know about will hopefully -- you know -- especially the ones that claim that they're unitary but don't have a court order declaration of unitary status, that long-term that those will be able to be addressed and be declared unitary and then this won't even be an issue.

COMMISSIONER KEY: And let me, if I may, add to that. That's a good point; it brought something up that I had actually looked up. Because we talk about it in terms of unitary status but that's not the only obligation in our standards; it's complete -- full and complete unitary standard status -- full and complete unitary status and have been released from court supervision. So there are two prongs to that. And I think that's where Mr. Harvey and his team are reviewing those submissions, determining that they are insufficient according to the language of our rule and then working with those districts to provide information that is in compliance with that.

MS. DAVIS: And the letter that's going to go out to the districts that did respond to the
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|  | 73 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Commissioner's Memo has a list of seven things that |
| 2 | they should include in their updated supplemental |
| 3 | response, and it includes the areas in which the |
| 4 | district feels that they have reached unitary and |
| 5 | which areas they struggle with; a detailed timeline |
| 6 | and plan for how and when they plan on either |
| 7 | reaching unitary status and getting a court order |
| 8 | declaration, in addition to providing court |
| 9 | documents, and some other things. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So in this situation, you |
| 11 | know, we've heard probably more requests for |
| 12 | transfers from Forrest City to Palestine than |
| 13 | anywhere else. Are there any fundamental differences |
| 14 | on October 8th than there were last month or the |
| 15 | month before? Did you note any fundamental |
| 16 | differences in the situations that exist that would |
| 17 | cause us to lean one way or the other in making a |
| 18 | decision? |
| 19 | MS. DAVIS: Without looking back at all the |
| 20 | other cases to see what the specific facts are -- and |
| 21 | I didn't do that before today -- I mean, some of them |
| 22 | they just wanted to transfer; some of them, you know, |
| 23 | had children. You know, the fact that Ms. Cleaves' |
| 24 | neighbor's children transferred, I mean, that may be |
| 25 | a fact that you want to look at. But, I mean, based |

on the circumstances that we have here, the difference is your understanding and of the concerns of the parents. I'm sure that you've probably all either in the news or being contacted directly about how important this issue is and the fact that sometimes these kids are kind of trapped based on the old court orders. So a lot of it is more, I think, the fundamental difference of your understanding and the impact that it has.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And I would caution us from considering the fact that her neighbor's -- we don't have any information --

MS. DAVIS: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- to verify that or the circumstance or situation. So whatever their circumstances were that caused them --

MS. DAVIS: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- to be transferred, if they actually are. And I'm not saying they're not, but I don't think that's something that we should consider. That's just my opinion, you know, because there could be several variables.

MS. DAVIS: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: But I'm just wanting the Board to think about whether or not there's anything
substantive that's different between now and then. And are there any more questions, comments?

MS. CHAMBERS: If I -- I just wanted to thank Ms. Cleaves for being here to represent her child. This is my fourth meeting I think too; I think it's less than 80 for me to go. But of all the votes that we've taken -- we've taken some very tough ones -- I hate this one the most because you want every child to go where that parent feels is best for the child to go. So thank you for coming back and helping us understand this, and appreciating we want what's best for you too.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. I think we'll do a roll-call vote if there are no other questions. So the motion is to approve the request for transfer. It has been moved by -- remind me -- Ms. Zook -COMMISSIONER KEY: If I may, Madam Chair, the actual motion should be to grant the appeal. Correct? MS. DAVIS: Grant the appeal. That's correct. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Grant the appeal. Moved by Ms. Zook and seconded by Mr. Williamson. COMMISSIONER KEY: Okay. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And so that's our -DR. BARTH: A clarification. Is it under
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Opportunity School or under Public School Choice? MS. ZOOK: Public School. MS. DAVIS: Public School Choice. Yes. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Commissioner. MS. DEAN: I want to say something -CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. MS. DEAN: -- before we do this.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: This is heart-wrenching for me. As a parent of four children, wanting -- I hear you -wanting the best for your child and wanting to give your child the same opportunities other people have. And I agree with what you said before; I don't want to do the same thing and be consistent just because we've been consistent. I'm struggling with this because -- I'm struggling with the legal ramifications of if we go forward with this compared to if we are consistent with all the others. So --

MS. ZOOK: I can speak to that a little bit, I think. I'm not an attorney; I don't even play one at this meeting. Regardless of which way we decide, the school district or the parent can take it to the courts. If we decide in favor of the parent, it would be up to the district; if we decide in favor of the district, it would be up tot eh parent. So we
are not the all, end-all final for either of the parties.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions or comments? If not, we'll go to the Commissioner for a roll-call.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Okay. We're voting on the motion to grant the appeal to the Cleaves family under Public School Choice. Dr. Barth.

DR. BARTH: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Davis.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Three votes to two.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So the motion carries.

MS. DAVIS: Thank you. That one was a little bit more clear for me. Thank you.

A-4: END OF SEMESTER REVIEW OF OPEN-ENROLLMENT PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL IN THE INITIAL YEAR OF OPERATION: EXALT ACADEMY OF SOUTHWEST LITTLE ROCK
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CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Item A-4 is End of Semester Review of Open-Enrollment Public Charter School in the Initial Year of Operation: Exalt Academy of Southwest Little Rock. Ms. Perry and Ms. Boyd.

MS. PERRY: Yes. Mary Perry, the Coordinator in the Division of Learning Services. I'd like to introduce to you Alexandra Boyd. You may have worked with her before. She was recently promoted to become the Coordinator for the Charter School Unit and that occurred on September 14th. So she will be appearing before you many times on some of the charter items, and I wanted you to know her. I'm going to talk briefly about the Exalt Academy report.

Pursuant to Arkansas Code 6-23-406, end-ofsemester financial and student enrollment data is provided for the initial school year of operation of open-enrollment charter Exalt Academy of Southwest Little Rock. You have the enrollment data; you have some financial data. And principal of the school, Tina Long, is here to address any questions that you may have. Again, this is a requirement in statute that we bring the open-enrollment in their initial year, at the end-of-semester, these reports to you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Are there any questions by the Board?

MS. ZOOK: Of the Exalt people?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Uh-huh. Well, are they going to present?

MS. PERRY: They do not have a presentation.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. PERRY: It is simply a report for you to accept or not. And, of course, they are here to answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Long, would you come forward?

MS. LONG: Yes, ma'am. Good morning.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Good morning. Ms. Zook, do you have a question?

MS. ZOOK: Yes. How does your October 1 enrollment compare to your end-of-year enrollment numbers that we have before us?

MS. LONG: We're at 233 this year. We've had tremendous success last year, so we have a lot of new enrollment this year through parent referral.

MS. ZOOK: And that's more than you had at the beginning of last year?

MS. LONG: Yes. We were at 112. Yes.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. Do you provide transportation?
MS. LONG: No, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. And do you have any plans to
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growth is about 15 RIT points and our school scored 17. So in math we moved from the 34 th percentile to the 41 st percentile, and in reading we moved from the 34th to the 38th. So we're really, really excited about that with our school.

MS. zook: Okay. And what are some things that -- do you account for that? Just focusing in? Are you doing tutoring? Or what are some of the things that might have made that happen?

MS. LONG: It's actually really similar to the presentation with the Little Rock School District, so I was really excited to see that -- collaboration, very similar things, small group learning, data groups, just attention to goals. So we do a lot of very similar things. So our children are -- we do have a longer school day; we have a longer school year. So the tutoring part is actually in-school with our highly qualified teachers throughout the day. So our children have nine hours of instruction and 200 days, with small group learning that happens throughout the day.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Thank you.
MS. LONG: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: So you've gone with 3rd grade this
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## year. Right?

MS. LONG: Yes.
DR. BARTH: And the cap this year is --
MS. LONG: 240.
DR. BARTH: -- 240. Okay.
MS. LONG: And we're at 233.
DR. BARTH: Okay. Great. Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Okay. What is the pleasure of the Board concerning this action item?

DR. BARTH: I'll move to accept this report.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Dr. Barth.
MS. ZOOK: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Zook. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? The motion carries. Thank you.

MS. LONG: We thank the Board for the opportunity.

A-5: END OF SEMESTER REVIEW OF OPEN-ENROLLMENT PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL IN THE INITIAL YEAR OF OPERATION: QUEST MIDDLE SCHOOL OF WEST LITTLE ROCK

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Moving on to A-5, End of Semester Review of Open-Enrollment Public
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Charter School in its Initial Year of Operation: Quest Middle School of West Little Rock. Ms. Perry or Ms. Boyd.

MS. BOYD: Pursuant to Arkansas Code 6-23-406, the end-of-semester financial and student enrollment data is provided for the initial school year of operation of the open-enrollment charter Quest Middle School of West Little Rock. It's similar; it's just a report. And we have Curtis Shack, who is our Arkansas Program Manager, here to answer any of your questions, along with some members of his team.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Did you say Mr. Stack?

MS. BOYD: Shack. Shack. Curtis Shack, S-h-a-c-k, Shack.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Shack. Okay.
MR. SHACK: Good morning, everyone.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Good morning. Are there any questions?

MS. ZOOK: I have similar questions for you.
MR. SHACK: Okay.
MS. ZOOK: What -- do you have handy what your October 1 attendance is, or enrollment?

MR. SHACK: 233.
MS. ZOOK: And is that up or down?
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MR. SHACK: That's up from last year.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. And do you provide transportation?

MR. SHACK: Not currently. At this moment, we don't.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Do you have a plan?
MR. SHACK: We're assessing it as we grow and add grades to our charter.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Because, you know, both of you are not at your top and so both of you might get there if some students who want to come had a way.

MR. SHACK: Correct. We currently assess with our parents whether transportation is needed and we will continue to do so as we go up and add grades.

MS. ZOOK: Right. I was talking about the people who might want to come that --

MR. SHACK: Okay.
MS. ZOOK: -- wouldn't be assessing.
MR. SHACK: Okay. Yeah.
MS. ZOOK: Because you don't know about --
MR. SHACK: Right.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. And what about a board; do you have a board?

MR. SHACK: Our board currently is the Charter Agreement Board. We have initiated a local school
board that we are currently in the process of getting established.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Okay. And what do you think a couple of good things are that quest did last year?

MR. SHACK: I'm going to defer that to our campus director, Mr. Christopher Stevens. He can give you more detailed information on the achievement of the students.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And your name is?
MR. STEVENS: Christopher Stevens.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Christopher Stevens.
MR. STEVENS: Good morning, Madam Chairperson and State Board. I'm glad to be here this morning. I think we had great parent involvement and that's what makes a school. And I know you all have referred to that in discussions with other schools. And we've been really pleased and that's been a great success for us. We've seen great growth. We also use the MAP test. And really good growth from our subpopulations and that's very exciting to see and we're excited to see that continue. We received an EAST grant and we're just thrilled to have that and thankful to the State Department of Education for granting that and also to our parents who were very interested in having that. We were nominated as one
of the best middle schools by the Arkansas DemocratGazette. And so these are things that we're thrilled about and thrilled to continue as we go into this year.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other -- Dr. Barth has a question.

DR. BARTH: So in looking at the report, so your percentage of free and reduced lunch was $15 \%$ ?

MR. STEVENS: Correct.
MR. STACK: Yes, that's correct.
DR. BARTH: Okay. Now in the debate over this charter, which I guess only a few of us got to live through, which was interesting, there were a lot of conversations about demographics of the school and what it might look like. I believe at the time of that final proposal there was the suggestion that there would be $35 \%$ free and reduced lunch, which was a ratcheting down from what the original budget was. What are your plans for outreach to have a school at Quest that looks more like the district from whence those young people come?

MR. SHACK: We have did a couple of things to get minority students involved. In our recruitment efforts of students, we sent a lot of mailings and different things to the low socioeconomic
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demographics for the city. We sent over 5,000 mailers out. The campus director has went to local churches, not just in the West Little Rock area but on the other side of Shackleford and on out towards the North Little Rock area to kind of get outreach and the name out there for minority students.

DR. BARTH: And those are two different issues, obviously, I mean, as you know. I mean, I go back to Ms. Zook; one of her questions, I mean, I think as we -- as some of us said at the time, it -- you know -it will not happen without transportation, I mean, in terms of creating a demographically diverse school that looks like the city as a whole, if there is not transportation just because of the location of this school. And so I just want to make that comment that this -- while the concerns of kids of color is not insignificant, the percentage of kids who are free and reduced lunch is very, very, very low, and I think that just needs to be marked, and it's troubling considering the -- you know -- the district's challenges.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? And what is the Board's pleasure concerning the Quest Middle School, action item A-5?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Move to accept.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Williamson moves to accept. Is there a second?

MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Motion carries. Thank you.

A-6: CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER FROM REPAYMENT OBLIGATIONS FOR NBPTS PROGRAM FUNDING - MIRANDA McDIARMID

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll go to A-6 before breaking for lunch, and that's the Consideration of Waiver from Repayment Obligations for NBPTS Program Funding; that's Miranda McDiamond [ps].

MS. MCDIARMID: McDiarmid.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. And Ms. Reinhart.
MS. REINHART: Cheryl Reinhart for the PLSB. And we have submitted this today with the Department's recommendation that the State Board grant Ms. McDiarmid a waiver from the requirement for repayment. The typical hearing process here is 5 minutes opening statement for each side and then 20 minutes for discussion. We don't -- neither of us anticipate spending that kind of time with this.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.

MS. REINHART: I would want you though to swear Ms. McDiarmid in please.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. McDiarmid, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MS. MCDIARMID: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you.
MS. REINHART: Okay. Thank you. The Department will start. We'll begin with what we had determined was that Ms. McDiarmid had received $\$ 2500$ towards the National Board certification program components and then she was unable to complete that. She had gone a good ways to completing that but wasn't able to complete it because of medical emergencies for herself and her babies. And that continued for a period of two years and it was extensive and ongoing. And so I think in the Department's view this is one of those extenuating circumstances that would warrant a waiver of the repayment.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So is that your recommendation?

MS. REINHART: That is the Department's recommendation at this time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Based on Ms. Reinhart's recommendation, is there a motion?
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DR. BARTH: Move approval.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
MS . CHAMBERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It's been moved and -moved by Dr. Barth and second by Ms. Chambers to approve the waiver. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Motion carries. Thank you.

MS. McDIARMID: Thank you.
DR. BARTH: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll break for lunch and come back at 12:30.
(LUNCH BREAK: 11:50 AM - 12:38 PM)
A-7: CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER REQUEST FOR TEACHING LICENSE LYNN D. LANG

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. We're ready to reconvene. A-6, Consideration of Waiver from -- no, excuse me; I'm sorry -- A-7. It's Consideration of Waiver Request for Teacher Licensing, Lynn Lang. And the presenter is Ms. Liwo.

MS. LIWO: Good afternoon. Jennifer Liwo, Staff Attorney for the PLSB office. Just to go through the waiver hearing procedures briefly, each side has 5 minutes to present an opening statement, with the
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representative for the Department of Education going first. After that each side will have 20 minutes to present their case, again with the representative of the Department of Education going first. Additional time may be granted for good cause shown. Any witnesses providing testimony today should be sworn in. And with that, I'll proceed --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Anyone in association with A-7 would you please stand, raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. LANG: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Liwo.

MS. LIWO: Mr. Lang is a first-time applicant for a teachers and administrators license. In 2005, Mr. Lang pled guilty to a disqualifying offense. The underlying facts of that offense are that Mr . Lang accepted incentives to recommend a specific university to a football player that he coached. The Department's concern is that Mr . Lang took advantage of a student who trusted him so that he could profit. And the Department is also concerned that allowing or -- that if the Board grants Mr. Lang a waiver that will provide him with an opportunity to perhaps do
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the same to a student in Arkansas. For that reason, the Department is recommending that the State Board deny Mr. Lang's request for a waiver.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Lang, 20
minutes. You have 20 minutes.
MR. LANG: Yes, ma'am. Well, back in two thousand -- well, I graduated from (inaudible) State University. I got my first year football job. I worked in Memphis at a school starting from 1994 -- I became -- I was the line coach and defensive coordinator in 1995. In '96, I became the head football coach. Back then, I think I was about 26, 27, somewhere up in there. But anyway, I had to go through that process. Once again, I did accept the sentence for this, you know, trying to help this young man.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Speak a little louder please.

MR. LANG: I'm sorry. Yes, ma'am. I said back then, like I said, that was my first teaching job. I had to go through that process. I did make a poor decision, accepting a sentence from a college (inaudible) to try to help my family out. I shouldn't have did that. I had to go through that process. And like I say, my teaching certificate, of
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course, was suspended. I had to go through a process of community service and all that to get everything back that I lost for that poor decision that I made. And like I said, it happened in '99, 2001, but it didn't actually come down till 2005. I left Tennessee and went up to Michigan, and when I was going through that whole process I went back to central Michigan and got my master's, of course. I worked at a home for disadvantaged kids, the St. Vincent Home for Kids. Then, later on, as a supervisor for Children's Home of Detroit. And like I said, that process, once again, was deep reflection. In 2007, because of everything, like I said, going through that process and doing the things I had to do to get back, I got my Mississippi -Tennessee license reinstated as well as my Mississippi license reinstated. In 2007, I was assistant principal at Post Gibson High School. In 2008, I became the head principal. In 2009, I got, you know, what I really wanted to do. I became the athletic director, head football coach and assistant principal from 2009 to 2014. In 2014, I left there. I became the athletic director and director of operations for Leland Public Schools, and I did that last year, and I left Leland Public Schools and now

I'm currently the head football coach and health and physical education teacher at Yazoo City Schools. We have a game coming up this Friday. But like I said, this whole process was something that was new to me but I had to go through it. And like I said, going -- and going through this process and making the mistakes I made I've learned many lessons. I think I've touched many lives, even coming back now, from what I went through then and I think I'm a better person and coach now than $I$ was then. But like I say, I still have to understand and, you know, share my story of what I've been through because the coach line, you know, now is much different than the one when I first started back in the 1990's. And that's where I am now.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any questions? Ms. Zook.

MS. ZOOK: Yes. Did you violate any of the terms of your release?

MR. LANG: No. No, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: Are you currently still under probation?

MR. LANG: No, ma'am. No, that's been over, well over.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Have you maintained full-time
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employment?
MR. LANG: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. And since you're not applying for or haven't been offered a job in Arkansas, is there some reason you want to be licensed in Arkansas, when you already have a job?

MR. LANG: Well, like I said, I mean, no other reason. I just think, you know, I think Arkansas is a great place to live and a great place to be. And like I said, going through the things that I've been through I think I've shown, like I said, remorse and just -- I made a poor -- and understand, I can't accept -- I can't make it -- minimize it. I mean, that was a significant point in my life because you go through things as a young man and as you grow up -- because I look at myself now with the gray hair, I wear glasses now; when I first started I thought I could rule the world. But like I said, going through those experiences now has put me in a much different shape to be able to mold young men, to teach them "when you make mistakes this is what happens." Because I have to continue to answer for this whenever -- you know -- when you apply for a license and, you know, that goes along with the decision that I made. But I just think the things that I've been
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through has kind of made me a more well-polished individual now than what $I$ was.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. You stated that being athletic director was sort of a goal and that you were only there as athletic director and then you changed jobs. Can you tell me why?

MR. LANG: Well, I was athletic director, like I said, from 2009 to 2014.

MS . ZOOK: Right.
MR. LANG: Okay. In 2014 and '15, like I said, I was athletic director/head football coach and assistant principal. What happened, the State Department took over Port Gibson High School when I was there. The superintendent that was there, longstanding superintendent, she left and at that time I sought -- you know -- I sought to leave -- you know -- leave myself and I went to Leland Public Schools as the athletic director -- well, like I say, director of operations. But after that point, that superintendent was on her way out. So, once again, now I'm the head football coach and helping the physical education teacher at Yazoo City schools.

MS. ZOOK: So you have -- you are certified or licensed in three states. Is that correct?

MR. LANG: Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. A teacher
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and administrator. Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: I didn't really hear an answer to one of Ms. Zook's questions, which was the question about why Arkansas, especially considering the other opportunities that are present to you in other states. I just did not hear an answer to that question.

MR. LANG: Well, like I say, Arkansas is close to me. I'm from Greenville, Mississippi anyway; you know, Arkansas -- you've got Arkansas and Louisiana that are real close to, you know, where I'm from anyway. So like I say, I have colleagues that's coached me that -- I mean, that I know -- I mean, that have coached me in high school that are employed in Arkansas as well. So, I mean, they have told me about -- you know -- like I said, the close proximity. I'm from Greenville, Mississippi originally and then I just -- you know -- I just look at the state as a place I might would like to settle down in.

DR. BARTH: Okay. And during -- in addition to your work in athletic programs, what were your other kind of teaching responsibilities in it before you became kind of focused more heavily on athletics?
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MR. LANG: Well, when I first started -- when I first started I was helping the physical education teacher and I was assistant football coach. But after a couple of years of that, they kind of took my class and made me administrative assistant. Because they always said -- I've always heard principal and administrators say that coaches make good administrators. So I've always been -- I've been in that role as far as, you know, helping with discipline and those kind of things or whatever. So initially, you know, after my first couple of years of helping physical education/assistant coach, then I became the coordinator, then the head coach after my third year. And that's kind of where I've been in that capacity ever since.

DR. BARTH: Okay. And I guess a question -- Ms. Pfeffer?

MS. PFEFFER: Yes.
DR. BARTH: I'm sorry to -- I'll talk slowly, so -- in terms of the areas of teaching that Mr . Lang would teach in, there are no issues -- those are not areas where we have a lack of teachers. Is that --

MS. PFEFFER: I do not believe so. No.
DR. BARTH: Okay.
MS. PFEFFER: And I have not looked to see what
his areas of licensure would be. But in the area of physical education that is not a shortage area.

DR. BARTH: Okay. Thank you. And I'm sorry for putting you on the spot.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any further questions? If not, do we have a motion?

MS. LIWO: Before you make a motion, may I just point you to the timeline of events that I've provided you all? First, on the first page I have indicated that Mr . Lang is no longer employed with the Yazoo School District, but that's incorrect; we called and verified that he is currently employed. And then on the second page there is a list of his current status as far as licensure, certification with other states. And I would just like to point out that Georgia has revoked his certificate, teaching certificate, and that is based on his conviction and additional information that they were provided from Mississippi. I believe Mr. Lang might want to address the Mississippi basis that Georgia considered.

DR. BARTH: So before he does that, can you give us your take on that process?

MS. LIWO: On?
DR. BARTH: In terms of the -- what information
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have you gotten about the --
MS. LIWO: From Georgia?
DR. BARTH: From Georgia and, I guess, indirectly from Mississippi, before we hear his analysis.

MS. LIWO: From Georgia, I learned that -- and they did provide a probable cause summary. But from Georgia I learned that they had considered whether his license was suspended or revoked or any kind of hold in other states. They considered his conviction. They did consider information indicating that Mississippi did find that he had provided deceitful information on his applications. When I tried to call Mississippi to verify or to find out information concerning that deceitful finding, Mississippi informed me that they could not provide me with that information.

DR. BARTH: So to the best of your understanding, was it related to the 2007 reinstatement issue or something more recent than that?

MS. LIWO: To my understanding, Mississippi's finding that he was deceitful was based on the conviction. Apparently, while he was going through the criminal process, and it had not concluded, they
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learned about it. And I guess from that determined that he had lied about maybe previously being convicted of an offense.

DR. BARTH: Thank you.
MS. DEAN: So Mississippi provided the information to Georgia, but it says here that Mississippi reinstated him in 2007. Is that --

MS. LIWO: That is correct.
MS. DEAN: Okay.
MS. LIWO: And Georgia, in their probable cause summary did -- they were aware that Mississippi and Tennessee at that time had reinstated licenses to Mr. Lang.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And, additionally, the hold from Tennessee was removed on the 15th. So he's presently licensed in Tennessee and Mississippi?

MS. LIWO: All of those states, except Georgia. And Tennessee had placed a hold on Mr. Lang's license because they were trying to figure out why Georgia had revoked his certificate.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: But it says it was removed in October of 2015?

MS. LIWO: The hold on Mr. Lang from Tennessee?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
MS. LIWO: That's correct. And I called and
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verified that with Tennessee.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Ms. Zook, do you have a question?

MS. zook: No. I guess that my motion would be to -- let's see how it's worded here -- my motion is to uphold ADE's recommendation, in part because of the -- he can earn a living in several other states. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So, Ms. Zook -- okay. Your motion is to deny the request for waiver? I mean, or to grant the request to --

MS. ZOOK: No.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Your --
MS. ZOOK: ADE has recommended that we deny his request.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So --
MS. ZOOK: And I move to uphold ADE's recommendation.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. That's the motion by
Ms. Zook. Is there a second?
DR. BARTH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Dr. Barth. All
in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Did you vote?
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
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CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So that's three. And opposed?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Everybody voted yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I'm sorry. Did everybody -- I didn't hear all the voices. Everybody was in favor of the motion, then the motion passes to uphold the decision not to grant license to Mr. Lang by the Arkansas Department of Education staff. That was A7.

A-8: CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER REQUEST FOR TEACHING LICENSE ANWAR H. FAIRLEY

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll go to A-8, Consideration of Waiver Request for Teaching License, Anwar Fairley. Ms. Liwo. Okay. Go ahead.

MS. LIWO: Do you need me to go over the waiver hearing procedures again?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No.
MS. LIWO: All right. And then, so I'll begin with my opening statement. This past August the Department learned that Anwar Fairley had pled guilty to a disqualifying offense. Per the order, court order of deferred adjudication, Mr. Fairley was sentenced to four months in the county jail with four months suspended imposition of sentence, 72 months of supervised probation, 200 hours of community service,
and 120 days of home detention with electronic monitoring. Mr. Fairley was sentenced under the provisions of Act 346. Given the nature of the offense and the strict sentencing imposed by the court, the Department is recommending that the State Board suspend Mr. Fairley's license to fall in line with the time period he is on criminal probation. We're also asking that the Department require Mr . Fairley to successfully complete his criminal probation and obtain an Act 346 order to dismiss or seal on his record.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Is Mr. Fairley here or his attorney? Would you identify yourself?

MR. ALAGOOD: We're both here.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You're both. Okay. MR. ALAGOOD: How do you do? I am Greg Alagood --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Will he be speaking? MR. ALAGOOD: Pardon?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Will Mr. Fairley be speaking?

MR. ALAGOOD: During -- it's my understanding I get five minutes for an opening statement and then we get 20 minutes for our presentation, during which time he will be speaking. So stand up and raise your
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right hand and be sworn.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: All right. Go ahead.
MR. ALAGOOD: Thank you. The packet that I just gave you on behalf of Mr . Fairley, the first three pages --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Would you tell us who you are?

MR. ALAGOOD: I am Greg Alagood and I represent Mr. Fairley. And the first three pages there are my statement on behalf of him that identify and explain the exhibits. And then the fourth page is a Table of Contents for our exhibits. Now Mr. Fairley is 32 years old; he's been a teacher for approximately 10 years. He's a native of El Dorado, taught for seven years with the El Dorado School Department, and then for the past three years has been employed with Pulaski County Special School District. Now last November he was residing with a young lady in Arkadelphia, and working in Pulaski County. They got into a tiff, left the home, and another young lady who was present in the home apparently thought that
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Mr. Fairley's girlfriend was in peril. She called the police and said, "Oh, she's been kidnapped." He got pulled over and taken into custody and ultimately got charged with false imprisonment. Now you'll see Exhibit "F" is the affidavit of the young lady, where she explains that she tried to go to the Clark County prosecutor and tell him, "No, I hadn't been kidnapped. My liberty wasn't being restrained, nor was I in fear of any physical injury." For whatever reason, the Clark County prosecutor just really had his heels dug in on this case and refused to negotiate it down to a second offense, which would not have been a disqualifying offense. And so during the process Mr. Fairley was represented by Clint Mathis, an attorney in Arkadelphia, who was representing him in the defense of these charges down there. And then I was counseling him regarding, "Okay, now you understand what you can or cannot plead guilty to as far as how it might jeopardize your licensure." And so if you'll look at Exhibit "G," there's a letter from Mr. Mathis to Pulaski County -- well, it's to Whom It May Concern; actually, I think it was to Pulaski County Special School District -- Mr. Brewer, as a matter of fact -explaining to him how the case was going to work out.
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And that seemed to satisfy everybody. So as you can see, the county school district gave Mr. Fairley a contract for the current school year. He is a literary facilitator. But then we get the paperwork from how it all went down and actually he had entered a guilty plea. Mr. Fairley is going to explain to you that he thought that guilty plea was a technicality that was going to be held in abeyance in case he didn't satisfactorily complete the terms of the suspended imposition of sentence. But technically, if you look at the docket he pled guilty to what is a disqualifying offense.

Now I'm going to suggest to you that the very most important piece of paper that's presented to you is the very last page of our exhibit packet, which is from Mr. Brewer, who is the Director of HR there at the Pulaski County Special School District. I don't know if you know Mr. Brewer; he's that nice gentleman sitting right back there. He's head of HR. If you don't know him, I know you know Dr. Jerry Guess and I know you know that they would not be interested in Mr. Fairley coming back to work if they felt like he posed a threat to the safety of students or other staff.

Now I am very grateful that staff has suggested
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to you that a suspension would be appropriate in this case as opposed to outright revocation of Mr . Fairley's license. I have an even better idea, and that is put his license on a probationary status to coincide with the probation that's taking place in Clark County Circuit Court. You can even require him to furnish staff with periodic progress reports of how that's going. That way, he is on a leash, if you will. If he were to stumble his toe in Clark County and not satisfactorily complete that suspended imposition of sentence, then, being under probation, you would have a mechanism by which to address that. But the district would like to have him back to work Friday. And so why are we going to keep a bright young man from teaching for three years when we could get him back into the classroom by keeping him on probation? Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. Ms. Liwo. MS. LIWO: All right. Mr. Alagood gave you his version of the underlying facts concerning the offense, and I'll give you mine. From the probable cause affidavit and from the affidavit provided by Ms. Davis, the victim, we know that Mr. Fairley was at Ms. Davis' apartment; he grabbed her; he told her -- he placed his hand over her mouth, told her not to
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scream, and then shoved her into his car and left the apartment with her in it. Her roommate or visitor called 911 and stated that she had been kidnapped. According to Ms. Davis' affidavit, this was a roommate or visitor that was not familiar with Mr. Fairley. Being that she was not familiar with Mr. Fairley, her state of panic in calling 911 gives you some indication of how Mr. Fairley conducted himself on that night. The Department -- and I'll step back again and say that Ms. Davis' affidavit was presented to the prosecuting attorney. And despite having that affidavit, as Mr. Alagood said, the prosecuting attorney dug in his heels. The best -- this was a negotiated plea agreement, by the way, and this was the best negotiated -- the plea agreement that the prosecuting attorney apparently was willing to offer, and that's four months jail time suspended, 72 months of probation, community service, 120 days of home detention with electronic monitoring. That kind of sentence indicates that the prosecuting attorney was very concerned; it indicates that the court was very concerned with what went on or what transpired on that evening with Ms. Davis and Mr. Fairley. One of the Department's other concerns is, given the stringent conditions of probation that Mr .
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Fairley is required to comply with, would Mr. Fairley be able to be a quality educator during this time period, and we are of the position that he won't be. It's a lot, and it probably would be best to place his license on suspension so that he can get his criminal situation under control. And if nothing goes wrong there, then his license can be reinstated. The other thing that I'd like to bring to your attention is that Mr. Fairley is not unfamiliar to the PLSB office as far as ethics is concerned. In I believe 2011, the State Board did enter an order finding that he violated Standard 1 of the Code of Ethics for inappropriate communications with a student. The State Board at that time did issue him a written warning. I was going to address the Pulaski County Special School District. But since Mr . Brewer is here, I would ask that Mr. Brewer expressly state whether he is -- whether the school district is willing to employ Mr. Fairley with a disqualifying offense on his record at this time. I understand that the Pulaski County Special School District has indicated that they don't believe Mr. Fairley is a threat to students, but they have not necessarily stated that they are willing to re-employ him. And the 2015-2016 school contract was, I
believe, entered into before Mr. Fairley pled guilty to the disqualifying offense.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Brewer, would you come forward and be sworn, please? Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth and the whole truth, nothing but the truth?

MR. BREWER: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. Ms. Liwo had indicated that you would speak to certain points, and I'd ask that you respond based on what she said -- or issues that you feel are concerns.

MR. BREWER: As she said, Pulaski County did enter into a contract with Mr. Fairly, with our understanding that he would be eligible to continue employment. At that time, before this happened, he was told that he would be suspended from his license; he would be charged with a felony. We told him we respect the State Board and we respect the State Department of Education and their decisions; if he was given a license, he would be re-employed at this time as an English facilitator at Mills High School. That was the position he had. We have not filled that position, waiting on this decision, and we will totally respect whatever the State Board decides.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Dr. Barth has a
question.
DR. BARTH: So what exactly is the status of his contract at the moment?

MR. BREWER: It's suspended, pending the outcome of this hearing.

DR. BARTH: Okay. But it's -- it would continue with that same contract that was already developed?

MR. BREWER: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions of Mr. Brewer? Okay.

MR. BREWER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I'm sorry, Mr. Brewer. I think Ms. Dean has a question.

MS. DEAN: I have a question. Is the district's -- is the school's position that you don't feel he's a threat to students?

MR. BREWER: Yes, ma'am.
MS. DEAN: Okay. In this case, he actually pled guilty to basically -- it's not kidnapping, but basically kidnapping. I don't -- can you explain your position why you don't feel like that would be a threat to students --

MR. BREWER: Well --
MS. DEAN: -- someone who is actually -- because it wasn't that he was just convicted, he pled guilty.
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MR. BREWER: When he came to talk to me when this was over and I explained to him when I got the letter from the Department saying he was no longer eligible, I brought him in and explained to him that this had been found. He was totally shocked, because in his mind -- and I really believe he really felt this way at the time -- that he had signed a document that would allow him to come back and not have his license revoked. I explained to him that that was not the position of the court, that if he did get a hearing and if he in fact was eligible -- he had already taught for the district for approximately about three years. We had no problems with him; he was doing a very good job of teaching, and so we felt like that he was not a threat to anyone. This was an isolated case between him and a girlfriend that happened off-campus. Nothing on campus has ever happened that would give us an indication that he would be a threat to children.

MS. DEAN: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Sorry. Back on the offense that Ms.
Liwo had mentioned earlier, which was from twenty -MS. LIWO: 2011.

DR. BARTH: 2011. Was he employed at PCSSD
before and through that whole period?
MR. BREWER: I'm not sure I understand. Would you mind repeating that, because --

DR. BARTH: So the offense for which he received a warning from the State Board involving a violation of Standard 1 -- correct? Is that correct, Ms. Liwo? It was the interaction with -- inappropriate interaction with a student. Was he an employee of PCSSD?

MR. WILLIAMSON: He was in El Dorado.
DR. BARTH: So that was at the time in El Dorado, and then after that he got --

MR. BREWER: Yes, sir. Had no problems at our district.

DR. BARTH: Okay. Good.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any further questions? Ms. Zook, do you have a motion?

MS. ZOOK: I think he was going to let him do --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh, I'm sorry.
MS. ZOOK: -- his presentation.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I'm sorry. Mr. Alagood.
MS. LIWO: If I may just make one final comment before Mr. Alagood, I understand that the Pulaski County Special School District would be willing to employ Mr. Fairley. However, if he is granted a
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waiver or if his license isn't placed on suspension, Mr. Fairley could move on past the 2015-2016 school year and seek employment with another school district. All those other school districts obviously aren't here to say whether they would be comfortable employing Mr. Fairley. I think those school districts would be placed in jeopardy, given his history with the PLSB and it seems his escalating conduct with the criminal conviction. And I just -I'd ask that the Board place his license on suspension.

MR. ALAGOOD: Come on up here, Anwar. First off, let me say if you place his license on probation that information is going to be in his Department of Education personnel file, just as that 2011 written warning was in his Department of Education personnel file at the time that he was employed by the Pulaski County Special School District. But it's gone now. A written warning is the lightest proposed punishment that the PLSB can recommend. It remains in your Department of Education licensure file for two years and then it goes away. Now as far as the stringent conditions of probation are concerned and how that would affect his ability to be an effective teacher, Mr. Fairley is going to explain to you that while
he's been off work at Pulaski County he's already done his 200 hours of community service work, working at the Salvation Army in Hot Springs. So, listen, having said that -- Anwar, introduce yourself to the Ladies and Gentlemen of the Board.

MR. FAIRLEY: Good afternoon. I wish that I were here under better circumstances. There are some things I feel like that this may even be a platform to clear up. Due to a number of circumstances -this was a very unfortunate event. I at no time placed anyone in substantial risk of harm. There was a domestic issue with the person who actually contacted the authorities concerning theft. And as I was removing myself from the situation, I then learned that perhaps the theft of the amount of money that was taken was actually -- maybe it was the result of two people working together. And so it was in the midst of all of that and under the idea that I did something that -- engaged somebody against their will and all of that began to unfold and it unfolded rapidly. On the search of the -- I mean, as Mr. Brewer said, when I received the notice I was completely shocked. I was shocked because of the proceedings that for months I had been in contact with the district. I hid nothing in terms of
anything that has happened with -- in El Dorado or anything. I hid nothing from the district. I called them, I checked in with them. I told them -- I gave them every piece of information that $I$ ever had, because to this day I accepted what I believed was deferred adjudication, as the attorney said. And in working with that and some family situations, my mother being ill and I was dealing with that, at the time she needed me. And as I talked to my attorney, Mr. Mathis, we were trying to work out a situation to where -- because he explained to me, he said, "Even if you receive a misdemeanor," he said, "depending on how this could go, there is a possibility that, you know, you could get jail time or it could go crazy." He said, "I think, you know, you maintain your innocence but a deferred adjudication would allow you to kind of fulfill the requirements of the court; you would be able to kind of assist with your mother's deal, and hopefully these things will be able to work themselves out." So after long consideration, I talked to him. And after I realized in the statute that it did say about the pleading guilty, even in his statements, the statements he released to the district, when I talked to him for hours -- I drove there, we discussed -- he explained to me, he said,
"But you're not entering a guilty plea." I showed him the statute; I showed him all of that. I explained the situation and the circumstances, as I had with the school district, as I had with my family. Anyone who's ever asked me I explained the situation. It was not actually until the day that I went that they were giving -- I never had a change of plea hearing; I never had any of that. I went and they was, you know, scrounging around these papers and, of course, in the midst of it I was looking at it and I did see it and I asked about it. And he took it to the prosecutor and they said, "Well, this is all in the event that you do not satisfy the requirements of the court then basically we would proceed on." He said, "There is not a guilty plea being entered." And that information, from what I was -- I was sitting in the courtroom; I saw him approach the prosecutor; I heard them say, "May we approach the bench?" I saw what I had always thought was court, because I'd never been in a situation like this before. And he came back and he said, "Oh, yeah, that's just -- that's a matter of technicality." And he went back and he kept talking to the prosecutor, and I could kind of hear what they were saying. So, again, I went on. I thought, okay,
you know, I'm prepared to do this. This is something that was blown out of proportion. I know that I did not harm her. I know that the search of the vehicle showed no signs that I had taken her against her will. There was no drugs, no alcohol. There was no traffic violations. She was not injured. There was nothing of those, of that matter. So when I say I was shocked when I went into the Pulaski County Special School District office, I was shocked.

MR. ALAGOOD: Let me interject something here. You know, Ms. Liwo was reviewing with the folks the probable cause affidavit, the statements that the young lady made. And Ms. Dean was expressing some misgivings about giving -- you know -- letting you go back to work, given the fact that it appears that you pled guilty to something that's almost, you know, to the level of kidnapping. Let's look at Exhibit "F", which is this affidavit that your defense attorney obtained from the alleged victim. And would you agree with me, Anwar, that she pretty well repudiates the statements attributed to her in the probable cause affidavit? I mean, she points out that the police report is inaccurate?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: That -- I think in that very first
full paragraph she says that she was somewhat distraught the night of the incident and so many details were not correct. Let's just take a brief moment and satisfy the folks about what it is that exactly happened. You lived with your girlfriend there in Arkadelphia?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: And then the other young lady who was at the home at that time, there was some discussion about whether or not she might move in also as a roommate?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: Okay. And so, I mean, you and your girlfriend were having an argument. Is that safe to say?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes. A disagreement. Yes, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: And you and she left the house?
MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: But you were not taking her against her will, were you?

MR. FAIRLEY: No. No, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: You didn't force her into your vehicle?

MR. FAIRLEY: No, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: She was mad and y'all drove around
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a little bit to cool off?
MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: And, unfortunately, the police became involved and the unfortunate end-result of that is you being charged with false imprisonment. And so you were aware of what the licensure requirements were, so as not to trigger revocation of your license, and then you also had Mr. Mathis advising you on the proceedings down there. And in fact, if we look at I think -- Exhibit "G" was a letter that Mr. Mathis wrote to the school district, explaining to you that the way $y$ 'all were going to work this out would be you wouldn't be entering a guilty plea?

MR. FAIRLEY: That's correct.
MR. ALAGOOD: Deferred adjudication, you'll be on probation for a period of time, and then it goes away?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, sir.
MR. ALAGOOD: And is that honestly what you thought was happening?

MR. FAIRLEY: That is honestly what I thought was happening. I took his word, I took his advice. And with my family situation and everything, I took all of that in consideration and I honestly thought
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that that's what it was. Had I thought it was anything any different, as I explained to Mr. Brewer, I would've given them a heads-up. I would've -- but I took that at face value and I honestly know that I did not engage her in any way that caused her harm. And he said, "You've not been convicted of anything," and there is no conviction. However, I had to satisfy the requirements of the court, and at that time then that's what they said, but there was no conviction. So other than those papers that they shoved at me -- and when I questioned that I really had no reason --

MR. ALAGOOD: Now what is your position with the school district?

MR. FAIRLEY: I'm Instructional Facilitator for literacy with Pulaski County Special School District.

MR. ALAGOOD: And doing -- what do you actually do on a daily basis when you're at work?

MR. FAIRLEY: Well, what I do is I work with adult learners and I work with students, and I basically look at best practices for instruction. We look at our achievement gap; we look at ways to differentiate instruction; we look at ways to scaffold instruction. We're always one-on-one point-of-contact with the students. And I'm just basically
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-- ever since I can remember teaching, I always was kind of a cheerleader. And so, you know, I go around to the classes and make sure the teachers are okay and assist them with instruction. And so on a daily basis I'm helping the kids and the teachers and alongside the principal, doing whatever it is I can do to help students achieve. And I've done that in both school districts and I know that my record there speaks for me.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Dean has a question. MS. DEAN: I do. I understand what you're saying about the misunderstanding as far as the plea, but I really have an issue with the affidavit of Dontisha Davis. Having dealt with women in domestic abuse situations, this, for lack of a better word, reeks of it. Because if I'm not mistaken -- I'm just going to read a few of these: "According to his report, I appeared scared and looked as if I had been crying." The police officer said when he first saw her in the car she appeared scared and crying. She said herself she put her hand over her mouth "because I was overwhelmed and scared." Skipping down to the bottom, "It's possible that Mr. Fairley did not say 'Don't scream or I will kill you.' I was mentally distraught that night and everything was happening so
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fast. Looking back I realize that Mr. Fairley could have said, 'Don't scream like I'm going to kill you' or 'Don't scream, I won't kill you.'" Even if she didn't say -- even if you didn't say "Don't scream like I'm going to kill you," why would she say you said that? And as Ms. Liwo said earlier, being the person who called the police said that she didn't know you, but from the situation it seems it was -I'm trying to figure out how to say this -- the thing that happened alarmed her to the point where she called 911. And I want to go back to the affidavit. Dealing with women who have been in domestic abuse situations, oftentimes women do not want to get their abuser in trouble. They don't want to -- and a lot of times they change their view of what actually happened. So I just -- I take -- I have an issue with this and it's bothering me.

MR. ALAGOOD: With all due respect, Ms. Dean, are you asking Mr. Fairley if he's guilty of domestic abuse? I would respectfully submit that it's a quantum leap to extrapolate from the alleged victim's affidavit that she was a victim of domestic abuse. That's not what he was charged with. Exhibit "A" sets out the elements of the offense with which Mr. Fairley was charged.

MS. DEAN: I understand. But I'm saying the issues -- the point that led up to this -- my point is that this affidavit does not sound like -- it doesn't sound like it matches what Mr. Fairley said.

MR. ALAGOOD: Well, the affidavit was obtained by Clint Mathis who was Mr. Fairley's criminal defense attorney in Clark County Circuit Court. I've never spoken with Ms. Davis, I've never met Ms. Davis, so I can't speak to the voracity of her affidavit.

MS. DEAN: And I just want to also add just another thing, "I told him I didn't want to go if we were going to be arguing. I did not want to go and I was irritated."

MR. ALAGOOD: And I don't mean to, you know, denigrate your concerns. I would respectfully submit that the bottom line question for you folks is the factor that's set out in the statute that grants you the authority to give somebody a waiver from a disqualifying offense, and that is do you feel like this man is a risk to the safety of students or other school personnel. And his HR director for the past three years has told him, "No, we don't think so." And if he were to walk out of here this afternoon with the ability to continue to teach, he'll be back
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at work in the morning under the contract that he has with the Pulaski County Special School District.

MS. ZOOK: I have some questions.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you have a question? MS. ZOOK: Uh-huh. Have you kept all the conditions of your probation?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, ma'am. At this time, if I could just update you, because I was notified on August 17th from the school district, from the Department, I returned and I have completed all the community service. I would also like to -- I'd also like to note that the 120 days home detention, it was on the record down in Clark County. And there are other inaccuracies with several of the documents that my defense attorney took up also, because the affidavit has names mixed up. But I'm certainly going to answer your question, but -- so the two hundred dollars, community service -- yes, ma'am -I've completed those. The 120-day home detention was removed from the record. I was not responsible for doing such because at the time of -- after that -they told me what was going on in the proceedings and everything; I voluntarily went ahead and submitted myself to electronic monitoring just to make sure that there were no issues or -- because there are
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more issues going on than what was actually told and I didn't make contact with Ms. Davis. The defense attorney told me, "Oh, by the way, she came in and she gave a statement." Because I know, God knows, and they know what happened. But at the same time, they gave me credit. I did not have to do home detention; I did not have to do that. And I'm also -- they also agreed to lessen the time of probation as long as -- and I have been doing that without fail. So I'm in complete compliance with everything that they have asked me to do. Because, again, I have not been convicted and I did not do what it appears that has been done.

MS. ZOOK: Have you completed your counseling?
MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: And have you paid all your fines and costs?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. And I think the big thing -you know, I taught school; I could go out on the playground, something has already happened, and I don't know who had it and nobody is guilty but, you know, kids are laying there bloody. The pattern is what bothers me. You have a pattern in El Dorado where you were given a warning, and then we have this
pattern. And I have no doubt that it's possible that -- no offense to Mr . -- the attorney may have advised you to do something just to, you know, get it cleared up and go on. I don't doubt that. But there is a pattern and patterns bother me when it comes to educators and children.

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, ma'am.
MR. ALAGOOD: And also an additional response to your question, $I$ want to point out that under Act 346 you get two-for-one credit for every day of satisfactory completion of your terms of suspended imposition of sentence, such that really what you would be looking at would be a three-year probation, at which point he could furnish documentation that everything had been satisfactorily taken care of there. But he would, you know, ostensibly be under a degree of staff supervision by virtue of being under probation during that period of time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth, do you have a question?

DR. BARTH: Yeah. And it actually is exactly what's on the timeline here. So you're saying under Act 346 then it would be three years; the 72 months would turn into 36 months?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes.
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DR. BARTH: And then the starting date on that was this 11th of August?

MR. FAIRLEY: Yes, sir.
DR. BARTH: Is that the date the clock starts sort of ticking?

MR. ALAGOOD: (Nodding head up and down.)
DR. BARTH: Now what about the suspended sentence? Is that stacked on top of the three years or is that --

MR. FAIRLEY: No, sir. That's -- as they explained it to me, that would have been -- as opposed -- like they said a misdemeanor in the state of Arkansas, if you're convicted you could face up to a year in ADC. But in this case, the prosecutor did not seek even time in the Arkansas Department of Corrections. It would have been not a year, which with the misdemeanor would have carried -- it would've carried four months in the county jail, which was what they said it would have been in the event that I did not fulfill.

DR. BARTH: Okay. All right. So 72 months -or 36 months is what we're looking at here. So that would be -- okay. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any further questions? If not, we're ready for a motion.
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MS. LIWO: May I make --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Liwo. MS. LIWO: -- a few last -- a few more comments before you make a motion. I'm sorry. First, Mr. Alagood mentioned that on the ADE's public site you'd be able to see that Mr. Fairley was on probation or suspension, or whatever the State Board decides. While that's true and the public would have access to the State Board's order concerning this hearing, they wouldn't have information about the underlying facts. For example, the probable cause affidavit provided by the police officers, they wouldn't have that information. The other thing is Mr. Fairley, from listening to him, sounded like he was telling y'all that he wasn't aware that he was entering a guilty plea or any kind of plea. But I'm looking at the conditions of probation form entered by the court and on that form -- and Mr. Fairley has initialed on this form -- it states, "Now on this 11th day of August, 2015, the defendant, having entered a plea of no contest, or having been found guilty in the abovestyled action, and having been placed on probation for 72 months," et cetera, et cetera -- did he initial this form without reading it? Because this form clearly says that he is either pleading no
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contest or entering a plea of guilty. So you can't have it both ways; you can't stand up here and say that you didn't know but initial all over a document that clearly spells out what you're doing.

And then I'm going to jump on Ms. Dean's bandwagon for a minute. Thank you for making a lot of my arguments for me with Ms. Davis' affidavit. I was going to point out all of those statements to you but since Ms. Dean has done an excellent job of doing that already, all I'll say is that affidavit spends a lot of time targeting the police officers and the affidavit of probable cause and it makes no sense because these officers weren't staking out Mr.

Fairley; they were responding to a 911 call. They have no reason -- they had no reason, no personal bias against Mr. Fairley. All the information that the officers reported was information that Ms. Davis provided to them on that evening. Ms. Davis' affidavit does give me concern or does raise issues of concern. It does read like somebody who is trying to protect her significant other. And from the information that you were provided, it does indicate that Ms. Davis and Mr. Fairley had some kind of involvement with one another.

And then, finally, I would say that Mr. Alagood
hinted or stated something to the effect that there's nothing to indicate that Mr. Fairley is or would be a threat to students. I think that these facts clearly show that there's a potential that he would perhaps one day find himself acting in this manner towards a student. And, again, we already know that he has a prior history of acting inappropriately with students.

Suspend his license for the duration of his criminal probation, three years or 72 months. During that time period we'll know whether Mr. Fairley has turned things around or he may not comply with his probation requirements and we would have protected Arkansas students.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Ms. Liwo, on his license renewal what is his date of -- his next renewal and how would that correlate with suspension?

MS. LIWO: I have to look first. I'm sorry.
DR. BARTH: I'm sorry. I didn't take a note on that. I'm just trying to get all the timelines in sync.

MR. ALAGOOD: Mr. Fairley says that his license would be up for renewal in December of 2016.

DR. BARTH: Okay. So if there was a suspension
would he be able to renew a license, albeit in a suspended state, during that period?

MS. LIWO: I believe he could renew it but the suspension would still apply to --

DR. BARTH: I understand that. But he would be able -- would not -- the suspension that was during that period would not stop him from renewal. Correct?

MS. LIWO: No, that's -- no, it would not.
DR. BARTH: Okay. Thanks.
MS. REINHART: That's not correct.
MS. LIWO: No?
MS. REINHART: Not correct.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Reinhart.
MS. REINHART: I'm sorry. Cheryl Reinhart for the Department of Education. No, he would not be able to renew his license during that time once on suspension. But at the end of the suspension he would -- I mean, he can still work towards renewal eventually by completing the professional development that he needs, et cetera during that period of suspension.

DR. BARTH: Would he need to come back to the Board or to the PLSB for approval to --

MS. REINHART: No.
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DR. BARTH: -- renew?
MS. REINHART: No. Once the suspension ends, then he would be cleared to -- we just review the file and double-check that everything has been done.

DR. BARTH: Okay.
MS. REINHART: And then he can renew.
DR. BARTH: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any further questions? If not, we're ready for a motion.

DR. BARTH: I will move, although I'm willing to compromise here -- I will move for a two-year suspension, followed by a one-year probation, with all conditions met related to ongoing professional development during that period. And is that -- and no additional disqualifying offenses during that period as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. The motion is by Dr. Barth. Did you -- Ms. Coffman, did you get the conditions?

MS. COFFMAN: (Nodding head up and down.)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Is there a second? MS. CHAMBERS: Can I ask a question? Is that after you second?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I think it's after you second.
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MS. CHAMBERS: I'll second it.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Second by Ms. Chambers. Any questions?

MS. CHAMBERS: And I'm just curious what informed the timeframe that you came up with, as opposed to what it would've been otherwise?

DR. BARTH: Well, I mean, I do want to -- I'm trying to balance things, I mean, in terms of our responsibility to protect young people but then also our hope that folks can begin to get their lives back in order. And this felt like a balance so that he could -- for the school year two years from now he could begin to move back into the classroom. But then, there would still be additional oversight during that first year back in the classroom, simply to see if things were proceeding at pace, that he was still interacting with students appropriately. Does that answer your question?

MS. CHAMBERS: It does. I just -- I have a perspective of the pattern of behavior. And regardless of by degree what's been asserted here and what actually happened here, it does reflect poor judgment and a pattern of poor judgment. And when it comes to the safety of our kids I don't think we can have questions about that. So my only concern is
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does that timeframe make a difference.
DR. BARTH: And my response would be that I think if that pattern is real that it will very, very likely come to light within the two-year period, before he is back interacting with young people. I mean, these things are always guesses.

MS. CHAMBERS: I know.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Before your question, Ms. Dean, the difference is, as $I$ understand it from Ms. Liwo, is that this is just one year short of what they're recommending. Is that correct? DR. BARTH: It is. I mean, but I guess I just wanted to -- you know -- I think two years would -CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I'm thinking -- I was speaking to Ms. Chambers more. I think I understood your motion.

DR. BARTH: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And that was just -MS. CHAMBERS: Yes. That is my understanding.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. CHAMBERS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: That was my question. Because Ms.
Liwo, if I'm not mistaken, suggested three years.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: That's correct.
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MS. DEAN: And you're suggesting two.
DR. BARTH: Two plus one.
MS. DEAN: Two plus one year probation, which means he would be back in the classroom in two years. Is that what you're saying?

DR. BARTH: Correct.
MS. DEAN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So any further questions?
DR. BARTH: If he -- if there were no other offenses during that period -- and I think that's a very, very, very important piece, to respond to Ms. Chambers, I think, you know, there's a good period of time for bad behavior to show itself if it's really, truly an ongoing pattern.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Then we're ready for the vote. All in favor of Dr. Barth's motion say "aye."

DR. BARTH: Aye.
MS. CHAMBERS: Aye.
MS. ZOOK: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So one, two -- all opposed?
MS . DEAN: Nay.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Nay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Two nay's. An abstention?
MS. ZOOK: No. I voted.
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CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Which way did you vote? MS. zOOK: I voted with Dr. Barth.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So, I'm sorry. So it's three-to-two. Motion -- Dr. Barth's motion passes. Ms. Zook voted yes, Dr. Barth voted yes, and Ms. Chambers voted yes.

A-9: CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER REQUEST FOR TEACHING LICENSE MELANIE J. WILLIAMS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: The next item on the agenda is A-10 and that item is being pulled by request of the individual -- I'm sorry; A-9. A-9 is being pulled. That's Melanie Williams.

A-10: ADOPTION OF STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING DATES FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2016

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We're down to A-10, Adoption of State Board of Education Meeting Dates for Calendar Year 2016. And I think we all have had a chance to review those dates.

MS. ZOOK: I move --
MS. CLAY: I don't need to say anything. I was just prepared to answer any questions that you had. One thing to keep in mind, you probably -- whatever you approve today you're going to see again at your December meeting because the law requires that you adopt those dates in December. But for planning
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purposes we're asking you to look at those now. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. MS. ZOOK: I move that we accept the proposed calendar.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Is there a second? Moved by Ms. Zook to accept the calendar. Second? MS. DEAN: Second.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: By Ms. Dean. All in favor? (UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. Motion passes.

A-11: CONSIDERATION OF HIGH SCHOOL PARTNERSHIP FOR ASSESSMENT OF READINESS FOR COLLEGE AND CAREERS (PARCC) CUT SCORES CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We're down to A-11, Consideration of High School Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers Cut Scores. Hope Allen.

MS. ALLEN: Good afternoon. Hope Allen, Department of Education Student Assessment Unit. You have been provided with some materials and there is a PowerPoint that we're going to show you to walk you through the standards setting process that took place for the PARCC assessment over the past few months. Arkansas did have representation at these standards setting meetings for the -- today, we're going to
bring to you the high school scores, where we'll have the 3 through 8 scores next month to bring before the Board. So at the high school meeting, which is what we're going to talk about today, we did have representation from two Arkansas teachers, one from Little Rock and one from northern Arkansas. I forget the district; it's leaving me at the moment -- kind of north central Arkansas. In the standards setting process participants are put in an environment where they are in a group of other people by grade level from across the PARCC states. There was representation from every state in the consortium that participated last year. And those participants are asked to sit and walk through the grade level assessment that they will be setting standards for, and then they are asked to make judgments about what a borderline student at each level would score, depending on -- based on their knowledge of what students at that grade level should know.

So what you see currently on the screen are the cut scores that were put forward for the high school assessments. The upper boundary, the reason it says "around 803" is because that is -- that varies depending on the grade level that we're talking about. So for Arkansas, we did participate in the
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9th and 10th grade ELA, as well as the Algebra II -Algebra I, geometry, Algebra II, and 11th grade literacy were also given but they were optional; they were not required. So those cut scores on the top end will vary by grade level.

So in the performance setting process, again, like I said, the participants were given the assessment and then they were asked to make judgments about what would a borderline student be able to do on this particular assessment. And they looked at each question and determined a borderline level three-student, would they be able to answer this question and how many points would they receive on that question. So they knew what the question was, they knew how many points that question received, and then they were asked to make a judgment about how many points a borderline student would receive should they get this question correct. This is called the "Judgmental Process;" it is a statistically sound process that -- the cycle matricians at Pearson oversaw the entire standards setting for the PARCC consortium.

Multiple rounds of judgments did happen, so there were three rounds. Between rounds two and three the participants were shown the impact data so
they could see where their judgments -- how that was affecting the outcome of the scores and the number -the percentages of students that fell out of each level. Then they made recommendations. Then there was a final round where all the different rooms came together and did some reconciliation, and those recommendations were put forward to the governing board. And the governing board -- PARCC governing board then made a few adjustments and adopted those cut scores for the consortium at those levels.

I'm going to skip through this part. I've talked about that.

So, again, the governing board did make those final approvals for the PARCC states. And then we are asking today, based on Arkansas impact data, for the Board's direction on approving those scores for Arkansas, to be used in Arkansas as well.

So what you see on this screen, I've given you what was just passed out. Across the board there was the Arkansas scores as they fell out. So I don't have individual district scores at the moment; those will be coming soon. But what we do have are the percentages at each level for Arkansas schools. So what I've given you on the screen, you'll see at level three and above and at level four and above --
within your packet you do have every level, the percentages of how students fell out at every level on these particular assessments, remembering that the required assessments for the Algebra $I$, geometry grade 9 ELA and grade 10 ELA, for your Algebra II in grade 11 we saw small participation because those assessments were optional for schools to administer.

So you can see that our students scored around 60\% for all assessments, which the Department is very pleased with. That tells us that those are the levels of students that are on track to be college and career ready. If you look at level four and above, that is a smaller percentage but still our students show great progress towards college and career readiness based on this assessment.

So I would ask the desire of the Board to -- I'm asking you to approve the cut scores, knowing that where the cut scores sit today, Arkansas students will fall out in these percentages and that will be used in the calculations that need to go forward this year.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions? Dr. Barth. DR. BARTH: I don't have any problem with the cut score issue, but -- so obviously, in previous assessments we had four levels of segmented students.

Here we're moving to five.
MS. ALLEN: Uh-huh.
DR. BARTH: We've still got -- we've got the translation issue that we've got still -- I guess still to come; right?

MS. ALLEN: Uh-huh.
DR. BARTH: Is moving from four categories to five categories, does that create additional challenges with translation or not?

MS. ALLEN: I think it does create some challenges just in helping our schools understand the difference in going from four levels to five levels and how to interpret that data. My unit is currently working on some professional development for our schools and for our parents on how we can interpret those five levels, compared to what we had -- our previous knowledge of what assessment levels would be. So, yes.

DR. BARTH: And do we have a sense of -- on ACT Aspire and ACT how many levels we will have there? MS. ALLEN: Yes, sir. We have four levels with ACT Aspire, and we will be using the levels from ACT Aspire.

DR. BARTH: Okay.
MS. ALLEN: They don't have the same naming
conventions, but four categories.
DR. BARTH: Sure. Sure. And where are we in that -- in turning that translation process into alterations of any rules that we have related to -both -- I guess for academic distress for school grades, et cetera, where are we on all that?

MS. ALLEN: I can speak to the ACTAAP laws. We are currently in the process of revising those laws, knowing that this change in assessment has occurred. Kendra, would you like to speak to any other laws -rules. Excuse me, I'm sorry; not laws, rules. We're revising the ACTAAP rules. Excuse me.

DR. BARTH: If we had that power, things would be easy.

MS. ALLEN: Yes.
DR. JONES: Dr. Barth, if I understand you correctly, are you asking more about setting for A through $F$ and folks setting levels there?

DR. BARTH: Yeah. All of those -- all those rules that have references to ACTAAP in them --

DR. JONES: We are --
DR. BARTH: -- that we're now going to need to shift to obviously new language at a minimum and maybe something more.

DR. JONES: Okay. You're asking about the
rules. We are in revision -- in the revision process for cleaning all that up.

DR. BARTH: Okay. All right. And will that -I mean, when are we going to -- so we'll have to do a lot of those on an emergency basis and go ahead and start moving towards identification, Ms. Clay?

MS. CLAY: Yes. A lot of those will have to be done on an emergency basis. And we're looking at deadlines that are required by law, especially, for instance, the A through F, and backing up how long it's going to take us to get an emergency rule in place, because the process has lengthened a little bit. But that is also something that you'll be seeing in the next several months.

DR. BARTH: And as y'all are looking at those, are you thinking in terms of a one-year fix that we're going to then come back -- and have to come next year and fix again with new tests? Or are we thinking about going ahead and making some shift in rules that would be more permanent in terms of creating language that's a little more adaptable?

DR. JONES: We have. Even the most recent revisions we made this past legislative session, in dealing with assessments they were more general rather than specific naming and so we can adapt to
the changes necessary. So the answer is we're trying to be smart about rules so that we can change as we need to and not have to change rules, especially due to the fact that it takes so long to get rules corrected now.

DR. BARTH: Great.
DR. JONES: So, yes, we are doing that.
DR. BARTH: Wonderful. Thank you.
MS. CHAMBERS: May I ask one question? So soon, maybe in the next meeting, we'll have a timeline that will maybe help us understand where these things fall as it relates to the transition? And maybe the rules aren't finalized but we'll know when the rules would be finalized? That's kind of one question. And the second is: when we talk -- when we say "rules," is that inclusive of alignment or mapping one methodology to another? Is that what's included in the term "rules?"

DR. JONES: Really, the concordance, the mapping from one language of a test to another is not part of the rules per se. I can provide a general timeline on rules, but even -- we can know when we will take them over for approval; we can't always determine the final approval date. But we can look at a timeline for that. We are looking at the concordance table in
tact -- and actually ACT is doing some of that work for us, if the contract is approved. And so we do -we will have some timelines for that, so I can provide that in there as well.

MS. CHAMBERS: Okay. Thank you.
DR. JONES: Anything else that you think of specifically that you would like within timelines, because you have some specific questions, please let me know and we will provide that information.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: On that -- on this, as you guys are -- and this may be for Kendra. You know, in terms of some of the proposals from ForwARd, in terms of preacademic distress, some of that language, you know, I think when you think about that, you know, it may be -- maybe -- it may make sense for us to think is there other ways that we can go ahead and alter those rules in a way that will begin to move us towards, you know, thinking in those terms, since we have kind of adopted, you know, the general outlines of the strategic plan. Does this make sense to you?

DR. JONES: I understand exactly what you're requesting.

DR. BARTH: Okay. I mean, it would be great if we could go ahead and start moving that direction so
that we give schools, you know, a lot of sense of kind of where this is going to be heading in the next couple of years.

MS. ALLEN: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any further questions? Then the request of the Department staff is that we approve the cut scores. Is there a motion?

MS. DEAN: I move to approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Move to approve by Ms.
Dean. Is there a second?
MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Chambers.
All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. Thank you.

MS. ALLEN: Thank you.
A-12: CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE CUT SCORES FOR THE NATIONAL CENTER AND STATE COLLABORATIVE (NCSC) ASSESSMENT

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A-12. We'll take A-12 and then we'll take a break.

MS. ALLEN: So A-12 is similar to A-11, but it's asking for approval of the cut scores for the National Center for States Collaborative Assessment,
which is the alternate assessment that our students with significant cognitive disabilities participated in last year. I believe you have received these documents as well. And so what I provided to you in last month's agenda, you got the information about the standards setting process. The Arkansas participants that were sent forward to participate in that, we did have six teachers from across the state go and participate in that standards setting, very well -- a good representation for Arkansas. A similar process was used with the NCSC; again, a statistically sound -- they used the bookmarking method rather than the modified Angoff, which are both statistically sound methods of setting standards for assessments. So you can see the impact data for Arkansas of where our students fell out in the cut scores that were established. Again, this assessment has four levels, just like our previous alternate portfolio had, so it's a very close alignment as far as that goes, as far as educating our teachers on what the different levels mean and how to use the data and the information in their instruction.

You've also been provided the general levels of cuts for each level -- excuse me -- the cut ranges for each level that were set forward by the NCSC
states. And so I'd just ask for any questions that you have on this before we move for approval.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Questions? Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: So what -- why did the did-not-test numbers go up so high in 11th grade?

MS. ALLEN: Okay. The reason that --
DR. BARTH: For math. For math.
MS. ALLEN: Yeah, of course.
DR. BARTH: Yeah.
MS. ALLEN: The reason for that is there are some students in the 11th grade that did participate in an alternate portfolio two years prior. And so that alternate portfolio was found -- was their assessment for the high school; they did that in 9th grade. And so then if they're a current 11th grader they wouldn't have to re-test.

DR. BARTH: And so only -- that was only for math?

MS. ALLEN: Yes, sir.
DR. BARTH: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Ms. Zook.

MS. ZOOK: I don't know what the National Center and State Collaborative -- I don't even know what this is.
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MS. ALLEN: Okay.
MS. ZOOK: You'll have to give me some -MS. ALLEN: Right. The National Center for State's Collaborative is a consortium that Arkansas participated in last year, that together with other states we designed the alternate assessment for ELA in mathematics for students with significant cognitive disabilities. So if you think about your one to two percent of students that fall in that category, this is the assessment that they took rather than participating in the PARCC assessment, because it's cognitively appropriate for them to participate in this assessment. So previous, we had a portfolio for ELA math and science. This assessment replaced the portfolio for ELA in mathematics.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Did that answer your question, Ms. Zook?

MS. ZOOK: It answered it. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
DR. BARTH: And this did -- the NCSC continues on a new ESEA waiver as well. Right?

MS. ALLEN: Yes, it does.
DR. BARTH: Okay. Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions?

DR. BARTH: And final -- I'm sorry. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No problem.

DR. BARTH: And even with the change to ACT/ACT Aspire, this still makes sense as an analogous testing strategy for cognitively --

MS. ALLEN: Yes, it does.
DR. BARTH: -- challenged kids?
MS. ALLEN: Yes. Because this test is more appropriate for our cognitively disabled students than would the Aspire assessment be, we would like to stick with this assessment for those students.

DR. BARTH: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. The Department staff has asked for approval for A-12, Consideration to Approve Cut Scores for the National Center and State Collaborative Assessment. So what's the pleasure of the Board?

DR. BARTH: Move approval.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Moved by Dr. Barth. Second?

MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. All in favor?

DR. BARTH: Aye.
MS . DEAN: Aye.

MS. CHAMBERS: Aye.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed?
MS. ZOOK: Yes. But only because I haven't had time to study it and I don't understand it well enough to vote on it.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: That's a no vote by Ms. Zook.

We'll take a 10-minute break and come back at 2:05.
(BREAK: 1:57 PM - 2:11 PM)
A-13: CONSIDERATION OF PRAXIS TEST FOR NEW AGE 3-4 SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL LICENSURE PASSING SCORE

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We're going to reconvene and consider Action Item A-13, Consideration of Praxis Test for New Age 3-4 Special Educational Licensure Passing Score.

MR. ROWLAND: Good afternoon. I'm Michael Rowland with the -- representing the Department of Education. The Department has a new educator license area in age 3-4 special education. This is an add-on to an existing special education license. The Department recommends using the Praxis Interdisciplinary Early Childhood Education 5023 as the add-on licensure test. The 5023 is currently one
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of two tests required for the license in early childhood special education integrated for birth through kindergarten. In February of 2014, Educational Testing Service facilitated a state review of this test, the 5023. And in July of 2014, the State Board adopted the 5023 with a cut score of 160 for the birth to kindergarten license. We are recommending -- the Department is recommending using this same test, the Praxis Interdisciplinary Early Childhood Education 5023, with the same cut score of 160 for this new age 3-4 special education add-on license, effective immediately.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. You heard Mr.
Rowland's presentation. Any questions? Okay. He's asking -- oh, go ahead.

MS. ZOOK: I didn't have an attachment with mine. Was I supposed to?

MR. ROWLAND: I'm not sure. I believe we -none of you got an attachment? Okay. Well, I can provide that.

MS. ZOOK: Yeah. It would be helpful to have -to look at something before we voted on it.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you want to just stop at this point and go to the next item? You want to come back --

MR. ROWLAND: Absolutely.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- and present? Okay. So we'll do that.

A-14: CONSIDERATION FOR FINAL APPROVAL: ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING CONSOLIDATION AND ANNEXATION OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll just move, if Ms. Davis is ready, on to A-14, Consideration for Final Approval: Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing Consolidation and Annexation of School Districts.

MS. DAVIS: I'll try to make these not so difficult. Okay. So these rules governing the consolidation and annexation of school districts, you guys had released them for public comment. We did receive a few public comments, but there was no changes that were substantive and they have been approved by the Governor's office. So if you have any questions, I'll be glad to answer them. Otherwise, I do appreciate it if you would give final approval for these.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Are there any questions?
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Is this part of legislation? Was it
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something -- 8.00 , is this added by ADE or part of legislation or why is it necessary now?

MS. DAVIS: The 8.00 is -- was already in these rules, so -- and I believe that those were -- yes, that's actually Code 6-13-1408 and they were already in the existing rules.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. And wait just a second; there was one more. This is really long, so it'll take me a second to get there. Okay. 29.04-4 says, "It is in the best interest of the students and the school district to keep the school district open due to the length of potential time spent on the bus by students traveling to and from school." Is this something that was added in? Is it new? Is it necessary? MS. DAVIS: It's actually in the statute, that change, Act 377 that modified these rules, that added that procedure for the waiver for districts under 350, and that came directly from the statute. We did not add anything of our own into these rules.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. So if we're going to be thinking in 21st century terms about wraparound and PE and art and music and all of those things, but this looks more like -- in the new ForwARd plan, this looks more like something from used-to instead of in the future.
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MS. DAVIS: Uh-huh.
MS. zook: But there's nothing you can do about it; right?

MS. DAVIS: No. It came directly from the act, so --

MS. ZOOK: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: Unfortunately.
MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any further questions? Okay. Then Ms. Davis, through her position at the Department, is asking for approval of A-14. What is the pleasure of the Board?

MS. CHAMBERS: I move to approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Move to approve by Ms.
Chambers. Is there a second?
MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Motion passes.

A-15: CONSIDERATION FOR FINAL APPROVAL: ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then, Ms. Davis, you're up again.
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MS. DAVIS: These are the rules for professional development that you had approved for public comment back in August. We did not receive any public comments on these and we do respect -- we ask that you go ahead and approve these for final approval. There was some cleanup. There was one statute that had made a change and what it is, it's the number of hours that was required. And instead of having to go back and change the rules every time the statute changes, we just said like half the hours required or the number of hours required by statute so we didn't have to constantly change that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So Ms. Davis is asking for approval of Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing Professional Development. What's the pleasure of the Board?

DR. BARTH: Move final approval.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Dr. Barth.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Mr. Williamson.
All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay.
A-16: CONSIDERATION FOR FINAL APPROVAL: ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING REQUIRED TRAINING FOR SCHOOL BOARD
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MEMBERS
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then we're down to A-15 -I'm sorry; A-16.

MS. DAVIS: All right. These rules are the rules governing the training for board members. You approved them in July for public comment. We did not receive any public comments on these. They were changed as a result of Act 568. And if you don't have any questions -- or if you do, I'll be glad to answer them; but otherwise, we do ask that you approve these for final approval.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any questions? Is there a motion?

MS. DEAN: Move for final approval.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved for final approval of Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing Required Training for School Board Members. Is there a second?

MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Chambers.
All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay.
MS. DAVIS: Thank you.
A-17: CONSIDERATION FOR FINAL APPROVAL: ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF
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EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING REQUIRED TRAINING FOR SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moving on to A-17, I think that's Mr. Biggs, Cory Biggs.

MR. BIGGS: Good afternoon. I have the ADE rules on college and career readiness planning programs. These rules were approved by the Board for public comment on August 13th. There was a public comment hearing on September 2nd, and the public comment hearing closed on September 18th. There were no comments received and no changes made to these rules. So we're just asking for final approval asis.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. that item is A-17, Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing College and Career Readiness Planning Programs, and Mr. Biggs is asking for consideration of final approval. Is there a motion?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Move for final approval.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Mr. Williamson.
MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. The
motion carries.
A-18: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT: ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING THE SUCCEED SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then we're at A-18. Mr. Biggs.

MR. BIGGS: These are rules for which we're seeking approval for public comment. They are new rules that implement the Succeed Scholarship Program, which was established in Act 1178 of 2015. And I'll answer any questions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: I had a question on 7.04.1. Is that 100 per district, 100 statewide, 100 -- what is it?

MR. BIGGS: That is 100 statewide. The Department's understanding is that in discussions with the bill's sponsor that that is an agreement that was reached but did not make its way into the text of the statute. And so it was determined that the rules would be an appropriate place to set that 100 in for the first year, and the first year only.

MS. ZOOK: Can we do that? Can we put in something that they say that should've been there but it wasn't -- but it wasn't voted on by the full House and Senate?

MR. BIGGS: Pending approval by the Legislative

Council, which is where this will go as part of the rule promulgation process. And we don't anticipate that there will be any issues there because we have the expressed support of the bill's author.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. And I'm not sure that the districts know that it's limited to 100 for the state.

MR. BIGGS: Well, I can't speak to what districts know and don't know at this point.

MS. ZOOK: Right. The reason I say that, I was in -- it was in a conversation with a person who runs a private school for kids who are handicapped and they were talking about the waiting list that they have, first, and then how many they have there now. And they were saying that "we have this many on the waiting list," and so they ought to be able to benefit next year from the voucher program and so it won't be so expensive per parent. And his waiting list far exceeds this hundred number. So I'm not sure -- when will people know and how do y'all keep up with the hundred and how would this -- you've got a bunch of districts and that's not even one child per district.

MR. BIGGS: I know that there are a lot of things that schools certainly, as well as parents,
have been waiting on, the rule process for this act to play out in order to get answers to those questions. That's certainly one of them. What I can tell you today is from the Department's standpoint the text of the statute required that we prepare a budget, including cost estimates and projections, so that a separate appropriation can be made to establish the program. That would presumably happen in the fiscal session next April. And for us to help the legislature wrap their heads around what sort of appropriation, what size of an appropriation they're looking at, we had to come up with a number. And so this number being supplied by the bill's author is why we settled on that number. And whether it's high or low, how it will play out specifically from one school to the next is just questions that we'll have to deal with once the process gets started.

MS. ZOOK: So the amount of money that will follow the child will be more than the, say, sixtyfive or sixty-six hundred, the foundation money that goes with them now?

MR. BIGGS: That's the cap. The amount that will follow the child will be either the cost of tuition or the foundation funding amount, whichever is less. And so it can't be any higher than the
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foundation funding amount, and we anticipate that's what it would be in most cases. But there are 57,000, approximately, students with IEP's in the state right now and we had to settle on a number for the amount of scholarships that were going to be handed out, at least for this first year. Because trying to peg a number between 1 and 57,000 could have been a really tough process.

MS. ZOOK: So will they be like a charter, so whoever is first in -- I mean, how will they come up or decide these -- you're using the word "scholarship" and I'm using the word "voucher." How will that be decided? Or is that outside of what you should be held responsible for answering?

MR. BIGGS: Well --
MS. ZOOK: I don't want to put you on the spot for it.

MR. BIGGS: I know that there's been a lot of discussion, and so I'm kind of -- as I'm listening to your question, I'm digging back in my memory and seeing if there's something I can recall that specifically addresses your question. And I don't know that we finally settled on an answer to that question in particular. I know that that's something that there's been a thought process that's begun.

And a lot of these things will just have to play out, outside the scope of law and outside the scope of rules, but in the operations of not just the Department but the schools themselves and their resident districts and a lot of things that we just don't have in place today.

MS. ZOOK: And that would be ADE that makes these decisions?

MR. BIGGS: I don't think that's been determined.

MS. ZOOK: Yeah. Because, you know, I mean, often if parents feel like there's something available to one child that's not available to another they are frustrated. And sometimes frustrated people file lawsuits. So I'm sure y'all have told the legislature all of these things.

MR. BIGGS: Yes, ma'am. And I'm sure they will hear it multiple more times.

MS. ZOOK: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KEY: If I may, Madam Chair, there has been a lot of discussion on this with the bill sponsor and the other organizations with whom he is working that are advocates of this. And it's very likely that we will have to provide more information or we'll have to come back and look at these rules
again. The one thing to keep in mind is that this is simply a place-holder. You know, until the funding is approved there is no program. And approval of the funding is going to be subject to legislative action in the fiscal session. And at that time we expect that they may be able to provide additional clarity on issues such as what entity will be dispersing these scholarships; will it be the Department, will it be someone else; to whom will they be dispersing and the timing of all the disbursements. I mean, there are a lot of questions that we couldn't create answers to because we did need guidance. But this -the 100 limitation was clear; that had been part of the conversation all the way back into the session. MS. ZOOK: Does this carry the caveat of the "if a school is in a desegregation lawsuit they can't benefit" or get a scholarship?

COMMISSIONER KEY: I'm not aware of anything in the legislation -MS. ZOOK: Okay. COMMISSIONER KEY: -- that even touches that issue.

MR. BIGGS: Ms. Zook, to follow-up on Commissioner Key's point, since he so wisely pointed in the right direction of where I should've been
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looking to answer your question initially -- 7.03, just above where you were looking, does come from the statute and it allows the Department, if it deems necessary, to designate another state agency person, firm or corporation to administer the funds. So, as written, these rules do have an appropriate amount of flexibility to determine those things in the future. MS. ZOOK: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth has a question. DR. BARTH: So on -- you know -- I know in the Arkansas Better Chance program for those private schools that are religious in nature there is language regarding religious instruction and proselytizing. Is there any sense that for constitutional reasons that similar language needs to be included in these rules?

MR. BIGGS: That was a question that we had had and did some research on. And the answer actually comes from the United States Supreme Court, who, in 2002, in the case of Zelman versus Simmons-Harris, which dealt with a pure voucher program but has applicable reasoning here. They determined that a program of this kind could be constitutional under the First Amendment to the U.S. constitution, if it met the following criteria: first, the program must
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have a valid secular purpose, which this does; second, the aid must go to the parents and not to the schools so that it passes through the parent and the parent is determining whether to send the funds to a religious school or a non-religious school; the program itself must be neutral with respect to religion, that was another point, and this one is; a broad class of beneficiaries must be covered -- in this case, as I said, there are 57,000 potential scholarship recipients, at least when you classify them by who has an IEP; and there must be adequate non-religious options, and in this case because the IDEA mandates that every public school is an adequate option for students with disabilities then every public school in the state would be an adequate nonreligious option.

DR. BARTH: So on that, in terms of the application by private schools, at that point is there -- I believe it was -- I think it was the fourth part of the test -- is it clear that there would need to be no preference given to religious or non-religious schools in the application itself?

MR. BIGGS: So the application process as set up -- and you do have draft applications, both for the schools themselves and for parents or legal
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guardians, in your packet. The applications initially would consist of a round of applications from the schools because, as laid out in statute and in rules, it's up to the State Board to determine who is approved as a participating school. Once that list of schools is established -- and that can be a continual process, of course -- but once you have a list of schools that's been established, then parents will be able to choose from that established list in completing their own application for their child or their ward.

DR. BARTH: Okay. I think that's a slightly different -- I'm going to give it one more shot. In terms of the -- the schools have to apply to be on the list. Right?

MR. BIGGS: Yes.
DR. BARTH: And is there a need to -- in terms of there truly being secular options, does that mean that the schools that are approved be some combination of religious and secular schools for there to truly be options under this program, rather than simply the IDEA option?

MR. BIGGS: That would not be necessary under the Supreme Court ruling that $I$ just cited because the program -- the law and the rules that you guys
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are considering today is neutral with respect to religion. If the outcome was that only religious schools applied, that would not be a result of the way that the law and the rules were written; that would just be a circumstance that you would be facing.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Since this is our responsibility to provide a free and appropriate education for children who are handicapped, if they go to or opt for a voucher to go to a different setting that is not public school, does the LEA in that district still maintain responsibility to be sure that they're being provided that, since it's a state statute, approved by state -- state, state, state, state?

MR. BIGGS: No, with one exception. They and the state would still be responsible for those students as it pertained to the child-find function of the IDEA. That's the case already with students who are enrolled in private school today and are paying for it one-hundred percent out of their own funds. And to that point, in conjunction with our special ed. division, we crafted a statement to be added to the end of the parent application that is an explanation of the rights that they're giving up by
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choosing to participate in this program and to remove their child from public school and to enroll them in a private school, faith being among them, of course. And that they must acknowledge that they have signed that and they understand that they're giving up substantial rights by doing so.

MS. zOOK: Now the law may have changed, but at one point the "free and appropriate" was based on the child, not the parent's choice for their child. So can a parent sign away their rights of their child for a free and appropriate education? Do they even have -- is that even legally okay?

MR. BIGGS: I'm being told yes by our special ed. specialist.

MS. ZOOK: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KEY: And if I may point out to Mr. Biggs, that's just for the time that they are not enrolled in a public school.

MR. BIGGS: That's correct.
COMMISSIONER KEY: So it's -- the statute is clear that that waiver only applies -- so at the point that that child is no longer enrolled in that private school, then free and appropriate public education -- our responsibility begins again, which is why we have -- we've caused them to assure, the

- October 8, 2015
school to assure, they're going to let us know if that child is no longer enrolled.

MR. BIGGS: That's correct. We actually insured in these rules that both the school and the parent are under an affirmative obligation to keep the State Board apprised of whether or not their child is enrolled in that private school. And among the other pieces of paperwork that are involved in the implementation of this law, our waiver forms, both for the State and for the students' resident district -- and I have the one for the State here in front of me, which explicitly states that "as of the date I sign this waiver I hereby acknowledge that the State is under no obligation to provide services or education to the children listed below, except for funding provided specifically for the Succeed Scholarship Program, during the time I choose to enroll my children in private school."

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any further questions? Mr. Biggs is asking the Board to agree to put out for public comment Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing the Succeed Scholarship Program. What's the pleasure of the Board? Do we have a pleasure? MS. CHAMBERS: I move to approve. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved to approve by Ms.

Chambers. Is there a second?
MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Then the motion carries.

A-19: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT: PROPOSED ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING SCHOOLS OF INNOVATION CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And A-19 is your final one, I think, Consideration for Public Comment: Proposed Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing Schools of Innovation.

MR. BIGGS: Yes, ma'am. These rules are revised rules. They incorporate Act 1136 of 2015 to the existing rules governing Schools of Innovation. And I'll answer any questions.

MS. ZOOK: So the only thing added was the -they can no longer exempt on gifted and talented. Is that correct?

MR. BIGGS: That's correct. It added a subdivision that Schools of Innovation comply with state law in full regarding the education of gifted and talented students.

MS. ZOOK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any further questions?

DR. BARTH: Move for public -- to release for public comment.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Dr. Barth to release --

MS. ZOOK: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Zook. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Motion carries.

A-20: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - PROPOSED ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION POLICIES GOVERNING EDUCATOR PREPARATION PROGRAM APPROVAL

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We're down to A-20, Ms. Reinhart, Consideration for Public Comment - Proposed Arkansas Department of Education Policies Governing Educator Preparation Program Approval.

MS. REINHART: Yes. Cheryl Reinhart for the Department of Education. And we have -- one of the changes that we made was to shorten that title; it was extremely long before. But also, along with just changing the title, the rules do apply only to program approval. And so there were provisions in
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there before that had to do with candidates that overlapped our educator licensure rules and we wanted to remove those so that it's clear these are for program approval in licensure -- I mean, in licensure rules. So you will see considerable strike-through of those candidate-related sections in this rule; that's the reason for that. Where there was a provision that needed to stay in these rules, then we moved it to the proper place and put it in there. I did provide you with a summary of all of the changes, so I hope that that was helpful to you. I want to point out that the changes in language also cover the transition from NCATE, which was the former national accrediting agency for educator preparation programs; it's now CAEP, C-A-E-P, which has a slightly different -- well, $I$ would say a majorly different approach to accreditation. So we changed the language in the rules to reflect that transition. We also included a couple of provisions that will allow for some flexibility with higher education preparation programs. As you know, as we make changes in rules, changes also have to be made in those programs. It's difficult for them to make changes in a timely manner sometimes because we've built things a little too tightly and it takes them a
while to go through that process at the university level. So we did try to build in a little bit of flexibility for them. We're no longer requiring what we call SPA recognition, which is a specific recognition for accreditation; for example, if it's special education, then they have a specific -- I'm blanking on the name, what SPA stands for, but it's -- if it's --

MS. PFEFFER: (inaudible)
MS. REINHART: I'm sorry?
MS. PFEFFER: Each program approval --
MS. REINHART: Each specific program, specific content areas anyway. So we're no longer requiring that. They can do that, and most of them still do because they like to have that national recognition for that program. But they do have to comply with the standards of those programs. So we're not requiring them to get accreditation from those particular accrediting programs, but they do have to meet the standards at least. We do still require national accreditation from CAEP. We still require regional accreditation from the Higher Learning Commission as our accrediting body -- accrediting bodies. We did add at the end and revised some language throughout to make it very clear what will
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happen when some accrediting agency has pulled accreditation for a program. If that happens, then they're going to lose their state approval. And so before now there's not been any process written in the rules about what will happen, how that will take place, is there an appeals process. And there would've been but there was -- it was never stated in the rules, so these rules do cover those in some detail as well. So I'll let you ask me any questions you might have. And after that, I would request that we -- that you approve the rules for public comment.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. I know at one point we were talking about requiring or encouraging the colleges to -- you have to have a certain grade point average to go into the school of education. Is this the place that should have been or are we still working on that?

MS. REINHART: No. That is in educator licensure rules. Those candidates have to have a 2.7 or . 75 -- . 7 .

MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
MS. REINHART: You're welcome. And I did fail to mention one thing for your information that we did -- that these revisions through a subgroup of the institutions of higher education, educator prep
programs, they worked on these changes and we have presented them to the Dean's Council. And we made later changes and we sent them back to the Dean's Council; they've looked at them. So we have incorporated attention from other groups as well. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you have a question, Dr. Barth?

DR. BARTH: I just want to thank you for the summary which was really helpful on these long rules.

MS. REINHART: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, what is the Board's pleasure then on action item $A-20$, to put these rules out for public comment?

DR. BARTH: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Dr. Barth. Do we have a second?

MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Then the motion carries.

MS. REINHART: Thank you.
A-21: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT: ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING LOCAL EDUCATION AGENCY NUMBERS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Now to A -21, Consideration for Public Comment: Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing Local Education Agency Numbers. And that's Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: These rules, it's a statute that's been in effect for a long time. The only difference that we've added to the rules is that we set a date. The law just says that the Department of Education is the one who issues LEA numbers to, you know, schools; if you want one, you have to come to us. We added 2.04, which is what we're already doing in practice. It just says that you have to have it submitted by August 1st. So other than that, these do mirror the law. So if you have any questions, I'll be glad to answer them. But otherwise, we do ask that you approve these for public comment.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions?
DR. BARTH: I do have one. I'm just curious. So when we have a reconstitution of any sort, is it really up to the -- does the Department just make the call of whether that reconstitution is significant enough to justify an LEA -- a new -- an LEA change or not? Is that kind of the --

MS. DAVIS: Yes.
DR. BARTH: What does that process look like?

I've just never --
MS. DAVIS: Do you know the process of when they request -- we're getting back-up.

DR. BARTH: Because it feels like we may be seeing more of this with academic distress issues. And so $I$ just kind of --

MS. DAVIS: Right.
DR. BARTH: -- want to wrap my head around the process. So, Ms. Barnes, I was just asking about -so when we have a school that does do a dramatic change or reconstitution of some sort, is -- how do y'all figure out whether that change is significant enough to justify an LEA number alteration or when it's -- whether it's just more superficial? Is that just a case-by-case basis?

MS. BARNES: Well, actually, first of all, if the school is asking for an LEA change request, there is a form that they would fill out and submit it in APSCN. We have a process where they would identify the reason, if it's a reconfiguration of grade levels, if it's for fiscal reasons that they need to make some changes, they're down-sizing -- it could be any number of reasons. However, in our accountability workbook addendum, ESEA flexibility, when we start to look at whether or not it would
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constitute a new school -- new LEA, there are also rules that -- in Research and Technology, Ms. Carmen Jordan is the program manager and she would have to make sure that it's not a major shift or configuration change, like moving from an elementary to a junior high or that level. That would constitute an LEA change number. And, of course, if they were applying for a conversion charter, because of the numbering system and the tracking, the building number would have to be a seven, so that would be an LEA change number; if they were building a new school. But there is a process that we would also review and take a look to determine whether or not it constituted a new LEA number or if in fact it was just they were keeping the same student body, student population. If they are a priority school and they are a SIG recipient, SIG grant recipient, they would not be able to do that as long as they were receiving the funds. So there are a number of things that would prohibit it, but it's a matter of making the request. The local school district has the authority to determine whether or not they are in need of a change. And if it's significant enough to warrant a new LEA number, they would fill out a form and it would be processed through Research and

Technology Division, along with Accountability Division, and they would receive approval through that mechanism.

DR. BARTH: So does this August 1 deadline, does that fit with the conversion charter schedule like --

MS. DAVIS: From my understanding, it's what Research and Technology has been doing --

DR. BARTH: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: -- this whole time. So it shouldn't be -- I mean, this is just putting in rules why we're doing what we already do, so that way if anybody ever asks about it -- so it should not affect anything.

DR. BARTH: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Okay. The Department is asking for consideration for public comment for this rule, Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing Local Education Agency Numbers. Do we have a motion?

MS. ZOOK: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Ms. Zook. Second?
MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: By Mr. Williamson. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. Motion
carries.
A-13: CONSIDERATION OF PRAXIS TEST FOR NEW AGE 3-4 SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL LICENSURE PASSING SCORE

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. We're going back to A-17 [sic]. Mr. Rowland.

COMMISSIONER KEY: 13.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A -- what?
COMMISSIONER KEY: 13.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I'm sorry.
MR. ROWLAND: My apologies, Board; I don't know why you didn't have that attachment in your packet. Madam Chair, would you like for me to recap our rationale in recommending this test for age 3-4 licensure?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yeah. I think so.
MR. ROWLAND: Okay. Well, currently, the Department has the $K$ through 12 special education license. What this would do is allow those that do not have preschool on their license to teach age 3-4 by testing out, by taking and passing this test. Now that's special ed. licensed teachers only.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any questions, or do you need time to review this additional --

MS. ZOOK: So they wouldn't have to do the coursework in childhood development and those kinds
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of things that occur as far as the ability to learn the developmental things for a three- and four-year old that are significantly sometimes different from a K through 18 year old?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Pfeffer. MS. PFEFFER: Okay. Madam Chair, Ivy Pfeffer with the Department. What we have here is when we changed to the K-6 licensure structure and then the K-12 for special ed. the consideration was put into what would we do about preschool, being able to have teachers licensed to education that population of children because we no longer had the P-4 license. The age 3-4 is an endorsement and it's an endorsement by completing a program of study and an assessment. What we failed to do when we got approval prior to -because this is the same assessment that would be taken by someone who completed the 3-4 program of study. What we failed to do was include the special ed. endorsement for this age group. So that's why we're coming back. It would be the same test. But built within the $\mathrm{K}-12$ special education, the new $\mathrm{K}-12$ special education competencies are most of these skills. Therefore, the special ed. teachers would be given the provision to test-out. And also, because of the need that we have to provide those services
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for special education children in a pre-school setting, allowing them to test out would also enable -- it would eliminate a barrier to us having teachers licensed at that level. And that's why that is structured in that manner.

MS. ZOOK: So there's no difference in the courses they take at the college level? Someone who's been teaching 18 years and they have $\mathrm{K}-12$ on their license and now they can take an additional test and add 3-4?

MS. PFEFFER: If someone has -- a veteran teacher would -- the issue is, I think, with special education. Veteran special education teachers may have a variety of ways that they added special education to their license. It's only the new programs that will be a K-12 special education license. And so this is an attempt to insure that we don't run into that shortage of preschool special ed. teachers.

MS. ZOOK: But there's no change at the college level or university level of the courses they have to take that are specifically in childhood development for that age child?

MS. PFEFFER: I think for that early childhood endorsement there was very little difference in the
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coursework that they've already had with the competencies that have already been taught in those other degreed areas.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Well, you've had much more time to study this than I. Do you feel a hundredpercent comfortable with it?

MS. PFEFFER: Now I wasn't part of the standards setting and process. But I feel like that those who were part of it had the knowledge to be a hundredpercent competent.

MS. ZOOK: And Ms. Haley, was she involved?
MS. PFEFFER: Michael, was Ms. Lisa Haley with special ed. -- do you know who was involved from that?

MR. ROWLAND: We had a panel of state special ed. teachers in 2014 review this test and they agreed that it would be appropriate with the cut score of 160. As far as Ms. Haley, I don't remember if she was there or not. I'm sure she was though.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. So this is -- like if I wanted to teach at an ABC program -- and I still don't know who supervises those teachers -- these would be people who might work at that level?

MR. ROWLAND: Yes, ma'am. Now the special ed.
teachers that have P -- you know -- P4, they're
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already qualified to teach --
MS. ZOOK: Right.
MR. ROWLAND: -- ages 3 and 4. These are just for those who have $K$ and don't have the 3-4 experience.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any more questions? Okay. Is there a motion relative to $\mathrm{A}-13$ ?

DR. BARTH: I'll move approval.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Move approval for Consideration of Praxis Test for New Age 3-4 Special Education Licensure Passing Score. Is there a second?

MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Second by Ms. Dean. Motion made by Dr. Barth. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. Motion carries.

MR. ROWLAND: Thank you. Now for clarification, this is effective immediately. Correct?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It would be, as far as I
know. Commissioner, effective immediately?
COMMISSIONER KEY: Yes. They're not --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Clay?
MS. CLAY: Yes.
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|  |  | 189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: She says yes. |  |
| 2 | MR. ROWLAND: Thank you. |  |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: All right. |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 | (The action agenda was concluded at 2:54 p.m.) |  |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
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