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PROCEEDINGS
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: On the action agenda we have the following items that will be pulled: A-5, A11 -- A-5, A-11, A-12, A-13, A-25, and A-28.

MS. ZOOK: Would you repeat them?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: 5, 11, 12, 13, 25, 28.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Madam Chair, 28 will be moved to tomorrow.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay, 28 is moved to tomorrow.

MS. ZOOK: Do you know why they were pulled, particularly the parents' requests on transfers?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It was a decision of the people that -- the parents to pull it.

MS. ZOOK: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And I don't know the reasons, but this notification was given to me that they were pulled.

MS. SAVIERS: Do you know about A-5?
COMMISSIONER KEY: I can talk to -- about A-5 quickly. We've been in close consultation with the Department of Career Education and that process just was not ripe for your consideration. The petition was premature and we've notified the parties as such, and the rules have not yet been promulgated.

MS. SAVIERS: Right.
COMMISSIONER KEY: So we expect to see this come back on the agenda when those rules are in place.

MS. SAVIERS: Okay. Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Okay. A-1: CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL ARKANSAS BETTER CHANCE 20152016 GRANTS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then we'll go to A-1, Consideration of Additional Arkansas Better Chance 2015-2016 Grants. Mary Kaye McKinney.

MS. McKINNEY: Thank you. There was a new chart provided to you late yesterday, and I apologize for that, but there were some changes that we needed to add to this. The list is we're proposing or recommending these to be funded. And this is based on slots that were relinquished at the end of this past year, and so this is trying to fulfill those relinquished slots. We did do an application process. We had about 41 applications and these are the ones that we're recommending.

We also had to make a change on items 16 and 17 on the chart related to Ready, Set and Learn in Jonesboro and ASU. ASU is going to continue to oversee the services being provided to Ready, Set and Learn, which is a family care home. And then we're
also asking for one-time startup funds for those new classrooms, and the total amount that we're requesting for funding is $\$ 1,701,500.00$.

MS. ZOOK: Yes, I have a question. Who evaluates, oversees not only the academic part of the $A B C$ program but also the spending of the funds?

MS. McKINNEY: DHS, the Division of Child Care. We have monitors that go out and visit all the programs and reports that we receive. Also, we have a data management system that is called COPA that all programs must enter data in. And when we go out to look at the programs we take that information from the data management system. Also --

MS. ZOOK: Excuse me; I don't mean to interrupt you. The gentleman in the back who's talking, I can't hear her for you. Could you take that outside, please?

MR. ROZZELL: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: Thank you. All right. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

MS. McKINNEY: The data management system that I was mentioning, COPA, our monitors -- we have about eight monitors that go out across the state and oversee the activities. We also have contracts, like with Work -- for Work Sampling, and that is a system
that looks at the developmental assessment to monitor how the children are doing in each program. We can look at it from a child perspective; we can look at it across the board from each different agency that has a grant.

MS. ZOOK: And do the monitors work with the kindergartens in the areas so that we make sure there's a nice vertical transition from the pre-K program to the kindergarten program?

MS. McKINNEY: Yes, ma'am, we do. And we also work with individuals within the Department of Ed. to make sure that we are in alignment constantly with whatever we're doing with $A B C$ is again a readiness preparedness for kindergarten.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Thank you so much.
MS. MCKINNEY: Uh-huh. And as far as the budget, we also have a financial officer at our place that manages that. And then we work very closely with Mr. Ron Burns in the finance division here.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? What's the pleasure of the Board as it relates to A$1 ?$

MS. ZOOK: I move that we approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Move for approval by Ms.
Zook. Is there a second?

MS. REITH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Reith. Any further discussion? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. Thank you for your report.

MS. McKINNEY: Thank you.
A-2: CONSIDERATION OF THE ANNUAL STUDENT DISCIPLINE REPORT
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Moving on to A-2, Consideration of the Annual Student Discipline Report, and that's Dr. Ritter and Ms. Anderson, Kaitlin Anderson.

DR. RITTER: Good morning. My name is Gary Ritter from the Office of Education Policy at the University of Arkansas. Thanks for allowing us to dig into the data on school discipline for a second year and present the results to you. It's behind me and I'm going to have to look back and forth -- and I apologize -- to make sure I'm showing you what I should be showing you. I believe you should have copies of these slides. I may just -- I may walk back and forth. I apologize for the inconvenience.

I'll get into it; $I$ know you have a long agenda, so I'll get into it pretty quickly. We're going to talk about -- I'll introduce to you the questions
we're asking, give you the motivation for it. We've got four new questions we were able to ask this year, and so I'll be able to show you the results of those, and then I'll let you know that our main goal is to find out what other questions you'd like to ask. Digging into discipline data is a relatively new task for us. We just received these data within the past year or so, and it seems like there are a lot of new interesting questions that we need to address. And we want to find out what the Board would like us to dig into, so that's our hope in today's presentation. So a quick background on school discipline in the U.S. There are lots of concerns and the data support that African American students are more likely than majority students to be expelled or to be suspended, either in school or out of school suspension, than their peers, and this is a concern nationally. Last year, we dug into the data and presented you roughly a year ago here that this seems to be the case in Arkansas, as well. This is an important first step if we find out that certain students are more likely to receive punishments or consequences, but it's worthwhile to dig into find out what the students are written up for and what the infractions are that lead to those consequences. One
interpretation is that teachers or schools are unfairly providing consequences to minority students or minority students might be being written up more frequently, or it could be either. So we can look into these data and that's what we are going to attempt to do today.

Arkansas's Act 1329, enacted to evaluate the impact of school discipline on student achievement and other things, is what we are reacting to. Last year, Assistant Commissioner Decker invited us to dig into this and, this year, Assistant Commissioner Saunders reached out and asked us to do a little more digging and then suggested that next year he'd like us to do the annual report as well. So next year we hope to be able to try and connect school discipline to student achievement. That is difficult because if you find out, for example, that students with low achievement are also receiving school discipline more often it's not obvious if $A$ causes $B$ or if $B$ causes A, or if they are simply correlated. So we're going to have to hopefully over the course of the year figure out some clever ways to try and sort out the directionality of that. But I'll begin with what we have so far.

One thing that we're able to dig into a little
more this year, again, is we can connect the actual infractions to the consequences that are attached to those infractions. So it's one thing to find out that certain groups of students are punished more often but it's another thing, I think, to find out if certain groups of students are punished differently for the same infractions, and that's what we're going to be able to do with today's data, and we think that will be a little more useful. So I'll just quickly run though -- and next year we want to connect to academic achievement.

So we'll run through the questions that we'll answer and result for each question. So we're going to try and ask, number one, how often do students get cited for behavior and which types of schools are more likely to write students up for a misbehavior. Then, we'll get into the meat of it. The first set is just who writes up more often, but then we'll ask how do the punishments fit with the infractions. So question two is which types of schools give stricter punishments for the same infractions, which types of students are more likely to receive stricter punishments again for the same infraction. And, finally, at the end we'll be able to ask if we see these differences -- and we do -- do they happen
within the same school; so is it a case that within the same school the same administrators and teachers are looking at students and offering different consequences for the same infractions in the same school. Because if we see differences across students it could be that minority students attend schools that punish more strictly, for example, or it could be that within the same school administrators are punishing different types of students differently. And so we're going to be able to sort that out; that's where we'll end.

So the first question, straightforward, which types of students -- which types of schools, pardon me, write up students the most often. And the numbers that we're going to look at are infractions per 100 students. It's hard to figure out the right way to look at this. This is the way we've decided to divide it, to use the denominator to deflate the results. We look at all infractions and then we also look at severe infractions. What we listed as the most severe infractions: fighting, gang related activity, drugs, alcohol, or weapons. So we look at severe infractions as compared to all infractions. And as a quick overview -- then I'll run through the slides briefly for each one -- we find out that the
southwest and the southeast regions have the most infractions. Strangely -- and there's no obvious explanation for this -- very large schools and very small schools are more likely to write up schools and the middle are less likely. Not surprisingly at all, schools serving older students are more likely to write up than schools serving younger students, although perhaps surprisingly junior high schools are higher than high schools; the more minority students the more infractions per student; the more economically disadvantaged a school the more infractions per student; and lower performing schools had more infractions per student. And we'll give you a picture to support each one; it would have been more useful if it was closer to you, so I apologize. What this shows here is simply that the southeast and southwest region have more infractions per pupil, in the 60's as compared to in the 40's in the other regions, per 100 pupils is the denominator. Junior high schools and high schools cite students more frequently. The biggest number off to the far right, 89.6 and 82.3 , are the junior highs; the high schools are in the 60's; elementary schools are not writing up nearly as much, perhaps not surprisingly. The smallest and largest schools -- again, you see the
top is the set of smallest schools; the green line at the bottom are the set of largest schools, and those are for all infractions. So in the largest set of schools there are 60 infractions per year per 100 students. When we broke up the state into the fraction of African American students per district, we find out that the districts with the highest fraction of African American students write up more frequently than districts with the lowest fraction of African American students, roughly three times as high for both all infractions, where you see there are 70 per 100 students, and even for the severe infractions; even though the numbers are small it's 9.9 write-ups per 100 students. Over in the districts that have fewer minority students it's only three write-ups per 100 students.

MS. ZOOK: Are these three different students or the same student three times?

DR. RITTER: The way we did this calculation is the total number of write-ups divided by the total number of students. So it could be the same student committing a multiple infraction and it could be different students. That one, we just totaled them up. There are other ways you could do it where you could say the fraction of students who have been
written up. The stories don't change, regardless of which way you do it. We've tried it both ways. Thanks. And please interrupt and ask questions. We think it's clear but I can't see what you're seeing, so I don't know. Thank you.

When we look at low-income you see the same unfortunate upward trajectory where schools serving more low-income students are more likely to write-up for all infractions -- you see the numbers in the 70's and 60's in the far right -- and for the most severe infractions, going from 3.2 per 100 students in the most affluent districts all the way to roughly 8 instants per 100 students in the poorest districts.

Results by benchmark scores are the same. The lowest achieving districts, in this case on the left, have far more write-ups than the highest achieving districts on the right. And the magnitude of the differences is quite large. Now, again, this doesn't tell us that low performance leads to extra disciplinary write-ups or extra disciplinary writeups leads to low performance. We can't sort out the direction by looking at them in the same year; we need to figure out with a little more data. And we do have a data request in with Dr. Saunders to try and gather more data to try and sort through that
difference, but we're not there yet. We've just been digging into this over the past few months. So, yes, it seems like certain types of schools most certainly are writing up students for misbehavior more often. But the real question perhaps is what about the consequences of that misbehavior and to what extent are the consequences meted out to the students in a roughly equitable fashion. So our second question is: which types of schools are more likely to give strict punishments. And the way we define giving strict punishments are we have all the infractions in the state database and we have all the punishments connected to those infractions. So you can imagine we can compute an average punishment, an average number of days of punishment for every infraction. So schools that offer punishments that are above average are strict schools and schools that deliver punishments that are below the average for the whole dataset in the state we're viewing as less strict schools. We controlled for all the things that you'd imagine controlling for, so was it the student's sixth time he did something wrong or the first? We controlled for that. Which type of infraction was it, whether it was just truancy or fighting or different -- we
controlled for those sorts of things; we controlled for the things that would reasonably affect an administrator's choice for the punishment. But then we didn't -- we wanted to see if then the strictness differed by the type of student or the type of school.

And if we were at an academic conference all this green stuff tells you -- I guess it's sort of like our resume; you should believe what we're -when we -- I'm going to not talk about that and, instead, I'm going to hand it over to Kaitlin Anderson, our researcher who did a great deal of the work, and she's going to talk you through the next few slides.

MS. ANDERSON: Thanks, Dr. Ritter. It's a good thing that you probably didn't go into detail because the actual equations didn't turn out right, I fear; hopefully, it's okay on your copy that you actually have. Basically, the gist of what we did with question two is controlling for all of those factors that would reasonably predict the punishment. We're finding an average punishment for a given infraction at a school level and then trying to look at how that relates to those schools' characteristics. And so the items circled in red are the characteristics that
had a significant relationship, so schools that have a higher percentage of African American students are more likely to give longer punishments for the same infraction. And we also saw that the northeast region and the central region were on average giving slightly shorter punishments than the other regions. Again, these are just on-average.

So next, moving on from the school level, what types of students on average are receiving stricter punishments for the same infraction. So this is a similar idea. Again, we're creating a residual that's basically a measure of the extra days or a number of fewer days for the same infraction. And then, instead of consolidating this at an average school level, we're actually averaging it over a subgroup of students; so this way we can compare say males and females and see who's being punished more or less strictly for the same infraction.

So we'll go into more of the details of the results in a minute, but overall we see that minority students, FRL students, and male students are getting longer punishments for the same infraction, and that special education students and LEP students (Limited English Proficiency) are receiving slightly less strict punishments. And just to put this in context,
per infraction minority students receive about half-a-day extra of punishment for a single infraction.

So here are those differences laid out. At the top you see the four-and-five-eighths and that's roughly half-a-day per infraction difference between minority and white students. So this might seem maybe small to you, but over thousands of students it really adds up. Then, at the bottom you also see some differences by special education and LEP status. There were also differences by FRL status, about a tenth of a day extra if you were a low-income student, and about a twentieth of a day extra if you were male as opposed to female. So keep in mind too that these are still across the state, so this is relative to the state average.

Turning to how this relates to academic achievement, we did the same thing, averaging residuals over proficiencies. So if you were an advanced student, you're receiving a one-third day less punishment than if you were the average student. We can also see that below-basic students were receiving a slightly longer punishment and these are based off of the prior year test scores. So maybe there's a perception that you're a good kid, you're a smart kid, you just acted up once, so we're going to
be lenient on you this time. That's just one theory.
So before I move on to the final question, just to recap what we know so far, students are cited more frequently in the most disadvantaged districts. And then if you look at the actual infraction level there's also a difference in the strictness of punishment for a given type of infraction. So schools with higher minority enrollments are the most strict; they're giving longer punishments for the same types of infractions. And we've also seen that certain types of students are receiving longer punishment, so minority students, FRL students and male students are receiving longer punishments for the same infraction. Now all of this is across the state average, so it could be, like Dr. Ritter alluded to earlier, that these are differences between schools and that it's not necessarily a-within-school problem. So this is what we're looking at with the next question.

Okay. So, again, same idea; we're creating a residual but now we're holding the school that that student attends constant and then aggregating the residuals again by subgroup, and so here largely the disparity is diminished. That half-a-day that we saw between minority and white students is now only about
a twentieth of a day. Surprisingly though, the male/female difference is about the same; it's still about . 05, . 06. And so what we're trying to see here -- oh, wait, one more -- again, if you are an advanced student you're receiving a slightly shorter punishment; this time it's only about a tenth of a day shorter relative to the average punishment for that infraction. So these are within specific school disparities that we've seen between the subgroups. So this is not to say that these disparities do not exist or that they're not important, but I think the big story to really come away with here is that it's not necessarily within school differences that are driving all of this; it's just that there are students that are in the most disadvantaged schools that are also receiving more infractions and are being treated more strictly for those infractions. And so as Dr. Ritter alluded to as well, we have a lot more -- many more questions that we would like to answer with this. Obviously, with anything there's opportunity for data improvement and one of our questions we want answered we need data to support that, so ideally we can get information on referrals to law enforcement authorities and other outcome measures that would help give us more context for the
story. And we're also very interested in hearing what the State Board is interested in seeing for these questions and working on developing what we do annually as well. But we really appreciate your time and thank you for having us.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It's a lot to digest. It certainly raises questions all across the spectrum for me as it relates to many, many, many things: poverty, or lack of, juvenile justice, an implication that -- you know -- the over-representation of minorities in the juvenile justice system, the high rate of failure in schools with high minority students. So, I don't know. I don't get a do-over, but if I had a do-over I think I'd change careers or something. I don't know what it would be, but -- and so it gives a lot of clarity but it also presents a tremendous challenge because so many systems are impacted, you know, whether it be the ABC program, trying to get kids ready, whether it be just overall community safety, juvenile justice, and all the things -- economic problems with schools. I hate to make this a commentary but all these things play into, you know, academic distress, fiscal distress, and all things that -- you know -- that impact -families and communities are impacted by this. So I
hope that at some point we realize the gravity of the situation, not necessarily the Arkansas Department of Education Board because there's so much we can do. But at the same time I think communities and schools -- Ms. Newton is here as a teacher and I'm sure she's playing through her mind what impacts her as a classroom teacher; Ms. Reith over here to my left is looking at her work in community organizing. And this is just so moving and disturbing and it's a cause of concern when we see the disparity in the numbers. And your report to me doesn't necessarily represent the commonsense factors --

MS. SAVIERS: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- you know, because the smaller schools with the worst -- you know -- that, you know -- the worst numbers are the -- you know -so all these things don't make sense in the whole scheme of things. It's just -- it's just, like I say -- Ms. Zook, you can --

MS. ZOOK: Yes. One thing that I would like to know is not so much if a child -- one child gets one punishment or another child gets another punishment, which on your scale strict or less strict, but did that work and are you getting repeat offenses.

Anybody who has raised children or watched people
raise children know that you -- if you try to do everybody the same -- and Ms. Newton knows this even in her classroom. So if what was given as punishment worked and the child didn't have to come back, then to remedy -- and I don't know if there's a way without interviewing each person and being right there when the child does, but is what they're doing working or is the child getting in trouble and getting in trouble and getting in trouble and the school doesn't realize that what they're doing to punish the child is not in fact punishing, it's not changing the behavior at all. Is that possible?

DR. RITTER: That's a great point. And we can look at whether the child gets punished again in future years. And so what we can try and do is come up with some way of categorizing the punishment types of schools, where they're strict and more strict, whether they use this strategy or that strategy, and figure out which one, if there is one, is connected to less recidivism, to use the word that I think you're getting at. That's a great point. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: And one thing -- and thank you so much for your report; it really is fascinating. Having raised boys and having raised boys who
attended public school with predominantly African American populations, it was my observation -- this is certainly anecdotal, but my observation that a lot of the -- you know -- how many offenses were -occurred in a particular building had to do with the leadership in the building and staff and who was meting out the punishment and how much punishment they decided to mete out, and that varied widely. And a lot of it depended upon who saw you do the deed, you know, and so in my mind this goes back to very targeted and intentional professional development, particularly in these areas that we've highlighted as having lots of offenses. Because I think sometimes you just don't know what you don't know and culturally maybe what you know is strict punishment, so -- and, you know -- and also when I read this my big concern is the number of days that these kids are actually out of instruction and are we addressing that through policy and professional development in some way. Now it just drove me crazy -- or it still does -- to walk into a school and see a bunch of kids sitting in the auditorium, being supervised and -- you know -- with their heads down on a desk instead of back in the classroom. So those are the things that really concern me and that $I$
think affect academic improvement and achievement and that we should really be focused on. But how do we take this information and really make it work for kids?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: And my comments are going to a similar direction. I know, Dr. Ritter, we appreciated your presentation last year. And remind me if I'm wrong: this was triggered because of legislation -- correct? -- that you all looked at this? Or was this purely because of the ADE reaching out and asking you to look at this?

DR. RITTER: I think the legislation led to the ADE needing a response to legislation that they saw and they gathered the data and then sought us out so they had a third-party do the data analysis.

MS. REITH: Yeah. That's right. Okay. And last year was the first year, so this is the second year. Correct?

DR. RITTER: Yes.
MS. REITH: Moving forward. And it's wonderful that we're continuing to come back to this, that this wasn't just a one-time, one-year thing. I'm thrilled to know that there is another year. I guess where my -- and it's not a frustration; maybe it's to answer
your question, right, about where we go next with this. And I think it's, as my colleague Ms. Saviers was saying, is how do we get this into a usable format for actual schools, superintendents, leaders, to know -- which are the schools, right? -- how does this relay what does the state data, you know, mean for my school and what can be done for that and that, you know, we're actually talking about strategies and investment and funds and such in a way that that can resolve these issues. So whether -- and I think this is the perfect tee-up for Mr. Kurrus, who's going to be speaking to us next on the Little Rock School District and that I know that some of these issues are obviously correlated with his own schools -right? -- that they're talking about in schools in distress right now. I'm hoping -- I know the Commissioner shared with me a little bit about conversations with the Little Rock Police Department, trying to talk about these various issues with the schools. Hopefully, we'll hear a little bit about that in Mr. Kurrus' report. But I think in general -- right? -- trying to identify what some of these best practices are in the state, if there is a way to do so, what some of this relationship looks like, what is within the control of school versus -- what
are things that we need to outsource and wraparound services and partnerships -- right? -- to be able to do something. Because this is essentially, as you all said, a reaffirmation that the cradle-to-prisonpipeline that we talk about so much at the national level also exists here in Arkansas, and it's just the numbers that are affirming that. And so I think now that we can acknowledge that or we have been acknowledging that now, with some state policy to go with it, $I$ really think there's a tremendous timeliness here to do something. Because as what our colleagues were sharing here -- right? -- it actually doesn't surprise me that we see a bigger incidence of this in junior high. Because of our failures to correct those issues at junior high is what causes those kids to then drop out and then not be in high school and see those numbers there. And I know that, especially within my work with the Hispanic and immigrant community across the state, still seeing such a straggling rate of high school completion for our communities and such, knowing that some of this is tied to that.

My last thing that $I$ would like for next year's report would be I don't know if you were looking at Limited English Proficiency because -- you know -- as
trying to understand our Hispanic community. I know up-front, when you talked about observations, you mentioned African American and Hispanic, and then all of the studies, you know, looked at numbers of predominantly African American. I don't know if Limited English Proficiency was a way of trying to understand our Hispanic community or if it was just treated as a subgroup along with special ed. But I will say that there's not a direct correlation necessarily to Hispanics and Limited English Proficiency. And so if there would be a way as well to understand within our Hispanic numbers as well I would be really curious, you know, at the state level to see what those numbers look like.

DR. RITTER: I'd love to respond to a couple of those things. Is there time?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
DR. RITTER: Okay. So let me let Kaitlin talk about the LEP and the Hispanic numbers because the LEP numbers were interesting, but in the interest of time we didn't show everything. But Kaitlin will talk about that; then I have a couple responses for the other points.

MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And it wasn't to, you know, take away from the Hispanic population, but
also just to see if there's different things about schools besides -- or about students besides race that, you know, affect their outcomes. And if there is an idea that, you know, "maybe we're going to be a little bit more lenient on you because you don't understand the teacher's instructions," or something like that, there could be some correlation there. So it was just trying to get at, you know, what characteristics about students might be related to these different outcomes. And one of the interesting things we saw was that there was these really large gaps at the state level, but then when you looked within schools they pretty much went completely away. And we think that's just because a lot of the Limited English Proficient students are concentrated in certain types of schools, so it's not that they're being treated differently within schools.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And this may be a subject that may lend itself to a work session. Because I think the potential impact of the discipline as it relates to a certain group of students or not is so critical to achievement and moving -- you know -we're looking at components of what we can do in terms of structuring the future of Arkansas education. And I think there's nothing any more
important as a part of the recipe or one of the ingredients to have this and give this, you know, a further look. So I don't know that we -- even though you feel that your time is limited, I don't think we can limit the time for this information. One of the things I thought about, when I look at the PLSB reports, oftentimes there's a book that they recommend teachers to read as it relates to situations where they need to better understand poverty. And I ordered the book; I haven't read it, I just got it, and I can't remember the name of it. But basically it lists the characteristics of poverty. I think -- I don't think -- I won't say that -- there is a predisposition, obviously, of some teachers to react differently to different students. I'm not convinced that every teacher understands the genesis of that. And so I think all of that has to be wrapped around a bigger -- it has to be a bigger effort. And at some point we've got to look at that as a State Board, Commissioner, as to how we will fashion our educational system to address it, not looking at just academics, not looking at all the things that move us forward, but looking at all the things that move us forward. So I think that we've got to come back to this in a more intensive way and
to look at it. Because even if it were not an act, I would hope that we as a board would be in a position to say this is critical, this is fair, and this is a just way to educate young people, and you cannot educate young people that are not in the classroom or that are sitting in the gym or that have disparate treatment. Ms. Saviers and I have shared stories about our sons and how -- the difference in the way her son in a situation was treated and my son. You know, we just -- we can have conversations about that --

MS. SAVIERS: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- and be honest about where it all stemmed from. So this is very relevant and very I think near and dear to all of us in terms of wanting to go forward.

DR. RITTER: Just a -- so to react to that, I think there was a question about can we give you these in a usable format that schools can use. This sort of strictness number that we've come up with, schools are rated as being abnormally strict or abnormally not strict; that's how we derive our numbers; those are things we could share if we felt comfortable. Over the last year we did, when we gave our presentation, show you the schools with the
highest rates of infractions and the lowest rates, and we did receive some negative responses from schools, saying, "Gosh, ours is only this high because, you know, we are really diligent about keeping records, when the school down the street, they don't do it." So we want to be very comfortable with the quality of the data before we start -- I think, before we start rating schools in that way.

You also mentioned, you know, the importance of the problem in kids missing school days, and Kaitlin has mentioned this in presentations many times. A 4.58 coefficient doesn't look like much, until you take that half-a-day and multiply it by the hundreds of infractions per year times the hundreds of kids, hundreds or schools. Then the half-day does add up to lots of days of missing instruction time and so it wouldn't be at all surprising to see that students punished more frequently end up in later years with lower academic achievement. That would be perfectly believable.

And, finally, there was a great question about -- or reference to the possibility of different school leaders creating different school cultures that result in different punishment strategies. And what we can do is we certainly don't know every
school leader's strategy or their practices, but we do know -- for example, $I$ think we can identify which schools are being led by novice leaders as compared to experienced leaders, or we can know some characteristics of leaders and then we can do some analysis to see how those things connect to the kinds of discipline numbers we can create. So, all that to say you're giving us lots of good ideas and these are things -- many of these things are quite doable.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Just a few things that connects with things that have been said. First off, I want to thank Senator Chesterfield who did carry this legislation and then-Senator Key who co-sponsored that. Thank you. Thank you for spurring this conversation. A couple of things, moving towards the -- I do hope we have a work session to kind of maybe have some different data when we look at that, at least different data next year. First off -- and this gets into something Ms. Saviers said -- I mean, you know, all write-ups are not the same and especially those that take kids out of school are, you know, really damaging achievement. And, Ms. Anderson, you said that there's not data on referrals to law enforcement available now?

MS. ANDERSON: We weren't provided with a nice set of compiled data. I'm sure we could get it, if we knew how.

DR. RITTER: We don't know if it's available.
MS. ANDERSON: Right.
DR. RITTER: We do not have it for the analysis we were asked to do, but it would be worthwhile, as Ms. Reith suggested and mentioned. It just sort of confirms the school-to-prison-pipeline. It doesn't get that far yet; it confirms all the disparate outcomes within schools. We don't know what happens beyond school. But we would certainly love to try and be helpful and go further with the analysis.

DR. BARTH: And did y'all have in-school versus out-of-school suspensions?

MS. ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
DR. BARTH: Okay. I would really like to see that broken out. I mean, your research is so clear in terms of the particularly damaging impact of out-of-school suspension on student learning, and so that would be particularly helpful. I'd also maybe push back just a little bit on the -- I think in your presentation you were kind of diminishing the disparities within schools. I mean, first off, they were still just as significant, and if Dr. Ritter was
conferencing he would probably be presenting them in a -- emphasizing that. And especially when we're thinking about the severity of the punishment, especially the, you know, in-school versus out-ofschool suspension, referrals to law enforcement, et cetera. I mean, I'd like to dive down into some of those within school disparities, because they're still there; we just know that there's something going on that's a little different than the more systemic issues that are present at the school level. So I'd like to note that.

I know that legislation also does say that we need to start looking at good practices around the state, and I think we've started to hint at this. I mean, you know, it's about leadership; it's about cultural competency training; it's about a lot of these things. And so what I would like to see to help us is: what are those schools that should be having incredibly high rates of punishment but are obviously doing things smartly, in a way that doesn't have negative ramifications for learning. So I want to see some of those outliers, those good outliers, because I think that's where we're going to find the best practices. And whether it's leadership training, whether it's the scaffolding of
punishments, or going to immediately go Defcon-4, but start in a more, you know, appropriate way that NASBE, National Association of State Boards of Ed., reported and that we saw this week, and they suggest that some of those things can really be effective. So I want us to start to move from what the problem is to what the good practices are.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: I just wanted to kind of jump on what Ms. Saviers said about leadership in the school. And you addressed that earlier but I would like to see specifics with ethnicity of leaders, age of leaders, and the years of experience of the leaders in the school. Because that has a lot to do with -- you know -- if you're an old-school type of person and you think, well, when you do this I think you need to be put out of school, whatever it may be. I think that has a lot to do with how a person is -- how a student is disciplined and how a person views discipline as well.

MS. SAVIERS: And just one more thing and I just keep harping on this. I'm feeling my time on this board slipping away and I hate to leave without thinking that this would happen at some point. But somehow to incorporate this information into our
teacher preparation programs and our teacher leadership programs, along with what Ms. Newton said about understanding a child of poverty and what those markers are and how to address that individually, you know, for each child. That's something our future teachers all -- and leaders all need to understand better. So I really hope that we're able to take this information and translate it into policy and teacher preparation programs and elsewhere that really makes a difference.

DR. RITTER: Ms. Saviers, I can speak to our one teacher preparation program that we have some interaction with, the Arkansas Teachers Corp, which intentionally places students in economically disadvantaged areas, and that is absolutely an intentional focus of our training during the preservice training and the embedded in-service training that happens throughout their entire -- through their entire time in the Arkansas Teacher Corp. So I agree with you that that matters a great deal. And I think it's easier for us because we are intentionally placing students in economically disadvantaged areas to focus on working with exactly those sorts of students, perhaps where a general teacher prep program who might be placing students anywhere might
not have the same -- at least not the same focus as our program would have.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And we thank you for your report; it's certainly thorough and comprehensive. And just as a final note, we see a lot of literature being written and -- as far as these young people sometimes -- probably the majority of young people as exhibiting symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder. So I think it's very serious that what we've associated with traumatic life events are now parallel to students in classrooms, because evidently they are having the same impact in a different realm and a different environment. So I think they may be going as far as diagnosing many young people, but certainly describing those things that are so traumatic that would lend itself to this descriptor. So I think that we are going in the right direction in terms of our intent to be able to look at this closer. And at this point I'd ask for a motion for this action item, A-2. Ms. Saviers.

MS. SAVIERS: I move to approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers moves to approve. Is there a second?

MS. REITH: And a second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. All in -- or any
further discussion? I'm sorry; I don't want to limit any discussion.

MS. REITH: If I could just add one thing, Madam Chair?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. REITH: And that is just for next year, as well, and I think I might have mentioned this last year too. Quantitative being very compelling but if there are any good testimonials, like actual stories to hear, especially as we start looking at some of those outliers and good practices, especially as we start thinking of the teaching component -- right? -and starting to drill into some of those experiences, if we could have folks -- I know our last Teacher of the Year did a lot with trying to videotape some of these best practices and such. And if we could have some stories to compliment the numbers I think that could be helpful, as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. All in favor of the motion?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Motion approved. Thank you very much.

DR. RITTER: Thanks for your time and your patience.

A-3: CONSIDERATION OF THE LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT MONTHLY REPORT

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We're now down to A-3. And unless somebody needs a quick break, we're going to ask Mr. Kurrus to come and give his report. Okay, we're fine. Mr. Kurrus, Consideration of the Little Rock School District's Monthly Report.

MR. KURRUS: Good morning. I am here this morning with Mr. Greg Adams, who's one of the cochairs of the Civic Advisory Committee. And he has a very brief report which we could either move to now or at your pleasure.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Whichever works best for you. A brief report might -- so you're suggesting that his is brief and yours is long?

MR. KURRUS: Well, I guess I'm a lawyer and we always love to go last if you're practicing law, so --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. We'll hear the brief report. Let's start with his report.

MR. KURRUS: Let me ask Mr. Adams to step forward. And I will be brief, as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Would you state your name and your affiliation for the purpose of this report?

MR. ADAMS: Yes, ma'am. My name is Greg Adams;

I'm a co-chair of the Civic Advisory Committee for the Little Rock School District. Thank you for the time this morning. I do have just a very brief report. Our committee has continued to meet in the summer and our major development has been we have set a retreat date for September 19 , utilizing the facilitator that's being underwritten by the Arkansas Public Policy Panel and the Rockefeller Foundation. And we have also set up a retreat planning committee from our overall committee to help us plan the details for that day. Our hope is to come out of that retreat day with a strong consensus for goals and strategies, what we'd like to accomplish, and some tasks and how to organize ourselves. Mr. Kurrus has presented us with some large over-reaching questions that he would like to have input from the committee and that will be a time that the committee will be able to discuss how we want to respond to those requests too from Mr. Kurrus. And I'm hopeful that we'll strongly engage in those questions, which I think will be very appropriate for the committee.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you.
MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
MS. ZOOK: May I ask a quick question of him?
The students that were on the committee who are now
at a totally different school, did they roll off the committee and someone new come on, or how was -- is or was that handled, and also if teachers were transferred?

MR. ADAMS: Thank you. We do have students who are going to be -- who were assigned to our committee who are going to be at a different school this year because they were -- they moved on up to a different school. And so we're going to -- we're looking to those schools as the school year begins to assign new representatives. We feel like we need to have new representatives, somebody who's actually there in the school this year. The same will be said for the teachers; we have some teachers who are going to be in different positions and so we're going to be looking in the next month, hopefully before our retreat date, to have new teacher representatives also.

MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
MR. ADAMS: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Okay. Thank you again for your report.

MR. KURRUS: I will try to be brief. I'm going to talk a little bit about school opening, and I'm not going to sing, although I've sung at lots of
schools. If I were going to sing, I would sing the Johnny Cash song "I've Been Everywhere, Man," because I've been everywhere. I've been in a lot of schools. I will say that we are ready. We have challenges in some schools but we are ready. I was in several schools yesterday and early this morning. Morale is good, teachers are working hard, staffs are cooperating, and I'm very encouraged by that. And I would invite any of you. The Commissioner as well as several other ADE staff persons have indicated they might want to come to schools on opening day, and you're all welcome; anywhere, at any time, please come to our schools, because I think that presence is a positive thing.

I want to give you just a brief overview of an enrollment trend, and it's just a trend, and this is the world's worst time of the year to try to project anything. But if you looked at our enrollment a year ago and you compared it to our enrollment today, based on what's on our books, we're up 656 students. I have no idea who's going to show up, who's going to actually get there, and who else might show up. We had a room full of people at our student assignment office yesterday. So very difficult to predict, but that's -- I just want to tell you where we are right
now. As you know, from Opportunity Choice transfers, we have some very tight buildings; we have -- we still have a huge issue, in my opinion, with the fact that some people see schools as offering more opportunity than other schools. That is the greatest challenge I have right now, is to educate the public, for one thing, of the marvelous students that are in schools which are not preferred. And I was with those students yesterday; I'll be with them every day. I can bring them up here and you can meet them, if you would like, or if you ever want to go to these schools and see marvelous kids who are doing marvelous things. We have them everywhere, but that story does not get told. So I am -- and I want to tell that story, and I will continue to try to do so. And I'd invite you at any time you want to go with me to a Student Council meeting in any of the schools that people don't think are marvelous. I can show you kids that are doing -- young people doing marvelous things, and I'm very excited. I meet new ones every day; I met several yesterday.

I want to talk briefly about sort of the micro, the organizational matters, then $I$ want to move to the big picture, if that's okay. What I want to talk about right now is boring and stuff you've heard
about before, but it's the blocking-and-tackling of organizational management and education, and that's what we're working on. Staffing is what has been done well. We staffed up with school improvement specialists, even though I think some of the requirements only said that we needed those folks at semester. We've built those teams; spent a great deal of time with representatives of $A D E$, Dr. Wilde who is here and others who are here, Mr. Tolbert. We've all worked together and cooperated on trying to develop an integrated plan for the proper engagement of school improvement specialists. It's a very tricky thing because if you're trying to do what I'm trying to do, which is build a direct line of accountability from my office through associate superintendents to principals, assistant principals, teachers, and students, you have to be careful not to interject other people within that accountability chain and interrupt that very direct line. And we've spent a fair amount of time with the process map to try to locate and really think about where the points of inflection should be for various facilitators. We have school improvement specialists, the ADE has school improvement specialists, we have math facilitators, literacy facilitators; we have special
teachers. And the last thing I want to do is ever interrupt that chain of accountability, so we've spent a great deal of time on that, training our people and working with $A D E$ so that we have a process map and we don't interrupt that chain of accountability. Because that's what I'm focused on, again, the blocking-and-tackling of the simple things you've heard me talk about before, the accountability measures that we're going to put in place, and we've done so. We're going to use TLI for interim assessments; we're going to re-impose tests; we're going to be data driven; and we're going to focus everywhere we are -- everywhere -- every single school actively managing goal-setting and promoting accountability. It sounds kind of boring, but I'm predicting we'll get more pop out of that simple thing -- improving morale, having direct and clear lines of communication and accountability -- than we will from any special thing that we do. I think the easiest gains will be from better management, more accountability, and more focus. And that's what I'm hearing from ADE folks. I went to conferences. I'm training up to be a superintendent and you'll be proud to know I'm going to class and I've got a lot to learn; Lord knows I do. But I know what I don't
know, and I've got great people on our team who are making these good things happen.

Our distress schools present unique challenges. I don't have to tell you that. We're focused on those schools in a lot of interesting ways. Baseline gets all the publicity, but I'm focused actually now more -- a lot more on the other schools. Because actually my biggest fear at Baseline is that we have too many moving parts, too many people trying to help, too many cooks in the kitchen. It's very -- it may not be true -- and I hope it isn't -- but that's what I'm worried about at Baseline. At the other schools, you're going to hear from those principals tomorrow; they'll all be here and you can ask questions. So I'd rather now -- I'll be happy to, but I'm even thinking about not coming; I just think it would be better. If you want to interact with them and ask them anything you want to know, outside of my presence, I would like for you to do that. And I promise you I won't look at the tape; I know they tape these meetings. But I want you to ask them whatever it is -- and what I've told them is that your points of emphasis should be -- or might be -- I shouldn't say should be -- but might be what are you going to do differently this year than you did last
year to change results; a simple question. But simple questions are the hardest ones to answers. If you've ever tried a lawsuit, the simplest question is the hardest one to answer -- what's going to be different next year. This year actually starts Monday. But it will be strictly up to you and they'll all be here and they'll all be prepared and appreciate the chance to be with you. And I'll come if you'd like or I'll make up my own mind about whether it would be constructive for them to answer without me trying to intrude or otherwise step into the middle of it.

I would like to mind of go to the big picture now, if I might, because that's very important. We've been making the news a little bit. People want to interview me all the time -- and, honestly, I don't want to be the story and I'm trying not to do that. I honestly am trying to step out of the picture because we have so many other people working so hard and I want you to understand how much I appreciate our team. But the big picture is so critical right now. We have some schools where we don't have room for new kids; we have schools where people want to attend and are doing all sorts of things to try to get in schools, including fudging on
where they live a little bit, you might say. We've taken measures, with the Commissioner's help, to try to manage that issue. So it's not that we don't have good schools. We have great schools. But we also have schools where people don't want to get in. That's a big picture item that I mentioned; we're working on that. Because if we don't promote longterm stability in our school district and focus on student achievement everywhere, this will be a constant problem. We have to solve this problem by making every school a school of choice and we're working hard to do that. We also have to consider growth. Some people might say that we don't want to serve every kid in Little Rock. I want to serve every kid in every school zone and we make no bones about that, and I have plans to do that. I also want to respect the Civic Advisory Committee. I've asked them big questions, and I think, like most of us, they want to focus on certain things that are maybe closer to them personally; that's human nature and I respect that as well. But I really need help from you or from them on what do you want to accomplish big picture-wise; do you want to build new schools in this town? Do you want to ramp up and open schools, not just out west or not just down south or
southwest, but everywhere? Because the one thing I'm not going to do is make comments with respect to any particular group that wants to do any particular one thing; it has to be a comprehensive plan, has to be thought-out very carefully, and it shouldn't be isolated, and it shouldn't be driven by emails or blogs. It ought to be driven by prudent thinking and it ought to respect the fact that our community needs to be behind it as a whole. Anything we do in Little Rock will be scrutinized, as it should be, and the impact on the community as a whole must be considered. I am prepared and I have a plan. It's my plan. It's not the community's plan, your plan, the Civic Advisory Committee's plan, but I think it's a good plan -- but I'm not sharing it. I will share it at your convenience, whenever you request it. But I'd like the Civic Advisory Committee to take a bigpicture view and tell me what this community wants this system of education to look like in three to five years. That will be a process, but I'm prepared to step in that direction because if we don't begin to address our major needs comprehensively, again, not on a one-off basis -- it's not like popping popcorn where a bunch of people kind of get excited and we do one thing and we do something else and then
we hope it all fits together in the long-run. That's backwards. I want to do it differently and we do need an overall strategy, not only just for facilities management but for management of our operations. The last few days I've been to our warehouse, I've been to our transportation location, I've been to our procurement center, I've been to our food service location, I've been to safety and security locations. We've got locations everywhere. We will fix that; it's going to be hard to slim down our administrative budget, which I'm working every day on.

Another big picture item -- a little good news -- we have planned an operating deficit for this year, but based on current numbers we've turned that from a $\$ 2$ million loss to about a $\$ 2.6$ million operating surplus. That's going to improve this year. We'll continue to push in that direction, and if we work hard and make the cost savings come true that I think are out there we'll have the money to build new schools, if that's what the Board's pleasure would be or if that's what the community wishes to see. It won't be easy; it will be very difficult, as a matter of fact. But if we manage correctly and work very hard, we can do that. The
main thing we have in Little Rock that's in short -we have great people. Man, we've got great teachers. I talk to them every day. I was with them this morning. I actually ran from a school, talking to teachers, to a getting-ready-for-school meeting downtown, thanked all my folks that were working there -- or our folks that were working there -- and then rushed over here. And I'm very optimistic that if we continue to work hard we can have great success.

I know y'all asked questions about disciplinary matters and how we're going to handle those things. I think I will respond to your questions and tackle that sort of ad lib; I'm not fully prepared on that, but I'll be happy to share with you anything I can with respect to that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Let's start with Ms. Dean. COMMISSIONER KEY: Madam Chair, can I --

CHAIRERSON NEWTON: I'm sorry.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Well, if I may add to the report before questions. There are just a few things I wanted to cover. I always thank him, and I especially thank Jenny Kurrus every time I see her for allowing him to tackle this. A few weeks ago, I was invited to speak at the Principals Institute for

LRSD. And I will just say that the positive energy that I observed in that group of principals, assistant principals, and other administrators at the building level was much different than anything I had observed in interactions prior to Mr. Kurrus coming onboard. His team at the LRSD office, his critical staff members and professionals that are doing so much, $I$ can only describe it as they feel unleashed now to be able to do the jobs that they know need to be done. So just to say that the leadership, the organizational style, the approach that Mr. Kurrus has taken has really taken hold, and I think you're starting to see that now filter on down into the buildings. We -- I approached -- and I forward those requests to Baker often, but we have different groups in the communities that are now seeking partnerships and stepping up and asking, "Hey, how can we help?" And so, you know, we really -- I expect, over the next month, two months, there are going to be a lot of other exciting announcements of things where we have those opportunities for partnerships with groups that were taking a wait-and-see approach; but now that they see the positive energy taking place that they want to get involved and they want to help be part of this turnaround. So I'm encouraged; I'm
encouraged and want the Board to hear me again thank Baker for his leadership that he's bringing to the table.

MR. KURRUS: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. Ms. Dean, do you have a question?

MS. DEAN: I don't have a question. I just wanted to say -- I just wanted to reiterate what the Commissioner said. I've heard so many great things about you and what you've been doing in the community from parents, all around. So I just want to take the opportunity to thank you for the positive energy that you've injected into everything that you've done. So, thank you.

MS. KURRUS: Well, that's very -- thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Thank you. Thanks for everything. Just a couple of questions. You alluded to the space concerns, especially at the junior high level, but also at the high school level. And, you know, I think that we all hope that this positive energy of course leads to more and more and more dozens of kids being educated in the district. And so, I mean, in a best case scenario, I mean, how quickly could we see,
you know, at least some, you know, new space, especially at the junior high level?

MR. KURRUS: Well, you've sort of opened the door. I mean, I'll be happy to discuss that in whatever level of depth you want. I spend a lot of my time thinking big-picture now, which is so much better than I -- than May 6th, when I started and when I was really worried about managing simple things, like can we cooperate, can we hold a meeting where we do something positive and people are empowered and want to speak up. We've gotten beyond that, which is marvelous, because now I can step back and instead of flying right on the deck I'm up about 15,000 feet now. I really need to be at 40,000 feet, but I'm still learning so much by being on the ground floor that I don't want to get off. But we could ramp up very quickly, but the problem I see is that without a comprehensive plan -- let's say we did what apparently we've been asked to do publicly on blogs and stuff, which I don't read -- and I've said publicly, "If you really want to bash me, you need to do that directly, either in person or on email to me directly, because I don't read it if you just bash me kind of generally on Facebook." But, you know, there's been a lot of talk about certain structures
out west that are available and for sale. I could find -- I ran into an architect who's a good friend of mine and he could go out there and have a look around any day. But I'm not going to go look at that building; I'm not even going to step in that direction until $I$ can say not only we're going to do that, we're going to address these very severe needs that we have in south central Little Rock and southwest Little Rock. We have beautiful buildings. I was in Geyer Springs yesterday; beautiful little school. I was in Wakefield yesterday, which is a relatively new school; marvelous school. But I was in Franklin -- Franklin, we spent hundreds of thousands of dollars in the last two years on Franklin and the people down there working so hard, and we've had so many really distressing -- one especially distressing thing go on down there; marvelous building for what it is, but it was built in 1949; it's not a modern school; it's not a Wakefield; it's not a Stephens; it's certainly not a Roberts. But if we wanted to -- before I step out on west Little Rock, I want to be able to tell the community, my friends that I live with, "This is what we're doing everywhere." This is not another one-off deal where I'm listening to certain people or playing
-- taking sides; I'm just not going to do it. The Civic Advisory Committee can do that, if they've got the nerve, or you can tell me to do it; I work for you. But what I'm going to do is I'm going to insist that we have a comprehensive collaborative plan that makes sense over the long-term. And there's a little short-term pain associated with that right now, but I'm willing to take the hailstorm because I know it will blow over. But until we have a long-term comprehensive plan for closing small schools that are inefficient and building schools that are modern and meet the needs of modern students, whether they're special education needs, needs in music, needs in art, needs in computer laboratories or literacy, special rooms -- that's what we've got to do. And our little ol' buildings are so quaint and some of them are nice. I mean, they really are; for what they are, they're really nice, and you need to go in them. But that's not what we're looking for longterm. I mean, I'll just give you one little -- y'all pulled the chain, so I'm going to try to be quiet. I know -- I apologize, Madam Chair. But what do you have when you have a half-time nurse? What do you really have? And we've got a lot of half-time nurses. We really end up with a nurse that goes to
two staff meetings, spends time in the car, works like mad, never gets settled in, and you don't have much -- they work so hard. I mean, I see them, and they're just all over the place. But if you can put that same nurse, even with more students, in one place, with one office, one set of supplies, everything that he or she needs to do the job fulltime, get acquainted with the staff, learn the students in one school, they're so much more effective. The same is true with music and art and all the enhancements. It's okay for a teacher to have the same number of students in the four walls of a school room, but it really makes a difference. And it's so expensive to education kids in small schools. And I love small schools; they're wonderful. But if you really want to see this community grow we have to confront that issue, and I'm ready to do it. But I'm not ready to step out and say, "Let's build a new school out west," while everybody else in town is scratching their heads, saying, "Well, what about our needs?" I just think that's -- that opens up a level of -- it blows the one thing that we've got going for us right now, which is a tremendous amount of goodwill. I'm just not willing to sacrifice or risk that goodwill without a comprehensive plan. I
couldn't give you a plan in a month or give you a plan late tonight.

DR. BARTH: And I agree with everything you're saying. It would be great that by the fall of 2016 that we could really begin to capture that group of 6th graders --

MR. KURRUS: Oh, yeah.
DR. BARTH: -- that year. That's kind of when $I$ would begin to say, "Okay, that's a big turnaround," if we'd start to capture large numbers of those 6th graders who -- we know that's the departure grade in so many cases. And that's not the longest term plan, but that would feel so good that year.

MR. KURRUS: What pains me -- and I won't tell a whole lot of stories, but $I$ was at an elementary school that's a high-poverty school -- a high-poverty school and a very high rated school -- I'm not going to call them out, but I'll tell you if you really want to know -- and the two kids that were the highest achievers, I think -- and I didn't compare test scores -- those minority kids, both -- we've done such a tremendous job and they have done -these kids and their families have done such a tremendous job. And these are the kids that we -- we love every kid, but it pained me so much when neither
one of them is going to middle school with us, you know, and then makes it that much harder everywhere else. And so I'm with you. I mean, I read, and we've gotten some really good research from my friend Mr. Newton, and I appreciate it, about all that, and it's painful to me. And these people know where to find me; I hear from them.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook. I'm sorry. DR. BARTH: No, I'll go around.

MS. ZOOK: Go ahead.
DR. BARTH: Just a quick -- and I know you're not going to want to go into much detail here. But on contract negotiations, you know, are you -whatever you want to say about that. I mean, I know it's -- I mean, I know you're not going to want to go into a lot of detail, but I feel like we would be remiss not to talk about it. It's kind of the elephant in the room in terms of school operating, effective leadership.

MR. KURRUS: Well, I'll tell you -- I'll say it this way -- and I don't want to -- I really respect LREA and their leadership because they've stepped up, in my opinion; been so prudent, so measured in their responses to what are highly volatile issues. My preference, which is well known by virtue of the
contract proposal, was to start with a contract that clearly states that we recognize LREA and that we bargain -- clearly bargain on wages and benefits. And when you -- if you really just drop back and forget about the length of the agreement and all that sort of thing, those are very important items: recognition, bargaining on wages and benefits. Whenever I accepted a job, that's kind of the two biggies. Everything else is very important, but those things are very important. The draft that I proposed -- and I have a copy, if you'd like to see it -- says that we'll negotiate working conditions. I want to start with a little agreement, and if we have to work it up a little bit, I'm good with that. It's going to be good-faith negotiations, fairly undertaken, promptly, to move where we negotiate salary and benefits, which are the big issues. Then we negotiate working conditions; those are big issues. But I want to work from a little agreement rather than -- our agreement currently is 92 pages. It starts -- and then it has exhibits that take it over 200 pages, and it's a document that has sort of grown; it's 50 -- over 50 years old. Gosh, I know all about good-faith negotiations; I think we'll get there. We've got great teachers and I understand --
and I say it every chance I get, teaching and learning doesn't occur in my office; it occurs in the classroom, between a qualified professional. We've got great teachers and I really respect them. But I'll tell you this, if we don't have a sustainable financial future as well as schools that achieve then none of us has a future in education in the LRSD; it won't exist. So those are my primary goals, is to recognize our teachers, let them know how much we appreciate them; we expect a lot, but then provide them with the type of pay of anybody and the best working conditions, with people that appreciate them.

DR. BARTH: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Good morning.
MR. KURRUS: Good morning.
MS. ZOOK: Thank you for your report.
MR. KURRUS: You're welcome. Thank you.
MS. ZOOK: I'm hearing great things about the different things that are changing, the attitudes. I think you're having a big impact there. The other elephant in the room is last month -- or last week we had parents from Little Rock district who were wanting to exercise Opportunity Choice. And Dr. Fields either left here feeling beat up or "we feel
your pain, but we don't agree with you." So are there any processes in the works to help better work with those parents? If you don't have room, you don't have room. And if you have an agreement with the Little Rock city that you won't move in portables, then, you know, that's it. But those parents do by legislation have a right to go to a district or a building that is not in academic distress. I got the feeling from listening to the parents that they were notified like by form letter kind of thing, and you and $I$ both know that to get bad news it comes better if it's a personal visit or some other type of way. But what $I$ also wonder is: are those families being fully informed of "well, if we don't have room here, then there's transportation money; you can apply and look at Bryant or Benton or North Little Rock," or whatever. Because if you're going to wait for a big plan as opposed to piecemilling, as you've sort of labeled it, about taking care of the families that might want to go to a nonacademic distress building or might want to go to Little Rock period -- according to Mr. Fields there were no spaces. And I'm sort of like Dr. Barth, if we get the best case scenario and the 8 th graders want to stay here for $9 t h$, and the 5 th graders want
to stay here for 6th, and Dr. Fields is saying there is no space, what is your plan?

MR. KURRUS: Well, my plan -- I alluded to it briefly -- is to make schools that are not viewed as schools of opportunity into schools which are schools of opportunity. And, in fact, they are for some students. And we might get into this even a little bit in this discussion about discipline. But if you look at the macro picture, we have severe problems in at least six schools; actually, there are more than that. There's no -- there's virtually no difference in the global performance of the schools which actually went over the line than another number of schools which are so close -- I'm essentially managing them the same way because I see no difference. But what I see in schools on both sides is that if you have failure of any one student -- and that's what I've said and I've told our staff -- a student who fails is a failure of our system across the board; not that school. We're not playing that game. Parkview and Central and Mann and Dunbar are viewed as schools where everything is going great. Well, no, it's not. If there's any kid in there that's below basic or basic that we're not reaching, then we have a failure in that school, and that's
essentially the answer to your question obliquely. Because if you go to Fair, Hall, and McClellan -- and I've been in all three of them in the last several days -- I met some kids at Hall yesterday, spectacular kids -- spectacular kids going to great schools and achieving great things and just marvelous people, but those kids' stories don't get told. Now I can name kids -- I'm not going to do it; I don't want to embarrass them -- kids I know that went to Governor's School that I've gotten acquainted with at J.A. Fair, or that went to the Youth Leadership Conferences, and they report back to me how it went because I want to understand those kids. Not those kids in particular so much, but kids at those schools because they have tremendous kids in those schools and there's room for more kids in those schools. But parents think, Gosh, I'm not going down there or I'm not going to stay here because it's not -- you know -- it's just not quite what we're looking for. And I value parents and I understand that. And in an odd way, in the interest of helping those schools, we've let it become stigmatized to a degree, and it's the kids that pay the price, and you've heard me talk about it. So I'm going to be at those -- I'm going to be at Central. I was at Central Monday morning at

5:15, dressed out, and jogging with the football team, if you think that wasn't an ugly situation for me. But that's about all I've done at Central and I'm not going to Parkview. I'm going to try to get things working at schools like Cloverdale and Henderson, where parents say, "Hey, there's opportunity there. I know there's been some issues but that's where we're going to go, because we can make changes there." And I hate to -- it's just so hard in a building -- and you know this, and if you don't we can go talk to principals and teachers -you can really change a school and you can impact students, but when you concentrate children of poverty -- and I don't care what color they are; I couldn't care less about that, really -- but if you concentrate students of poverty -- and this is a little bit of straight talk that our community needs to hear -- if you concentrate children of poverty anywhere, and then expect everything to change rapidly, you've got a big job on your hands because kids learn from their peers. And I'm not saying these are bad kids; they're wonderful kids. I've just told you that. But we've got a real challenge in our community. We need fair housing laws; we need middle class people all over our city. It makes a
big difference in education when we have zoned schools. But we accept that challenge; I'm not running from it, but --

MS. zOOK: Well, I think --
MS. KURRUS: We have to change those schools. We cannot put every kid at Central, every kid at Parkview, and that's not fair to our community. We have to have centers of excellence everywhere and we have to reach every child.

MS. ZOOK: And I do appreciate your point and I do agree, and I know that because I've worked in schools similar to the ones that you have. But if it's my child and it's their 11 th and 12 th grade year and I hear about big plans but I don't see anything changing fundamentally, they do have Opportunity School Choice. And I just wondered if you all could come up with a plan or a more personalized plan for these families so that maybe all you'd have to do is talk to them and encourage them and they would, you know, feel more comfortable. But I do want to be sure that these parents know that that law was not passed and it doesn't apply to them, because it does in fact apply to them.

MR. KURRUS: It truly does. But --
MS. ZOOK: And then the other thing is -- and

I've been in the school business, so when parents call me, I say, "Have you talked to your principal? Have you talked to, you know, the next person?" I get that; I'm not trying to do that. But they live in a zone. There are first graders that live in the Jefferson zone, or there are third-graders who live in the Fulbright zone, and they were told, "We don't have any more space in that grade." So how is that being addressed?

MR. KURRUS: Well, it's actually fourth grade at Jefferson where we don't have any more space, and it's painful. A lot of those things are caused by this paralysis that we've been involved in since really -- Fulbright was built in 1978, and you talk about Fulbright; there's been a fair amount of growth out there. Right? Roberts was built five years ago. Jefferson is a tiny school in a neighborhood that's turned over with a lot of new families, younger families with a lot of small children. And, again, we cannot put any more people in Jefferson. This week, I said, "Is there a possibility of adding a fourth grade class at Jefferson and enrolling the overflow and the kids that wanted to come to Jefferson, as well as Forest Park, where there's no room in fourth grade?" I can show you the list of
where there is and isn't room; I brought it with me. And the answer is no, we can't magically put more kids in a school building than state law allows. And that's this paralysis that I'm talking about where we have a popcorn approach to facilities planning. You know, we haven't done anything effectively since Roberts was built, and that was not without its pain, because there's no comprehensive plan. People in southwest Little Rock say, "What about us?" People in northwest say, "What about me?" People in central city say, "What about our schools?" And they're all right; they've all got a point; they're all entitled to a comprehensive plan. So I can't fix the fact that Forest Park has a class of 450 kids and you can't shoe-horn another one in there. I get complaints every day. They've lost their specialized rooms that the PTA paid to equip for additional classroom space. They do a great job; they achieve at over $90 \%$ of their proficient/advanced. So I don't have the answer short of this comprehensive plan I'm telling you about. Now this Opportunity School Choice is a great idea in one sense that, you know, you say that these are schools where you don't -they're distressed, which means, I guess, that 49.5\% or more of the kids are not proficient and advanced.

But that doesn't mean that kids down there aren't going to make 30 on the ACT --

MS. ZOOK: Right.
MR. KURRUS: -- because we're working on that.
MS. ZOOK: And I think if you had an approach where those parents have been talked to, encouraged, or helped in a different direction but in fact, didn't get a form letter, you know, you -- I mean, you're a good salesman and Mr. Fields is a very capable man. I just think those are the things that come to us. I also appreciate the fact that you have been there a very short time, and, you know, I totally get all of that. I've had to shoe-horn kids into buildings, and so I do appreciate the situation. I just think that since these parents have been given this right, whether they choose to -- they've withdrawn, a couple of them, from today, so apparently y'all are --

MR. KURRUS: Four of them today.
MS. ZOOK: Yeah. You-all are working even within a week, you know, with those kind of things. But please understand, I understand your position. You've been there such a short time and it's like people coming to me for counseling and it's such a mess that, you know, some things can be fixed and
some things can't. But you inherited a mess and we want to do everything we can to help you speed the fixing of that along, because we all love and appreciate all of our school districts and the children within those districts; we just want to help each of you as you make an effort to do -- but we have to ask you these hard questions.

MR. KURRUS: Well, I appreciate that.
MS. ZOOK: And we have every confidence in you and your school board.

MR. KURRUS: Well, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Moving right along


MR. KURRUS: And I will say this, the ADE has been nothing but great.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We've got to move from this side. I'm sorry. You know, because of our schedule and because there is opportunity for all of us, I think we know to have a discussion with you. And so it's not that -- you know -- every point is important, but we've got to move along. So, Ms. Reith.

MS. REITH: I promise to be brief. And thank you, Madame Chair, and also thank you as well, Mr. Kurrus, not just for your time today, but for
everything that you've been doing this summer as well. I do also want to thank Mr. Adams for his role and his leadership and his report today as well. I think after the vote in February many of us wouldn't have maybe expected to see some of our school board members actively engaged; there could have been scenarios where $I$ would have assumed that they may not. And then to see them still this engaged with the leadership and what that's representing, and you all working together, is probably something that gives me the most hope. And so I really thank you for that. And it's toward that end that I do hope in this comprehensive plant that you're working on, please know I in no way advocate arbitrary targets. Obviously, the idea of you taking time with a comprehensive plan is one that we can have something that's really meaningful and actually see school turnaround and such. But I do know that at the end of the day if you really want to fulfill the full potential of morale in the community, just some sort of guidelines that there is some work toward returning back to local control the district -right? Again, I'm not setting a timeline; I'm not even asking that you do. But as you think of your targets and what you're working toward, please also
include that in there as well. I think that's what's going to really cap off -- right? -- the idea that we've done this work together, but that at some point we know that this is just not this infinite -- right? -- sort of target out there -- right? -- of state control, that some day that the Little Rock School District can be back to local control, something I would appreciate hearing as the months go ahead and as you are able to reflect more on this plan.

In terms of the discipline piece, since I am the one that brought it up and you did reference it as well, if I could just maybe ask for tomorrow with some of your principals -- right? -- if they might be able to touch on some of that, that piece as well, specifically the junior high and high schools, for the very reasons that were talked about in the previous report here, just wanting to make sure that as you're thinking of plans that that's part of it. And for that reason -- I know you put the question to us, "Should I show up tomorrow, should I not?" I know I personally would like to have you here just to hear the questions and some of the thinking here, as sort of the leader. Obviously, I love the idea of hearing directly from the principals but I do think at the same time you, as sort of the leader in this
direction and again the one that's kind of putting together this plan -- some things might be revealed tomorrow as we start thinking into some of the individual schools that might lend itself to that. And then the final thing that I would just sort of ask is: I know that for the same reason that my colleagues brought up the teachers' contract, it is something that's going to be extremely important for this fall. I heard about the negotiations vis-à-vis the newspaper and maybe some of my other colleagues were in that similar boat. If there is any way that we could get some updates as that's going along, even if it's just an email from you, from Deborah, or from you, Commissioner, just to -- to just give us a sense of how those conversations are going forward. I guess I would appreciate maybe not reading about it in the paper, and hearing about it maybe directly from you all, if that's possible, just because of how important, obviously, the roles of what teachers are going to play moving ahead. But beyond that, thank you so much. And I hear your Spanish is going quite well. So, thank you.

MR. KURRUS: [Speaks a phrase in Spanish]
MS. REITH: [Speaks a phrase in Spanish]
MR. KURRUS: If you want me to, I can give you
-- you know the -- let me just -- I want to meet your requirements; so if you don't mind, tell me exactly what you want me to do. I mean, I can give you a weekly update; I can write it down and it'll be FOIA. That's why my plan, my global plan is --

MS. REITH: No, I don't think --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I think it would be best to come through the Commissioner --

MS. REITH: Yeah. Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- and he can, you know -MS. REITH: And it doesn't have to be at the level of weekly. It's just as we get to the next phases, just having some sort of sense versus seeing it in the paper and then having to react sometimes to teachers or other folks that might contact us. If we can just have a heads-up of how those negotiations are going.

MR. KURRUS: Okay. Well --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, I wouldn't want to put that onus on you, Mr. Kurrus.

MS. REITH: Yeah. Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I wouldn't want to put that onus --

MS. REITH: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- necessarily on you, from
the Chair's standpoint. If you can get information as you -- and the Commissioner can deem it relevant for our use or discussion, some may mean more or less, but -- so that would be something that you and the Commissioner -- and I'm sure you guys talk often. MR. KURRUS: I'll be happy to do whatever y'all need me to do.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. REITH: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yeah. Real quickly, Mr. Kurrus, a lot of good vision and hope, and that is so encouraging to me, and I have no doubt that you will have a fabulous first day of school and a really successful year. Seriously, thank you so much. And what can we do to help you get your -- create your plan, implement the plan? What can we do? Because I think the plan is critically important.

MR. KURRUS: Well, I think we need to figure out if the Civic Advisory Committee is going to go macro or micro. I mean, if they want to tell us who to hire I'll listen; if they want to tell us, you know, what to do in a school building -- we've got highly paid people that are working very hard on those matters that are professional educators, including a
lot of them from the ADE who have really been a big help. Right now, that's where I would like for that sort of thing to come from, but I really need help on the big picture, you know, community-wide. That's what -- and I hope they will turn to those things very rapidly and then $I$ will -- if you don't mind, I think -- I hate to do it because it'll stir up stuff, but I can literally dash off a big picture plan that shows some of the financial implications of what I've been talking about. And that's all I'll say because I know we've over time, but --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We have an aggressive agenda and we may make it through by midnight. But anyway, thank you for -- Mr. Black, do you have a question?

MR. BLACK: I'll just pass.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Williamson?
MR. WILLIAMSON: No.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Chambers?
MS. CHAMBERS: No.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thank you.
MR. KURRUS: Thank you very much for your time.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I don't know if I ought to do this or not --

MS. ZOOK: Yeah. Yeah. Well, I think when that
recommendation was made for that committee it maybe has a misnomer about being called Advisory. It was intended -- or my vote was intended, the way Dr . Barth made the motion, that it's a group that helps you communicate to the community, but it was not in any way an administrative group or a group that got between you and your board; it was not in any way intended to be in the weeds. And so I think if you need that clarification from us we can clear that up pretty quickly.

MR. KURRUS: Well, the committee has got great leadership. They're working really hard and I really appreciate the committee. And I don't want to sound critical, so please understand that point. I think they're coming along. I just think if y'all sort of ask, I'm going to give you -- it'll take me a couple of days to type it up but I've got pen-scratching; none of it's FOIA-able; it's all in my head, to all those who'll hit me this afternoon. But I'll get with the Commissioner and we'll talk, and then I want to show you the big picture vision. And then I hope we have a good opening on Monday; I have my fingers crossed. Y'all are all welcome. And thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. Okay. So now
we have an action to -- can I get a motion relative to Mr. Kurrus's report?

DR. BARTH: I move to accept the report.
MS. REITH: Second.
MS. SAVIERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Dr. Barth and seconded by Ms. Reith to accept the report. There's no discussion, $I$ don't think. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Great. Then the motion passes.

We're going to take a 10-minute break and we're going to reassembly at 12:10 by that clock.
(BREAK: 12:00-12:12 P.M.)
CHAIRPERSON: We're ready to get started; it's time to reconvene.

A-4: CONSIDERATION OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN PCSSD AND JNPSD CONCERNING THE DETACHMENT OF JNPSD AND PCSSD

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We're now down to action item A-4, Consideration of Agreement Between PCSSD and JNPSD Concerning the Detachment of the district -- one district, Jacksonville, from Pulaski County. And we're going to start by recognizing the presenters, who will be Dr. Jerry Guess and Tony Wood. But we're going to ask the Commissioner to
give us some background and give us some context for this discussion.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. Just to introduce this item, you know, the two parties have been working for several months now at the direction -- the order -- the detachment order that was issued by this board gave them direction on the items that needed to -- where they needed to reach an agreement. And I think this agreement that -- I think we met two weeks ago about this and, well, they called me and said they had a -- what they thought was very close to a final agreement. And in that meeting we were able to come to a conclusion on a couple of minor items and at that point they said, "Yes, we can agree with this." And it's a solid agreement; it's an agreement that both districts, I believe, can move forward during the remainder of this transition time, and it's brought some clarity to areas that needed clarity, I think the split of the assets that they have determined to be appropriate. And both districts moving forward will be able to operate efficiently, not without some difficulty. As you can imagine, this is like the separation of Siamese twins and it has been difficult. But I want to express my appreciation to

Mr. Wood, and before him Mr. Lester, and to Dr. Guess, and to their respective teams, because they have really done a lot of hard work on this. And I'm happy. A couple of months ago maybe, I think I had to report that we thought we were close and I had to tell you that we had to pull it from the agenda. Well, today, I'm happy to tell you not only are we close but we are complete and I'm happy to present this. I have approved this, as you see on the form or on the document. Well, my approval was in form only and this board will then be asked to approve it, and then I believe it will go on to the court for review. But I'll let them address any of those questions that you all may have. But, Madam Chair, I'm happy to present this and happy to present these two gentlemen to talk about it.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thank you. Who wants to start? Dr. Guess.

DR. GUESS: Well, I noticed Mr. Wood stepped back. You know, it's not always that you step forward; sometimes it's if the other guy steps back. I don't know what to say, other than what Mr. Key said, truthfully. I think he's captured all the hard work and the time that we've put into this, and I think it gives the framework for the detachment of

Jacksonville that will be critical to the successful detachment of Jacksonville and the PCSSD following that detachment. So we're here to support this and seek your endorsement.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Wood.
MR. WOOD: I don't have anything to add.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I'm not surprised. You know, I think, for the benefit of the new board members, this has been how many years in the making? How many years is this from start to end?

MS. ZOOK: I think Jacksonville has been trying for 30 , but $I$ think this new thing is like three. Right?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So from --
DR. GUESS: I think that's right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yeah. So --
DR. GUESS: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yeah. So that -- so just know the lack of comment is no indication of the hard work that's been in the process. So we'll start on this end. Ms. Chambers, do you have any questions?

MS. CHAMBERS: No, I don't.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Williamson?
MR. WILLIAMSON: Just as a former banker, I would hate to have this amortization schedule to deal
with, but -- it just drove me a little crazy. But if you guys agreed to it, it was fine with me. I thought it may be easier just to budget a standard payment instead of a declining one, but whatever you guys are happy with is fine with me.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Black?
MR. BLACK: No questions at this time.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Saviers?
MS. SAVIERS: No.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Reith?
MS. REITH: Just a word of congratulations.
DR. GUESS: Thank you.
MR. WOOD: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook?
MS. ZOOK: And I second that.
DR. BARTH: Yeah, the same. And I don't want to hold this issue up but, Dr. Guess, did you hear my comments earlier this morning about y'all's next -when is Pulaski County's next fiscal distress report?

DR. GUESS: I'm not sure, Dr. Barth, when the next report is. I did not find that out.

DR. BARTH: Okay. And just -- I mean, I think as we look forward to that, I think it is time for this board to maybe have a different kind of report the next time around with a particular eye to the
clock, the five-year deadline, where we are, how we're going to get there, if we're going to get there, and then what the implications of that would be for the federal courts. And then how the, you know, the bonds, the mills, the decision on mills, and the other schools out there, how that may have some implications for the unitary status determination moving forward. So I think we need a little bit more time, a little bit more comprehensive report than we have traditionally gotten on fiscal distress because of how close we're getting to the end of the five-year period there.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. MS. DEAN: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No questions. Okay. MS. ZOOK: I move that we accept the report. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. It's been moved by Ms. Zook that we accept the report --

MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- and recommendation.
Second by Mr. Williamson. Any further discussion?
All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Thank you, Gentlemen.
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We'll go back now to item C-3, if Ms. Freno is in the room.
(OFF THE RECORD)
(BACK ON THE RECORD)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We need a break. I need a break. We'll be back at 1:10.
(LUNCH BREAK: 12:28-1:15 P.M.)
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: If we could bring the room to order please, I'm afraid our Chair had to step out for personal issues for a few brief moments. So we are going to go ahead and proceed with the agenda, just acknowledging the length of the agenda.

A-6: DERMOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT - YEAR TWO PROBATIONARY STATUS
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: We are now at action item 6, if I -- no, sorry; apologies. Action item 5.

MS. ZOOK: It was pulled.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: No, that one was 5, so it is 6. Thank you. So action item 6, the Dermott School District - Year Two Probationary Status. If the presenter, Brandon Morrison, could come forth. Yes, Mr. Morrison -- or Mr. Harvey.

MR. HARVEY: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, Commissioner Key, we do have Dermott here today with the year-two. We also have Mr. Morrison here as a standards specialist and Dr. Wilde as a school
improvement specialist. We did do a visit to kind of pull together with school improvement for the academic distress side, as well as the year-two. We have submitted to you the documents related to the probationary issues from both years. Based upon the evaluation that the team did at Dermott, they have a schedule they have put in place with fidelity, after October 1, that will rectify the situation for the probation from this year. So it is something that they've worked diligently to correct. We do have them here for questions, if you would like. But we also have recommendations from the team and a collaborative group here at the ADE that we would like to see possibly put forward as action for the Dermott School District.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Well, I do think we'll want to hear your recommendations. I know in other cases we've given the opportunity to the district to speak as well. Which would you -- do you have a preference of which would happen first?

MR. HARVEY: I would recommend that we do the recommendations first. That way, the school district could speak to those recommendations.

VICE CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Sounds wonderful.
Please proceed.

MR. HARVEY: Basically what we're wanting to move forward with is a cross-collaboration between standards and school improvement. Because as we've seen, districts that have an issue with standards or with school improvement, there's a cross-correlation between the two. So we're working to cross-train our staffs so that we can support each other more effectively as we move forward. So as if we're looking at a teaching issue, then we can see what is the impact on that related to school improvement so we can address both of those at the same time, so with that as the spirit that we're looking for. Because they're in academic distress you will be getting regular reports from the school improvement unit. What we're asking is that we look at a quarterly report to the Board during the regular board meeting that is a combination between school improvement and standards. So on a quarterly basis Dr. Wilde or his appointed school improvement specialist will go down to Dermott with Mr. Morrison, the standards specialist for Dermott, and evaluate where they're at and provide you with a report back. So as those reports moving forward, we'd be looking at one after October 1, which would enable us to say did they implement the schedule with fidelity and
have they rectified those issues. Then, we would be going back after January, so we'd be looking at second semester, to insure that we're still on target. Then, we would be going back in May so that we could do an evaluation for the year but also see what are the projected plans for the upcoming school year so that we have all this congruent together. So we would be presenting those reports to you from both units as one presentation. We would also ask that Dermott School District be required to come before you as an action item to present a report to the Board and be able to answer questions. This is similar to what we've done with other districts. This will enable the Department to demonstrate how effectively we can work together to actually support a school district and also give us a sampling to see if this is something that we can scale up to a larger issue, which would enable us to work with Academic Distress, potentially Standards, Fiscal Distress, and all of those in a collaborative issue to better serve the students.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Yes, Ms. Zook, you're recognized.

MS. ZOOK: I know a lot of the accreditation issues were staff related and they were cited like
someone teaching out of area. If a district gets approval from Ms. Pfeffer's department to have an assigned sub or someone in a position that's different from the area in which they're certified, do they still get cited or they don't get cited because they have gone through the correct process to get an okay for that?

MR. HARVEY: Part of that deals with the ALE process, which is that, allowing to teach out of area. And the first year you teach out of area you automatically receive a cite for that, and that's just to say -- or, excuse me -- your denoted on the accreditation report that there will be a cite if it continues in year-two. So that's how we're tracking those. And a cite is something to say, "Hey" -- it's kind of like a warning sign, "Here's something, if not corrected, could lead to a potential probationary issue, which is much more severe."

MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Any other questions for Mr. Harvey? Then, Mr. Harvey, I think we'll give the Dermott School District an opportunity to respond. Who's here to speak on behalf of the Dermott School District?

MR. HARVEY: We have the superintendent.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Wonderful.
SUPT. RIDGELL: Good afternoon. I am Kristi Ridgell, superintendent of Dermott School District, and I have brought my high school principal, Mike Duncan, and my curriculum coordinator, Ms. Arniece Gardner. And I'm here responding to an unfortunate occurrence when the Dermott High School was found in probation violation in the 2013-14 and 2014-15 school year. The district had a career orientation teacher who was teaching out of licensure field the first year. The second year the district was in violation because it failed to teach the required 38 units due to failure to teach a required math course and a social studies course. During the first year of these violations I was serving the district as elementary principal. Even though I served the district in dual capacity, as elementary principal and superintendent, during the second year, when $I$ assumed the interim superintendent position in November 2014 I was made aware of violations and began to take immediate action. All the certification issues have been corrected in the district; all course offering requirements are in compliance with the Arkansas Department of Education requirements. We have collaborated with ADE
standards unit and the school improvement unit. Additionally, we have conducted a self-audit to insure that we are offering the required 38 units. We will continue to monitor our certification course offerings and other compliance issues to insure that we are providing the best education that our kids deserve. I thank you for affording me the opportunity to address you at this time. I assure you that if afforded the opportunity I will do all within my power to insure that $I$ do not have to face you again to explain or defend accreditation issues for the Dermott School District. Thank you. MS. ZOOK: And I think we need to point out that you have -- this will be your first full year as superintendent.

SUPT. RIDGELL: Yes, ma'am. MS. ZOOK: And everything has been on the upside since you got there, so we do appreciate you. SUPT. RIDGELL: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers. MS. SAVIERS: And I'm sorry, Superintendent;
what is your name?
SUPT. RIDGELL: Kristi Ridgell.
MS. SAVIERS: Thank you. Do you have a curriculum?

SUPT. RIDGELL: Yes, I do.
MS. SAVIERS: So there is an established curriculum in the Dermott School District?

SUPT. RIDGELL: Yes, ma'am.
MS. SAVIERS: Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions?
COMMISSIONER KEY: Madam Chair, if I may -- I just want to let the board members know that Dr . Gotcher and I, in one of our trips to the southeast co-op, met and visited with Ms. Ridgell. And we are very confident that she is the right person to make the corrections and make the improvements that need to be made there. We have just great confidence in her ability. As former Commissioner Wood used to say, we needed to have an eyeball-to-eyeball conversation, and we're very pleased with what we see coming from there. And also I had specifically called Karen Eoff at the co-op, and I said, "Karen, can we count on you to help Ms. Ridgell as well, as she goes through the process?" And they said, "Absolutely." So we have a great partnership. We look forward to the improvements that they're going to make in Dermott.

SUPT. RIDGELL: Thank you so much.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Are there any questions,
any other further questions?
DR. BARTH: I really like the approach of the two units working together; I think it's a really promising strategy. And I would move to accept the recommendation of the Department on our treatment of Dermott in the year ahead.

MS. SAVIERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You heard the motion by Dr. Barth and the second by Ms. Saviers. Is there any other discussion, any further discussion? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Thank you, Ms. Ridgell. We have confidence that you will give your heart to the district and thank you for your commitment.

SUPT. RIDGELL: Thank you.
A-7: CONSIDERATION OF APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF SCHOOL CHOICE APPLICATION - COPPEDGE

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. A-7 is Consideration of Appeal from Denial of School Choice Application Coppedge family.

MS. DAVIS: Good afternoon. Jennifer Davis, staff attorney for the Department. The Coppedge family filed a school choice appeal after they were
denied from the Armorel School District. They do reside in the Blytheville School District and they are represented today. But we do have a few school choice appeals -- but before I get started, I just want to go ahead and cover the procedures again in case you forgot them from last week.

Like I say, the appeals are with the nonresident district. So the nonresident district will get 5 minutes to kind of state their case, open it up, followed by 5 minutes from the parent. After that, the nonresident district will have 20 minutes to kind of present more to you, followed by the parents as well. At any point in time you can ask questions and also we have requested that the resident districts come as well for you to ask questions to them.

Like I say, this first family is represented by counsel. But everybody who's going to testify, outside of counsel, does need to be sworn in.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Would the people that are intending to testify please stand, including school personnel? Raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
(ALL WITNESSES ANSWERED AFFIRMATIVELY)

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. I'm going to call a representative now from the Blytheville district, the nonresident district.

MS. DAVIS: No, Armorel.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Armorel. I'm sorry; Armorel district. They're the nonresident district.

SUPT. BENNETT: Good afternoon. I'm Sally Bennett, the superintendent of the Armorel School District. I in fact did deny the application based on the assertion from the Blytheville School District that they had an active desegregation order, and as is listed in the Department of Education website. We do have the capacity to accept students and we'd be happy to do so.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Blytheville district, is there someone to represent who wants to make an opening statement? And I understand the procedure is 5 minutes for opening, 20 minutes for rebuttal?

MS. DAVIS: Right. And what I would suggest is go ahead and let the district and then the parent open up, and then at that point in time we can --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: But the district -- but the Blytheville district and then the parent?

MS. DAVIS: No. The nonresident district, Armorel, who just went --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: -- and then allow the parent.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: And then during the 20-minute presentation of the Armorel School District is when I would call any representatives from the resident district up to support their case about why they issued the denial.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. This is the Coppedge family represented by --

MR. ASKEW: Jess Askew.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- Mr. Askew.
MR. ASKEW: May it please the Board, I represent the Coppedge family here. I am looking to see whether anyone from the Blytheville School District will attend, and as far as I can tell no one is here. I do have a document that $I$ want to hand around and discuss.
(WHEREUPON, Exhibit One (1) to Agenda Item A-7 was marked for identification and entered into evidence.)

MR. ASKEW: And this is our five-minute piece. I want to commiserate a little bit with you and I want to tell you some good news and then I want to ask you to do your duty under the School Choice Act
of 2015. The commiseration is frustration; I feel it, you feel it, parents feel it, we all feel it. We are very frustrated with a lot of these school choice issues, and I submit we are frustrated with the role of this board in school choice issues. I want to speak directly to the 2015 Attorney General opinion, which I've read backward and forward; I know you all have read, counsel has read, and across the state. It says nothing about the State Board of Education. It's directed to the Arkansas Department of Education. It says nothing about the appeal which is the reason why we are here today. It speaks instead to what the Arkansas Department of Education does when it gets a letter like the one from April 22nd that the Blytheville School District sent in, saying, "We are under a federal court order that is contrary to school choice." The AG opinion said -- the question presented to the AG was when the Arkansas Department of Education gets one of these letters can it do anything to look at the bona fide use or the justification presented. Then the answer was, "The statute says nothing." And, you know, I'm not going to debate that question because it doesn't apply to you and it doesn't apply to this appeal. The General Assembly has given you, as the State Board of

Education, an entity separate from the Department of Education, the authority and the responsibility to hear this appeal. There are a number of issues that people will bring up in an effort to nullify school choice, and I know this as well as anybody and have been dealing with school choice since the Teague case in Malvern School District in 2011. I represent Mr. Coppedge, Mrs. Coppedge, and others in Blytheville in an existing federal lawsuit against the Blytheville School District having to do with the 2013 School Choice Act. That decision should be decided any day now from the appellate court in St. Louis.

The good news is what you don't have to do today in this appeal. You do not have to look at a federal court order. You do not have to determine whether Blytheville is or is not in compliance with a federal court order. You do not have to determine whether or not Blytheville is subject to a federal court order. That's the specific question that on appeal in the federal court case where $I$ represent these people. You don't have to determine whether the Blytheville School District is in unitary status, even though it admits that it is. You don't have to determine whether the Blytheville School District is completely desegregated, even though it admits that it is. You
don't have to look at a plan or an order from the federal court to determine whether school choice conflicts with anything in that plan or order because Blytheville doesn't even contend that there is any such order or plan. When you look at Blytheville's letter from April 22nd of this year, it says it has desegregation obligations, not that it is subject to a plan or an order that is conflicting with school choice. Desegregation obligations is something that is discussed in what $I$ just sent around to you, so the good news is your decision is really narrow. And even better, I have sworn testimony from the superintendent of the Blytheville School District from this federal court case in 2013 where I asked him specifically about ongoing federal court desegregation obligations that he planned, and that's what I sent around to you. So you don't even have to determine whether there are any ongoing desegregation obligations because he said that there were none, under oath.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: There's a limit of 5 minutes for introductory remarks. If you have a couple of more minutes -- you can have a couple more minutes, because I didn't advise you of that, and after that an opportunity for rebuttal of 20 minutes,
so --
MR. ASKEW: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MR. ASKEW: I'll take a few more minutes. And, of course, I would expect this would come out of my 20 minutes. So I'll finish up here quickly.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, we have to break it up.

MR. ASKEW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So if you can just take a minute to wrap up --

MR. ASKEW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- and then we'll have the Blytheville district to come.

MR. ASKEW: All right. What I have is Exhibit A, which I've sent around. It's a few pages from trial testimony, under oath, of the Blytheville school superintendent, Richard Atwill, from the summer of 2013. And I started in the middle of it and you'll see about halfway down on page 67, I started asking him what case are you relying on to say that you are exempt from 2013 school choice, a different issue than we have here. And he says Brown versus Board of Education, and Franklin. Now today, on April 22nd, the letter from Blytheville also
references a case called Harvill, like Paul Harvill, who some of us know; that was a voting rights case that had no desegregation obligation at all, and we go through that here. Then I asked him about the Blytheville/Franklin case that he was relying on and what the existing federal desegregation obligations were -- and I'll come back to that. I'll stand down now. But this -- I've got the evidence here; all you have to do is hear this appeal and look at it with open eyes.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you, Mr. Askew. We now invite to the podium someone from the Blytheville district. Is there anyone here from Blytheville? MS. DAVIS: I don't believe so. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Then, Mr. Askew, you can come back for the 20 minutes, and/or family, but the 20 minutes is inclusive of any rebuttal or any statements the family wants to make.

MR. ASKEW: Thank you very much, Chairman Newton. I've set this up. We don't need to get into federal court orders, and you don't need to determine whether there are unmet federal desegregation obligations. I've got that under oath, and I'd like to go through that fairly carefully with reference to this Exhibit A. The first two pages are the cover
that we have with the federal court transcript. The third page is page 67 from the transcript. At the top of the page you'll see I'm asking the questions of Richard Atwill, who is still the superintendant. I'm asking him whether he seriously contends that the Blytheville School District is under a federal court desegregation order today; "In my opinion, yes." "And you seriously hold to that opinion?" And then I ask him the names of the cases, and at the bottom he said Brown and Franklin. And then I ask the question, on line 21 , "Who is the judge who is supervising the desegregation efforts that you contend are going on in the Blytheville School District?" "Eisele, to my knowledge. I think that's how you pronounce his name." Top of the next page, "And what's your basis for saying that?" "It's his signature on the documentation." "From 1978?" Answer, "And before." Question, "But not since?" Answer, "Not that I'm aware of." Question, "And who represents Blytheville School District in that case, Mr. Atwill?" Answer, "I don't recall." Now, remember, this is a school district that wrote you a letter on April 22nd saying it has unmet federal desegregation obligations, and that's exactly what I'm asking about, and he doesn't know who his lawyer
is. And then I say, question, "Well, the pleadings that you've attached to one of your briefs that was filed June 19, 2013 has the name of a lawyer. Do you see that on the screen?" We were looking at a document on a screen at the time. "I do, yes." "And it says the name Mr. James W. Steinsiek?" Answer, "I suppose it does. Yes." Question, "Do you know him," his own lawyer. Answer, "I don't know if he's dead or alive, no, sir." Question, "So you don't know whether Mr. Steinsiek is dead or alive?" Answer, "That's correct. I don't." Then, let's skip over because the lawyer and I fussed a little bit-- Bobby Coleman, lawyer for Blytheville, and I fussed -- and let's go to page 69, line 18. Question from me, "Now, Mr. Atwill, when was the last time Blytheville School District reported to the federal desegregation authority concerning this case that you contend exists?" Answer, "I do not know." Question, "Has it been since you have been superintendent, since 2009?" Answer, "No." And here's the bingo: question, "What remedies are left to implement in that desegregation case, to your knowledge, as superintendent of the Blytheville School District?" Answer, "I don't know." Question, "As superintendent of the Blytheville School District, what current effects of
past racial segregation are there that remain to be remedied?" Answer, "In my professional opinion, I don't know." He didn't know what the violations were, and that's the end of that excerpt. That's sworn testimony and I got to ask those questions. You know, in this appeal I wouldn't have the opportunity to ask those questions of an opposing party under oath, but I was able to in 2013. This goes directly to the question of whether there are desegregation obligations of Blytheville School District under any order. And the answer to that question is no, there are no desegregation obligations. Because the superintendent, since 2009, didn't know what they were, didn't know who the lawyer was, hadn't reported, didn't even know what the violations were. So you have an appeal before you.

Those who want to nullify public school choice in this state because they disagree with a policy statement and policy judgment made by the Arkansas General Assembly have come up with all sorts of reasons why you can't -- why you cannot use your eyes, your ears, your judgment, and render an appeal on this question. The only thing you have to determine is whether Blytheville School District has
unmet federal desegregation obligations -- and I'm not quoting but I'm fairly close to quoting what the April 22nd letter says, and I've just demonstrated under oath that there are none. You don't have to decide that there are none, because that's already in the evidence; all you have to do is say, "Enough." Blytheville School District is operating a charade. It is trying to avoid the law of Arkansas that these fine citizens have the right to choose to go to another public school district outside of Blytheville. Blytheville School District has schools that are in academic distress and have failed -- and yet, it plays games, hoping that you will not do your duty and hoping that you cannot exercise judgment on whether what it has said in this April 22nd letter is true. Now you just heard from Ms. Bennett, the superintendent of Armorel; that's the nonresident district to which the Coppedge child wants to transfer. She said in her letter to this board, "We denied the application simply because of what Blytheville said." She also said, "We have room and we would welcome that child." So now it is to you, the State Board, to make what I think is the easiest, most commonsense determination possible, which is: is Blytheville School District under any obligation that
would conflict with public school choice? They're not even here today to talk about this. They know I'm here. I've been litigating with Mr. Coleman and Mr. Bequette since 2013; they're the lawyers. Mr. Bequette is the one who wrote the letter. They're not here to even play out the charade. They are banking that you will not do your duty. Now, if you have any questions about what your duty is or why the law is as it is I'll be glad to give you any answer, you know, to the best of my ability. And I think this question is very cut-and-dried. The General Assembly gave you, not the Department of Education but you the State Board, the power and the responsibility that comes with that power to decide this appeal. It is time to take the blinders off and it is time to do your duty, which is what Mr . and Mrs. Coppedge requests.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you.
MR. ASKEW: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions from the board members?

MR. WILLIAMSON: I've got one. So this is testimony that you -- that a judge has not ruled on. Am I correct?

MR. ASKEW: Judge Kris Baker ruled on this and
she ruled that Blytheville School District had never received a unitary status determination, not that Blytheville School District has unmet desegregation obligations. So she has ruled that there was never a unitary status determination. And that's a good point because there's another part of this charade that I neglected to talk about. In the attachments to Mr. Bequette's letter of April 22nd, he attaches HEW in federal court pleadings up through 1971. Well, guess what; as this testimony shows, that case went on until 1978. And then, what happened? In 1973, Judge Eisele shut it down. There's an order that I submitted -- Blytheville didn't -- that said "there's nothing left in this case and we will retain jurisdiction in case you all have any problems that you want to bring to me." Five years later, in 1978, he entered another order, which I've also given to you, saying, "You all haven't come to me. This case is over and done; it's dismissed." So we have a technical issue on the appeal, and that technical issue is whether the case was dismissed completely or whether some issue of whether Blytheville, the subject to that case, will linger because Judge Eisele never entered a unitary status order, even though Blytheville admits to it's in unitary status.

There just never was a technical order saying that, which I agree. But that's the issue that's before the federal court. You don't have to worry with that. We're going to get a decision I think any day from the federal court on that issue. It does not concern you and you don't have to get into that one bit. Blytheville says, "We can't do school choice because of unmet desegregation obligations," which is exactly what we went through.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Davis, could you speak to that question from Mr. Williamson, please?

MS. DAVIS: Well, as we kind of discussed last week during the school choice appeals, you know, I don't believe that under the AG's opinion that we have been advised that we have the ability to determine the sufficiency of the proof. I think that was one of the issues in several of the appeals last week. So, you know, again, the Board -- and you're welcome to do what you choose to do, but our advice is that if -- it gets into interpreting and whether or not the evidence is sufficient. And, you know, because this case has already -- I mean, we had advised parents before that some of their options are to get a ruling from a court of competent jurisdiction, and this case is currently in a court
of competent jurisdiction. And it might be advisable to allow that court to make that ruling, because the Board is somewhat limited in what they can do based on the AG's opinion. And as we discussed last week, the AG's opinion, while it's not binding on this board, it is persuasive. So I would just caution you about forging your own legal path.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Does that answer your question, Mr. Williamson?

MR. WILLIAMSON: I have a follow-up question, if you don't mind.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Does this board have --
MR. ASKEW: And I would like to respond to that point because the lawyers have a disagreement about the AG opinion.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, let him ask and you can have a chance to respond.

MR. WILLIAMSON: I'm just asking our counsel if this board has the ability to overrule a federal court?

MS. DAVIS: No.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Thank you.
MS. DAVIS: And just as a side note, if -- you know -- another option would be if you want to not
grant or deny at this point this appeal pending the court order, you are welcome to of course table this issue. I am not certain that tabling it at this point to another month, depending upon the court, would make a difference, or if it would be needed at that point to --

MR. WILLIAMSON: But regardless, if we approved or denied and the court case comes through that's going to rule?

MS. DAVIS: Well, right.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: And, you know, if you -- either way; if you denied it even and the court case came down that Blytheville was unitary and so therefore they did not have a genuine conflict at that point, the only difference I think at that point would be that the family would not have the ability to choice-in because the deadline has already passed. And so that would be the only caveat is that if it is tabled and there is a decision then this board could still rule on the current choice application that would allow them. But if you denied it now, they wouldn't have the ability until next year to reapply.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Right. Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers has a question.

Oh, I'm sorry; you have rebuttal. Go ahead.
MR. ASKEW: Thank you. And I appreciate it. I know this is a little bit out of order, Mr. Williamson, but I'm reading now from the AG opinion. It goes to the scope and nature of the obligations placed on the Arkansas Department of Education. And the question is specifically does the ADE have any obligation or authority to review the information submitted by a school district. The State Board of Education is an entity created separately from the Arkansas Department of Education. Commissioner Key is the head of the Arkansas Department of Education. You, Ladies and Gentlemen, are the State Board of Education. This is not directed to the State Board. And the gist of this opinion is that the General Assembly didn't tell the ADE what it could do to review the material that's submitted. Fine; I don't debate that. But it did -- the General Assembly did tell you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that as the State Board of Education you have the authority and, I submit, the responsibility to hear this appeal. And there are no limitations on how you decide that appeal and I would submit to you that commonsense and good judgment guide your deliberation.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Agree.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. I think Ms. Saviers has a question.

MS. SAVIERS: Yeah. And this is, I guess, for Ms. Davis and maybe Mr. Key as well. As we consider all of these cases, it occurs to me over and over again what is the incentive for any district in this situation to pursue unitary status if in fact -- I mean, over time we've seen districts actively pursue unitary status. Pulaski County, I think, has done a really great job. However, there are districts, as evidenced here by the document that Mr. Askew gave us, where the superintendent has no idea what steps we would have to take to pursue unitary status.

COMMISSIONER KEY: I think a big difference between the central Arkansas case -- Pulaski, Little Rock ongoing case -- and those other cases is a dollars issue. Because there are significant dollars attached from the state coffers. So there was pressure that had been applied at the time from the General Assembly, from the executive branch to get a resolution, and the other districts don't have that incentive. Now what we do have now, which we discussed briefly last week, is that as part of standards monitoring that we are wanting to step up our efforts to monitor that component of "are you
seeking unitary status and try to resolve your desegregation issues." But that still does not -that may be an incentive to stay -- for a district to stay out from in front of you-all, as having to answer why you have or haven't. But really I think that's -- Jennifer, you can add to that if you want to; I think that's the only thing that we have in the Department to kind of accelerate that process.

MS. DAVIS: Right. And, unfortunately, as you've seen last week and even today so far that a lot of these orders are like really -- I mean, they're quite a number of years old. So I can't necessarily speak to what happened during the last 40 years, but I think that a lot of the districts, at some point or another they fell off the radar and a lot of the superintendents over the last few years since school choice and the desegregation has come up, they have started to become on the radar, and that's now with -- Standards is trying to help them monitor that and get them -- you know -- get them to unitary status. Because, you know, as discussed last week with one of them being a 1959 order, it's -- you know -- it's a big difference in how it affects in 2015. So, unfortunately, it doesn't necessarily help the parents now, but because it is brought back up in
front of you guys I think Standards is working and will be working to get those districts moving forward.

MS. SAVIERS: This is really unfair and not transparent in any way for families. You know, if there were a list of things that we knew that the district had to do to achieve unitary status -because ultimately that's what we want --

MS. DAVIS: Sure.
MS. SAVIERS: -- for every district -- and we knew that they were working towards those. But to have no understanding at all and then it falls on the backs of parents to resolve an issue with their children is just really difficult.

MS. DAVIS: Sure.
MS. ZOOK: But isn't it accurate that the Blytheville district, the case that they submitted is in fact them demonstrating it has been resolved? They didn't quote the ongoing case, which hasn't been ruled on. So we have to think in terms of this child right now, with the case that is closed, but since 1978?

MS. DAVIS: Right. And, I mean, if the case has been closed, you know, without, you know, looking into it more, $I$ can't necessarily say that the docket
has been closed or if there was some kind of declaration of unitary status that just wasn't officially memorialized in an order because time has gone by and the judge decided to close it. I couldn't accurately say. But --

MS. ZOOK: But, in fact, we don't swear in attorneys, so we have to assume Mr. Askew is telling the truth. Right?

MS. DAVIS: Sure. And, you know, like I say, I mean, the testimony that you had there that he presented, I mean, that is sworn. But I think the superintendent has also said that he is unaware, but -- I mean, that wouldn't be our interpretation of whether "unaware" meant no.

MS. ZOOK: And he's quoted in his newspaper that he didn't ask to be excluded but he thought he had to be excluded. So his testimony under oath and the article in his own newspaper, which he didn't refute, I'm assuming that, you know, he realizes he probably does not have a case.

MS. DAVIS: (Nodding head up and down.)
MS. ZOOK: Madam Chairman, I move that we --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No, no, no.
MS. ZOOK: Not yet?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Commissioner Key.

MS. ZOOK: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Davis, let me ask you this because there's been a lot of discussion this week and last week about this board and its role of whether they can or cannot interpret court orders. Let me ask you a different question about whether it's this board or any other state board that has -that it's empowered to hear appeals, administrative appeals. Is this -- this isn't an administrative appeal. Is that correct?

MS. DAVIS: Well, yeah. I mean, this decision -- your decision today could be further, you know, appealed in an A.P.A. style appeal. But in that appeal I think that the judge is only going to look at whether or not your decision was arbitrary and capricious. They won't necessarily -- well, hang on. I wouldn't -- they could, but I wouldn't go as far as to say that they would make a determination on the desegregation order.

COMMISSIONER KEY: So as a board that hears an administrative appeal, then in fact it becomes a finder of fact versus an interpretive -- an interpretive -- interpret -- whatever -- interpretive body?

MS. DAVIS: I mean, it does. But again keep in
mind that the AG's opinion, that it says that we're not -- that we don't have the authority to interpret. Again, you guys can do what you want but I advise you -- and, you know, we have been advised from the AG that it's not necessarily our role or authority that says that you're not necessarily required to do so as well. But, you know, it would be your responsibility under the hearing procedures to review the documentation and ultimately make that decision.

MS. ZOOK: And the Attorney General's opinion was to ADE, not the State Board. Is that correct? MS. DAVIS: I mean, yeah, it was written to the ADE. But I would --

MS. ZOOK: And we're choosing to ask you questions in $A D E$ but you -- we in fact are independent from ADE?

MS. DAVIS: I wouldn't say that. No. While you are a separate board in and of yourself, I'm not sure -- I would be interpreting the AG's opinion as whether or not she meant the $A D E$ as an umbrella or ADE specifically, as who I'm employed with, versus the State Board. I would have to make that interpretation that $I$ don't feel comfortable making for her.

MS. ZOOK: Then since it is an opinion and often

AG's opinions are not even ruled accurate at different court levels -- is that correct?

MS. DAVIS: Right. The AG's opinion is purely persuasive authority. It is not a binding rule; it is not a binding law, regulation, or any way binding on this board.

MS. ZOOK: And so if we did do something -don't -- we take her opinion under advisement but we rule differently, and then the district, rival district, doesn't like the way we ruled, they can in fact take us to court. Right?

MS. DAVIS: That is correct.
MS. ZOOK: But that's a -- you know -- that would be their decision, because if we rule the other way the parents can take us to court. So it's not a matter of making a decision to stay out of court?

MS. DAVIS: Pretty much. Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Are there any other questions? Dr. Barth.

DR. BARTH: So, Ms. Davis, just to -- and we had a whole series of decisions last week, obviously, on facts very similar to the facts in this case. Would we be moving towards being potentially engaging in arbitrary and capricious behavior if we made a decision contrary to those decisions with a very --
in essence, the same fact pattern?
MS. DAVIS: Well, because each case I think has been very specific on the facts, and this case is our only one with the Blytheville School District, I would probably say that if there were -- you know -providing that you had accurate reasons for your decision, one way or the other, that you would be less likely to implicate those ones from last week. Because, like I say, every one of these cases have been so specific.

DR. BARTH: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any further questions?
Okay. If not, we are ready for a motion. I'm sorry. Mr. Askew, do you have a follow-up?

MR. ASKEW: Thank you. Yes. Just a brief follow-up. The State Board is established in a separate statute in your Arkansas code. And if you all needed to take a few days to get advice to get clear on the fact that the State Board does not equal $A D E$, and get clear that an appeal does not equal review of the materials submitted by school districts, such as the Blytheville School District, Mr. and Mrs. Coppedge would be more than willing for y'all to take the time to look at that. You don't have to rule today. The question here is not do you
have to interpret a court order. It is not do you have to make any decisions about the Blytheville School District. This is an appeal. The statute puts the appeal directly into this body with no limitations on how you handle it, and you should use your commonsense and good judgment. I have very carefully given you sworn testimony that would support a finding that Blytheville School District is not engaged in any desegregation obligation or activity that is in conflict with school choice under the '15 act. And that's a very narrow basis on which you-all can grant this appeal, and we request that you do so. But if you want to take the time to study this question about the AG opinion, fine with us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. What's the pleasure of the Board?

MS. REITH: Just one final quick question, whether it's for Ms. Davis or Mr. Askew. There is reference of this being in court right now in terms of the desegregation order. Do we have a timeline for that? Because I know there was reference of us tabling this for a few months. What's the anticipated timeline?

MS. DAVIS: Well, I believe that it had been
requested for an expedited opinion and they were hoping to have it by today and they didn't.

MS. REITH: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: So I don't think they're -- you know -- I mean, the court can rule when they want to. But it was requested that it be expedited, but I don't think that we have a deadline.

MS. REITH: But it sounds like it might be sooner versus later?

MR. ASKEW: Ms. Davis is correct; we argued the case in St. Louis on April 15.

MS. REITH: Okay.
MR. ASKEW: It is an expedited appeal. We argued the same case on a preliminary injunction on April 15, 2014, and received an opinion on August 1, 2014. So I think we're in the strike zone. And I've been checking my email every morning at 8:00 to see if I've got an opinion; I have nothing yet.

MS. ZOOK: But there again, this case that's in court right now is not the one that Blytheville presented as their reason for denying.

MR. ASKEW: Absolutely not. No. It deals with a very technical question, whether Judge Eisele had to enter a separate order finding unitary status or whether he could just close the case in 1978. And I
will tell you this, and I'll take an oath on this, Judge Eisele is one of the foremost judges on the federal bench that we've ever had in the state of Arkansas. And he single-handedly desegregated hundreds of school districts in the late 1960's and early 1970's when freedom of choice was rejected as a remedy for de jure segregation. He knows this stuff and can teach us seminars all day long, and he closed the case. And, you know, I know that the judges on the 8th Circuit. I know Judge Eisele and know how conscientious he is, and he closed the case without needing to find unitary status, because it just wasn't necessary. So, you know, I've had very strong opinions about the case. But you're right, Ms. Zook; that is not the Franklin case and it is -- you know -- Blytheville is telling you today that a case that was closed in 1978 by Judge Thomas Eisele is requiring desegregation obligations today that contradict school choice. And yet, a superintendent under oath can't tell you who the lawyer is, what the obligations are, what the violations were. This is crazy. It's a charade; it's designed to nullify school choice for the citizens of Blytheville School District. And you should grant this appeal to stop that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any further questions by board members? If not, I'm going to ask for a motion at this time.

MS. ZOOK: I move that we grant the request to transfer.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Zook has a motion that the request for permission to transfer -Ms. Zook. And is there a second?

MS. DAVIS: We're not sure if your microphone is on.
(COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: Chairperson Newton taps on her microphone.)

MS. DAVIS: Now it is.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: We couldn't hear you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Zook has moved that the request for permission to transfer, and I've asked for a second.
(BRIEF MOMENT OF SILENCE)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: There is no second, then the motion dies for lack of a second. Were you going to do the second?

MS. SAVIERS: I don't know what to do.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, then the motion dies for lack of a second.

MS. DAVIS: Your options at this point would either be to grant the appeal, to deny the appeal, or, as I mentioned earlier, you can table it until the next meeting in the event that there is a ruling that determines that Blytheville is unitary. And if they are, then you could revisit this appeal.

MS. ZOOK: But school starts Monday.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So, but that's not our problem. So we have two other options: to table or to follow the recommendation of the Department.

MS. DAVIS: Right. You can grant, deny or table.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So those are the options on the table, irrespective of the school start date. So do we have anyone willing to make a motion, either one of those motions?

MR. WILLIAMSON: I move to sustain the original decision.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So Mr. Williamson has moved to sustain the original decision. Is there a second?

DR. BARTH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Dr. Barth. All
in favor? Can we have a roll-call, Mr. Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: No.
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COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Newton.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: A vote of 5-to-4; the motion passes.

MS. DAVIS: And that motion was to deny the appeal?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
MS. DAVIS: Okay.
A-8: CONSIDERATION OF APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF SCHOOL CHOICE APPLICATION - CRISS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: The next item on the agenda
is A-8, and A-8 is Consideration of Appeal from Denial of School Choice, Criss family; the resident district being Forrest City, nonresident district being the Palestine-Wheatley.

MS. DAVIS: Right. And the Criss family is not represented by counsel, and I know that Forrest City is.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So we're going to ask the nonresident district to come first, Palestine-Wheatley.

MS. DAVIS: And I think the Criss family may -are they? -- I think they may be outside, so I'm going to go look.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
SUPT. ESTES: Good afternoon, Board,
Commissioner Key, Ms. Newton. Jon Estes, superintendent of Palestine-Wheatley School District. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Proceed, Mr. Estes. Yeah, because you're in the non --

SUPT. ESTES: I'm Tony Wood from here on out.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Excuse me?
SUPT. ESTES: I don't have anything.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh, you're Tony Wood today.
Okay.
MS. DAVIS: The Criss family was here just -- at
least before lunch. We're checking the room down there. But if not, then they've already left.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You think left as never to return or just --

MS. DAVIS: Well, you know, I'm uncertain at what point they actually left but they were here before we broke for lunch. So I don't know if they're probably coming back from lunch and still trying to find a parking spot or -- but, I mean, other than --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, in that case, if that's the case that they're not here right now, we'll just move on to A-9 and come back to it.

MS. DAVIS: We'll need a motion and a second to table that if we're going to table it till a later point in time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I would like a motion to table it to give them an opportunity to come back. Okay. Can I get a motion -MS. DEAN: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- to table?
MS. DEAN: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So moved by Ms. Dean.
MS. ZOOK: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Is there a second? Second
by Ms. Zook to table the matter. All in favor? (UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. We'll table that matter to give them -- Mr. Estes, we're giving the Criss family an opportunity to come back. And after this case we'll likely hear from you and from them, hopefully.

A-9: CONSIDERATION OF APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF SCHOOL CHOICE APPLICATION - GOODALL

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A-9 is Consideration of Appeal from Denial of School Choice, the Goodall family.

MS. DAVIS: Yes. And the Goodall's, like I said, they are residents of Brinkley and -- I mean, I'm sorry, Forrest City, and they had requested and were denied choice to Palestine-Wheatley. And they both -- both sides have representation here today. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So anyone, except the lawyers, as it relates to A-9, the Goodall family, please stand to be sworn. Raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?
(ALL WITNESSES ANSWERED AFFIRMATIVELY) CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Could we hear from Mr.

Estes then; I think you're the nonresident district. SUPT. ESTES: Board, Commissioner Key -- Ms. Ouida Newton, Teacher of the Year, congratulations. I'm Jon Estes, superintendent of Palestine-Wheatley School District.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And your position -- do you have a position on the transfer?

SUPT. ESTES: We denied Ms. Goodall. Ms. Goodall is a friend of mine. She's got two kids in our school district through Opportunity School Choice from last year. We denied the two this year, one because of the exemption, a second-grader, and one because of space, a fifth-grader.

MS. DAVIS: And they did -- and I think that their attorney today is going to argue both for the denial under the school choice, as well as the denial under the opportunity school choice. That was the lack of the capacity. So the procedures for both will be the same, but if you have any questions at any point in time for those we can either handle them separately or you can handle it as one big -- I mean, I would suggest that you handle either grant-or-deny, but if this board chooses to grant or deny on each individual child just that we will need to have separate motions to handle that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: The attorney can step forward and identify himself. You have 5 minutes for opening.

MR. ROZZELL: Thank you, Chairman Newton. My name is George Rozzell and I represent Erica Goodall and her children. We've been down this road before; this is our third time before you today. Many of you -- I see new faces, so welcome and congratulations on your appointment to the Board. As a preliminary matter, $I$ want to address several of the issues very quickly that Mr . Askew spoke about and that the Board was very inquisitive about, and I think was proper to question on those issues, number one being whether or not the Board and the ADE are separate entities or not. And I point this out only because I have very personal experience with it, in that one of the Attorney General's tactics in our current case that's before Judge McGowan in Pulaski County was to move to dismiss the case because we appealed based upon the ADE denying their appeal back from 2013, and their response was that we didn't name the Board in time. So I'll leave it at that. But the AG has clearly delineated that you guys are separate, and they know that and they've argued that before the courts. So for the Department to say that we are restrained from
reviewing any declaration of exemption I think is wrong. I think that the AG spoke to the question by the legislator and I think that the legislator asked about the ADE specifically in his question. So I do appreciate the consideration that was given to Mr . Askew's appeal as well.

The second clarification I want to make up -- or discuss is something that I believe was asked I think by Ms. Zook or Saviers about the incentive to actually become unitary. And this is very important because as also part of that case -- it's been going on for a couple of years now -- also part of that case we sent to Forrest City certain requests for admissions. And those requests for admissions were designed by their very nature under the structure of the law, which is required to be shown to declare someone as being unitary. And their response was to seek a protective order from the court not to have to answer the questions because another dispositive motion was still pending. They didn't want to answer the questions about whether or not they should be declared unitary, and they did so specifically immediately after those requests for admissions were filed. So those two issues I think are very important because you're exactly correct; Forrest

City receives money for every student they get, every student they have, every student they maintain. And they will lose finances if in the course people are allowed to transfer out. Here, we have the Goodall family; this is our third year here. We have two of their children left; two of them have been accepted under opportunity choice. Forrest City filed a lawsuit about that case that's still pending in, $I$ believe, St. Francis County. But those two students have been accepted and they are excelling in their schools. One of them is a cheerleader, involved in several community activities; one of them has straight A's as an upcoming 8th grader. And I'm happy to say item number A-10, we are pulling that appeal because that student has been accepted under the transfer from Brinkley over their initial objection after we realized that the exemption claim was improperly cited and she will be joining their oldest child in the 8 th grade this year. I've advised her family to reach out because these students are going through a lot; they're going through a confusing time; they're going through a time when they don't know where they're going to school or if they're going to be going to school with their brothers and sisters. They're going to a
school in a time where they may have a different spring break, and that's what we're here for today. I believe that certain holidays and school days are taken differently from each individual school district. So that being said, the students here are being ripped apart in our case.

We're here today under opportunity choice because we believe opportunity choice adopts, under 6-18-430, the -- when a school becomes in academic distress the students or the parents are allowed to apply for transfers under school choice or opportunity choice. School choice includes a sibling provision. School choice says if your siblings are already going to this school, you get to go too, as long as there's capacity. Now, Mr. Estes spoke about capacity very briefly, that there wasn't for the 5 th grader. I haven't seen the numbers; I don't know. We received our denial of the opportunity choice early last week.

But I'm here on just a few more specific points as to these two children and their applications to attend Palestine-Wheatley this year. Number one, we have the sibling issue, that they should be allowed to attend under the clear public policy of the State of Arkansas. In two separate statutes it says that
siblings should be able to go to school where their older siblings do. So if one is accepted, the other one should be too. One of those is 6-18-108 and the other one is contained directly in the school choice provisions.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So that's your 5 minutes. You need to wrap-up.

MR. ROZZELL: May I ask for just a couple more minutes, Ms. Newton?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
MR. ROZZELL: Thank you very much. The second issue is that the students were not denied on time. You know, this board has made very specific and very certain findings about when a student doesn't apply on time, if their application is denied and it's properly denied. Well, in this case we applied on March 25 th and then again at their request after the new forms were put out. You know, the day that the new act passed we applied and we didn't receive our denials until August 1st for school choice, which I remind you the denial date, the deadline, is July 1st. Secondly, it's for the failure of Forrest City to properly identify the case under which it claims its exemption. The new law has very specific statutory requirements about what they're required to
send you. I'm not asking you to look at the order today. I'm asking you to look at their exemption and see if it contains all the provisions or all the requirements of their exemption declaration. The exemption requires them to put the jurisdiction of the court, the date of the court, whether or not it's still undergoing obligations, and to describe what those obligations are. And theirs contained just a reference to McKissic. Then they included a copy of their complaint from the current Forrest City case against Palestine-Wheatley and all the attachments thereto. So for these reasons, we feel like we are properly here before the Board today. You have the authority clearly by the statute. 6-19-1907 clearly states that the Board may review the denial of a transfer, and that's all this is. You have the opportunity and the obligation to review denials of transfers on capacity; you do it all the time. I saw three denials last week about capacity. I also saw more denials in July about capacity. Actually, I saw approvals on capacity. These are the same issues, whether or not the circumstances that are being represented are true and correct. I'm not asking you to look at the McKissic order. I don't think, just like Mr. Askew, that it's still in effect. I don't
think that Forrest City is still operating under the vestiges of desegregation -- or segregation, excuse me. But that's not why we're here today. We're here today because there are direct routes under the code for siblings to transfer along with their other siblings. It is the policy of the State of Arkansas that brothers and sisters go to school together, and right now we have a split family in Forrest City and Palestine-Wheatley going to two schools every day, for drop-off and pick-up. We have a split family attending different open houses. We have a split family where the students who are younger don't know when they're going to get to go to big sister's school, and that's a problem and it's not fair. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. Someone from Forrest City. Okay. Would you identify yourself? MR. JONES: Sam Jones.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES: I'm not sure where to start because I don't have anything to offer on the issue of capacity or the denial under the Opportunity School Choice Act. I don't know how this body wants to divide those issues up. I'm here to talk about, if you need me to -- if you want to take the other issues up first, you may not need to hear from me.

I'm here to talk about Forrest City's exemption from the 2015 act which on its face is what these applications said they were for. And I can go ahead and address that now. We clearly submitted all of the matters from the McKissic case. The McKissic case is in litigation; it's before Judge Wilson. It's been submitted on motions for summary judgment. The last response is due next Monday; we'll probably have a ruling on that in September. And the core issue before Judge Wilson is what does the McKissic case mean and what is its current vitality, which ultimately dovetails into the question $y$ 'all discussed earlier today and last week about is there any need, even if it were empowered to do so, for this board to go behind the McKissic decree, which is still out there, unlike the arguments you heard about Blytheville. We've still got an active decree that spells out the obligations. We submitted it to the ADE in 2010. We submitted it again in 2013; it's part of the 2013 act. We submitted it again on April 14 as part of the 2015 act to demonstrate why we're exempt from school choice under current law. And Mr. Rozzell just kind of dismissed it; he said, "Well, they submitted a copy of their complaint to Judge Wilson," or in the federal court. Well, it has all
the McKissic orders attached to it and I think y'all have seen those, even though -- the new members of the board have seen those or have had an opportunity to look at them. And they spell out in detail what the obligations are and it's clear and undisputed that we still operate under that decree and have never been declared unitary. Let's see what -- oh, one thing that I think is important -- and I realize five minutes flies by -- Mr. Rozzell mentioned 6-18108 and this -- you may want to call Ms. Davis to address this, but that only applies to siblings of those who transferred under the 1989 act. If you look at it, it talks about if any child transferred under an act that's been declared unconstitutional -this refers to the 1989 act. So they're trying, maybe unintentionally, but they are mixing apples and oranges. Then I think he also made reference to 6-18-1904; that's regarding transfers under the '89 or the 2013 act. And this is where I get a little bit confused because at one point it was said that it was found in y'all's orders from last year that the Goodall's were actually residents of PalestineWheatley; that was one basis for denying their transfer the last go-round. But 6-18-1904 talks about a present or future sibling of a student who
continues enrollment at the nonresident district under this subsection may enroll in the nonresident district. Well, that's talking about a different statute and doesn't have any application here. And, again, this is one where it's not a matter of public policy; it's a question of examining the statute very carefully to see if the sibling preference has any application, and neither of these that have been cited do. I realize I'll probably need another chance to either answer questions or --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, actually, you're in the 20-minute time --

MR. JONES: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- allocation now.
MR. JONES: Okay. Then if I could, we have also routinely reported to the -- back when the State Department or back when $A D E$ was a little more active as part of the standards provisions that Commissioner Key made reference to, we made routine reports to ADE about our desegregation obligations and what we were doing. So I just mention all that because I think it's simply wrong to make the representation that Forrest City is not operating under a desegregation decree, that it's not current and active, and that because we appropriately asserted it that we're
exempt from the operation of the act. And we don't think any good and valid reasons have been advanced to this body to rule otherwise. If I could go back to 6-18-1904 just for a second to give you the specific subsection, it says, "A present or future sibling of a student who continues enrollment in the nonresident district under this subsection" -- well, the transfer wasn't under 6-18-1900 by these other siblings. They were either transferred under some other provision or they were already residents at the time of the transfer. So these two previous -- these two who were previously enrolled, when they enrolled they enrolled as residents of the Palestine-Wheatley district, which takes them out of the transfer. And now I hope that's clearer than mud, but I'm trying to pars the specific statutes. And unless y'all have any specific questions, that's --

MS. ZOOK: I do. Are any of the employees of the Forrest City School District, from a different district, allowed to bring their children to Forrest City or vice-versa? If they live in Forrest City and they work in a different district, are they allowed to take their children with them?

MR. JONES: I don't know.
MS. ZOOK: Do you have your superintendent here?

MR. JONES: She's here. She has not been -MS. ZOOK: May I ask her?

MR. JONES: Yeah. She's not been sworn because I didn't think she'd have to answer any questions, but we can certainly ask her.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We can certainly swear her in to answer that question.

MR. JONES: Actually, we've got -- that question is floating around the state. If I can make a representation, you're certainly welcome to ask Dr. Hardrick. But that question is floating around the state in the form of an FOIA and it's been directed to several different school districts. So we had already checked, Ms. Zook --

MS. ZOOK: Uh-huh. Yes.
MR. JONES: -- and we have one employee who this year for the first time brought her senior student to the Forrest City district but she lives in another district. Did I say that right? It may not be the first year, but it's one student.

MS. ZOOK: So is that a precedent? I know that they said that they --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Who are you asking?
MS. ZOOK: Well, I'm just -- I'm -- Jennifer.
You win the prize, Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: Okay. You know, it is allowed under the law that you can -- there is a statute that would allow transfers for employees of another district to bring their child, providing that there is capacity. And, you know, they don't necessarily get to choose which school; they may just be able to say transfer to a district and one of the schools in the district. So, I mean, it does happen, yes.

MS. ZOOK: That doesn't make it an exception to what we're arguing here?

MS. DAVIS: Not necessarily. And I'll get the law out just to make sure. But if I remember correctly, there is not -- and like I say, I'll verify, but there is not a provision in there that -for schools that are under deseg. I think you get the privilege of, you know, working there and you can bring your child providing there's room. But I'll verify that for you real quick; I'll look up the law.

MS. zOOK: Okay. Also, under statute in 6-181907 it says one of the responsibilities of ADE is to gather data for every two years to see if these transfers that are allowed or not allowed determine if a racially segregating impact has occurred. In any of the school districts, do we have that information?

MS. DAVIS: I don't have that information. I believe that we do somewhere have the information, but I personally don't. And just for clarification, desegregation does not apply to the employee transfer. That is correct.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. And do we have the data on whether or not -- the racially segregative impact of transfers?

MS. DAVIS: I do not. Do we know if we have that?

COMMISSIONER KEY: If I may, we do have the data that has been submitted.

MS. DAVIS: Right.
COMMISSIONER KEY: I know it was submitted at least twice to the General Assembly when I was still over there.

MS. DAVIS: Right.
COMMISSIONER KEY: I don't think the data was sufficient to determine by analysis if there was a segregative impact.

MS. ZOOK: I just want to be sure we're doing everything we're supposed to do --

MS. DAVIS: Right.
MS. ZOOK: -- under law.
MS. DAVIS: Right. And, you know, one of the
things that I will note is because this appeal has been stated that they're under opportunity school choice and school choice, then I think that there's a lot of confusion about what statutes apply to what. And so if you need some clarification, or if we want to handle one at a time, I'll be glad to, you know, give that.

MS. ZOOK: It's my understanding that the older two children would be in academic distress schools and the younger two children would not be. That would be the difference. Is that correct?

MS. DAVIS: Okay. The fifth-grader would be. But I do not believe I'm getting confirmation from the parents that the older two children were not transferred because of academic distress. Is that correct?

MR. GOODALL: They were last year.
MS. DAVIS: Okay. The older two children were transferred.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. And the fifth-grader is at the middle school that's in academic distress?

MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. ZOOK: So that's why they're asking for opportunity --

MS. DAVIS: Yes.
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MS. ZOOK: -- instead of school choice?
MS. DAVIS: Yes. And then the other child under public school choice just to go with the siblings. MS. ZOOK: Because the child is going to a school that would not be under academic distress? MS. DAVIS: That is correct.

MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
MR. JONES: And I'm not sure that this gets at part of what Ms. Zook was asking about, but I want to make sure I didn't misspeak. But under 6-18-1904, if those older children transferred under opportunity school choice -- 6-18-1904 is reserved for children who -- is reserved for siblings who transferred under either the 2013 act --

MS. ZOOK: Right.
MR. JONES: -- or the 2015 act.
MS. ZOOK: Right.
MR. JONES: So the fact that they may have had siblings transfer under the opportunity act doesn't translate into a right to transfer under the 2015 act.

MS. ZOOK: Right. I was just trying to get at the two we're discussing today.

MR. JONES: Okay. And, really, unless the Board has some other questions for -- I know I have a
little bit of time left. If Mr. Rozzell -CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: But you don't have to use it.

MR. JONES: I know I don't have to. And I want to do the best $I$ can to stay on the Board's good side. I was just going to come and -- but if Mr. Rozzell has something else to say that I feel the need to respond to, I would like to reserve that time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Rozzell. Before you come, I have a question I think for Mr. Estes. So there would be -- what's -- one is an issue of capacity, or lack of capacity. Is that correct? MR. ESTES: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So would you speak to that? I think you said in one instance there was an opportunity school choice. Was that the one that there's no capacity for?

MR. ESTES: It's grade school. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I see. Before Mr. Rozzell
-- just don't sit down.
COMMISSIONER KEY: I'm sorry; this should be quick. I'm trying to help the Board because the opportunity school choice law has different indications --

MS. DAVIS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: -- regarding what this board can decide on appeal.

MS. DAVIS: Right.
COMMISSIONER KEY: So it can only look at the capacity. Is that -- that's what I'm reading in law. Is that your understanding?

MS. DAVIS: Yes. And there are some provisions in there that I think sometimes -- if there is a negative impact on a desegregation order. But generally the only other reason is to deny for lack of capacity. And capacity under Public School Choice Act is different than under opportunity school choice. Capacity under opportunity choice is set at $95 \%$, and under opportunity it's set at $90 \%$. And I think another issue is, just real quick, the sibling provision; that applies to school choice and it is not in the opportunity school choice statute. However, there is, what was mentioned earlier, the statute that is outside both of those acts that does address continuity of education for siblings. And -but, you know, siblings, it says, that have transferred under any school choice act -- and I know that -- I think somebody said it was only for the '89. It is still active law and it doesn't
specifically say that it only applies to previous children. So there is a continuity of education that is outside both those acts, so that way it could apply to opportunity school choice as well. But just to kind of keep those a little separate, I know it's getting a little --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
MS. DAVIS: -- kind of convoluted. Because, like I say, the school choice act has a provision that opportunity doesn't. So, I mean, just so that there's a little clarity.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Before Mr. Rozzell -- and in connection to that, Mr. Estes, please, one more time --

MR. ESTES: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Rozzell, please, still don't sit down. So what is the percentage in the opportunity school choice?

MR. ESTES: The standards say that I can have no more than 25 kids per grade per class in 5th grade; average no more than 25 kids per class. I've got 24 in both of my 5th grade classes.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So, what --
MR. ESTES: If someone does not -- however, Ms. Newton, if y'all grant me permission, if someone does
not show up on the first day of school, which will happen, I'd be more than happy to accept the child. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And what if two people -two more folks show up?

MR. ESTES: If two more people show up, I'll be up here asking you for a waiver.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Zook had that question. Did that answer it?

MS. ZOOK: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Rozzell.
MR. ROZZELL: And I would say too that this board has held pretty --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You have 20 minutes also. We hope that you don't take 20.

MR. ROZZELL: I won't. I won't. And I'm going to have Ms. Goodall tell some stories here in a minute too to make everything a little bit lighter as well. Just to rebut Mr . Jones' representation that the McKissic case is still ongoing and that it's being argued in front of Judge Wilson right now, the case that's ongoing in front of Judge Wilson right now is called Thorn City Special School District versus Palestine-Wheatley Special School District and Wynne School District. It's not the -- it's not a reopening of the McKissic case, unless there's some
more recent order than what I've seen as of last month. So I'm not -- you know -- I think that that issue can be confusing as to whether or not that case has been actively reopened and they're seeking unitary status therein, because I don't think they are. In addition, if you'll look at Section 4 -Subsection 430 of the educational provisions, I think it's -- if I go back to my notes here, it's 6-15$430(c)$. That's for schools in academic distress and it tells the Board what it can do. Okay. And it says to the Board you can accept students under transfers, under either the mechanisms of the opportunity choice transfer provisions or the school choice transfer provisions. And I think that's important because what that is telling the students or the families is we can apply under either of these and then go forward if we're in academic distress. And that's what I wanted to point out to you is that, you know, Ms. Goodall has submitted these applications, citing every statute she can. And the reason she's done that is because there may be different relief under the statutes. But I think that 6-15-430 really incorporates everything together, and that's why we argued that the sibling provision should be extended to the opportunity
choice students too. And that's why we say that the youngest child was an applicant under opportunity choice and school choice, and then the oldest child was as well. Because we think those sibling provisions should just override everything else, that you should not be breaking up the families, and that the statutes are there to be read together and to be read consistently. And so for opportunity choice, not to have a specific reference under 1904 to a sibling following another, well, 1 think that that tradition is wrapped up in 430 , when it says you can apply under these two provisions. And because of that -- you know -- and I know these are technical and nuanced and sometimes the weeds are tall, but the overriding policy is the same and that's that we shouldn't be breaking up the families and the students. And we've done that in this family; it's really sad. And so I'd like to have Ms. Goodall testify just for a little bit about what the impact has been on her family.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You have over 17 minutes, actually.

MR. ROZZELL: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Goodall.
MR. ROZZELL: Erica, you're soft-spoken, so you
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need to talk into the mic.
THEREUPON,
ERICA GOODALL,
THE WITNESS HEREINBEFORE SWORN, testified on her oath as follows:


## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROZZELL:
Q Please state your name for the record?
A Erica Goodall.
Q And where do you live?
A Forrest City, Arkansas.
Q And last year, was there any reference to you living in Palestine-Wheatley?

A Yes, sir.
Q And was that true?
A No.
Q Did you live in Palestine-Wheatley last year?
A No, sir.
Q Okay. What do you do for a living?
A I cook in Muldrow, Arkansas.
Q How old are your children?
A Eleven, seven, ten, and thirteen.
Q And where do the two oldest children go to school?
A Palestine-Wheatley.
What grades are they going to go into next year?

A Seventh grade and eighth grade.
Q Tell me about the 8 th grader; what were her grades last quarter?

A She made straight A's.
Q And tell me about the 7th grader; what is she doing?
A She made the cheerleading team for this year.
Q Is she involved in any other clubs or anything at the school district?

A She's -- no, sir.
Q That probably takes up a lot of her time then?
A Yes.
Q Tell me about how your family coordinated the scheduling of school -- or students attending two different school districts last year?

A It was hard.
Q Why was it hard?
A Trying to get both -- all four children to school on time at two different schools; trying to make sure I pick them up on time. Sometimes when another school is out the other school isn't out. It was just real confusing and complicated. And it just -- you know -- it saddens them because they want to know why they all just can't be together.

Q Now does one school district require uniforms and the other not?

A Yes, sir.

Q So does that make them feel odd that they're having to wear different things from their siblings?

A Yes, sir.
Q And tell me about your 5th grader. What sort of issues does he have right now?

A He wears braces, he has speech problems.
Q And has he been -- has he suffered any sort of adverse treatment from the other students at Forrest City?

A He got bullied there and tried to kill his-self.
Q Is this the first or second year that he's been bullied at Forrest City?

A Second time.
Q Okay. And what about your 2nd grader; how is she doing?
A She's doing good. She just takes speech there and she has ADHD .

Q But she's never attended Palestine. Right?
A No, sir.
Q But your 5th grader, he attended Palestine growing up, earlier?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. You applied for school choice in March of this year. Right?

A Yes, sir.
Q Did you receive a denial by July 1st for your school choice applications?

A No, sir.
Q Do you know why you didn't receive your denial for your school choice applications?

A No, sir.
Q But you later on received a denial for your opportunity choice applications. Right?

A Yes, sir.
MR. ROZZELL: And I believe the Board has a copy of those that have been passed around, that were sent out on the 27th of July.

MR. ROZZELL: (continuing)
Q Is there anything else you'd like to ask the Board today? A Just to consider what I have to go through, my younger babies asking me every day what school will they attend, will they be with their older siblings, or will they be separated. And it just -- it's hard and it's sad.

MR. ROZZELL: Thank you, Erica.
Obviously, Ms. Goodall says that a lot better than I can. So I appreciate the time from the Board today. I would appreciate the Board considering the overturning of the denial of Palestine-Wheatley of their applications for school choice and for opportunity choice, given that both their siblings attend Palestine-Wheatley School District, given that the youngest child attends a school -- or the middle
child attends a school right now that is in academic distress, given the difficulties had there. The fact that Mr. Estes says he's willing to take them, we appreciate that. And I believe Mr. Estes also has space for the second-grader, so no capacity issue has been referenced for her. We would like for this family to be united today and we ask the Board to do their duty and to review the trans -- or the denial of the transfer under the code that gives it that power. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Goodall [sic], I have a question. Is it your contention and Ms. Goodall's contention that failure to notify by July 1 was a violation of the procedure in school choice?

MR. ROZZELL: It most certainly is. It most certainly is, Chairman Newton -- or Chairperson Newton. It is a -- the statute uses the word "shall," and as the Board is probably well aware from listening to Ms. Davis and, before her, Mr. Lasiter for a significant period of time, the word "shall" means mandatory compliance. And we don't believe that they were properly denied and we believe just on that issue alone they should be accepted.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Jones, would you like to address that? Because it is or could be a pivotal
piece in this whole discussion.
MR. JONES: I don't know what -- excuse me -the Forrest City School District is supposed to do about that. It was the obligation of PalestineWheatley to give timely notice of acceptance or denial. Now if they were late, talking about -- Ms. Saviers is fond of talking about gaming the system -then every parent in Arkansas who wants a choice could just kind of slip the word that, you know, "If you'll make our -- if you'll deny our application a day late, then maybe we can convince the State Board to grant the application." That's not the fault or the responsibility of the Forrest City School District. I don't know what consequences would attach to Palestine-Wheatley, but I think the fuss is between the parents and Palestine-Wheatley on that one. Because Forrest City, for all I know at that time, had no idea these applications had even been made. So on that one I don't think you can go around by Laura's house and get to the conclusion that Palestine-Wheatley's presumed or apparent failure to give timely notification means that automatically these appeals should be granted. I'd just like to add once again that because of the way the transfers of the older siblings occurred there is no specific
transfer in the law -- and the two statutes that specifically address it are later in time than the other statute. Now they talk about when sibling transfers can be considered and I think they are the controlling law in this case and that, otherwise, no good and sufficient reason has been advanced as to why -- including even under the Opportunity School Choice Act, if you want to get into that -- Counsel is correct. It does contain similar language to the 2015 act; it just doesn't say that this board is to take that up. We think it should; we think for the same reasons that -- and I'm unclear now which transfer action we're talking about. I thought we were here on denial of a transfer of the 2015 act, but somehow opportunity school choice keeps working its way into the equation. I just wanted y'all to be aware we've taken the position in the case before Judge Wilson that interpreting McKissic -- oh, I do need to clear that up, or if there's any concern. I never represented that the case before Judge Wilson was the trial of the McKissic case as to whether or not Forrest City is unitary. It's a trial of the McKissic decree as to what it means and whether it is sufficient to support the exemptions claimed by Forrest City in cases like these. That's what's
before him. But the McKissic decree is clearly before him and all the parties have asked him to tell us what it means and whether it means that these transfers should take place. In any event, I don't think a reason under any of the statutes that apply here have been advanced to warrant the granting of the appeals. I don't think it's -- and I know it tugs at y'all's heartstrings for a parent to get up here and talk about bullying. Well, you know, I could -- if that's a concern of anybody, that's not a new issue with this family and Forrest City. Dr. Hardrick can get up here and talk about that, if you really want to hear about that. But I don't think technically speaking it's an issue for y'all to consider. The law either permits it or it doesn't. Bullying is a matter that if there's a real issue there, it needs to be dealt with and there are mechanisms for dealing with that. But it shouldn't enter into the equation of whether or not you're going to in effect go around the law so-to-speak. Mr. Rozzell in his late-filed papers yesterday asked you to waive state law, and I think that's important. Today, I didn't hear him say waive it, but that's what he said yesterday in his email, he wanted y'all to waive state law because it was state policy to
keep all these kids together. You can't waive state law, can't waive the statute; you can't create a statute. So for all these reasons we believe the prudent and wise course of action is to deny the appeal.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thank you. We'd like a summary from you, Ms. Davis, as to what -just a summary, short summary, and state to us our options in proceeding in this particular action item. MS. DAVIS: Okay. So if we are -- you are going to entertain the children separately, one or -entertain them under School Choice Act and then Opportunity School Choice Act, under the Public School Choice Act of 2015 -- if, like I say, Forrest City has, you know, provided documentation to us that they are under a desegregation order and that we don't necessarily have any information, or we would have to interpret that it is, you know, unitary or something of that nature, then, of course, you can do what you choose. But how it has been done, generally, they're under a desegregation order which would prevent transfer. Under Opportunity School Choice, if the child is in a distressed school and, you know, they can take them, you know, you could grant that. There is a state statute that does say
that there's a continuity of education that, like I say, is outside of the Public School Choice Act that says for students who are siblings of children, you know, who have transferred under school choice that there is -- you know -- that you can keep them together and choose to do that and it is outside the act. However, so under state law you can transfer them, but any conflict it would have with the desegregation order I cannot say. I mean, that would require us to go back to interpret the desegregation orders, which, you know, we've established that we don't have the authority to do or the obligation. So at this point you can either grant or deny in whole the applications. If you want to, you know, do them separately, grant one, deny one, or just vote on them separately, under public school choice and then do it under opportunity school choice, you can choose to do that as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I think one thing, I think, that looms large is the desegregation order; they cannot be separated completely. You know, and the Board -MS. DAVIS: Right. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- may choose to do what the Board --

MS. DAVIS: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- chooses to do with a motion. But I'm thinking that the shadow that is there that, you know, that -- or the deseg order casts a shadow on both, as far as I'm concerned, and I don't know what the -- but what I understand from you is that we're not legally bound -- though we can separate --

MS. DAVIS: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- we're not necessarily legally bound to separate them as two different actions.

MS. DAVIS: That's correct.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We can go with them both
under school choice --
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: -- or we can split.
MS. DAVIS: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So I just want to make that -- is that the --

MS. DAVIS: Yes. You can deny or grant in whole at this point because it was presented as one appeal.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Right.
MS. DAVIS: So you can do that as well. And I know that -- and just to address the July 1 deadline
for response, you know, the law is silent on, you know, what penalties, if any, there are for not responding on July 1. So, I mean, like I say, just if you had any questions about that, the law is silent. So we would have to -- you know -- you would have to put in penalties or no penalties where the law is not giving us any direction.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I don't propose to speak for the Board, but for myself I think this probably is one of the most agonizing things to have to deal with and it's just -- it's a sad state, I think, and this is just Toyce Newton's opinion, that something isn't done, whether it be from the judicial standpoint, to decide this issue. And, certainly, it benefits attorneys -- and no disrespect to attorneys. But I think as far as families and school districts, they are on the side of getting the least benefit from this. So however it fleshes out, I just hope and pray that it does because this is just -- this is outside of reasonable and it's certainly -- it's outside of reasonable -- I'll stop there -- as far as I'm concerned. So -- but we still have to as a state board make a decision, and by virtue of that we're at the point where I'm going to ask for a motion. I think Ms. Davis has aptly explained where we can go
with this and so by virtue of that here we are, Board.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Madam Chair, may I ask an inquiry of Ms. Davis --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER KEY: -- that would --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Clear us up?
COMMISSIONER KEY: Well, maybe clear up something for me. Because when we get these appeals in the Department, before we send them to y'all, we don't know the race of the families involved.

MS. DAVIS: That's correct.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Is that accurate, Ms. Davis? MS. DAVIS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER KEY: So when it comes to the appeal and we see, you know, that there is a minority family trying to transfer it kind of flies in the face of commonsense to think that a desegregation order is limiting to a minority family looking to move. Ms. Davis, you know, we don't see that ahead of time --

MS. DAVIS: Right.
COMMISSIONER KEY: -- and we only know that when it gets to this point?

MS. DAVIS: Right. Well, you know, what they
have done -- I mean, and it says that, you know, you can't necessarily deny based on -- you make your decisions on this, especially under opportunity school choice. But this was brought up by a member of the Board during last week's hearings that there was a family and -- you know -- and, again, the law states that they have to do a genuine conflict; it says a desegregation order or court-approved desegregation plan. And we would have to interpret whether or not it would negatively impact. And I even think the opportunity school choice uses "negatively impact the racial balance." So, theoretically, you could make the decision that it would not impact negatively the racial balance or desegregation order by allowing a minority family to transfer out. But it was brought up last week as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: And on that issue, taking race into account in that way would be problematic in the eyes of -- we see that on Louisville, you know, Supreme Court cases. Correct?

MS. DAVIS: Well, I mean, I think it would be more problematic under the idea that we would be interpreting what the court-approved desegregation
plan outlines. I think that's what it would be. DR. BARTH: Can I -- I just need -- I'm very clear on the public school choice, on the opportunity school choice. In terms of -- and I'm very clear on the capacity part. But in terms of the role of deseg orders on opportunity school choice, can you talk through that one more time? I apologize. MS. DAVIS: No, you're okay. And like you say, it's something that has not, I don't think, been brought up a lot, and I can read it to you; if you'll just bear with me, I'll grab this. Let me find it. For lack of better terms, I can -- it just says that, you know, if there are desegregation orders that would negatively impact a transfer then kind of under the provisions of a desegregation order the provisions of a desegregation order govern. And I think that all -- most of our transfer laws allow -I mean, even a legal transfer is -- you know -- has provisions for desegregation orders. I think that that kind of looks at whether or not we are getting involved in the federal court system in making those determinations when we may or may not have the authority or ability to do so.

DR. BARTH: Okay. So the rules are essentially the same?

MS. DAVIS: Yes. They're not -- I mean, they do not require a district to provide a conflict letter or, you know, an exemption or there's no claim or burden necessarily on the districts. It is just something to consider that if there are desegregation orders then it would negatively impact. It is a consideration.

DR. BARTH: Now when we -- if we were to deny the opportunity -- any opportunity school choice case, would we need to state whether the grounds were based on capacity or based on an existing desegregation order?

MS. DAVIS: Well, because the appeal was originally brought under a school choice it would be up to you to choose whether or not to separate out for school choice and opportunity school choice. You can grant or deny it under public school choice, because that's how this appeal was originally brought. If you choose to break it out -- and it was a capacity issue -- then we could state that it was for capacity. But because it was originally brought under school choice, you could handle it under there as well.

DR. BARTH: Okay. Thank you. I'm much clearer. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: All hearts and minds clear?

MR. ROZZELL: I just have a brief clarification as to that. The initial appeal was brought on July 27th, because we've gotten no denials. And so it referenced -- the only thing that at the time to pass on July 27 th , that moment in time, for the appellate time to commence was the school choice denial. Yesterday, after receiving the denial for the opportunity choice we referenced the opportunity choice and we appealed that issue as well. And that was sent to Ms. Davis and Mr. Key by electronic mail because at that point in time we had all the information necessary to do those appeals, and we sent those also to Forrest City and Palestine too. So I want just to make clear that both of the issues were brought as to the appeals on the basis of each individual statute.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: But you do -- do you object to Mr. Jones's contention that it's not Forrest City's responsibility to meet that deadline, that there could have been some collusion -- I'm not suggesting there was collusion between the two, but that certainly could have been a mechanism to have that dropped off the table?

MR. ROZZELL: As Ms. Davis said, there is no set out -- I mean, the provision is mandatory, of course,
because it says "shall," but it doesn't say what's going to happen in that case. When we applied, we submitted out applications both with Forrest City and Palestine because we've been going on with this for three years now; we know how this works, so we know they're going to be involved. So they had clear notice of our application as of March 26 th and again on April 15th. So, you know, they could've submitted or requested Mr. Estes to do a denial on school choice. But I believe Mr. Estes considered our application because it was made under both school choice and opportunity choice and that's why he considered his deadlines being August 1st, because it was the later of the two deadlines. So, you know, we believe that the statute requires a response by July 1 and I think that more testimony would have to be heard to show collusion, if that's what Forrest City wants to show.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No, I'm not suggesting that.

MR. ROZZELL: Thank you.
MS. DAVIS: Okay. And just --
MS. ZOOK: I have a question --
MS. DAVIS: Okay. I'm sorry. You want to go ahead?
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MS. ZOOK: I have a question for the superintendent of Forrest City. Would there be any point --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: She has to be sworn.
MS. ZOOK: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: Did you get sworn in?
SUPT. HARDRICK: No.
MS. DAVIS: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Would you raise your right hand please?

SUPT. HARDRICK: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

SUPT. HARDRICK: Yes, I do.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And your name?
SUPT. HARDRICK: Tiffany Hardrick,
superintendent at Forrest City.
MS. ZOOK: Would there be any point -- or would you have a position if these parents asked for a legal transfer? Do you have any idea how your board would rule on that?

SUPT. HARDRICK: Well, we've explored this issue as well and I think that it reads that a school board
cannot accept legal transfers, a school district that's under desegregation, a desegregation order. Am I saying that correctly?

MS. ZOOK: I don't think that's accurate, but that may be what you've been advised. Because I know Pulaski County allows legal transfers and they're under a big court order.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I guess you could ask the legal -- our legal expert.

MS. DAVIS: I looked at this yesterday and I can pull up the exact language. Oh, wait, actually, here it is. It says that, you know, the board of directors of a local school district are prohibited from granting legal transfers in the following situations: whether either -- or when either the resident or receiving district is under a deseg related court order or has ever been and -- and here's the key -- the transfer would negatively affect the racial balance of that district, which has or is under one. So the way that this reads is while a desegregation order is, you know, factored in, it is not the only factor; it's only if the transfer would also negatively impact. And if in this case it was determined that allowing minority students to transfer would not negatively impact a desegregation
order, then under this it appears that it would be allowed. And then also, just real quick, under the deadlines, like I say -- and Mr. Rozzell did submit his opportunity school choice yesterday, but the appeal originally had been presented to us and to you originally as a school choice. But the deadline for school choice is May 1 to apply, and July 1 for response. You have until July 30 th to file an opportunity school choice. There is no specific deadline on when you have to respond. I think it says -- it may say 30 days, but you can apply up until July 1. So the August 1st deadline for response on opportunity school choice is not necessarily --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. I hope we -- oh, and who are you?

MR. BEAVERS: I'm Brad Beavers. I just don't look as much like a lawyer.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So we don't have to swear you.

MR. BEAVERS: No, ma'am. To follow-up on your question, the "and" part of the legal transfer was what Dr . Hardrick had not yet dealt with the last year, of your question about the prohibition against legal transfer. She had not had to deal with the
"and" part about "and effect." So I would advise her in this particular case that that would be a board decision on a legal transfer that we would take to the board. And I'm the one who's in Forrest City and would be advising on those questions. But in the particular question that you asked, we have not had a request for legal transfer, but that issue would not have been an issue.

MS. ZOOK: Well, will the board ask you what you think?

MR. BEAVERS: The board makes its own decisions, but I would advise them as to what the law is. Yes, ma'am.

MS. ZOOK: Right.
MR. BEAVERS: So --
MS. ZOOK: And you'd make --
MR. BEAVERS: But as far as them making a decision, they won't -- no, ma'am; they don't always -- they don't take my advice about making decisions, other that what the law is.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So would the window be open then under those circumstances of a legal transfer for the family to either get what they want or if they don't come back before this body?

MR. BEAVERS: I'm not sure. I'm not sure that

I've ever seen whether there's an appeal from a denial of a legal transfer. I've never looked at it. I don't think that -- you know, Commissioner Mr. Key --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So we need to act on this? MR. BEAVER: Yeah. I don't think that there's an appeal process on denial of a legal transfer.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MR. BEAVER: But as far as the window being open to ask the board, that may -- you know -- that's a board decision.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, we're ready for a motion, Board.

MS. ZOOK: I move that in the spirit of keeping the family together that we grant the request for transfer.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So you've heard Ms. Zook's motion that the transfer request be approved. Is there a second?
(BRIEF MOMENT OF SILENCE)
MS. DAVIS: Pardon me?
CHAIRMAN NEWTON: I thought you were trying to say something.

MS. DAVIS: No, no.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Is there a second?
(BRIEF MOMENT OF SILENCE)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then the motion dies for lack of a second. Is there any other motion regarding this matter?

DR. BARTH: I'll move to affirm the -- sustain the denial based on an existing desegregation order or at least something that should be determined by a court of competency.

MS. REITH: Second.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So there's a motion by Dr. Barth to sustain the recommendation, to sustain the denial of the school choice application, and the second is by Ms. Reith. You heard the motion. Are there any questions? Ms. Saviers.

MS. SAVIERS: Just to be clear, they can then go and request a legal transfer?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
MS. SAVIERS: Okay. Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? All in favor?
(MAJORITY CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed?
MS. ZOOK: No.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook opposes. So
that's the end of that action item. We need to take a five-minute break.

MS. DAVIS: Before we break, the attorney for the Goodall family would like some clarification on which application that you're denying. Like I say, the appeal was done under the school choice. And while we did receive opportunity school choice from a denial yesterday, I think it's up to this board -- I don't think they have to --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth, is that singular or both?

DR. BARTH: It was -- the grounds were the same on both, in both cases.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So, both applications. And is that the consensus of the Board and the vote?
(MAJORITY OF BOARD MEMBERS ANSWERED AFFIRMATIVELY)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. DAVIS: Just two more seconds. The Criss family I don't believe has shown back up. So if you want to -- I mean, like I said, I have good news following this, but after we -- if you want to untable it and so you can go ahead and make a decision, or not. But then the next three school transfers on
the list, $\mathrm{A}-10, \mathrm{~A}-11$ and $\mathrm{A}-12$, have all been resolved, so they will be withdrawn.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And also A-13. Is that correct?

MS. DAVIS: Yes; 10, 11, 12 and 13 have all been resolved satisfactorily, so they will be withdrawn.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, we've got a semiemergency, so we're going to come back.
(BREAK: 3:07-3:20 P.M.)
A-8: CONSIDERATION OF APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF SCHOOL CHOICE APPLICATION - CRISS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll move now to A-8 and that would require us to get a motion to un-table that action item, Consideration of Appeal from Denial of School Choice, Criss family. Could we have a motion to un-table this action item?

DR. BARTH: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Dr. Barth.
MS. SAVIERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Saviers. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed? Motion carries. Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: The family still has not shown back
up, so I'm thinking that they're not planning to. But like I said, Palestine-Wheatley -- are they still here? No. But Forrest City is. So Forrest City would still like to go ahead and speak before you make a vote on this. Forrest City is the non -- I mean, the resident district.

MR. JONES: Under the circumstances presented, we would simply ask that the Board consider as part of the record and as a statement of our position urging y'all to sustain the denial of the transfer the matters we've submitted in writing to the Board. And that will conclude our presentation.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, thank you.
MS. ZOOK: This child --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions?
MS. ZOOK: Is this child in an academic distress school?

MS. DAVIS: No. This child would be entering kindergarten.

MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do we have a motion relative to this action item, A-8?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Move to sustain.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Move to sustain -- I couldn't get that out -- by Mr. Williamson. Second?
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Is there a second?
MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: By Ms. Dean. Any questions? All in favor let us know by saying "aye." (MAJORITY CHORUS OF AYES) CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? MS. ZOOK: No.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook opposes. So the motion carries.

A-14: CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER REQUEST FOR TEACHING LICENSE LYNN D. LANG

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So we're now down to A-14, Consideration of Waiver Request for Teaching License. And Ms. Liwo.

MS. LIWO: I spoke with Mr. Lang about his waiver hearing request and we're asking that the Board allow us to postpone the hearing until next month's meeting.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So table or postpone, which is it? Ms. Davis?

MS. LIWO: Postpone.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Postpone. Do we have to have a motion to postpone?

MS. LIWO: Yes.
MS. REITH: Motion to postpone till next month's
board meeting.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Motion by Ms. Reith to postpone. Is there a second?

MS. SAVIERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Saviers. Any discussion? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay.
A-15: CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDED TRAINING FOR PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE STANDARDS BOARD CASE \#T13-015B - SIMONE S. VAUGHN

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Then moving to A-15, Consideration of Recommended Training for Professional Licensure Standards Board, S. Vaughn. Ms. Liwo.

MS. LIWO: And I'm forgetting the month for some weird reason; I'm sorry. I guess it's been a little bit of a long day. But the last time you heard Ms. Vaughn's case she had requested a review of the PLSB's evidentiary hearing recommendation. And the Board voted to place her license on probation for two years and assessed a $\$ 75$ fine and required her to do -- complete professional development in the area of portfolio assessment, and counsel was instructed to come back before the Board with a recommendation on the portfolio assembly and assessment training. And
we have reached an agreement on that; we've prepared a proposed Agreed Order for the Board to consider and you all should have copies in front of you. And I can go through the terms of the order or -- in the order -- according to the order, Ms. Vaughn would have to complete no less than six hours of portfolio assembly and assessment training by the end of her probationary period. The training she receives would have to address the preparation, alignment and scoring of portfolios. Specifically, the training would have to provide instruction on developing study topics aligning each portfolio to each individual student's IEP, demonstrating student comprehension and independent work, and assembling and assessing and evaluating the portfolios. Ms. Vaughn would have to contact either her local education cooperative to schedule a training session or request that her superintendent sign her up for training that's offered through the collaborative efforts of the ADE Office of Student Assessment and Questar. And, of course, this training is in addition to any other mandatory training that she's required to receive and she would have to bear all the associated costs of this additional training.

MS. ZOOK: I move that we accept the agreement
and ask Ms. Newton to sign.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ask Ms. Newton to sign the order?

MS. ZOOK: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: This Miss -- I'm sorry; there are two Ms. Newton's here now.

MS. ZOOK: You're Chairwoman.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Chair Newton. Okay. Thank you. Is there -- is the attorney, Mr. Burnett, here or anybody on her behalf?

MS. LIWO: He is not here. He has, however, signed the Agreed Order.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. LIWO: And I just want to state on the record -- I'm sorry -- that Ms. Vaughn does need to provide the PLSB office with proof that she has completed the training.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So we have a motion by Ms. Zook to accept the conditions as outlined in this agreement. Is there a second?

MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. Any discussion? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Do I sign the
original or this copy that I have?
MS. LIWO: Please sign the original. And I gave you a blue folder earlier today; it's in there.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
A-16: CHARTER APPLICATION TIMELINES
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: The next item is A-18, Charter -- have I missed somebody?

COMMISSIONER KEY: Sixteen.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I'm sorry. A-16, Charter Application Timelines. Ms. Perry.

MS. PERRY: Yes. Mary Perry, Coordinator, Division of Learning Services. A-16 is the Charter Application Timelines. The Board approves the timelines and we're bringing those to you after the Charter Authorizing Panel approved them on July 15th for release for you. These are the open-enrollment district conversion and renewal charter applications timelines. You'll see we're trying to get everything on a little bit more accelerated schedule, as early as we think we can do that, and get the renewal folks to have the most current data to discuss when they're talking about having met their goals of their charter and comparing it to other districts around them. So we're bringing those to you based on particular days of the month and then we'll put them out there on our
calendar of events -- or our charter calendar of events to get them out there and publish the specific dates that things would be due going forward.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions? Ms. Saviers?

MS. SAVIERS: No. Go ahead.
DR. BARTH: Just a quick question. So, you know, we've heard before the timing, especially in the rare instances in which we've removed a charter. But, you know, parents and children really don't have time to get in line for another charter or another option. If a charter was removed from a reapplicant, when are we anticipating that would happen?

MS. PERRY: We're anticipating that the renewal applicants would be -- would go to hearing in December, which is earlier than they've gone before, to the Charter Authorizing Panel. So, in January, the Board would then decide whether or not to review a decision made by the Panel. And if you decided that you wanted to review a decision, you would have your hearing in February, which moves it up a little bit. Again, we would love -- Dr. Barth, we've heard you loud and clear and agree wholeheartedly; we would love to move it up a little earlier, but we just want
them to have their most recent data too -- I know you do too -- and look at that when they come in to discuss renewal. So that was kind of why it's not even a little bit earlier than that. And this would be for -- I should point out, these are for renewals that expire in 2017. We can't go this early -- they won't have that data as early as we've got proposed here for those coming in. So it'll be later this spring; it'll still be later this spring. And then the actual applications for charter will start spring of '16 and then the renewals are spring of '17. So it's going to be one more year that it's later than when -- even much later than we would like for renewals. So please know that.

DR. BARTH: Great. Thank you. I appreciate your working on this.

MS. PERRY: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Could we have a motion relative to Charter Application Timelines? Ms. Saviers.

MS. SAVIERS: Move to approve the proposal.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved --
MS. ZOOK: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Ms. Saviers, second by Ms. Zook. Any questions? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. Then the motion passes.

A-17: CHARTER AUTHORIZING PANEL ACTION ON OPEN-ENROLLMENT PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL AMENDMENT: OZARK MONTESSORI ACADEMY

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then we're now at A-17, Ms. Perry, Charter Authorizing Panel Action on OpenEnrollment Public Charter School Amendment, Ozark Montessori Academy.

MS. PERRY: Yes, ma'am. The next four items are charter amendment items that were also heard by the Charter Authorizing Panel on July 15th. Ozark Montessori Academy was authorized on October 16, 2014. This is a brand-new school. It has not -well, actually, it just opened; it opened last week. Alexandra visited earlier this week -- or it opened this week. So it's up and running this week, but a brand-new school. And they have asked for an increase of enrollment from 120 to 140. They're just accelerating their plan. Their plan all along was to operate in grades $\mathrm{K}-6$ with a maximum enrollment of 120 for year-one, growing to $\mathrm{K}-8$ with a maximum of 280 by 1920 [sic], the fifth year of the charter. And they appeared before the Board -- excuse me -before the Panel and asked to accelerate by just 20
students there. You have on the phone -- remember today --

MR. BRADBERRY: Not yet.
MS. PERRY: Okay. Remember, today is the decision whether to review the decision by the Authorizing Panel. And I would like to call your attention to the fact, the very last thing in the agenda, the very last couple of pages in your packet of agenda, they are items that show you how the Charter Authorizing Panel voted by member and their reasons for the way that they voted. So you're going to begin to see that routinely when those come to you so you can see who voted how and why. Even on items that they may not be required by rule to state reasons, you're going to see that from the Panel.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Thank you, Ms. Perry; we appreciate your questions [sic]. I saw Dr. Barth's hand.

DR. BARTH: Well --
MS. PERRY: They're calling in.
MR. BRADBERRY: I need to know if Ozark Montessori Academy is on the line?

MS. NICHOLS: This is Rochelle Nichols with Ozark Montessori Academy.

MR. BRADBERRY: Thank you very much.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Welcome, Ms. Nichols. Is this a question about procedure before we get into --

DR. BARTH: It's actually really just a compliment for -- and I meant to say it on the last item -- just how helpful this new way of presenting the documentation is.

MS. PERRY: I don't get credit for that.
DR. BARTH: Well, whoever gets credit. This is -- I mean, this is -- I think this is going to cut our time in dealing with these cases, you know, so much, and this is really thoughtful. So thank you.

MS. PERRY: The chair, Deb Coffman.
DR. BARTH: Oh, imagine that, that she figured out a system. All right. Great. I don't have any questions on this one.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Thank you, Dr. Barth. Ms. Saviers.

MS. SAVIERS: And based on this wonderful new listing of how everybody voted and why they voted the way that they did -- evidently, there's a long waiting list and it was unanimous. I move that we not review this item.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
MS. ZOOK: Second.
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VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Okay. All in favor say "aye."
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: All against? Okay. That's a unanimous vote.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Ms. Zook.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Ms. Zook. My apologies. Ms. Zook voted against. Is that correct?

MS. ZOOK: No.
MR. WILLIAMSON: No.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: No?
MR. WILLIAMSON: She seconded.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Seconded. Sorry. Oh, that was what you were saying. Sorry. I saw the two there and then I was trying to -- I have to get used to Deb's sign language there. My apologies. Well, thank you so much. So, Ms. Nichols, thank you for joining the call but we won't need your testimony at this time. And congratulations on your waivers.

A-18: CHARTER AUTHORIZING PANEL ACTION ON DISTRICT CONVERSION PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL AMENDMENT: LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL NEW TECH

VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Moving on to action item A-18, we have the opportunity to consider the district conversion public charter school amendment to Lincoln High School New Tech. Ms. Perry, you're
once again recognized.
MS. PERRY: Thank you. Lincoln High School New Tech was authorized back on January 9, 2011. They are approved to serve students in grades $8-12$ with a maximum enrollment of 850 . And, again, they appeared before the Panel on July 15th and their request was to remove New Tech from the name and to remove their partnership with New Tech. You'll notice that you have the entire application for the charter in the packet and they had gone through and stricken and put in red the changes that would be made to the New Tech piece so that I thought it was very easy to read. And you have Ms. Courtney Jones, principal, I believe, on the line.

MR. BRADBERRY: Ms. Jones, are you on the line?
MS. JONES: Yes, I am. Hi, this is Courtney Jones.

MR. BRADBERRY: Just hold; we'll see if we have any questions for you.

MS. JONES: Okay. Thanks.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Just to finish up this item, because Ms. Newton came in, do any of the board members have questions either for Ms. Perry or Ms. Jones? Ms. Jones, thank you for joining the call. All right. Seeing no questions, do any of our
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MS. ZOOK: I move --
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Ms. Saviers?
MS. ZOOK: -- that we not review.
MS. SAVIERS: Second.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: Okay. So that was a motion by Ms. Zook and a second by Ms. Saviers. All in favor say "aye."
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
VICE CHAIRPERSON REITH: And any against? All right. That's a unanimous vote. Thank you, Ms. Jones, for your time. We will not need your testimony today.

MS. JONES: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you, Ms. Reith. And hopefully that's the last time I'll have to leave, maybe.

A-19: CHARTER AUTHORIZING PANEL ACTION ON DISTRICT CONVERSION PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL AMENDMENT: PEA RIDGE MANUFACTURING AND BUSINESS ACADEMY

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A-19 is Charter Authorizing Panel on District Conversion Public Charter School Amendment, Pea Ridge Manufacturing and Business Academy .

MS. PERRY: Thank you. Pea Ridge was authorized
on January 16, 2014. The charter is approved to serve students in grades 11 and 12 with a maximum enrollment of 215. Again, on July 15, 2015, the representatives were in front of the Charter Authorizing Panel and they requested an amendment -they actually requested an amendment -- a waiver through the amendment process of the media specialist of those requirements at the school. Again, I expect that you'll have Charles Clark, director -- Mr. Charles Clark, director, on the line.

MR. CLARK: Yes. This is Charlie Clark.
MR. BRADBERRY: If you'll hold for questions.
MR. CLARK: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions of Mr. Clark? No questions? Ms. Saviers.

MS. SAVIERS: I move not to review.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It's moved -- motion not to review by Ms. Saviers. Second?

DR. BARTH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Dr. Barth. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any opposed? That motion carries.

MR. BRADBERRY: Thank you, Mr. Clark.

MR. CLARK: Thank you very much.
A-20: CHARTER AUTHORIZATION PANEL ACTION ON DISTRICT CONVERSION PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL AMENDMENT: WARREN MIDDLE SCHOOL A CONVERSION CHARTER

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So we're down to A-20, Charter Authorizing Panel on District Conversion Public Charter School Amendment, Warren Middle School a Conversion Charter.

MS. PERRY: Warren Middle School was authorized on January 16, 2014, and they're approved to serve students in grades 6-8 with a maximum enrollment of 450. Again, they appeared before the Panel on July 15th and they were requesting some changes to the GT program. Ms. Newton, there were some -- two individuals that appeared to be -- had indicated they wanted to -- they signed in perhaps to say something on -- I believe they put A-21, but I think they might really have wanted to speak on A-20 that pertained to GT .
(COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: Ms. Perry converses with an unknown lady in the audience.)

MS. PERRY: Okay. There are some folks here who want to speak on the waivers of GT; she's telling me generally speaking, so --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Well, we could start
-- so is it relevant to this particular application or just --

MS. PERRY: No, it is not relevant to this particular application.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So we'll wait and, Ms. Perry, you can advise us when it might be good to insert that particular -- those folks to speak.

MS. PERRY: That'll usually be at the very end of the meeting --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. PERRY: -- when it's not pertaining to a particular agenda item.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. As it relates to A20, do we have a motion regarding the conversion charter for Warren Middle School?

DR. BARTH: Actually, I have a question.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
DR. BARTH: And so I know on this one there was a provision that there is satisfaction by October 1st about the services for Gifted and Talented students. And it's really a procedural question; I mean, what if that doesn't happen? What if we, you know, granted the waiver, the amendment, and then for some reason, you know, that -- you know -- the ADE Gifted and Talented staff just don't think this is working,
how would we handle that?
MS. PERRY: The plan is still on the 15th. I think that you'd see that that would be reported back to the Department and we would work with the chair and let the chair of the Panel know that. And they might very well want to pull them right back in as soon as possible at the next available meeting. That seems to be it would be the appropriate protocol to follow there, as you can call any charter back in at any point. The Panel can call any charter back in to take a look at that. So should there be concerns there the Panel can get them back in.

DR. BARTH: You know, I'm a little worried that -- you know -- that the -- this isn't set, because they're apparently going to activate this for this current -- this coming academic year. And I'm a little worried that the Gifted and Talented program at the school would not be submitted kind of on dayone. So I do have some concerns in this case. I mean, I know that there was a lot of confidence on the part of the Panel that it could be done, so I'm definitely a little queasy about it in terms of timing, but I also am sensitive to the clock.

MS. PERRY: And you -- and I expect that you have Carla Wardlaw, assistant superintendent at

Warren, on the line.
MR. BRADBERRY: Ms. Wardlaw, are you on line?
MS. WARDLAW: I am.
DR. BARTH: I guess I will ask a question then, if that's all right --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
DR. BARTH: -- on this issue. Ms. Wardlaw, this is Jay Barth. And my question really does --

MS. WARDLAW: Yes.
DR. BARTH: -- it relates to the timing on kind of getting the revisions to the Gifted and Talented program in this proposal kind of put in place, you know, so that students are able to begin to take advantage of that early in the school year.

MR. BRADBERRY: Did you hear the question?
MS. WARDLAW: Yes, I did. And we are -- we've already scheduled our students to -- our students are -- I think the sound is messing up. But anyway, let me start over. Our students have already scheduled for 6th, 7th and 8th grade; they'll be getting their GT through their science plan. And we also have another pre-AP class that students will be observed, and if they are recommended for the Gifted and Talented they'll be put in that class at that time.

DR. BARTH: Okay. And so -- yeah.

MS. WARDLAW: They'll be getting it on a daily basis, not just 45 minutes a week.

DR. BARTH: Okay. And so when would those identifications begin to take place?

MS. WARDLAW: They take place immediately. When they're in class, they'll be in observation and there will be a test.

DR. BARTH: Okay. All right. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Then what is the pleasure of the Board relative to $A-20$, Charter Authorizing Panel Action on District Conversion Amendment, Warren Middle School a Conversion Charter?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Move to not review.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It's been moved not to review by Mr. Williamson. Is there a second? MS. DEAN: Second.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second, Ms. Dean. Any discussion? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Motion carries.

A-21: DISTRICT REQUEST FOR WAIVERS GRANTED TO OPEN-ENROLLMENT CHARTER: ARMOREL SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Perry, we're down
to A-21, District Request for Waivers Granted to Open-Enrollment Charter, Armorel School District. MS. PERRY: Yes, ma'am. This is the very first one here that you'll have for this. Act 1240 of 2015 allows a school district to petition the State Board of Education for all or some of the waivers granted to an open-enrollment public charter school that draws students from the school district. We do have representatives from the Armorel School District here. Ms. Sally -- excuse me -- Ms. Sally Bennett, superintendent will begin the presentation. But, first, we have Jennifer Davis who will discuss the hearing procedures for today's meeting for the benefit of the board and those listening, as well. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: In addition to explaining the hearing procedure, would you give us again some background on what the act is intended and what the stipulations and consequences of that are? MS. DAVIS: Sure. Act 1240 was intended to allow school districts that -- to get waivers that any charter school that pulls kids from their district have -- or they can request the approval of that. You know, we discussed during your work session previously some considerations. The act makes no provisions for, you know, granting
provisionally, conditionally, and additionally, as long as the charter school has the waiver. When you grant a waiver that school district has the waiver for the length of time the charter has. And there is no provisions in the law at this point in time or that was directly in that act for you to revoke it. So just something to consider.

And the hearing procedures -- the district will -- everybody who's going to testify, other than attorneys, will need to be placed under oath. And then the district will get 20 minutes to kind of present their case for why they're requesting these approvals. Anybody who would like to speak in opposition to that, there is kind of a 20-minute cap. Of course, you know, those timeframes can be extended at the, you know, chair's pleasure. And so opposition will have an opportunity to speak and then the district will have 5 minutes to kind of, you know, wrap-up or have any rebuttal or anything like that. At any point in time, of course, you're welcome to ask questions, but at the end of all the testimony, you know, the question-and-answer can happen. And you can either choose to grant in whole or in part the waiver request or you can deny in whole or in part.
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CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And the 20 minutes includes for counsel and the district?

MS. DAVIS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes. Okay.
MS. DAVIS: The hearing procedures -- like I say, you can grant additional time as needed at your pleasure, but there is 20 -minutes. And same thing for opposition. It's kind of a collective 20 minutes.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh, it's a collective thing that's for everybody or --

MS. DAVIS: According to the hearing procedures, it just says that opposition will have 20 minutes --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I see.
MS. DAVIS: -- and if additional time is necessary --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. But they don't have to take 20 minutes?

MS. DAVIS: No. No, they have up to 20 minutes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Up to 20 minutes. Okay.
MS. DAVIS: Or less. 20 minutes or less.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: All those that are not attorneys that intend to testify, on either side, support or opposition, will you please stand and raise your right hand. Stand, please. Do you
solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
(ALL WITNESSES ANSWERED AFFIRMATIVELY) CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Your side has 20 minutes. So are you alone?

SUPT. BENNETT: Yes, ma'am. I am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
SUPT. BENNETT: And I promise not to take 20 minutes.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: That's okay.
SUPT. BENNETT: Okay. I'm Sally Bennett; I'm the superintendent at the Armorel School District, and it's my pleasure to be the first one on the block today. If I can work this from behind me -- we're very dedicated in our small rural school district to provide the very best education possible for our students. This week during our professional development we've been talking about learning targets and bringing students in as partners in the learning process, and that's something that we want to continue. And we believe that some of these waivers will help us facilitate the learning for our students in a more effective and efficient manner by having some flexibility to do some of the things that the

KIPP Delta school does, which draws some students from my district.

Just a little bit of background, if I may. We're kind of rich in history. The school was founded in 1937, and I had the first lady who walked across the stage called me week-before-last, wanting to endow a scholarship for an Armorel graduate. We're in the upper northeast corner of the state and we got our name very unusually; it's from Arkansas, Missouri, and R.E.L. Wilson, to make Armorel. So we have a very strong and proud tradition.

We're community-strong. We are in the heart of the steel mill area. We're partners with Arkansas Northeastern College. In fact, in May I had a student who graduated on Friday night with an associate's degree from ANC, and Sunday afternoon with a high school diploma from Armorel High School. So we're very involved in our local community, and even more in our part of the state.

We're also one of those kind of different school districts. We're one with a high wealth index because of the steel mills that are there. But I'd also like to say that we've had a number of layoffs in our area and my enrollment is declining, so that's something that we're very careful of. I don't
receive state foundation funding because we receive money in excess of what is provided according to the foundation formula. But we're very strong physically and academically.

Our elementary school received an "A" on the School Report Card. We have smaller classes. We are very in tune to response to intervention and data driven decision-making. And this year I'm very proud to say that my kindergarten through second grade teachers have all been trained in dyslexia, and so we know that we're going to be able to do some really point-in-time interventions for those children.

Our high school received a "B" report card rating. We're not very happy about that, so we're looking to continue to improve. We're technology rich. We had a grant last year for an EAST lab. They wrote a grant in turn for an outdoor classroom. This year, we have a Perkins grant for a mobile app development class. So we're pretty excited about that opportunity, as well. Our students are involved in community activities and they continue to do well on assessments. As you can see on the slide, we typically out-perform the state average on ACT scores.

In regard to these particular waivers, we have
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done quite a lot of communication regarding the things that we're asking for. The district administrative team met this summer and had discussions. We discussed it at our local school board meetings in June and July. There was an article in the Gazette on July 19th that referenced the Armorel School District's waiver request. In our board meeting on Monday night the board passed a resolution supporting these waivers. The following day, I met with our personnel policy committee and we talked about that. And then the next day I had a discussion with my entire faculty regarding the waivers that we're asking and then today it was in our local newspaper.

So we're asking for these four items, basically. We're asking you to give us relief on the alternative learning environment. As you can see in your application, this is my fourth year as superintendent of the Armorel School District. We have not placed a student in ALE during that time. We still complete the program approvals; we still do the reports; and I have an approved program for this year. But we're looking to be as student-centered in providing the most least restrictive environment possible. We're small, 424 kids. I hope it's going to be that much
when we come back on Monday. And we're able to respond pretty quickly when we see students who are struggling, who are falling, who are dropping between the cracks. And I think that we can best meet these needs of our students in other ways than through a structured, formatted program that is prescriptive in what the requirements are.

The second waiver request we're asking for is closing the achievement gap taskforce. Here again, we're responsive. Our achievement gap is fairly small. We're working on that. We're not even really a township; we're more of a community at Armorel. And so to get some of the people together who are identified as required members of that taskforce can be very challenging for us. So we're trying to work to meet those needs, and I think our academic success is indicative of that.

Now the two things that I thought might would cause a little bit of eyebrow raising are the dutyfree lunch and the planning time. Our teachers are very generous with their time. They frequently meet at lunch; they tutor students; they hold club meetings. So it's really not so much an issue with them giving up some of that time on occasion, and the same thing with the planning time. The new ACSIP
requires that our teachers meet in a leadership team twice a month for an hour. Our planning -- our class periods are 48 minutes long, and that's not an hour. And so we're looking to find some way that we can have some more flexibility in being able to have some of the meetings that we need to have, maybe work through a planning time in a working lunch. I think teachers would probably be willing to do this anyway, but it would be nice to have an official waiver for us to be able to act in this manner. Not a single teacher came to see me after we talked about it. I intentionally hung around just to make sure that there was no problems with what we were proposing. Our teachers are there for our students.

So I'm asking for these waivers for three main points: it offers us increased flexibility; it aligns with actually our current practice; and it helps increase our operational effectiveness. We think that we can spend our time wisely in serving our students better. I'd be happy to answer any questions that you have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions?
MS. ZOOK: I have a question that I think maybe Mr. Griggs can answer. Is he still in the room, or if someone else could answer it? I don't really know
the difference between the CEP and the Provision 2 that has -- that's related to your first request. And without that information I don't know that I can make an informed decision on that particular one. Or maybe you can explain it; that would be great.

SUPT. BENNETT: Let me get to that so I can see the exact reference. I did have some help. MS. ZOOK: It's the rules governing the standards for accreditation and distribution of student special needs funding.

SUPT. BENNETT: I can answer that question. Let me look back through here. Those were -MS. ZOOK: Ms. Barnes? SUPT. BENNETT: We receive no money on alternative learning environment anyway.

MS. ZOOK: Yeah, I didn't think so. That's why I wasn't sure of the wording.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Barnes, could you -MS. BARNES: What was your question? I apologize.

MS. ZOOK: What's the difference between the CEP versus the Provision 2 funding as referenced on her request on the ALE? It says, "The rules governing the standards for accreditation and distribution of special needs funding."

MS. BARNES: Okay. And I'm assuming that what she's saying or stating here is that because under the rules governing standards for accreditation they're required to have alternative learning environments. They are also required with respect to special needs funding and how it's -- the categorical funding really. ALE is a part -- ALE funding was a part of that. So based on this that sounds like what she was talking about. With respect to Provision 2 and community eligibility, then you're looking at federal funding sources which would require a certain percentage of your student population to be free-andreduced lunch. You can then apply to be a Provision 2 school. I don't think that you have to have a certain percentage. But you can apply once you've met a threshold to be a Provision 2, where you could then feed your students, all of your students, and treat them the same as you would if they were Provision 1. But you would also have to track and provide the funding stream from operating or another source to reimburse that back to the district. So unless -- oh, Mr. Walter is behind me and he's an attorney, so he may have some additional information. But having -- the way this is written, that's what it appears that --

SUPT. BENNETT: Yes.
MS. BARNES: -- that she was asking for. She's asking for relief from the requirements under the standards for accreditation, as well as state categorical funding rules.

SUPT. BENNETT: Thank you.
MR. WALTER: Madam Chair, may I briefly address the Board at this point?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And who are you?
MR. WALTER: Tripp Walter.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No, seriously, I know that. Go ahead.

MR. WALTER: Thank you. Madam Chair, Members of the Board, Commissioner Key -- Ms. Barnes did an excellent job of explaining everything. I just wanted to make hopefully a couple of brief points that might clarify everything. The ADE staff has put together a document to assist charter and now I think also applies to school district applicants for waivers and commonly granted waivers. And in some areas where a charter applicant, and now a district, asks for waivers there's a preferred way, as I understand it, that ADE staff requests that those waivers be handled; in other words, a certain package, if you will, or groupings of all the various
statutes and departmental rules that might apply in a particular area. And what Superintendent Bennett has asked for here is that common package, so-to-speak.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. And also KIPP is the school that draws kids from your district. Is that correct? SUPT. BENNETT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. ZOOK: And do they have any empirical evidence that the waiver you've asked for, the second waiver, it narrows the TAG group gap?

SUPT. BENNETT: Not that I'm aware of.
MS. ZOOK: And the duty-free lunch -- I think my concern there -- I'm a student of Madeline Hunter and she was a real big advocate for respite with new demands. And I'm not sure but what -- of course, I was -- I've worked with elementary and secondary, and elementary doesn't have a prep period so-to-speak like the secondary does in many instances. So I'm not sure but what a teacher not having any demands for a period during the day -- I think that's probably a good thing for her to have, or him. SUPT. BENNETT: Our teachers all do have planned instructional time daily, and I certainly wouldn't keep anyone from having lunch.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? Okay. Ms. Dean.

MS. DEAN: Well, I have issues with what Ms. Zook said, the last two items. I understand that you're saying as far as the teachers being willing to give up time as far as lunch and willing to give up time for planning. And if I'm not mistaken, I read in the Arkansas code that they are able to do that but they receive compensation, if I'm not mistaken. So that still allows for teachers to use that time to plan and use that time for lunch, if they so choose to. But I have to agree with Ms. Zook that it is quite beneficial for a teacher to have that downtime. I mean, even as a parent you're a better parent when you have time; when mommy has time, it's better for everybody in the house; because if momma doesn't get a break, it can be kind of ugly. So I think -- I have issues with waiving that break time for teachers. I understand wanting to go above and beyond; I've done that myself. But I think it should be an option. I don't think we should waive that, and I think they should be compensated for their time, if they so choose to use their lunch or use their planning time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, thank you for that comment. And we also have our expert; Ms. Newton, would you give us your comment on that, or any other
-- any of the other proposals? Because I think you're in a unique position. I know Ms. Zook is; she's experienced. Yours is more recent.

MS. OUIDA NEWTON: I do what you're talking about, give up lunch time or give up planning period time. But I know as a teacher that the most important thing that $I$ can do for my students is to be well-planned. And when you're -- you are an excellent superintendent and I'm sure you've got great principals, but what's going to come down the line, you know, might not be as good. And if those teachers have given up that planning time, that's vital for your students; they have got to have that planning time. And that planning time would be to plan between math departments or literacy or a coteacher, whatever it has to be, but they've got to have that planning time. It's vital for the success of the students. And, you know, it's an option, you know, that they can do that, but to just -- you know -- I would have as a teacher great problems with that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: All right.
SUPT. BENNETT: And may I clarify?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And then before you do, I just think I want to remind, too, everybody that once
a waiver is granted they cannot come back and un-do. In the event that something comes up that is controversial or that doesn't work, it cannot be undone. But, yes, your comment, please.

SUPT. BENNETT: I'm certainly not looking for this to be an everyday or even necessarily an every week kind of occurrence. But the statute says it's an individual planning time and I'm looking for teachers to be able to do some of that collaboration that you're talking about without having to compensate them for meeting between the math and an English teacher or -- we're using the literacy design collaborative and looking at some of those methodologies. And the ACSIP now requires that that leadership team meet as well twice a month. We're not looking for extended lengths of time, but having some flexibility when we need to do a few things that we can do that. Again, aligning what we're currently doing in practice with what we could possibly have approval for under a waiver, as some of the charter schools do.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yeah. And I won't talk about the planning time with you. I do share the concerns of some folks up here. I want to hit the other two
areas where you've asked for waivers where $I$ have some concerns as well. And one is the ALE issue, and it's grounded primarily in the fact that you have not had ALE students in recent years. But, you know, my real concern is we as a state have a responsibility to educate all children, and I don't -- all students -- and I don't doubt that you work really hard on that. But we know that ALE students do require extra funding and extra energies. Because, as Ms. Newton just said, you know, because this would be a permanent waiver, it really is troubling that this would kind of, you know, lock the district in in not necessarily addressing the needs of ALE students moving forward. And I know and I'm glad it's not a challenge in large numbers at this point, but we don't know if that's always going to be the case. And so that's an area of concern.

I'll make another comment and then you can respond.

And then I do have particular concerns on the taskforce on closing the achievement gap. I mean, your gap is not as large as other places but it's not small. And I think this reliance that you state here on other community organizations to try to step in and take care of that I think really misses the point
of those local taskforces. I mean, it really is bringing people from different sectors together to think collectively about how to tackle the achievement gap issues that are distinctive to your community. And I understand folks are busy in the community; it's hard to get everybody involved in that who the statute lays out needs to be involved. But I think to give up on that entirely at the school level is really, really problematic. But any comments you want to make, but those are my concerns. SUPT. BENNETT: Certainly. And I understand your concerns. Again, we're very small and the ALE issue -- I do think the students' needs have to be met and we want to do that with all our students, and the district is very committed to doing that. But we think that we can meet those students' needs without separating them and sending them into a more restrictive environment, and that's really what we're looking to do is intervene early and often to better meet those students' needs. And with the taskforce, we've been meeting. We can't always get everyone that the statute states, just to be quite honest, but we do meet. We're a very small community, like I said. I actually have 390 enrolled; we'll see if I get more than that when school starts next week. And
they're very active and they're very supportive, and I have strong partnerships and talk frequently with local industry and our local Chamber of Commerce. It's not that we're not having those conversations. So I'm looking for just an opportunity to look at some things a little bit differently, to personalize the school at Armorel to reflect our community's needs.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any further questions? Mr. Williamson.

MR. WILLIAMSON: I don't have any questions.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Just a general comment. I mean, when we're considering these waivers, I mean, they're permanent and --

MS. ZOOK: Until the -- unless a charter loses its --

MR. WILLIAMSON: Right. Unless a charter loses its charter; you're correct. But, you know, perhaps some of these changes, you know, may not just be best for Armorel but maybe all of the public schools in the state. I'm just -- I'm trying to think a little bigger than just -- no offense to the northeast corner of the state; you know, folks from Texarkana may like the privilege of, you know, having some of
these same waivers as well. If they're good for one, you know, perhaps they're good for all. That's my only comment.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, but the act doesn't address that. I know you know that.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: But to suggest those where the charters -- where the school districts are subject to losing students to charters, so --

MR. WILLIAMSON: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Your point is well taken though. Okay. Any further questions? If not, can we have a -- oh, do you have a question or motion? MS. SAVIERS: No, I just -- this is the first one of these and just as a group I think it's probably important for us at this point to just kind of talk a little bit about how we're going to address this going forward, just so we're consistent. I think what -- and I'll go first. But I think what strikes me is the permanence of these decisions. And this superintendent looks amazing and I'm sure that, you know, Armorel will continue down a positive path, but at what point would things change. And that's -I guess that's going to be my personal concern. You know, the ALE thing, I understand what you're saying
but I also -- and if there was a different superintendent under different circumstances it could be harmful to students. So that's where I am right now and would love to hear what anybody else thinks.

DR. BARTH: I would think -- I mean --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: -- I think I agree entirely and I simply -- I wish the legislation had been crafted in a way that allowed the piloting of some of these waivers so that we could figure out if they worked or didn't work. It's this permanence that really I think creates some challenges, not to prejudge any of the others to come because there could be some that make really perfect sense for a particular school. But I just wish that it had been created as a pilot project rather than a permanent waiver.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Isn't Arkansas the only state to allow these sorts of waivers? Am I -_

COMMISSIONER KEY: I really can't answer that, if we're the only state that would allow waivers such as this. Don't know.

MS. ZOOK: I think that was why the idea of a pilot thing is why $I$ was asking if we had demonstrated at KIPP, where this is a waiver, is it working, has it done anything; and if not, we
wouldn't want to do it somewhere else, and if it is, we would want to do it somewhere else. So I think if the districts could come in and say, "I want to do this because over here at this school it's working" -- and we also may want to look at these other charters and say, "You've got this waiver and you still have the issue." So, do we need to pull out the waiver.

DR. BARTH: I think that's a great point. I mean, in looking at these down the line if the district could bring clear evidence, you know, that these have worked in that place, then I think it would be a different -- I would still have worry that it would be a permanent thing, but, I mean, it would be something to go on. And in many ways, this proposal is really kind of thinking about the past and what hasn't maybe always been so easy in a district. And I think we need to always be thinking about the future because these things are the future.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: But there's a little bit of difference, $I$ think, Ms. Zook, in terms of the way I look at it. It depends on the -- I think -- I don't even think you can compare a charter to a regular school necessarily; it depends upon where they draw from with the population and demographics of a
particular charter. So to compare KIPP even to Armorel is a vast -- you know -- that's a stretch, you know, in a sense, so -- and it may not be a stretch, but it could very well be a stretch because it could be a totally different population of young people.

MS. SAVIERS: It is.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, it is. I just was leaving that to your imagination. But, you know, there is. In fact, you know, theory might be that it would work better for them than KIPP, but -- so I don't think we can use a comparison of the data to do a measure. And the other thing I think we should be cognizant of is the fact that we can at any time for valid reason revoke waivers of a charter or revoke a charter.

MS. ZOOK: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So that's another thing to consider, that we do have the ability to do that, and that we don't in this situation, so just -- for conversations sake and deliberations sake also.

MR. WALTER: Madam Chair, may I make a comment?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Certainly.
MR. WALTER: All right. Most of you have seen me here before on this same issue with open-
enrollment public charter schools. And let me say, first off, then and now I deeply -- I know Superintendent Bennett deeply respects and appreciates the diligence with which you always approach these matters and always taking into account what's best for the students, both now and in the future. The only thing I would add to the conversation, with all due respect, is, as you know, Act 1240, I believe, was crafted and passed for the reason of giving school districts the opportunity to have the same flexibility in various areas that openenrollment public charter schools enjoy. And I understand and appreciate and don't mean to do anything to disrespect everything that you all have said about the concerns. The only thing I would say is this: I think that Armorel has taken that into account and it responds -- has taken a very wellreasoned approach, tried to look at what exactly would work in its experience for its school. I understand that concerns have been raised, as administrators may not be there forever; things change. But I would put to you, or remind you, I guess, that I think Superintendent Bennett and her folks have done a very good job of looking this -looking at this in a very precise, well-reasoned and
crafted manner. And I know with the open-enrollments one thing the authorizers do, both at your level and the Panel, is when we're talking about provision of services, shall we say, is always to look at, Okay, if we grant this, what will be -- what will the school replace it with to make sure that the students' needs are met? And I truly believe that this is the tack that Armorel has taken here. For example, with the ALE, obviously, there's going to be concern about going away from that completely. But in my opinion, I think Superintendent Bennett and her folks have done a very good job of trying to tell you how students with those type of needs will be handled. It certainly won't be a situation where those students' needs won't be met; it will be done in a different way and, in her opinion at least, it not a more effective way. So I appreciate your time and patience and the diligence of your review. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Are there any other questions by the Board? If not, are we ready for a motion? I don't know if we would take them individually. Are you presenting the whole package, or packet, or are you submitting them individually? SUPT. BENNETT: My understanding is I was
submitting them all, but you can approve or disapprove any or all.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Right. That's what the attorney said we can do, in whole or in part. So we're looking now for a motion from the Board.

MS. ZOOK: I move that we take them in part.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. That's a recommendation. I guess we're looking for a motion then. Or do we have to have a motion for that?

COMMISSIONER KEY: I think I could answer it, but I'd rather one of the attorneys answer it.

MS. FRENO: If you're going to take them in part -- so if you're talking about granting some and denying some -- is that what you mean by "in part?"

MS. ZOOK: I don't know what the result of the vote would be, but I just thought it would be a good idea because I'm for some of them and not for.

MS. FRENO: Yeah.
MS. ZOOK: So that's my motion.
MS. FRENO: I think that it would be a good idea for the Board to vote individually. Now there are four categories of the waivers that are being requested, so the Board could vote on each category individually.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So that's what I'm asking,
not for an "in part" or whatever. But if you have a motion -- if you would make a motion for the one or ones that --

MS. ZOOK: I see. Okay. Yes, uh-huh. I move that we grant the waiver for one, two and four, and deny the waiver for three.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you want to tell us what -- bring it back up on the screen again so we can review?

MS. ZOOK: The ALE rules is the first one; the taskforce is the second one; and the daily planning period in the smaller bit of time is the fourth one. I would move that we grant all of those. But I would move that we deny the request for not having dutyfree lunch.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Why don't we do this; if you don't mind, if this works good, why don't we do the three that you're proposing be approved and operate on the third one or fourth one later?

MS. ZOOK: That's fine with me.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Okay.
MS. ZOOK: I'm just --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Would that be easier for everybody?

MS. ZOOK: I'm plowing new ground.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. And so am I, if I go back and I, you know, go back on something I said we weren't going to do, and do it. Or would it be better to go back to the original suggestion, do them one-by-one?

MS. SAVIERS: I think one-by-one.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: One-by-one. Okay. Let's start. So, you start.

MS. ZOOK: I move that we approve the ALE rules waiver.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So it's been moved by Ms. Zook that we approve the waiver for the Armorel district, specific to alternative learning environment. You've heard the motion. Is there a second?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second. Okay. There's the second by Mr. Williamson. All -- any further discussion? Okay. All in favor -- could we have a roll-call? What do you think?

COMMISSIONER KEY: I think this sheet is going to be indecipherable, but that's okay. Okay. Ms. Reith.

MS. REITH: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.

DR. BARTH: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Three yea's, five nays.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Then the motion
fails. What is the pleasure of the Board as it relates to closing the achievement gap taskforce?

DR. BARTH: I'll move to deny the waiver request on closing the achievement gap taskforce.

MS. REITH: I second that.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So it's been moved by Dr. Barth and seconded by Ms. Reith to deny the waiver of -- the second waiver request, closing the achievement gap taskforce. You've heard the motion. Are there any questions? Again, Mr. Chair, could we
have a roll-call?
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Six yea's, no nays.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So the motion passes
to deny the waiver for the closing the achievement gap taskforce. Then, we're now at the third request and that is a waiver of duty-free lunch. Can we -do we have a motion?

MS. REITH: I move to deny the waiver for a duty-free lunch period.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. The motion is by Ms. Reith to deny the request for duty-free lunch. Is there a second?

MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. A roll-call also on the denial -- request for denial for duty-free lunch.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS . DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Seven yea's, one nay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. The motion passes
for the denial of the duty-free lunch. The fourth and final waiver request is for planning time. Do we have a motion?

MS. DEAN: I move that we deny the waiver for planning time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved for denial of the waiver request for planning time by Ms. Dean. Is there a second?

MS. SAVIERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Saviers.
Okay. Any discussion? Okay. Could we have a rollcall.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
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MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Six yea's, two nays. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. That motion also fails. Thank you for your time and your interest and your passion.

SUPT. BENNETT: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: The motion passes to deny. MS. ZOOK: It's like two negatives.
(END OF VOLUME 1)
(TRANSCRIPT CONTINUES IN VOLUME 2)

A-22: DISTRICT REQUEST FOR WAIVERS GRANTED TO OPEN-ENROLLMENT CHARTER: BATESVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. We're now at A-22, District Request for Waivers Granted to OpenEnrollment Charter: Batesville School District.

MS. PERRY: Yes. Again, this is Batesville School District coming before you with a list of waivers. And I would like to tell you that they have withdrawn the fourth request on the form. So they had three -- they had four groupings and they've withdrawn the last of those groupings. Mr. Harvey Howard, deputy superintendent, is here to begin the presentation.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And that name again?
MS. PERRY: Mr. Harvey Howard, deputy superintendent.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Harvey Howard, come forward, please. Would you raise your hand to be sworn, please?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, ma'am. I do.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you.

MR. HOWARD: Let me first see if I can operate this. Good afternoon. My name is Harvey Howard and I'm the deputy superintendent of the Batesville School District. I'd like to introduce our superintendent, Mr. Gary Anderson, here; our district curriculum director, Ms. Jennifer Douglas; and our secondary curriculum coordinator, Ms. Lisa Huff. They're all here with me and I'm excited they're here because I'm nervous. But it is an honor for us to come before you today and request waivers for the standards of accreditation for Act 1240. To us, Act 1240 is the most relevant and groundbreaking legislation passed in the current environment of public education as it provides charter-like opportunities for traditional public schools, like Batesville.

Batesville is a school district of 3,014 students, Title 1 school-wide. We're a Title 3 district. We have over 400 ESL students in our district, so we have a lot of diversity in our district that leads to all the programs that we try to provide. We believe as a district that emotion is the engine of intellect, so we try really hard to provide that to our students, starting in kindergarten. Batesville has four elementary magnet
schools that provide choices to our families that best suits their children. Our magnet schools provide a wide variety of learning of experiences, and have tried for years to extend these programs into the middle school, with a vision of carrying them through grades 10-12.

Act 1240 offers the flexibility that at one time we could only dream of and say, "What if we could do this." Our goal is to create learning opportunities with career paths into areas that are relevant to our students, offering classes taught by highly qualified professionals in our community, and to create internships and/or training with companies such as Entergy, White River Medical, FutureFuels, Bad Boy, and also reaching out to nationally certified auto and diesel mechanics in our area. We also intend to continue to develop the strong collaboration we have with UACCB and Lyon College. Our slides that we have is a response to the questions that were posed in the email that we received.

The first slide that we have is the -- you saw it there; it disappeared -- it's the essence of what we believe, that all students we want to be career and college ready.

This next slide is about time and relationships.

We believe that we have to spend time with students and families to develop relationships for our children to be successful. We believe that these waivers will benefit our students by increased partnerships in the community. We have a plan to improve our RTI program and develop a really strong RTI program in our high school to reach out and help improve our high school graduation rate. We are creating a period of time during the middle of the day -- our high school and junior high school operate on a block day. So we are creating a period of time during the middle of the day where our teachers can have relationships with students, contact parents, do tutoring, and anything else that the student needs in developing a good relationship with those teachers. We intend to have 12 to 14 kids assigned to each teacher, that they can work with them and help them, not only to tutor but to mentor them in the path that they may need to go in their career as they go through high school into post-secondary or into a job field.

So those are the things that it's all about. The thing about teacher collaboration with PLC -- our district is really involved with the professional learning communities and PLC's. And we want to
provide time. Time is a big deal in school, public school. How can we provide time for our teachers? And that's one of the waivers that we ask for, is time. And we're hoping that during -- that we will be granted that waiver, but the problem is creating time. So in a 90-minute block of time with a kid we can steal a few minutes out of that 90-minute block of time to provide teachers a time to collaborate, not only to work with each other and do professional studies, but -- and talk about how they can help their students, but also have the same block of time in another day to work with our kids. So that's what that's about, time and relationships.

These are the goals that we have for Batesville High School graduates and we believe that these waivers will help enhance us going that direction: effective communication skills, task completion skills, performance skills, and effective team members. Being an effective team member is probably one of the most important skills our students can learn.

The first waiver -- again, this is about time, planned instructional day. We expect this to allow us to expand our partnerships beyond traditional educational institutions, into businesses and
industry partners. We hope to offer more career and technical pathways to meet individual student needs. This may lead to students traveling off-campus to receive instruction or participating in internships with local businesses. A lot of times they have a program they want to go into, like cosmetology at UACCB. All those classes have to be performed there because that's where the equipment are -- is. So it's a concurrent credit thing, and so they would be off-campus for that block of time. The curriculum offerings will meet all curriculum requirements, of course, but we may be able to provide these in the less than 30 hours required per week for the students, so that's what this waiver is about.

I didn't click again. I apologize.
Class size waiver -- this is scary. We've assured our teachers we're not going to load them up with 180 kids or more than 30 kids in a classroom. But what we have encountered is we create classes for kids and as you manage a school -- you know -managing a school is like being on welfare almost because there's no increase in money and you've got kids coming in and you have to manage the money and your students and your staff to provide adequate education. So, we may have 150 kids in an Algebra II
class and then we get a family move in with four and they're going into Algebra II, so we overload that class. And last year we had to reorganize a whole entire class at our high school because we had a family move in with two kids and we had 152 here. So it's just a minor thing to do for us in helping manage your building, is what it's about. It's not about overloading anybody. It's also about anticipating as we add these new classes things are going to shuffle around. It's going to require us probably to add somebody, but that's okay; that's what schools do to serve the needs of students.

And the third and final is our educational licensure -- education -- educator licensure. We work with local institutions of higher learning, including UACCB and Lyon College, as well as professionals in the community who possess outstanding credentials to teach dually offered courses. As we were looking into this, as a side, welding has always been an important part of what we do or try to offer. But as you talk to engineers around the state, welding in our community colleges is really not up to par to provide the welding that's needed at Bad Boy or some other facilities or FutureFuels; they have to have special training. And
we'd like to -- and I know Entergy has a really good program for that. We want to partner with them and have a -- provide high-quality welding skills to kids that want to go that direction. So that's one of them. Individuals hired as a result of this waiver will meet all requirements, such as criminal background check and child maltreatment registries, meeting requirements for all other highly-qualified teacher status, other than licensure, if they teach academic core subjects. Just as another example, we've had a really hard time finding somebody for drama. We recently had a teacher leave for drama to go to another district. We have a lot of people in Batesville that have theater degrees that could fill into that, but they didn't have a teacher's license. So that's just an example of how you can use that waiver to help you through this -- through a problem. The Batesville School District seeks to develop relationships with businesses and industry partners that will provide real work experiences for our students. The requested waivers will have little or no physical impact on our school district. We've been real aggressive in looking at all the things that are available to us as a school district, and one of those things was the computer science grants
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that we were offered, and we applied for and received those. And we're excited about that and providing some pathways for our students in computer science in a program called Project Lead the Way. So we're really excited about that. But these waivers that we're asking you for we do not expect to have any physical impact on our school district.

Fiscal and academic effects and compliance -I'm not going to read all these to you. The third bullet for these waivers: if granted, we intend to fully engage our community to help us prepare our students to be ready to enter the workforce. And this is not up there, but I made this note: we fully believe these waivers will help us to close the achievement gap by engaging parents, community, teacher and students to the task of helping us and our students toward lifelong success as an adult.

Monitoring effectiveness of the waivers -- we're going to use the interim test that's going to be on the ACT, ACT Aspire and Common Core formative assessment data that we have in literacy and math. We're going to review the RTI data in all buildings and collect data on course completion and new course code -- new courses added.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You have approximately
seven minutes left.
MR. HOWARD: You know, at home I did this in 10 minutes. This should be the last slide. Yes. Communication with stakeholders -- the Board of Directors, this is actually their idea. They wanted us to be more aggressive in the community and start providing more opportunities for students, so they are one-hundred percent behind this. Our staff is aware of this. We've had staff meetings all summer talking about the curriculum waivers that we're going to propose. And there has been a huge article in the Batesville Guard, which is our daily newspaper, on the front page about all the things that we're going to do or asking y'all for. Our parents have been involved through collaborative meetings with parent involvement through our Title 1 meetings. We even started talking about this this past spring. So the community and the parents and the board are all aware of what we're doing.

So that is the end of my presentation and I'd be happy to take questions.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Questions? Ms. Dean. We'll just start on that end and go around.

MS. DEAN: I have a question on the second one, section 10-2, class size and teaching load. So you
want to move that from 150 to 180?
MR. HOWARD: No. That's the request; we have no intention of doing that. That's the standard that we -- it's in the standards that no more than 150.

MS. DEAN: Okay.
MR. HOWARD: And I'm just -- and I tried to explain to you the why of that.

MS. DEAN: My question is, it says 7th through 12th, so -- per day. Is that per grade? Is that 7th grade --

MR. HOWARD: A teacher --
MS. DEAN: -- is your 150 or 7th through -explain that to me.

MR. HOWARD: A teacher can have a class load or student load of 150 kids. If they teach math all day long, they can have 150 kids.

MS. DEAN: Okay.
MR. HOWARD: So that's the standard.
MS. DEAN: Okay. And just a comment on the third one, $I$ like the idea of bringing people from the community in to help with the deficiencies there at the school, even though they may not be licensed. It sounds like something that's good to me. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A couple of questions.

First, on your last slide you didn't talk real specifically about teachers and their buy-in with this, especially related to not only the class size issue but then also, you know, non-certified personnel being involved in instruction. Could you speak to that a bit?

MR. HOWARD: Yes. There's always a concern when you start talking about changing the way you do things, and "I'm going to lose my job because you're going to hire somebody that can do it and they don't have to have a license." So, but that's -- it's weird, but we never even thought that as a question, but teachers think of that all the time, "you're going to do something to harm me." And we assured them that we're not and that's not the intent and never will be the intent of any harm to any teacher by bringing in somebody like this. It's to help kids. And there's a lot of highly qualified people in our community that come in -- that can come in and offer learning to students. And so, does that answer your question?

DR. BARTH: Sort of. You know, and I don't question the real sense that folks who are not certified have great value to bring to the teaching and learning exercise. But $I$ think we all know that
there are things about the training of teachers that really works well, is very different than simply having work experience or other experiences, and that the absence of that is worrisome to me in some cases. And I didn't thoroughly get the sense that there was buy-in by the teachers; I got the sense there was an attempt to kind of explain to teachers who had concerns. But I don't disagree fully with the intent here. I do have some concerns about whether there's been full buy-in and whether it's always wise to go this route.

My bigger question is: I'm curious why y'all did not come a conversion charter route on this. Because the proposal here sounds not dissimilar from a lot of the other conversion charters that we have enthusiastically approved in recent years, and so that's why I'm curious. I think I would feel more comfortable about a conversion charter route because it is not a permanent but instead, has regular reviews. So I'd appreciate your thoughts on that.

MR. HOWARD: Okay. This is going to sound like I'm bragging, and maybe I am. So Batesville School District is a very progressive school district in north central Arkansas. Our magnet school program for the last 12 years has won awards in -- with Lego
robotics in the state; our EAST lab has won awards around the state. I've had Arkansas Highway Department engineers come into the building that I was principal of at one time and pointedly asked me, "Is this a private school?" "No, ma'am, it's a public school." And our schools -- two of our schools that are math/science, they have science labs, hands-on labs that students go into. What I'm trying to explain to you is that we are way beyond somebody asking for a conversion charter to do something different. I think we're way past that. What we're asking for with these waivers is to help us reach out to a group of children in our community that we're unable to service because we can't get the quality that they need to get that welder's certificate or to learn how to do something, like auto mechanic or body work or something like that, that's not really offered in our area anywhere. To be a diesel mechanic you might have to go out of state or way down in south Arkansas to get that license. So we're working to provide kids an opportunity to learn, understanding that -- again, I'm going to go back to my opening, that emotion leads -- is a -- leads to learning. And if you can engage students in something that they really love
doing, they will pick up on the math; they will pick up on, "Hey, I really want to learn how to read about that," and they will start reading and be engaged in that which will bring them to another level. And I've seen that happen with students for 30 years, so that's the reason we're asking for these; not that we want to become a conversion charter and do something different, because we're doing a lot of things different already. So --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Let's back-up a little bit to Ms. Newton, the Teacher of the Year, and use her expertise. And any time if I omit, if you want to ask a question, please let me know. But I think it's important that you weigh-in on this. MS. OUIDA NEWTON: $I$ just do have kind of a question on the class size. You said you're on the block schedule. Is that right?

MR. HOWARD: Yes.
MS. OUIDA NEWTON: How many class periods a day do you have?

MR. HOWARD: Four.
MS. OUIDA NEWTON: Okay. So why would you need to go over the 150 , I guess is my question?

MR. HOWARD: Okay. Here's our reasoning. We break up in the middle of the day for our block of
time that we're going to do the RTI and PLC interventions. We're going to assign students to kids -- to teachers to be their mentor and to help them. And they're going to be distributed out through the building, but they're going to be assigned to those teachers to work with. And that was our original logic for this, so that when you get assigned another 15 kids to you that it doesn't have an impact on you.

MS. OUIDA NEWTON: So they teach four, and so I'd say 30. And then you add another 15?

MR. HOWARD: Well, 10 to 12. Yeah. And it may not be; it may be a code situation where we could code them as a study hall or something, you know. That's an issue too. I don't know about that. It's -- I understand your concern and --

MS. OUIDA NEWTON: I just hate to see a teacher go back to back to back to back, all day long, full classes, you know --

MR. HOWARD: That doesn't happen.
MS. OUIDA NEWTON: Well --
MR. HOWARD: That doesn't happen in Batesville. Our schedule is they're going to teach three block periods a day. They get a 90-minute block of time that's their time to plan, to collaborate with other
people, PLC time. But we don't have that RTI student engagement, relationship building time built in until we build in this other block during the middle of the day, which comes up to our time waiver that we're asking for.

MS. OUIDA NEWTON: I just feel -- you know -you always want to do what's best for students. And if you have a teacher that is loaded with large class sizes every period of the day, then that's not good for students.

MS. HUFF: I haven't been sworn in, but can $I$ speak to that question?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: If you'd like to come forward to be sworn in. Would you raise your right hand? Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MS. HUFF: I do.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Please give your name and your title.

MS. HUFF: I'm Lisa Huff; I'm the secondary curriculum coordinator. This is my first year serving in this position. Last year, I was an academic coach at the 10-12 campus. And I think I can clarify your question about the block. We're on
an $A / B$ block, so that means teachers have three 90minute classes a day. The next day they have three different classes. So a teacher teaches a total of six classes a day, and we've never -- we've never tried to load up teachers. That's not our intent at all. Our average class size is generally anywhere from I would say 22 to 26 kids in a class, and it's not our intent to change that. But in our math, he alluded earlier to sometimes we have families move in and our math teachers particularly might have a total of 150 students, which is within the law of what it says. There's six classes; they have a total of 150 . But if we pick up one extra kid, then suddenly they have 151 students. And last year, we literally had to interrupt those kids' schooling for the first two weeks of school to completely re-do the schedule to balance those numbers out. So that's one of the intents; that gives us some flexibility in that area. If a teacher goes over by one or two students, you don't have to totally interrupt the school day to try to balance out to get 150. And then he already alluded to explain the additional 12 to 14 students that a teacher would be mentoring or doing interventions or enrichment with, which is not actually a course-course but for RTI.

MS. OUIDA NEWTON: I've been there.
MS. HUFF: Does that answer your question?
MS. OUIDA NEWTON: Well, I'm just saying I've been there on the rearrangement of the schedules in the first week or two of school and it's worth it for a whole year, you know, to have the smaller classes. Because, I mean, just one or two kids, when you get a full class and you have a full load like that it's hard; it's hard to reach every student. And sometimes those students that are lower achievers they need that extra attention from the teachers and when we get that big class it's hard to do it. It's hard to do it.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thanks. Ms. Zook. Dr. Barth, did you --

DR. BARTH: No.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Okay. On the -- I'm from Melbourne, so --

MR. HOWARD: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ZOOK: -- I speak Batesville.
MR. HOWARD: Okay.
MS. ZOOK: The teachers that come in who are not licensed --

MR. HOWARD: Yes.

MS. ZOOK: -- how do -- and I don't even know how the charters handle this. How is it handled as far as the ethics and the things that are required for a licensed educator that would not be required, not just the education and the license but -- do you anticipate any frustration among staff, "Well, you don't have to live up to this and I do have to live up to this," and all the test stuff and all that?

MR. HOWARD: Well, no, because I'm really not going to -- these would be very special people that we might ask to work with our students. They would have to go through the child maltreatment registry and do all the things that's required by the state for a teacher, except for the licensure piece. They would have to be degreed people or highly credentialed in the field that they work in, so --

MS. ZOOK: And you will have some sort of evaluation system for them?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, ma'am. We plan to. This is so new that, you know, all these questions are things that we've thought of.

MS. ZOOK: Right.
MR. HOWARD: And we're not going to bring anybody into our district that's going to -- that we feel is going to intentionally harm -- may
accidentally cause harm to a student.
MS. ZOOK: No.
MR. HOWARD: You know, and that's not the intent.

MS. ZOOK: I was just thinking of a teacher who has to be held to one standard down the hall from a teacher who might be held to a different standard and how -- not that that's bad; I just wondered how you plan to handle it.

MR. HOWARD: Well, again, it would be a special situation if we have that. Some of the times it might be kind of like an adjunct professor deal with somebody from UACCB that has a degree in English or from Lyon College that is not licensed as an Arkansas teacher.

MS. ZOOK: Right.
MR. HOWARD: So they could come in and teach a class for us. So it's just a situation-by-situation of how we would need one or use one, and the course offerings that we may have to help our kids in the future.

MS. ZOOK: No, I do understand the reason. Ms. Reinhart, did you have something you wanted to add?

MS. REINHART: Yes. Cheryl Reinhart for the Department of Education and I'm director of the PLSB.

I would like to clarify that these people who are not licensed would be subject to -- would not be subject to the Code of Ethics. And, respectfully, the school districts around the state never hire somebody they think is going to harm a student -- and yet, we frequently do see teachers who are trained, licensed, experienced educators who do fall into ethical violations, despite all the attempts at training, et cetera. And so that is one cautionary statement that I would have in this regard on this.

MS. ZOOK: How is that handled in the charters that have this waiver?

MS. REINHART: The charter schools are -- their licensed educators are not subject -- I don't think their licensed educators are subject to this either, subject to the Code of Ethics; just the licensed teachers.

MS. ZOOK: So this would not be --
MS. REINHART: We would not know -- we would not have any statistics on that and we would not know because we don't receive complaints on non-licensed personnel.

MS. ZOOK: They can just get rid of them?
MS. REINHART: Right. They can handle it however they want to.
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MS. ZOOK: Okay. Thanks. That's all.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Reith. COMMISSIONER KEY: Madam Chair, may I -CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh, I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER KEY: Just to remind the committee, the reason that the presenters are sworn in is because as they're responding to your questions and making whatever commitments that that's going into the record. So what's on paper is -- what they're saying is additive to what's on paper, so just keep that in mind. As you hear them say "yes, we're going to do this" or "no, that's not what we mean; we're not going to do this," that is part of the official record of the State Board proceeding. Thank you, Madam Chair.

MS. REITH: Thank you, Commissioner. Although that doesn't really assuage my concerns about when there are changes of leadership and otherwise -right? -- in these blanket waivers that again are permanent, still causes my concern, and especially with that third one. Again, $I$ don't want to delve too much into it, in that $I$ know we've spent some time on it right now about non-licensed professionals. But I think there's so many great models in Arkansas that show that even without these
waivers you can include these professionals in the classroom, whether it's the Schools of Innovation that we're seeing that are giving those opportunities -- and that might be another avenue beyond the conversion charter schools -- right? -- to do that. I know the CTE classes in Fayetteville and Springdale and northwest Arkansas all draw on these professionals to come in and such that aren't licensed in this. But also even within our licensure, making it easier now for these nonteaching professionals -- right? -- non-traditional students that come in now and get licenses, I think there's just some flexibility out there. And one thing that would be helpful for me in terms of my understanding, just moving forward, every year I know we get a list of these individual waivers -- right? -- for either, you know, ESL or some other, you know, categories that maybe certain districts didn't achieve. That still -- right? -- happens on an annual basis, that there's waivers requested by districts because maybe they didn't have specific individuals and that's handled on an annual basis. Is that correct? Could somebody from the Department clarify that for me? I know we get that listing every year of all the different waivers,
individualized waivers that the districts are asking for in regards to licensures.

MS. ZOOK: You mean like with substitutes and assigned subs?

MS. REITH: Yeah. Or requesting just in teaching or different things. I feel like every year -- right? -- we get a list of just different specialized areas requesting that, and it's in part of the consent agenda usually.

MS. PFEFFER: Yeah. Ivy Pfeffer, Assistant Commissioner for Licensure. And each month as the waiver requests come through and are processed in our office then you all will review the waivers and approve those, whether it's a waiver for an alternate licensure plan for a licensed educator or a waiver for a district to utilize a long-term substitute. So, yes, those come through and you have record of that on a monthly basis.

MS. REITH: So some of those are -- I mean, there's individualized opportunities that maybe don't require these kind of blanket policy?

MS. PFEFFER: Yes.
MS. REITH: I guess that's what I'm trying to get at.

MS. PFEFFER: Yes. And what I would also like
to say -- and we want to continue to do a good job of providing this information. There are opportunities for career professionals to teach in public school through the PPTL, Provisional Professional Teaching License; that is without them having to go back to school. They can receive a provisional license, if a district wishes to employ them. And after three -and they get mentored. And after three years those superintendents can actually recommend them for a full license. So that would be those career professionals who show the content knowledge in that area, which is what they're describing. Another avenue would also be a technical permit through the Department of Career Education and those serve in the same manner that a standard license would. So those would be two ways that the district could fulfill this without having the waiver from licensure.

MS. REITH: Thank you, Ms. Pfeffer. That's exactly what I was looking for.

MS. PFEFFER: Yeah.
MS. REITH: Thank you.
MS. PFEFFER: And on another note, just in terms of what they're requesting a waiver from, if the public school is granted a waiver from the licensure rules that goes way beyond just licensing career
professionals in the non-core areas. And so I would also want to make sure that we're only talking noncore areas, but also you all being aware that getting a waiver from licensure rules would be opening up to waivers from professional development, evaluation, background checks; all of that is included in licensure rules. So I'm not really sure -- and maybe our legal people would need to talk about that.

MS. ZOOK: But aren't you talking about a person, like over at Bad Boy, or a person at the White River -- I'm talking to the assistant superintendent now --

MS. PFEFFER: Sorry.
MS. ZOOK: -- coming in and -- like for a short block, a month, month-and-a-half, where they teach a particular skill to students? I didn't get the impression you were asking about hiring someone fulltime to be on staff that teaches three periods a day. Which is correct?

MR. HOWARD: Well, you're exactly right. We're not looking to hire somebody to come on full-time staff; we're looking for that skill-set that could come in and work for a nine-week period with students, show them skills, actually, and use equipment that only the manufacturing companies in
our area have; that, you know, the community college doesn't have it, our CTE program doesn't have it. We have a building full of welders that kids can learn basic stuff, but it doesn't give them any skill-set to go out and get a job in the community. So -- and the same at UACCB. It's about equipment and money to buy the equipment and the money to buy the equipment in the industry that's in that area. So, yes, ma'am, that's exactly right.

MS. ZOOK: So you're talking like you take a group of kids to the site for a period of time or you bring that person to your site for a short period of time, but not somebody you're going to hire in July that'll be there till June and teach three periods a day for 190 days?

MR. HOWARD: That's exactly right. No. Welding has a lot of knowledge in it that's just not "let's put two pieces of metal together." It's a lot of chemistry in it, a lot of math; it's quite a skill. And so, anyway, what you're saying is true.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Well, I applaud the idea. I think it sounds amazing and, you know, awesome for the students that attend Batesville schools. I think my concern is this -- I'm kind of feeling, I think, the
way Dr. Barth is. This sounds like a School of Innovation or a School Within a School. And what happens is if we approve a waiver that will give you the opportunity to hire teachers who aren't certified then what would stop someone in the future from using that to hire an AP English teacher or -- you see what I'm saying? I mean, this is what is giving me pause about this, so --

MR. HOWARD: And I can only speak to the intent. The intent is not to do that, and we do --

MS. SAVIERS: I understand.
MR. HOWARD: And this is on voice record; we do not intend to do that. And the AP English is one that -- an example you used and that's a very skilled teacher.

MS. SAVIERS: Right.
MR. HOWARD: And it would be really hard to find somebody that wasn't licensed that could come in and teach that class.

MS. SAVIERS: Well --
MR. HOWARD: And it would be wrong to do so, unless we just couldn't find anybody available, and that's a real possibility.

MR. WALTER: Madam Chair, may I respond after the Board questioning time, whenever is appropriate?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: If you're responding directly to Ms. Saviers' point, I think it's appropriate.

MR. WALTERS: Well, I had a direct comment and then one was more general.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I'll trust you.
MR. WALTERS: I'll be very brief. I would like to respond, I guess, to really what Ms. Saviers has said, also a couple other folks, and in particular, if I may, what Commissioner Key said a minute ago. I understand from having gone through the Armorel and just put on the record -- that ADE legal asked me to put on the record -- I'm Tripp Walter, staff attorney with Arkansas Public School Resource Center, and I am here today representing both the Armorel and Batesville School Districts. I realized from discussions that have been had up till now obviously there's a great amount of concern on the part of the Board as to what happens if we allow these things. And I certainly understand that which you've said about the permanence. But I think Commissioner Key made an excellent point, is what now Batesville is presenting to you is I think a coordinated, very well thought out package, if you will, of waivers designed to do one thing. And as I see it, that's to make
their students more competitive and more college and career ready. And I think the comments have been made that these are similar to some conversion charters out there. And I believe Dr. Barth in particular has indicated one thing about that, is that provides a level of security in that there's a written charter, whereas this process does not. I do think Commissioner Key's comments are very good and very strong and very instructive. At the end of the day, whether we're talking about a conversion charter or an open-enrollment public charter school or a school district in this situation, it comes down to some level of trust and integrity. And I think what we have here that, if you will, may substitute, if I can use that term for the charter, is the written record, as Commissioner Key is saying, of these proceedings. Okay. And so you've got superintendent -- Assistant Superintendent Howard's word. And the district provided information which has gone through its administration and its board that it will commit to, shall we say, responsible use of the waivers that you may deem to be granted to it. To further add to the teaching part, and that was brought out very well just now, I talked with Assistant Superintendent Howard; it is their intent that if that waiver would
be granted to use it and you have on the record in a limited fashion as was said. Just as with the charters, there cannot be any waiver of highly qualified; there cannot be any waiver of child maltreatment registry checks; there can't be any waiver from the background checks. It would be used for selective courses and there would be training, specific training provided by the Batesville School District for these non-licensed teachers to try and allay -- well, obviously, first and foremost, to get the best teacher they can for that particular class or group of students. But, secondly, also to allay I think a lot of the practical concerns that have been raised by this board as to the effectiveness and quality of those teachers and impact on the other teaching staff. As to class size and class load, again, very limited, very selective, all with the same kind of purpose you see in some of the conversions for college and career ready and for increased flexibility.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll skip on around. Mr. Black.

MR. BLACK: Just briefly. What parental component do you have --

MR. HOWARD: I'm sorry, sir; I can't hear you.

MR. BLACK: Okay. I think it's on now. What parental component do you have in conjunction with those three things that will insure self-sustaining, ongoing support?

MR. HOWARD: Okay. I don't understand the question.

MR. BLACK: When I say "parental component," I could say community. We all know that at some point community has to be a part of it.

MR. HOWARD: Right.
MR. BLACK: And what role does community have in this?

MR. HOWARD: Okay. I'm thinking we have parents that are going to be involved in this, planning for the future of their kids. In the 7-12 arena we really start that heavy, working toward a high school -- the end of the course system at high school level. The community and the business community, we've talked about the people we would engage in that to help our kids and to make this become a reality for the students in our district. So the parents and the business community and just the general community, in general. I don't know how we would do that, except with our parent involvement committee meetings that we have with our Title 1 program. So we get feedback
from all those areas. I don't know how to answer that any better than what I said, except parent and community involvement to me first starts with relationship with the kid; then relationship to the kid transfers into the parents; the parents -- the kids come home and talk about what a good thing this is, "I really enjoy it;" the parents get engaged in it. And once you get your parents engaged in what's going on in your school, then it's up to us as school administrators, I think, to get the rest of the community on-board with us and explain to them what we're attempting to do. So that would be the plan to make that happen.

MR. BLACK: Thank you.
MR. HOWARD: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: I believe -- is it Mr. Howard?
MR. HOWARD: Yes.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay. Earlier, he mentioned how progressive Batesville School District is. Okay. And this progressive school district is being threatened by this charter, Arkansas Virtual Academy. Okay. Why can't we allow them to be even more progressive to improve the education in Batesville? I just -- I don't understand why we've gotten
progressive enough in Arkansas to allow charters to happen, why can't we go the extra step and help out these school districts that are asking for help?

MS. REITH: May I respond to that? I guess -MR. WILLIAMSON: Sure.

MS. REITH: -- just from my own perspective, it sounded like that was a question maybe posed to us and -- well, to the speakers as well. Just from my personal perspective, it's not about trying to impede progressive education or innovation. But at the same time not wanting to undermine standards and respect for the teacher licensing process, things that might have bigger ramifications. And with different leadership and under different circumstances could gut -- right? -- the quality of education of public education of schools that are already there. It's just that some of these things -- and without having that accountability mechanisms that charters offer, Schools of Innovation or such, just feels too risky -- right? -- especially when you're talking about something like licenses, too broad. If this were to come back to us -- and I hope it does -- and I won't make assumptions; I don't know how everyone is going to vote today. But if this were to fail today, then I would really encourage you to think about an open-
enrollment conversion charter and/or a School of Innovation waiver, something that does have a lot of those same flexibilities and with some focus and such then would give us, and I think a lot of us, an opportunity to support some of the things you're talking about. I would encourage you to have some of the conversations with staff here -- right? -- around different models -- right? -- that might provide some of the things that you're looking for there. But that's my own personal opinion. It's just that these are broader in scope than maybe what is intended, and it's permanent. And especially when you're talking about pulling these because of the Arkansas Virtual Academy; right? There are models that have long charters -- right? -- that they're not these shortterm, and especially recently we've been getting long charters of 10,20 years. I mean, there's so many different things that could happen under those circumstances and we have no way to call back or to address. So that's just, I know, was my own -- it's not for not being enthusiastic or not for -- not acknowledging the quality of your presentation. This has been an extremely exciting presentation and to see this enthusiasm I applaud you. You do have a great school district. And I do actually work with a
lot of ESL students that attend your schools and hear great things about your schools. So I hope it doesn't sound critical in that nature; it's just more thinking of the bigger picture, so -- for myself. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you have a follow-up, Mr. Williamson?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Well, I'd like to hear -DR. BARTH: Well, I was going to respond to that and I guess it's really also a response to Mr . Walter's point earlier. You know, I think, first off, this board has been very comfortable with conversion charters, that -- you know -- we often ask questions and I think they have improved through the process of working with the Panel, but we've generally approved them. And what's present there is the check-in after five years or, in extraordinary cases, 10 years -- is it working; has the promise that was laid out in the original proposal, is it having an affect; is it improving student learning. And I think that's what's really problematic here about the permanence. It's not so much a distrust that they're -- you know -- they're going to push it and, you know, be abusive to teachers or anything like that. But is it really going to pay off in terms of student learning. And I feel so much more
comfortable with the conversion charter process because we ask that question after a few years -- is this actually, you know, living up to the promise that was articulated on the front-end. And with the permanence here, that really important link for me is gone.

MS. CHAMBERS: With going last, most of my issues have been put on the table. But one thing I did want to say, I've been sitting here trying to rack my brain on: how can we say yes? What would it take to get to yes? And I think you've already heard a lot of good perspectives. But the one thing I did want to say has to do with the issue of -- I don't want there to be any question about trust, with the integrity of what you're representing, because I think it's very good and hopefully you've heard repeatedly that's not the issue here; it's how do you institutionally insure good intentions have followed through over a period of time when none of us are here. And that's the thing we're obviously wrestling with and I just wanted to add to what you've already heard.

MR. HOWARD: Well, if I may respond.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Certainly.
MR. HOWARD: Trust and integrity comes from not
an instant happening. Trust and integrity come from a long history of being a district that is full of trust and integrity, and Batesville has that reputation, I believe. And it has nothing to do with anything, except that's what the community is; it's a community that takes care of their kids. Actually, our leadership team did a SWAT, which talks about strengths and weaknesses and threats and stuff like that. You know, and the one thing we came up with that we do best is take care of kids and families. We have a really active homeless population or group that works with our kids and families up there. So, you know, trust is something you look at me and you say, "Well, I don't know if I can trust an old whiteheaded man." But, you know, the thing is that it's not me; it's the Batesville School District. And these waivers we're asking for, I truly meant and we truly meant all we said about this being an innovative groundbreaking law. Because it really will help level the playing field for public schools that are traditional public schools trying to compete with charter schools. You know, it won't be long before we're surrounded by charter schools in Independence County. We're not really wanting to be a charter school because we do a lot of really neat
things, I think, and kids enjoy what we do. But there are some things that we'd like to do that would level the playing field for us as a traditional public school in Arkansas. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers has a comment. MS. SAVIERS: Just out of curiosity, what charter schools do you have in Independence County?

MR. HOWARD: Well, Batesville Southside is an open-enrollment conversion charter school. And I believe Cave City School District has applied for or will apply for -- has an application available.

MS. SAVIERS: For a conversion charter?
MR. HOWARD: For a conversion charter. So, you know, we can throw rocks in both directions and hit their school district. So it's -- but we don't really want to go that direction; we don't feel that we need to. But these waivers will help us, we think, level the playing field. And not only that, but offer opportunities to our children and students and get them excited about learning. And not every kid is going to college; you know, we're trying to figure out a way to help those kids leave school, feel good about themselves, and get a job other than -- when I do a drive-thru at Wal-Mart -- or I meant McDonald's, I say, "Hey, how you doing? It's good to
see you," you know. And that's not what we want and I'm sure that's not what they want.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: I just have -- I have a question. I'd like to thank Ms. Reith for bringing up the technical permit and the PPTL. My question to you is: for you, for the district, what is the -- what are the pros and cons to the waiver for the license, to bring in a licensed -- teachers that aren't licensed as opposed to going that route with the technical permit and the PPTL? What are the pros and cons for you?

MR. HOWARD: The pros of doing it is we don't have to hire anybody, to be quite honest with you, you know, which would have a physical impact on the district as we start hiring people. You know, Workforce Ed. and CTE operate under a different set of rules and standards. So, actually, you have a house that's working under two different standards; you have the ADE Standards of Accreditation and then you have the Workforce Ed. standards that you have to deal with. So, that's another reason why. I'm not trying to avoid them, but we can only do so much.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thank you. It's been rich discussion, but I think at this point we're
as close as we've been to being able to address the waivers through a motion. I'm sorry; does somebody else -- Ms. Perry.

MS. PERRY: Ms. Newton, could I please address the licensure issue?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: (Nodding head up and down.)
MS. PERRY: It appears that this particular document does not go back and address that they are only requesting a licensure waiver for non-core teachers. But I want to point out that federal law is very specific and states that the license is required except -- it's stated this way in federal law -- except in the case of charter schools. So it's a federal requirement that the teachers be licensed in core subject areas. So please let me put that back on the table for this particular application that's in front of you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. REINHART: May I have just a moment?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.
MS. REINHART: Thank you. Cheryl Reinhart again. And I'm just am sort of responding to Ms. Chambers' "how do we get to yes." And I think I would just -- in light of the permanency of these waivers, I would suggest for your consideration that
you be very specific in your waiver as to that you're allowing a waiver for non-core teachers, for example. Waiver -- a broad waiver of the licensure rules also waives professional development. You know, there are a number of things that can be affected by that. Technically, these people will not be subject to Teacher Fair Dismissal. So some of the things that a broad waiver will run into may be more than what you intended. And being that this will be for such a long -- forever, until the law changes or something, then I think if you would be very considerate and deliberate in your -- and specific in your waivers.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. My records indicate that there are three waivers, Mr. Howard, that Batesville district is requesting. Is that correct?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Is it -- you said three, you said four.

MR. HOWARD: Three.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. All right. It's been a long day. The first is planned instructional day; the second is class size/teaching load; and the fourth is education licensure. Is that correct?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Well, given the
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protocol that's been established in terms of dealing with this, $I$ think it might be good if we follow through and address them each individually. So then, now we're considering a motion relative to the Batesville School District's request for a waiver relative to planned instructional day.

MS. ZOOK: I move that we grant the waiver. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It's been moved by Ms. Zook to grant the waiver.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Mr. Williamson.
Let us have a roll-call.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS . CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.

MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Six yea votes, no no's.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So that motion passes.

The next waiver is class size/teaching load. What is the pleasure of the Board?

DR. BARTH: I move to deny the waiver.
MS. SAVIERS: Second.
MS. REITH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. It's been moved by Dr. Barth, second by -- I heard Ms. Saviers say it first. Any discussion? Okay.

MS. ZOOK: Well, you know --
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh.
MS. ZOOK: -- the RTI factor is something that -- that's the Response to Intervention that's newly introduced, even though it wasn't supposed to been used for a long time. But it's being used a lot now and is required. And if a teacher does in fact have -- already have 145 students, let's say, or 140, and then they need her because of her expertise -- maybe she is working with -- I know there's a gap and a problem in the math area with the Hispanic students,
some of the Hispanic students -- and they find that she would be really good to jump in there and work with 15 extra students, or 12 , which puts her over the 150 mark. I think I'd like to modify it to, you know, say 160 or 165 as opposed to 180. But because those students would be assigned to her and she has the expertise, and the RTI -- that intervention typically does not last a whole year, obviously, because you move on to different tiers of it. So I think I'd like for each of you as you vote to consider that piece; that they're trying to come up with a very creative way to intervene at the secondary level with these students who need the intervention and are doing with fidelity the execution of RTI for secondary students.

MR. WILLIAMSON: If that's your motion, I'll second that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No, that's not a motion. That's just discussion, is what that is. So any further discussion? Okay. We'll call for a voice vote on the motion that's on the -- a roll-call vote.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: No.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Remind me what the motion is now. The motion is to deny the waiver.
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MS. REITH: Oh, wait; to deny the waiver or to -- I thought you said to accept the waiver. MS. ZOOK: I didn't make the motion. MS. REITH: Okay. I thought you -- all right. Sorry; I apologize. Thank you. Then I -COMMISSIONER KEY: Okay. Ms. Reith. MS. REITH: Then I say yes. COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth. DR. BARTH: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: MS. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Six yea's, two nays.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then the motion to deny the waiver relevant to class size and teaching load passes.
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We're down to the third and final request for waiver, and that's education licensure. Do we have a motion relative to this request for a waiver?

MS. REITH: I move to deny.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: It's moved by Ms. Reith to deny. Is there a second?

DR. BARTH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Dr. Barth. Rollcall vote, please.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: No.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KEY: So five-to-four.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. That concludes it. Thank you.

We need a 10-minute break, please.
(BREAK: 5:33-5:45 P.M.)
A-23: DISTRICT REQUEST FOR WAIVERS GRANTED TO OPEN-ENROLLMENT CHARTERS: BENTONVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

MS. PERRY: Bentonville School District is before you with their waiver request and we do have Mr. Michael Poore, superintendent, beginning the presentation.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Poore, would you raise your right hand to be sworn please?

SUPT. POORE: Yes. I have one of my executives with us, if we'd like to go ahead and swear her in right now too, if that would be all right.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
(ALL WITNESSES ANSWERED AFFIRMATIVELY) CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Poore. SUPT. POORE: First off, we appreciate the time investment that you're putting into this meeting
today and into this aspect of waivers. We're excited to be in front of you today; we're excited that the Legislature passed this opportunity for us as school districts to get on a level playing field with waiver requests. I've been reading the charters that come into our district or our region and, of course, we've closely examined the waiver requests that have come before the State -- and we're kind of astonished by how many waivers that are a part of a charter school. I think y'all ought to keep in mind the reason why you grant so many waivers, because you're into the belief that these individuals have an opportunity to do better for kids -- and that's what our intent is for this waiver request. We're thinking about our future and we're thinking about students' futures. We know that as a school district we're extremely accountable in a variety of different ways. And one thing that $I$ throw out at you, it's interesting hearing the discussion so far of the issue of approval and review in terms of the renewal for charters or the renewal aspects of what could happen for a waiver. Our intent always -- our own interpretation, which probably tells you as a board that you need to look a little bit at the view -when we looked at the legislation we felt that our
waiver request would last the same span that a charter did. It just made commonsense to us. Now that hasn't necessarily come up during the course of the conversation here, but I will throw out right now kind of an amended version that's not in your paperwork that Bentonville -- and my guess is that the previous districts or the future districts that are going to talk tonight -- would probably be very receptive to a conditional approval, and I think you have that within your realm as a state board. I don't think that the legislation says that you can't do it. So why not look at it in terms of saying, "Now whatever conditions you want." And, you know, we've thrown out numbers, five and ten. Ten for a charter school for looking at their covenants -- yes, they're held to year-to-year standards, just like we are as school districts, but 10 years to look at whether their waivers are working -- I'm comfortable with going three; I'm comfortable with going five; I'm comfortable with going ten, because we're not afraid of having a little bit of scrutiny in terms of the work that we do.

We're one of seven school districts that applied for this. I kind of take pride in that. I hope that our fellow school districts that came forward today
also take pride in the fact that there were seven of us. I gave my opening day speech -- I just want to make sure I'm doing that right -- gave opening day speech and you can see that we have our mission statement and our vision statement. "Excellence with Every Step" is our vision. The bulk of my speech on opening day, on Monday, to our staff was about asking them to not be afraid to take a risk. It's the culture of northwest Arkansas; it should be the culture of our entire state to not be afraid to take a risk for a child. I read an article over the summer out of EdWeek about taking a risk and it said that as educators and how much pride and passion we take about making sure that we reach each child. But if you're coming forward with maybe a risk, maybe something that's innovative, that you're going to do your homework prior to ever coming in. And the new dynamic that you've heard that's a part of all school districts, and definitely a part of the culture of Bentonville School District, it's a professional learning community. So that concept of taking a chance, it's played out within a team and the team talks about it and enhances it and thinks critically about the idea and makes it better. And you know what, some of those ideas aren't going to work, but
that's where you learn and you continue to go forward. I know it's a business model for one of our largest corporations in Arkansas and in this country, but you take calculated risks with good people, and we think that we're going to be doing that tonight.

We have two staff members that are actually here: my executive director of curriculum instruction, Judy Marquess, who is going to help with this presentation; we also have Jonathon Guthrie here today, who is the principal of our newly-formed second high school, Bentonville West. We tried to work on this presentation to match up with the direction that we got from the Department of Education. We really want to thank Mary for the work she did to help get us prepared for today.

Our strategic plan is -- when you look at just kind of academic performance, and looking at goals, they're pretty simple and probably easy to see that they would be replicable in any other place. I think that one thing that $I$ wanted to stress on this is that we continue to be a district that tries to be out in front of things. For four years Bentonville High School has tested every kid on the ACT. We're not ever afraid of accountability. Our scores dropped the first year when $I$ arrived in the district
and we chose to go to test every kid. But guess what's happened since then? There's a trajectory of it going up. Was it a risk? Yeah. Maybe we're going to fall behind Fayetteville; oh, my gosh. But we can come back and say, "Our scores will continue to go up," and they have, and we've tested every single child. We also have worked on problem-based learning, or project-based learning, over the past four years. Ms. Marquess has been really instrumental in putting forth something that we call 21-C. And initially this superintendent as he came in was saying, "We've got to find ways to get technology into the hands of kids." But she took it to a whole different level. It wasn't really about the technology; it was about good instructional practices to teach kids to be collaborative, to be critical thinkers, and to be innovators.

The third thing that we have done: we just recently were approved by you as a State Board for a School of Innovation. So we kind of feel like we take every opportunity that's been presented to us by this state or by the State Board to think how can we improve our delivery for our students. We obviously utilize our community to achieve our goals and we hope that you'll see that a little bit throughout
this presentation.
There are probably four programs that really more than any other are driving our need to come forward with these waiver requests. The first is something called Ignite program. Ignite is actually a career pathway program; we're stealing it from Blue Valley Schools in Kansas City. It is highly innovative. It is allowing business -- excuse me -the college environment and then also the $\mathrm{K}-12$ environment to create curriculum. It's utilizing satellite campuses in the workforce. It is creating internships for kids in real settings, such as WalMart. For the first time Wal-Mart -- anywhere else -- they've never had this where high school kids will be working inside. They'll be swiping and doing real work at Wal-Mart -- not college interns; high school interns. We will begin this year with Ignite program, kick it off with a Technology Solutions class.

Our 21st century skills program -- I kind of already have highlighted, so I will keep moving.

We continue to improve and expand our alternative learning environments. We've enhanced the number of students we serve in our secondary level and this year, for the first time, we're going
to have an elementary alternative learning environment.

Finally, we continue to strengthen and build our computer science program to be along with the initiative that our Governor has and we're excited about what that means in terms of a delivery to not only meet a need for workforce within our region, but also to prepare students for some of their passion. We're finding out that students, when they're given the opportunity to be creative, they can do some pretty wonderful things. Again, on opening day $I$ had a 7th grader from last year who was engaged with Xbox. This young man's problem was that he had CP when he was just a child and he can't play Xbox with one hand. So he is working the Technology Solutions -- a 7th grader, going to be an 8 th grader. That's the type of kids that are coming up through our systems right now. I don't think Bentonville is unique in that. It's something we've got to address, and one of the ways to address it is for us to be creative with our waivers.

Now you might gasp, because we've seen how the process has gone so far; you're going to get five different waiver requests from the Bentonville School District today. And to turn that over, I'm going to
have Ms. Marquess join us right now to lead us through the rest of the presentation.

MS. MARQUESS: Thank you, Mr. Poore. Board, thank you so much for your time and this opportunity to visit with you about doing what's good for kids. That's what we're all about. If you look at the goals for charter schools, it talks about improving student learning, increasing opportunities for students, innovative teaching methods. Hey, that's what we're all about. We know that all kids learn differently and we want to do anything we can with every opportunity to meet the needs of different students.

And I'm going to start -- and, boy, this is kind of tough after being here for the last couple of hours, but I'm going to start with the first waiver that we are requesting, and it's for teacher licensure. I've heard your discussion; I've heard your concerns. Our request was only for non-core classes. And Mr. Poore told you a little bit about the new program that we're doing called Ignite, where the students are out in the community working with professionals. That's who we're talking about, being able to use those professionals in that program. We have -- we are starting with the computer programming
course this year, but we have a long-term plan that will include medical professions, culinary arts, a lot of really great things that have been identified in our community by our community leaders as areas of need and opportunities for kids. So we want to support those; we want those kids to be able to be with professionals in those areas. So that's what we're requesting. We do know that we would have to change our personnel policy to allow that. That exception -- and that's only what we're asking for is that exception. I give you some examples here: computer programmers, licensed healthcare professionals, those positions that go along with the pathways that we have planned. The benefits to our students is that real world relevant instruction from professionals. This will support our 21-C program, our computer science, and the Ignite program.

The next waiver that we are requesting your consideration for is from the Uniform Grading Scale. Last year, a group of teachers approached me about standards-based grading. Y'all are all informed on education; I know that you have read the work of Thomas Guskey, Rick Stiggins, Rick and Becky DuFour, where they talk about grading, the common practice of averaging grades, and how detrimental that can be to
students. It does not really support their learning where a standards-based grading scale does. We currently use standards-based grading in grades $\mathrm{K} \mathbf{- 4}$ in our district. We're looking at it for middle school. We would like to have it in -- be able to do it in 7th and 8th grade. We do realize that in high school for transcripts and college it is essential and we will continue to do that; we would do that for 7th and 8 th graders who are taking a course for high school credit. But to be able to relate grades to parents based on mastery of standards we think it's best for kids and will help their learning. If you are familiar with the research by John Hattie, the number one effective skill is for kids to be able to look at their learning and know where they are and set their goals.

The next request that we have is for 8 th grade students to be able to take concurrent credit. We have parents and students in our district that are very interested in this. We have a diverse community. They come to us expecting this type of thing. It is being used in charter schools, or we wouldn't be here asking for it. And the charter schools that we are asking to have the same consideration are very successful -- very successful.

You know that Haas Hall is been rated the number one school in the state and is highly respected nationwide. Their students get to do this, and we would really like for our students to be able to also.

The next one is for leased academy facilities. Back to our Ignite program, we really like for this -- it's essential that this program be out in the community, and in some cases we're able to do that within the business. But then there are other opportunities that may not be able to do that. We're considering a audio-visual course that may need to find a space somewhere within the community and it might not be exactly the same type of facility as a school. We would make sure that it was all ADA, meets IDEA -- any of those things, we would make sure, all safety, all of that. But it might not be -- look the same maybe, as many square feet. Again, that gives our students the opportunity to be out in the community. This also might help us with our alternative learning program. We currently do not have middle school in junior high program. We added, as Mr. Poore said, elementary this year, and we did not add the junior high middle school program. We didn't have space. We are up maybe close to 700
students this year; space is an issue for us. A little flexibility would go a long way to meet these kids' needs.

And then the last waiver that we're requesting is for clock hour for units of credit. We are not requesting any type of waiver from graduation requirement or anything of that nature. We currently have a waiver that allows us to teach oral comm. within our pre-AP English. That has been a great benefit to our students; it opens up another slot within their schedule where they can take another course. We would really like to be able to do this with some other courses. And, again, I go back to our Ignite program where those students within those professional arenas could take more than one course through the projects that they would be working on. They could meet those standards for more than one course. That would be a great benefit to them in that they would be able to accumulate more courses. A lot of them -- all of our Ignite courses we're working through NWAC to do concurrent credit, so to really help those kids along the way towards an associate's degree or some type of a certification.

We have worked within our community. Mr. Poore has spent hours out in the community talking about
our Ignite program and about the things that we would like to do. We've had such a great response from our community, from our parents with this program. Our board is all on-board with the program and with anything that we can do to help with that program. We have had board members and community members go to Blue Valley, as Mr. Poore mentioned the model that we are using to build our program. Our principals are sharing information with parents as we open this new school year. We're working with our teachers. Most of the things that we have talked about here, like the grading scale, concurrent credit, a lot of those things were initiated by the teachers themselves. So we are really excited with the possibilities. We have a tremendously supportive community. We have great kids that we want to do the very best for that we can. So we thank you so much for your time, for your consideration, for your support to help us help our kids. And I think we're ready for questions.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Let's start with Ms. Chambers on this end. Do you have any questions?

MS. CHAMBERS: In reference to the non-core, are you close enough, specific enough in your thinking and planning that you could be explicit in what you would call out as non-core this next year or whatever
period of time you're instituting?
SUPT. POORE: If you don't mind us tag-teaming, we didn't rehearse obviously very well in terms of which might go on these. But, yeah, I'll give you an example. With the Ignite program one of the things that we're doing is a culinary program. And if it's in with the -- Bentonville is going to have a culinary center and we're going to partner with NWAC and as a part of that, them working with real chefs. One of the culinary centers is going to be about butchering of animals. Okay? A very specialized skill. And so, you know, we're going to use an individual for that whenever we go there. That's still a whole year out, but that would be an example. Another example within the Ignite program is that we're going to do video marketing and we plan on using some of the different companies that are already there to be instructors. They may not be full-time instructors but they would be part-time instructors with those students. We're having those students even come on to their campuses, their work environments to gain instruction. Any other examples?

MS. MARQUESS: Just through all of those Ignite pathways.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Williamson?
MR. WILLIAMSON: Nothing right now.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Black?
MR. BLACK: Nothing right now.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers?
MS. SAVIERS: No.
MS. REITH: Thank you. Thank you so much for that presentation. Actually, my questions are more directed to ADE staff just because you all have brought forth some issues that we haven't heard as of yet from others and just wanting to make sure we're weighing all the considerations. On the uniform grading scale, are there any concerns? Are there any precedents or concerns or any legal issues in regards to what they're proposing? Maybe that's a question for Dr. Jones. Thank you.

DR. JONES: I have no concerns for that waiver. In fact, Warren, the charter school, does a standards-based education and we support that. It's a good thing. And they've addressed at the high school level with credits that they would maintain for NTAA and college credit, the regular grading system. So I would support that.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Is that something that you would be specific about, when they say "these areas,"
or would it be general?
DR. JONES: I think they have been very specific. They have standards-based which is allowed at the elementary levels now. And now that they've done elementary levels, I heard her say that they're moving into 7 th and 8 th grade and that's the area that was prohibited by law, that they had to move to a normal grading system. And so that is -- they're reaching the point at which they need this waiver. SUPT. POORE: Can I jump in to compliment Dr. Jones, please?

MS. REITH: Yes. Yes, please.
SUPT. POORE: When we -- some of this happened well before the legislation. We've been working on standards-based since I arrived and we implemented it, you know, by level. When we got to the -- we had an initial $\mathrm{K}-2$ entry into trying to do this; then we said we're going to do third. And then they said, "Okay." As we were explaining this all to them, the first thing our board said -- and granted, this was like a good hour presentation to try to build their capacity -- they were like, "Why are we waiting to not do this? You have permission right now to take this all the way up to $\mathrm{K}-8$. When you get to 9 th grade, that freaks us out a little bit; come back and
talk to us." But, you know, we had a board two years ago that already were saying, "This so makes sense because of the way that it helps guide students and parents in terms of making sure they understand strengths and weaknesses that they bring as a learner."

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any follow-up on that? DR. BARTH: So, Dr. Jones, the way they're proposing it at the 9-12 level, then there would be a traditional grade and then there would be standardsbased. Is that -- does that even need a waiver?

DR. JONES: Not if they have traditional scoring. They can still do the standards-based, unless there's something exceptional they're doing. DR. BARTH: Right. It's at 7th and 8th -- 7th and 8 th is where the waiver is needed.

MS. MARQUESS: 7th and 8 th is where we're asking for the waiver.

DR. BARTH: Okay.
MS. MARQUESS: Yes, sir.
DR. BARTH: Okay. I just wanted to be clear on that. Okay. Good.

MS. REITH: I also had a question on the concurrent college credit, because I feel like this is already going on; right? That traditional public
schools offer this. I guess I'm just trying to understand why a waiver is needed.

DR. JONES: It's just the grade level, the specific 8 th grade level.

MS. REITH: 8th grade.
DR. JONES: Uh-huh.
MS. REITH: Okay. And then are there any legal concerns in regards to lease academic facilities, just with the specifics, about the disabilities?

MS. DAVIS: No. I don't think that we do have any concerns.

MS. REITH: Okay. Thank you. Those are my questions.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Just one. Good presentation. Just one question. One of the areas that you all are focused on and struggling with is the graduation and proficiency of your students who have a little more needs than others, for whatever reason. And have you talked with the charter schools that have these waivers to see if in fact those waivers have helped them in this area?

MS. MARQUESS: Well, the charter schools in our area are very successful. Haas Hall is just opening in Bentonville, but their reputation, they bring that
with them from the charter school in Fayetteville. And we do have Bentonville students who have been involved in Haas Hall in Fayetteville.

SUPT. POORE: Let me frame that just a little bit different; Judy has to be a little more careful, but I'm not going to be. First off, you have to understand that we have -- one of our charter schools is the Responsive Ed. Classical Charter Academy. It doesn't even have a senior class yet. Okay. So it's still building out. Haas Hall to us in brand-new into our area, but it has been in Fayetteville. But let's not forget that they have a free-and-reduced lunch population of about 5\%. So it's not a very fair comparison.

MS. ZOOK: And 2\% special ed.
SUPT. POORE: I was being nice, but -- so, you know, so we look at that in terms of comparisons for us. It's a little bit challenging on that end. The last one that is a part of our charter school makeup in our Benton County is the performing arts -MS. MARQUESS: Arkansas Arts. SUPT. POORE: Arkansas Arts Academy. Thank you. And so it's such a small population that that's probably a little bit challenging also to match up to. We're looking at the waiver request in terms of
thinking that many of these things are going to really get to that student that's kind of in the middle of the pack or maybe even a struggling learner to identify a passion, be it construction trade, which is another part of our Ignite program, the culinary arts, logistics management, areas for video -- are things that sometimes it's a kid that's disengaged in school but then all of a sudden he gets hooked into this, gets hooked up with a professional, and now all of a sudden we address the problem that -- you listened to me last week, that we have a problem there; that's a weakness for us.

MS. ZOOK: And it's possible that if you're going to go with the -- if it passes and you're going with the standards-based grading that that will highlight the 7 th and 8 th graders in what standards they are lacking in; then you have the RTI to fix that before they get to 9 th grade. So we called it mastery grading and learning when I was in school. So I just -- I mean, I am thrilled that you all are doing that because there are so many points that -we have teachers who want to teach a subject, not a kid. And I applaud you with this and I certainly hope that part of your request is passed. SUPT. POORE: And, Ms. Zook, I hope you saw too,
and other members of the Board, that it's tying together already efforts that we already have at the K-4 level. So it really extends out to, you know, those kids that are already used to it; again, it just ties it all together.

MS. ZOOK: That's all.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: And I see Dr. Jones just sat down, but I was going to ask her; sorry. I'm going to ask another question, but $I$ want to ask about the last waiver on the clock hour. I am -- Ms. Chambers' question about what are the examples for the Ignite program, they're great examples. And I think our concern, as you saw on the last case, is the breadth of the waiver there. And I guess I'm curious why y'all did not do a school within a school conversion charter around the Ignite program, which would've kind of contained the waiver a bit in that area. SUPT. POORE: Well, I'll do -- I'm going to answer your question directly, then if I can take the liberty on one aspect of it. We've looked at it in terms of, you know, as this all evolved and we've been working with the business community and Higher Ed., it has been a challenge with the bureaucracy. And so you have the Bentonville Bureaucracy; you have
the Arkansas Department of Education bureaucracy; you have Higher Ed.; then you have the Career Tech Unit. And so trying to get all these entities to get to the same place on just a course name is crazy. And so we're really trying to take the simplest route to get us to where we want to be with kids as quickly as possible. And we have explored the conversion charter in our community and we just -- we never did -- we never got the traction that we wanted to in terms of a final agreement to say -- or move forward on that. When -- we had already been driving forward on the Ignite program when this waiver thing came forward. Boy, our business community was aware of it, legislators were aware of it, and they said, "This is perfect for this. Are you going to access it?" And we said, "We absolutely will."

I think that the leverage that $I$ wanted to share on clock hours and use that, if I could, is that what we're seeing in the kids in Kansas City, as an example, on a computer programming class, instead of the traditional Java delivery of, "Okay, Students, are you with me? Is everyone here?" And they're writing on the chalkboard and they're kind of step-by-step; these students are working with a business entity and the kids -- we asked them, "How many
computer languages are you learning in a semester?" The answer was five. And we said, "Five? How is that possible?" The young lady picked up her iPad and said, "Google." What's happening on clock hours then is that these students are engaged in the block of instructional time but then they're going so far beyond, and we want to give credit for some of that work that's happening with businesses and their own work that's away from the classroom. So I know I'm taking advantage of your question, Mr. Barth.

DR. BARTH: No, no, no, that's fine. And I've got a lot of sympathy on clock hour and that's why I wanted to ask Dr. Jones what flexibility we might have in existing -- I guess it's Rule 14.03, I believe -- on that issue.

DR. JONES: That is one area in which a waiver is needed, because there's not flexibility beyond that. And it's a reasonable request. We have -- and we recognize this: we have some things that are dated. Seat time does not determine learning. And we have to be open to some change with seat time, especially because of digital learning working in industry and so-forth. And so we would support this. DR. BARTH: Right.

DR. JONES: The secret is everything can be
abused. And if it is designed to be abused, somebody quickly getting in and out of school so that you don't have to teach as long, you can save money. But they've given a good explanation of what they plan to do. And I think they have a well thought-out program with this.

DR. BARTH: And I would encourage on this one -and this goes back to Mr . Williamson's point earlier -- I think in this area, in particular, this is -- we need to think about a more statewide --

MR. WILLIAMSON: Right.
DR. BARTH: -- response here. You know, I have a little trouble doing it this way and I would like us as a board to maybe work with staff in the coming year on this issue in preparation for the next legislative session.

DR. JONES: Good point. We are addressing with Legal some issues with attendance, and those issues -- to try to catch up with the digital learning. And so that's something that we're aware of and we're addressing through rules this year.

DR. BARTH: And, I'm sorry, one last thing on this. I'm wanting to get to yes on this one. And what I didn't love about your answer to Ms. Chambers' question, once you started giving examples -- and I
think we're trying to get some boundaries, rather. And so in terms of how to frame that, is tying it to those instructors, non-core instructors that are part of the Ignite program alone, is that acceptable to the district in terms of achieving your goals?

SUPT. POORE: We would be thrilled to allow you to do that today. And then, if we have to we can come back, you know, with an additional waiver for something beyond that, if that would make things easier.

DR. BARTH: It would. Thank you.
MS. DAVIS: I don't really have a question. It's just I'm excited. Yours is a great presentation. As a mom of four kids, home-schooling, watching my children develop and grow over the years you see different aspects; you see different giftings in each different child. And the fact that you have opportunities for children -- for students that have a vast array of abilities, like going into culinary skills and construction trade, because not everyone is going to be going necessarily to higher education. And I think that's the one thing that I'm most excited about is being able to give those children -give those students an early start, something they don't have to wait until they graduate from high
school to jump into the trade or area that they would like to learn about. So, I'm excited.

SUPT. POORE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Newton, do you want to weigh-in?

MS. OUIDA NEWTON: I would just -- as a 7th and 8th grade teacher, I would love to be able to teach where it was standards-based learning. That would be awesome, and so I really like that. And the teacher could ignite students' passions for learning because I can see so many of those kids dropping out of school and this will hopefully keep that from happening. The only one question I had was on there it talked about the Arkansas history requirement. I either missed that or $I$ didn't understand what the waiver on that one was.

MS. MARQUESS: And that is just when we look at a waiver for licensure. If we are requesting a waiver for some other aspects of that, we wouldn't want one of these teachers or one of these professionals who would be working with the kids through the Ignite program to have to go take Arkansas history. That was just part of the licensure.

DR. BARTH: That's just confusing to me because,

I mean, if these are -- if they're non-licensed, in many cases -- I'm going to have concerns about that differential treatment that -- that one does feel like it could easily get problematically abused. So I'm not --

MS. MARQUESS: The licensure?
DR. BARTH: Yeah, on the Arkansas history, in particular. I mean, the cases you gave earlier, I mean, didn't seem like that would even be an issue on those individuals.

MS. MARQUESS: And honestly -- I'll be honest with you; it's in there because that's the way the charter schools did it. They kind of lumped all of those different aspects about teacher licensure together. So my thought was if that's the way they did it, then that's the way we need to ask for it too. There is no other reason that that's in there, other than that's how the charter schools put it.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any follow-up questions?
DR. BARTH: Well, does Ms. Pfeffer --
MS. PFEFFER: Yes.
DR. BARTH: May we have some -- can you help on this -- or Ms. Davis?

MS. PFEFFER: And maybe Ms. Davis, just to help me clarify. So my question would go to the district:
is the request for the waiver from a teacher teaching 8th grade Arkansas history or anything --

MS. MARQUESS: Okay. No.
SUPT. POORE: No.
MS. PFEFFER: It's from the P.D. requirement; is that what it's from, the requirement that those that are teaching at middle level have to have the training in --

SUPT. POORE: No. And in fact, Mr. Walter helped us in terms of framing this a little tighter to make it for the Ignite teacher, meaning a teacher that is very much tied into a career pathway. That might be construction, it might be culinary, it might be video, marketing.

MS. MARQUESS: Okay. It was our understanding or my understanding -- okay -- that in certain cases --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Would you step up to the mic, please?

MS. MARQUESS: -- that in certain cases teachers coming maybe from out-of-state or somewhere to become licensed in Arkansas would have to take Arkansas history. And if we were asking for a waiver for the teachers, the professionals in the Ignite program to receive other considerations to not be licensed, that
that would also be one of them. And I could be wrong. Is that a requirement at times that they have Arkansas history?

MS. PFEFFER: For licensure.
MS. MARQUESS: For licensure. So, yes, that's just part of the licensure package is why it's in there.

MS. SAVIERS: So they wouldn't be teaching Arkansas history?

MS. MARQUESS: Oh, no. No, ma'am. No.
DR. BARTH: And I totally get that. But I think the way -- I mean, if we didn't go with the framing that's a very narrow subset of professionals. I'm just not seeing that waiver as any -- as relevant at all.

SUPT. POORE: And we got help from Mr. Walter on this. Really, I think, as Ms. Marquess said, she took this as a component from charters that have the waivers because we said, "If it worked for them, it will work for us." But the reality is, framing it the way you have, Mr. Barth, in terms of the types of folks we're going to have this will not have any impact at all. Because the waiver would have -- the actual rule says a person should not be licensed as a social studies teacher or as an elementary school
teacher unless the person has successfully completed at least three hours. So this kind of becomes a moot point.

DR. BARTH: Thank you.
MS. MARQUESS: And you could omit that. If it makes you more comfortable with this, then just -- we can take that out, withdraw that request.

DR. BARTH: That's a professor of Arkansas politics.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Do we have any further questions before we move into a process of considering each request for a waiver individually? Any more questions?

SUPT. POORE: Madam Chair, I would just like to say one more thing before you move forward, and that is that we are willing -- and I want to throw this out again because maybe it will support previous districts and districts that you'll meet with tonight to have a conditional request, conditional waiver granted to us. And you can set up whatever timeframe that you wanted to, and we'd be encouraged by that because we could come back in front of you in three years or five years and we're going to blow your socks off.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Is there any --

DR. BARTH: Could Ms. Davis speak to that?
MS. DAVIS: I don't believe that the law gives us the ability to offer any kind of provisional or conditional approvals. It's to either grant in whole or in part or deny in whole or in part. I mean, of course, they can say --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: In whole or in part, isn't that the critical piece of it?

DR. BARTH: Right.
MS. DAVIS: Pardon me?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: In whole or in part?
MS. DAVIS: Yes. And the way that we've interpreted that is if they ask for five waivers you can piecemeal and -- you know -- like you've been doing, vote for one, not for others. But it's not offering conditional or time limits on them.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Davis, what if their request is for a time certain?

MS. DAVIS: Now that can be done -- I mean, I think that one of the things that we had discussed is that, you know, it shouldn't necessarily be the Board's direction to kind of coerce them into doing it on approval -- or that's the only way they're going to get the waiver. But if they say that "we only want to get it for three years because we want
to come back and knock your socks off and show you how great we're doing," then they are amending that here to say that "we're only going to ask for it for the next three years."

MR. WILLIAMSON: That's what I heard.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay, here we go. We have the first request -- did you want to preface any of your requests for a waiver with a time stipulation or do you -- how do you want to do that?

SUPT. POORE: I'm trying to be sympathetic to how you as a board might want to govern this, and I'm really receptive to three to five. That seems reasonable and logical to me. But, you know, I think you also have to think about your own workflow and it's not just us that you might be thinking about doing this for. So, you know, three and then you've got a whole rash of them coming back and -- or maybe five years.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I don't think that's a consideration at this point.

SUPT. POORE: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: I think it's what you say you want, not what we think we can manage. You know, that comes on the other end. So I think any input we have along those lines is coercive; you know, arm-
twisting kind of thing. But I think that comes from you so it's independent. So if you're not superintendent in three years or five years, someone could say, "Well, that wasn't my desire," but we could say, "That's what was asked for and that's what we approved."

SUPT. POORE: I think -- I guess I would share -- this is totally off-the-cuff and it's not my style because I'm not getting to collaborate with anybody. But I would say five years makes sense to me because of implementation and getting to a place where you could have results from several graduating classes to show how things have progressed. On several of these issues it's going to be tied towards, you know, what are your graduation results, what is your -- how has it worked with the instructors staying on-the-job, satisfaction of parents. That makes sense to me.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So then if this meets your approval, your request for waivers one through five are attached to a five-year timeline sunset. Is that correct?

SUPT. POORE: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any questions by board members relative to that? Then, Commissioner, do you have any concerns?

COMMISSIONER KEY: No. I just see Mr. Anderson back there; he's taking notes.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, that's --
MS. ZOOK: He can come back next month, right?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: So we'll start with number one and that's the request for a waiver for teacher licensure for five years for the Bentonville School District. What is the pleasure of the Board?

DR. BARTH: I would move -- I'm going to need some help with crafting this. I would move that the waiver be granted for non-core professionals tied specifically to the Ignite program.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
DR. BARTH: And, Ms. Pfeffer, is that -- do you see any problems there?

MS. PFEFFER: No.
DR. BARTH: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: For the period specified?
DR. BARTH: For the period specified, the overarching --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Motion by Dr. Barth and second by Mr. Williamson. All in -- roll-call, please.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
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COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: The motion passes by a unanimous vote.

The second request for waiver for the Bentonville School District for a period of five years would be to implement the Uniform Grading Scale. May I have a motion?

MS. SAVIERS: I move to approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Ms. Saviers to approve.

MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. -- I forgot
your name.
MS. CHAMBERS: Chambers.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Chambers. When I looked up I couldn't get it to come to me. Okay. So that's the motion. Any questions? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh, no. Roll-call. I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: It's unanimous.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: That's great. Then, the third request from the Bentonville School District is a waiver for concurrent college credit.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Specific to 8 th grade.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: For 8th grade. It's for a period of five years.

DR. BARTH: I know this is going to pass, but I just want to explain that I'm going to vote against it because I think 8th grade is simply too young for concurrent credit and that's true for charter schools or any school. I think there's something about emotional development in terms of being able to learn that it just reaches a point that there's something about too young. So I will -- I'm going to vote against it but I just wanted to explain that.

MS. ZOOK: Dr. Barth copied off of my notes, so I'll be against it as well; $I$ agree on the maturity level.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Well, we can't get to that. We've got to get a motion first. Does anyone feel strongly enough to do a motion or are we just going to --

MS. SAVIERS: I move to approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Move to approve by Ms. Saviers.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Mr. Williamson. A roll-call.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: No.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then the motion passes.
The next item for consideration is the waiver,
the leased facilities -- leased academic facilities five a five-year period of time. What is the pleasure of the Board?

MS. REITH: I move for approval.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved for approved by Ms.
Reith. Is there a second?
MS. DEAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Dean. Rollcall.

COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Sorry; I skipped Mr. Black. Sorry, Mr. Black.

MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. And the fifth and final request for a waiver is for clock hours for unit of credit for a period of five years, a waiver
for the Bentonville School District. What is the pleasure of the Board?

MS. DEAN: I move to approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Move to approve by Ms.
Dean. Is there a second?
MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Chambers.
Roll-call, please. Any further discussion?
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. It passes
unanimously. So, congratulations
SUPT. POORE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: And we're looking -- I'm encouraged by your -- I think you're brave to not take the extended no-limit and put the five-year stipulation in and be willing and ready to defend it. I think that what it does is suggest to me -- not that it wasn't there with other folks, but it suggests that you guys really are intent on being successful, and we look forward to it. We're always looking for models for Arkansas, but more importantly national models for innovation like this. Ms. Reith.

MS. REITH: And just to say, because -- for the Commissioner's comments -- right? -- of the previous applicants taking notes and such, one of the things that also made a difference for me on this one was the connection to a specific program, so that it wasn't just sort of these broad-stroke waivers but that you all actually had some specific programs toward which you had thought of use of these waivers, so --

MS. CHAMBERS: I'd also just like to make sure the record reflects giving credit to those that went before you. This is a process and they helped us.

MR. WILLIAMSON: (inaudible)

MS. CHAMBERS: Yeah. Yes, thank you very much. But I want to make sure they're thanked as well.

DR. BARTH: Yeah.
MS. CHAMBERS: And, hopefully, they'll be back.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Hopefully.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Next month.
DR. BARTH: I also want to say I think the conversion charter route is the better route on these. I think there's more --

MS. SAVIERS: Or School of Innovation.
DR. BARTH: Or School of Innovation. I think in essence that's what we created here, but I think there's a process and if there are bureaucratic impediments to that then maybe that's worth talking about and thinking about. But I think those processes are better. I think you basically created it, or we created it together, through this compromise. But that's a good route that I'm more comfortable with.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay.
MS. MARQUESS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you.
We're going to break for dinner and we will return at 7:30.
(DINNER BREAK: 6:38-7:30 P.M.)

A-24: DISTRICT REQUEST FOR WAIVERS GRANTED TO OPEN-ENROLLMENT CHARTER: FORREST CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We're down to action item A-24, the District Request for Waivers Granted to Open-Enrollment Charter, Forrest City School District. And the school district has asked that their request for a waiver be postponed till next month, so we'll wait to hear from them.

A-25: DISTRICT REQUEST FOR WAIVERS GRANTED TO OPEN-ENROLLMENT CHARTER: OSCEOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then next we have A-25, District Request for Waivers Granted to OpenEnrollment Charter -- oh --

MS. REITH: That was one of the pulled ones.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh, yeah, that was pulled.
MS. ZOOK: Osceola was pulled?
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yeah, it was.
MS. ZOOK: Are they coming back in September too?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: 25 and 28. I don't know. A-26: DISTRICT REQUEST FOR WAIVERS GRANTED TO OPEN-ENROLLMENT CHARTER: ROGERS SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay, 26.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Gotcher, are you still here? The music is playing up here. Is that --

DR. GOTCHER: It is on the phone speakers.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Oh, okay.
DR. GOTCHER: They're on hold, I think.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Okay.
DR. GOTCHER: We can turn that down.
MS. ZOOK: Well, I must say, Doug certainly looks different than he did earlier in the day.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We're down to -- this group has gotten loose; I don't know what's happened -- A26.

MS. ZOOK: And there was no alcohol at dinner.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Yeah, no wine or anything. District Request for Waivers Granted to OpenEnrollment Charter, Rogers School District.

MS. PERRY: Yes. Mary Perry. And Rogers School District I believe is on the line. They may not be there for just a couple of minutes. They're there? Yes, they're there. And on the phone to speak first is Mr. Robert Moore, Assistant Superintendent for Secondary Instruction. Also available to answer questions are Dr . Janie Darr, Superintendent; Mr. Mark Sparks, Deputy Superintendent; and Dr. Roger Hill, Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: What's the last name?
MS. PERRY: Dr. Roger Hill --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Hill.
MS. PERRY: -- Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. We'll start, Gentlemen, by swearing each of you in. If you'll raise -- we'll trust you to raise your right hands to be sworn. Do you solemnly swear, Mr. Moore, Darr, Sparks and Hill, to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

DR. MOORE: I do. We do.
SUPT. DARR: I do. Mr. Sparks and Dr. Roger Hill had to leave to go to Parents Make a Difference Night, so it's Robert Moore and Janie Darr on the phone with you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Did you say -- spell your last name. Is it Durham or --

MS. REITH: Darr, D-a-r-r.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Darr. Okay. Okay. Who wants to speak first? Mr . Moore, is that you?

DR. MOORE: Good evening.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Good evening.
DR. MOORE: My name is Robert Moore; I'm Assistant Superintendent for Secondary Education at Rogers Public Schools. I'd like to begin by thanking the State Board of Education for the opportunity to
call in from Rogers with our waiver requests. We recognize the importance of the waiver requests, but we also believe it's important to be in our district today to support the professional development of our teachers. It's my hope that we can be very succinct with our requests in order to expedite your meeting. We come before you today requesting a waiver for the next five years from standard 14.03 , the 120 hours of instructional time for course credit and a six-hour average instructional day for use with our virtual courses. This waiver has previously been granted to Arkansas Arts Academy, which is located in our school district. The mission of the Rogers Public Schools is to provide an environment with educational excellence where all belong, all learn, and all succeed. We believe that providing this waiver to our school district will help us meet the needs of our diverse learners per our mission.

In addition, Rogers Public Schools is now in the tenth year of our strategic plan. We believe that the waiving of seat time will help us meet one of our strategic actions and allow our district to become a premier district in the use of technology for student learning and teacher instructional processes. Through the strategic plan -- excuse me --
through the strategic action we plan to develop a virtual program for course selection for our students in grades 9 through 12. This waiver will give our students the flexibility to earn additional credit and create opportunities for coursework in areas of interest. In addition, it is our plan to implement a virtual program during the 16-17 school year. Having this waiver will allow us to pilot potential coursework in the spring semester. The Arkansas Arts Academy currently uses this waiver to allow students who are taking computer-based online courses from the Arkansas Public School Resource Center to do these courses at their own pace. We believe that this waiver will provide opportunities for further curricular exploration for our students. This waiver will allow students to master content virtually at their own pace and provide our students the flexibility of schedules to take additional career preparation courses, participate in internships, or take other off-campus courses. Students participating in virtual courses where seat time is waived will be expected to master and demonstrate content knowledge at the same level of rigor of students who are participating in traditional courses. We believe that by providing this waiver we
can create virtual programs and opportunities for our students to take additional accredited coursework from a variety of approved providers.

Thirdly, our direct is performing at a high level and under no sanctions from the state or academic or fiscal issues. We do not believe that this waiver in conjunction with our plans to develop virtual programs will have a negative impact on our financial situation. However, in the future it is possible that virtual programs in our district could alleviate some need for additional facilities due to students taking courses from home or virtual centers.

We've had limited conversations with our school board and teachers about our desire to create a virtual program and the possibilities for waivers to support the new program. If the waiver is approved by the State Board, we will formalize those conversations and use a variety of platforms to communicate our intentions. We currently do not see any district policies that would conflict with this waiver. If some were to arrive, we would work with our school board to make all the necessary adjustments.

Again, we thank you for consideration of our request.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Moore. Would you again state the waivers that you're requesting?

MS. REITH: Just the 14.03.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Do the board members have any questions of Mr. Moore or Ms. Darr? if there are no questions, the waiver for the -- excuse me -- Rogers School District is relative to the 120 clock hours. So can we get a motion?

MS. SAVIERS: I move to approve.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second?
MS. CHAMBERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: For five years, stipulation for five years. Second -- moved by Ms. Saviers, second by Ms. Chambers. All in favor? Oh, I'm sorry; roll-call vote, please.

MS. REITH: If I could just ask a question of ADE staff, if that's all right. I just want to make sure that this substantially doesn't differ from the one we saw from Bentonville. Correct?

MS. DAVIS: No. I mean, that's correct. No, it does not.

MS. REITH: Correct, that there aren't substantial differences?

MS. DAVIS: Right.
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MS. REITH: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ready, Madam Chair?
CHAIRMAN NEWTON: We're ready.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Reith.
MS. REITH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Dr. Barth.
DR. BARTH: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Black.
MR. BLACK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Saviers.
MS. SAVIERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Zook.
MS. ZOOK: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Chambers.
MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Ms. Dean.
MS. DEAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: Mr. Williamson.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER KEY: It's unanimous, Madam Chair.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Unanimous. Congratulations
to the Rogers School District. We hope that you're successful in moving this initiative forward and it shows positive outcomes for the young people that you serve.

Okay. Moving on to the next agenda -SUPT. DARR: Thank you very much --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You're welcome.
SUPT. DARR: -- to the State Board. We deeply appreciate your approval.

MS. REITH: Thank you, Dr. Darr. And this is Mireya Reith. Just for everyone to know, I do get to work quite closely with Dr. Darr and her team and they're so impressive. And, again, just another school district I love to highlight because they really do serve all children. They have one of the highest English Language Learner populations in the state and they're amongst the highest achieving students in that district. So best of luck, Dr. Darr and Dr. Moore.

SUPT. DARR: Thank you very much.
A-27: CONSIDERATION OF EMBEDDED COURSES
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So we're down to action item A-27, Consideration of Embedded Courses. And that's Mr. Coy. No, it's not.

DR. JONES: Stepping in, Debbie Jones, Learning Services. Act 421 of 2013 allows school districts to apply for embedded courses, two courses in one period. Schools are -- they go through a process, make application through our curriculum instruction
unit; you're familiar with these. They still are required and held accountable for the standards of each course. The two applying today, these are the final of the embedded courses for this upcoming year. Huntsville and Marion are both applying for an embedded course of English 10 with Oral Communications. And as required, the Board must vote and approve these embedded courses.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Are there any questions?

MS. ZOOK: Do you believe embedding Oral Communication with English 10 is as good or better placed than at 11 th or $12 t h$ ?

DR. JONES: What was the last part of that?
MS. ZOOK: Instead of 11 th or 12 th grade?
DR. JONES: That really is a personal decision of the district. I do believe embedding Oral Comm. within an English class is a good thing. And I've taught Oral Comm. before; it's an easy thing to do. Because in their English courses and the Common Core standards and Arkansas standards that they are teaching, it's easy to make presentations and speak; it's just more content-based presentations. So it makes perfect sense.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any further questions?

DR. BARTH: I move approval.
MS. ZOOK: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved for approval by Dr. Barth and second by Ms. Zook. All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Motion carries.

DR. JONES: Thank you.
A-28: SREB FOCUS GROUP
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A-28 has been pulled.
A-29: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - PROPOSED ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING BACKGROUND CHECKS AND LICENSE REVOCATION

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A-29, Consideration for Public Comment - Proposed Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing Background Checks and License Revocation. Mrs. Reinhart.

MS. REINHART: Yes, three rules. The first one is the background checks and licensure revocation of these rules. Most of the changes in these rules have to do with Act 1089 of the legislative session to implement that act.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any questions?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Move to approve.
MS. SAVIERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Saviers had a question. MS. SAVIERS: No.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: No.
MS. SAVIERS: He moved.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Brett Williamson -- things move so fast nowadays -- moved for approval and Ms. Saviers seconds. Any discussion? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. The motion carries.
A-30: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - PROPOSED ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING THE TEACHER EXCELLENCE AND SUPPORT SYSTEM

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. A-30 is Consideration for Public Comment - Proposed Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing the Teacher Excellence and Support System.

MS. REINHART: Yes. And I'll have the same thing to say about these rules. The majority of these substantive changes have to do with implementing Act 1091 of the legislative session.

DR. BARTH: I move to release for public comment.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Dr. Barth has moved that we release for public comment. Is there a second?

MS. REITH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Reith.
MS. ZOOK: Before you take a vote could I ask a question?

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Certainly.
MS. ZOOK: The 6.03, the student growth measure, when will those rules be presented for adoption?

MS. REINHART: I'll let Ms. Pfeffer answer that.
MS. PFEFFER: We currently have a group convened to study student growth measures. Dr. Arola is modeling some data for us on different types of growth models. We're working closely with accountability and learning services because we know we're going to have to measure growth differently for accountability, as well as educator effectiveness. And if we can measure growth in the same way -- we've been measuring growth differently for all of our different things, so that's our ultimate goal. I don't think we have a timeline as far as educator effectiveness per se. You know, ideally, if we could come back in the spring I think that's going to be good for ESEA renewal beyond this year. They want to
know that we're making progress towards that, so we just kind of lined everything up. So, but that will come before you because you will now approve the measures for student growth. And we hope to also have multiple measures in addition to just state assessments.

MS. ZOOK: Yeah. Because I know the special ed. teachers, ALE teachers --

MS. PFEFFER: Yes.
MS. zOOK: -- that's going to be very helpful for those teachers to have a measure of growth, not performance on grade level.

MS. PFEFFER: Exactly. And there are some -some of the models we're looking at now will -- that are taking into account students' factors that are beyond just the teacher in the classroom, so -MS. ZOOK: Thank you. MS. PFEFFER: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any other questions? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay. The motion is carried.

A-31: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - PROPOSED ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING THE LEADER EXCELLENCE
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AND DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Now we're down to A-31, Consideration for Public Comment - Proposed Arkansas Department of Ed. Rules Governing the Leader Excellence and Development System. It's Ms. Reinhart again.

MS. REINHART: These rule changes were also made to -- I mean, we tried to align the LEADS system with the TESS system. And so the implementation here is also related to what was passed in Act 1091 for TESS. So these rules are to be more consistent with TESS.

MS. ZOOK: Do we need to table the parts that make reference to growth or is that okay to leave them in?

MS. REINHART: We have put the same language in here as far as growth, that it won't be a factor until we do develop the student growth measures.

MS. ZOOK: Okay.
MS. REINHART: Same language.
MS. ZOOK: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Is there a motion to approve? Motion?

MS. ZOOK: Move to approve -- or put out for public comment.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Put out for public comment.

MS. ZOOK: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Ms. Zook has made that. Is there a second?

MS. REITH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Ms. Reith. All -- any discussion? All in favor.
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Next -- thank you, Ms. Reinhart.

A-32: CONSIDERATION FOR APPROVAL OF EMERGENCY RULES - PROPOSED ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING THE DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENT SPECIAL NEEDS FUNDING AND THE DETERMINATION OF ALLOWABLE EXPENDITURES OF THOSE FUNDS

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A-32, Mr. Biggs, is Consideration for Approval of Emergency Rules Proposed Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing the Distribution of Student Special Needs Funding and the Determination of Allowable Expenditures of Those Funds.

MR. BIGGS: Thank you. Cory Biggs, staff attorney for the Department of Education. These rules are modifications of the existing rules on NSLA and categorical funds. We have incorporated several changes from the omnibus bill, Act 846 , as well as 994, and actually 1115 as well, to clean up some
language. Some of it touches on ALE's and it just mirrors the statute. The name-change is that we cleaned up some language on Provision 2, which is an alternative form of determining your percentage of free-and-reduced lunch students. And then we'd added provisions for CEP, the community eligibility provision, which is the newest way to alternatively establish the percentage of your free-and-reduced lunch students. And the key point on that is -- if I can find it in my notes -- it's in Section 6, and it is 6.01.6. The reason these are emergency rules is because if there is a school or school districts that implemented CEP during the $14-15$ school year and piloted this program for the state, then the FY 20152016 NSLA categorical funds will need to be dispersed by October 31, 2015. As of yet, they don't have any authority under which to do that, so we're hoping to get these in place in order for those funds to be dispersed on time.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. So is there a motion concerning consideration for approval of emergency rules?

MS. ZOOK: I have a question.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: A question.
MS. ZOOK: Can you tell me in different words
what CEP and Provision 2 are? Can you fluff that out a little so $I$ can better understand it?

MR. BIGGS: Certainly. The main difference for Provision 2 and CEP as compared to taking applications the way that it's always been done in the past is that you can actually lock in your percentage for multiple years, except that you don't have to take applications every year. And for CEP it actually goes another half-step further: you don't actually have to have taken applications and lock in that percentage; you can actually use other available data in order to establish what the free-and-reduced lunch rate for the students within your school district would be.

MS. ZOOK: Okay. Thanks. That helps.
MR. BIGGS: And that's a process that's prescribed by the federal government.

MS. ZOOK: That helps.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any other questions?
DR. BARTH: I do have a question, and you may not be prepared to answer this. You know, when we get a rule like this, which I never looked at this before, you know, until this, but it made me read the ALE stuff more closely. And the criteria that -this is 402.1.1. And the criteria that activate ALE
placement, it was interesting; I'd just never seen this before that we -- that student pregnancy or single parent status becomes a basis for this. This is completely irrelevant to the topic that we're focused on here, but I'd just never seen this before. And it may be something that we maybe want to think about a little bit, if we can. I just don't want -if this is law or rule. But $I$ worry a lot about students who are pregnant being really pushed out of traditional education, and so I'm just curious whether this is statutory or rule. That's really my question, because if it's statutory obviously we can't do anything about it. And you may not know the answer on this because $I$ know this is irrelevant to the topic that you're really focused on with this rule change.

MR. BIGGS: My guess, which Ms. Perry is confirming --

DR. JONES: It's rules; the statute gives us the authority to establish the rules. But it's rules.

MR. BIGGS: Okay.
DR. JONES: It's two or more --
DR. BARTH: Right.
DR. JONES: -- of those characteristics.
DR. BARTH: Okay. I know we've got that -- the
bigger issue related to ALE's that we've -- in terms of how they should be dealt with for academic distress and things like that. And I'm just wondering if we might -- whether it's a work session or some other group, we need to deal with that broader issue, I think, of ALE's and how ALE students are determined. And this just made me think about it because I do think that we often shuttle pregnant girls and single parents out of traditional education when we should be simply providing better services for those students within the traditional setup rather than kind of pushing them out. So it's a side and I somewhat apologize for even brining it up in this setting, but it's just the first time I've actually read this rule and it made me think about this whole issue of how we classify ALE students. So just something of an aside, but I'll just say it because I thought about it.

MS. REITH: And actually if I could piggyback on that, I also actually had a similar concern with classification of English Language Learners as related to funding. Just because anecdotally this is something I've heard quite a bit in various school districts around west Arkansas, the concern of maybe over-classification of English Language Learners.

Because of the peer criteria that if at least one of your parents speaks a language that's not English at home, then that automatically puts you -- it's that home survey -- right? -- and that puts you in the Classification of English Language Learners. But actually a lot of these children are speaking English at home, even with their brothers and their sisters and their parents. Their parents may speak the language but they are only speaking English and -but simply because their parents speak maybe that language to each other that kids are getting qualified as English Language Learners. And there's a perception, I know, amongst many Hispanic students that maybe it's being done because of this funding -right? -- out of the incentive -- the funding versus -- and then the reason that they're concerned about it is because it is so hard to get out of ESL. It's actually harder -- the past exam -- now this will all hopefully change with the new assessment, but with the past assessment was many times considered harder than the benchmark exams at that time and was given after the benchmark exam. So after test exhaustion -- it was the last test that the kids were taking a year, and so many kids finding themselves in additional years in that. And again a lot of them
wondering if it was tied to, you know, the desire of seeing additional funds come to the district. And so my understanding though is that this is federally determined -- is that correct? -- in terms of surveys, or is it state? And I guess I just -- I've always been at somewhat of a loss of how to advise or even address that issue. And, again, it's anecdotally; $I$ don't actually have numbers to prove any of this. I just heard this from a few school districts and, again, concerned that because of the incentives of funding that maybe there is some of that over-classification, especially with some of these younger siblings -- right? -- who really are just speaking English at home, so --

MR. BIGGS: Section 5.03 is what establishes the process for determining ELL students. And I believe -- you know -- there's obviously always a chance that there's an interplay between federal law and state law, and I can't speak to that off the top of my head tonight. But $I$ believe this is going to be a similar setup to the ALE setup where there's a statute that gives the Department authority to promulgate rules and contain a subset of students through any means which we deem to be appropriate. And so I'm thinking that's probably where this list came from, was from
what was available at the time these rules were last revised for that section, which may need to be something that a look is taken at. But then, for the specific purpose of these rules this is a state function. The funding is a state function because those are categorical state funds, in the same way that NSLA funds are or any of the other categorical funds.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any other questions? What is the pleasure of the Board on action item 32? MS. SAVIERS: I move to approve.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by Mr. Saviers to approve.

DR. BARTH: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second by Dr. Barth. Any further questions? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Any opposed? Okay.
A-33: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - PROPOSED ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS PLANNING PROGRAM

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Mr. Biggs, you have the next one, Consideration for Public Comment - Proposed Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing College and Career Readiness Planning Program.

MR. BIGGS: Thank you. These are a big simpler. It's mostly clean-up of -- as you know, we've had several changes to assessment over the last few years. And these are in response to specific acts which took certain outdated assessments out of our code, and that's why we're taking them out of the rules. And you can see that the big changes that it replaces where it would say the EXPLORE plan or PSAT assessments that were given in past years with the language now to include any assessment approved by the State Board of Education. So it's much more broad and hopefully prevents us from having to come back and do this over and over again.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Any questions? Okay. Can we get a motion regarding A-33?

MR. BLACK: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved approval by Mr.
Black. Is there a second?
MS. SAVIERS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: By Ms. Saviers. Any questions? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Then the motion carries.
MR. BIGGS: Thank you.
A-34: CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT: ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF

EDUCATION RULES GOVERNING PROFESSIONAI DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Now Ms. Jennifer Davis is the woman of the hour as she rounds it up.

MS. DAVIS: Okay. Well, I hate to disappoint because these are long. I'm just kidding. Just kidding. I had to make sure everybody was still awake.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: We'll tell the court when everybody leaves -- I probably shouldn't say this while we're being recorded, but the Commissioner is prepared to write excuses for anybody that needs one to get home.

MS. DAVIS: No, actually though once I'm done we do have a gentleman that wanted to speak earlier --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: That's right, we do. We do.

MS. DAVIS: So he would still like to speak. So, okay. These rules are rules governing professional development. There was -- Act 44 modified the number of hours or number of professional development days in the basic contract. Other than that, there was just a few clean-ups and grammatical things and things to bring it in accordance with an act that has now been codified. So we just request approval to open these for public
comment, you know, if you don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Questions? Motion? MS. DEAN: I move to open for public comment. CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. MS. SAVIERS: Second.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Second -- moved by Ms. Dean, second by Ms. Saviers. All right. Any questions? All in favor?
(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Motion carries.
PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD - DAVIS HENDRICKS
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: There is a gentleman that has waited patiently --

MS. DAVIS: Yeah. Davis Hendricks.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Okay. Step to the podium.
MR. HENDRICKS: I'm so sorry. I apologize.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You have three minutes.
MR. HENDRICKS: Well, I appreciate you staying;
I appreciate you allowing me to speak to you briefly. I want to get this on the record for the meeting. At the appropriate time for this would have been probably when there was a waiver request for Gifted and Talented programs, but Forrest City withdrew that today, or at least is going to postpone it. But I felt like this is not really related directly to

Forrest City's request; it's a general thing. Marilyn Larson, who's the outgoing chair of the Governor's Advisory Council for Gifted and Talented, is also -- she had to leave, but she did leave the letters and I think circulated those. She wanted that for you to consider as well. And I sent emails to each of the board members; I don't know if you've had a chance to see them. It was only a couple of days ago, so you might not have had time to look at them. That's why I'm circulating another copy of those, plus a brochure that Arkansans for Gifted and Talented Education -- that's the group I represent -AGATE, we call it -- I'm their legislative advocate. And we spent 18 months developing and doing the research, thanks to Mark Hudson, into our statutory history of Gifted Ed. just so that our -- the folks that come after us will have that information and will know how Gifted Education came into being in Arkansas and how we managed to have the benefit of not only a mandate, but $\mathrm{K}-12$ Gifted programs in the state, but also funding. So I brought -- the last time I talked to you was at the very end of the meeting back in February, and you were very patient then and I appreciate it. But I wanted to just read this letter and get it on the record, and then to
also say that some of the concerns we've had over the last few years of cuts and funding, et cetera, one thing that has been addressed recently by the Department of Ed. -- and we were so appreciative of that -- is filling of one of the positions that was vacated and doing that without really impacting the budget. I thought that was ingenious and I want to really thank the Commissioner and thank Debbie Jones, Dr. Jones, for that assistance. Now we'll have three people to monitor districts in the state going forward after the new one is trained well and that will get us back up to speed as far as our accountability piece and able to monitor districts every three years hopefully in the future as opposed to every six years. So we're real excited about that and we really want to thank the Department of Ed. for taking care of that. We really appreciate it.

So I'll go ahead and just share this letter, if that's all right.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: That's fine.
MR. HENDRICKS: Okay. "On February 12th, I
addressed the State Board of Education on behalf of
Arkansans for Gifted and Talented Education (AGATE)
and expressed concerns about the guidelines for
Districts of Innovation allowing waivers of Gifted
and Talented programs in Arkansas. I provided a letter from Senator Joyce Elliott that shared her intention that Gifted programs not be waived from any public school in Arkansas, since the state mandate that requires K -12 programs for Gifted students has been the law for thirty years. I shared that AGATE interprets that law as a response to the legal and moral imperative that we provide appropriate educational opportunities for all students in our public schools, and that to waive that requirement violates the civil rights of students of high potential.
"At that time, the State Board voted to allow the waivers to remain in the guidelines for approval of Districts of Innovation, leaving us the only option of approaching Senator Elliott and asking her to amend her Districts of Innovation Act. Senator Elliott readily agreed and during the last session, passed, with no opposition, an amendment to require districts applying for development of Schools of Innovation to comply with the state mandate to provide standards-based services to identified Gifted and Talented students in School of Innovation. Senator Elliott assured us that it was never her intention that waivers for Schools of Innovation
allow applicants to circumvent the statutory requirements of providing appropriate services for identified Gifted and Talented students.
"Charter schools have been allowed to waive Gifted and Talented programs and with the passage of Act 1240, public schools in districts that have charter schools may be granted the same waivers allowed for those charter schools. We do not believe that the rights to an appropriate education should be denied any student in any public school in Arkansas. Which students should we exclude from the law, and what rationale could be used to do so? We endorse the concept of 'getting out of the box' and trying new strategies, which should be the rationale for waivers of existing requirements, but the standards for Gifted and Talented education programs allow for a variety of strategies to design alternatives to those recommended -- I'm sorry -- programs to meet the needs of these unique learners. We believe that any strategies being considered as alternatives to those recommended in the Program Approval Standards for Gifted and Talented should be reviewed by the Office of Gifted and Talented at the Arkansas Department of Education before being granted approval. AGATE does not support the abandonment of
all responsibility to provide services for students of high potential in any public school in Arkansas by the waiving of programs entirely.
"We ask you to consider whether further erosion of the responsibility of public schools to provide services to a special needs population in our state is a wise path to continue. We are aware that you've been informed that these waivers, once granted, may be irreversible. If so, the weight of making responsible decisions on what precedents to set for the future becomes even heavier."

Now let me stop for a second and just say that by being able to watch the proceedings --

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: You're already out of time, so I think --

MR. HENDRICKS: Okay. Okay. But I've seen the proceedings today. I'm very much reassured with the way this Board has approached the situation.
"It is the position of AGATE that the law mandating that public schools provide appropriate services to Gifted and Talented students has worked well for 30 years, and even though programs receive" -- still receive somewhat -- "inadequate funding, they have made significant contributions to the overall academic health of Arkansas' public schools.

Even more significantly, these laws mandate that the civil rights of a special needs group of learners in our schools be addressed in a responsible manner, with strategies that are based on Program Approval Standards reflecting research-based best practices in the education of students of high potential. We also know that the lawmakers of Arkansas continue to support this law and by their unopposed passage of Act 1136, legislators once again indicated unanimous support for the provision of appropriate services to identified Gifted and Talented students in Arkansas."

And the rest is just -- I've circulated the brochures. I appreciate you letting us address the issues and know that you're going to keep this at the forefront of your awareness as you consider waivers in the future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you. And I did get your email; I think others did -- I said, we did get your -- I'm sorry -- we did get your email and it has some very relevant and salient points in it.

MR. HENDRICKS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Thank you.
MR. HENDRICKS: We appreciate it.
CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: At this point -- I don't know if I need a motion to adjourn. Could somebody
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move that we adjourn?
(SEVERAL BOARD MEMBERS MOVED TO ADJOURN) CHAIRPERSON NEWTON: Moved by everybody and seconded by everybody.

MS. ZOOK: It may be the only unanimous all day.
(The meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.)
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