## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Date of	Admin	uthority Name: Hazen School District istrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): February sults were provided to the School Food Authority: Mar				
Genera		am Participation				
1.		Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority pa	articipate in? (Select all t	hat apply)		
		ool Breakfast Program				
		onal School Lunch Program sh Fruit and Vegetable Program				
		erschool Snack				
		mless Summer Option				
2.			ions? (Select all that ann	lv)		
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)  ☐ Community Eligibility Provision					
		cial Provision 2				
Review						
3.		any findings identified during the review of this School Food	d Authority?			
	✓ Yes					
		REVIEW FINDINGS				
		ess and Reimbursement – Performance Standard 1				
YES	NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action		
<b>✓</b>		Certification and Benefit Issuance	✓	<b>✓</b>		
<b>✓</b>		Verification	<b>√</b>			
	✓	Meal Counting and Claiming				
	✓	Charge Policy and Unpaid Meal Procedures				
Findin						
1.		ing (Performance Standard 1 - Certification and Benefit	<b>Issuance):</b> Of the 219 s	ource documents		
		wed, there were multiple errors identified.		(00)		
	8	a. Sixteen (16) source documents were determined withou				
		According to 7 CFR 245.6 (a)(6), applications must have	e the last four digits of the	ne adult's SSN or if		
	,	the adult does not have a SSN, that must be indicated.	(1)	1 1. 6		
	t	Three (3) applications were determined incorrectly. One				
		due to incorrect income calculation. One (1) application				
		income calculation. One (1) application went from redu household members. According to 7 CFR 210.7(c)(1)(i).				
		correctly approve each child's eligibility for free and rec		my (SFA) must		
2.	Find	ing (Performance Standard 1 - Verification): During revi		nents for school		
2.		2022-2023 the following errors were found.	ew of verification docum	nents for sellour		
		a. SFA completed standard sampling, therefore the number	r of applications selected	d for verification		
		should have been three (3) percent of all approved appli				
		245.6a(c)(3)(i). The SFA should have selected four (4)	applications the SFA sele	ected eleven (11)		

d. On one (1) of the eleven (11) households selected for verification provided income that reflected net pay instead of gross. When verification was completed the verifying official also used net pay instead of gross pay. When gross pay from pay stub is used the application should have been moved from free to reduced.

b. On two (2) of the eleven (11) households selected for verification the verifying official accepted a statement from a household member indicating income change instead of a collateral contact.
c. On Four (4) of the eleven (11) households selected for verification the verifying official accepted a

applications for verification.

paystub that did not include an income frequency.

	e	In accordance with 7 CFR 245.6a(a)(7)(i), written evide household's circumstances, such as wage stubs, award le written evidence is insufficient to confirm income informeligibility, the local educational agency may require col someone outside of the household.	etters, and letters from en mation on the application	nployers. Whenever or current				
B. M YES	eal Pat	terns and Nutritional Quality	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action				
I ES		Meal Components and Quantities	√ ✓	Confective Action ✓				
		Offer versus Serve						
	<b>/</b>	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis						
Findin		Dictary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	Ц	Ц				
<ul> <li>options must make all required food components available to all students, with every entree option, meeting at least minimum daily and weekly required amounts. According to 7 CFR 220.8(c), nine (9) to ten (10) oz eq of grains must be served weekly for grades 9-12.</li> <li>b. On February 22, 23 only six (6) nuggets were observed as served instead of eight (8). The Product Formulation Statement was not read correctly so the wrong number was planned. This made the daily meat/meat alternate short by 0.5 ounce equivalents (oz eq). According to 7 CFR 210.10(c), two (2) oz eq of meat/meat alternatives must be served daily for grades 9-12.</li> </ul>								
C. G	eneral l	Program Areas						
YES	NO	Togram Medi	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action				
	<b>✓</b>	Resource Management						
	✓	Civil Rights						
	<b>✓</b>	SFA On-Site Monitoring						
	<b>√</b>	Local School Wellness Policy						
	<b>✓</b>	Smart Snacks in Schools						
	<b>✓</b>	Professional Standards						
	<b>✓</b>	Water						
	<b>✓</b>	Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American						
	<b>✓</b>	Reporting and Record Keeping						
	<b>✓</b>	School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach						
		After School Snack						
		Seamless Summer						
		Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program						
		Other:						
		Other:						