STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

School Food Authority Name: Rector Schools

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 1/11/2023 Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 2/23/23							
Genera	l Progr	am Participation					
l.							
##. #		chool Breakfast Program					
		ional School Lunch Program					
		sh Fruit and Vegetable Program					
		erschool Snack					
		mless Summer Option					
2	 Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) 						
2.		nmunity Eligibility Provision	ions. (Select all that app	.57			
Poviou	Finding	cial Provision 2					
3.		gs any findings identified during the review of this School Foo	d Authority?				
5.			a Authority.				
	✓ Yes	□ No REVIEW FINDINGS					
A N/	Inal And	tess and Reimbursement – Performance Standard 1					
YES	NO	ess and Reimbursement – Ferformance Standard 1	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action			
7		Certification and Benefit Issuance	√ √	✓ Tetion			
/		Verification Verification	✓				
/		Meal Counting and Claiming					
/		Charge Policy and Unpaid Meal Procedures		✓			
Findin		Charge Folicy and Onpaid Meat Flocedures					
		applications reviewed, one application was approved without	out including one source of	of household			
incom		TI Property of the control of the co	8				
2) On	the day	of review, the preschool program did not take a lunch cour	at the point of service.				
		ation out of five selected, was not verified correctly. The dis		from one of the			
adult l	nouseho	old members was excluded from the calculation of househo	ld income.				
4) The	district	has a meal charge policy that was adopted June 10, 2017;	however it is not in comp	oliance with Act 428			
of 201							
		terns and Nutritional Quality					
YES	NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action			
✓		Meal Components and Quantities	✓	✓			
	✓	Offer versus Serve					
	✓	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis					
Findin	gs:						
1) At 1	east 80°	% of grains offered must be whole grain-rich to meet require	ements. The breakfast m	enu met 78.13%			
		for the week of review for grades PreK-6. The lunch menu r	net 76.81% whole grains	for the week of			
review	for gra	des 5-6.					
2) Fad	aral raa	ulations require weekly minimum amounts of grains to be s	samuad far hunah. Tha was	alele magnimum ant a f			
		not met for grades 5-6. Only 2 oz. eq. of creditable grain-ba					
		luded 2.5 oz. eq. grain-based desserts for the week for grade		per week for luffen.			
3) Sub	groups	of vegetables must be met for each grade group for lunch.	The 1/2 cup weekly bean/1	egumes vegetable			
		tirement was not met for grades 5 and 6 with the salad plate					
1973		1550	578				

C. General Program Areas							
YES	NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action			
✓		Resource Management	✓	✓			
✓		Civil Rights	✓				
	✓	SFA On-Site Monitoring					
		Local School Wellness Policy					
	✓	Smart Snacks in Schools					
~		Professional Standards	✓				
	✓	Water					
✓		Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American	✓				
	~	Reporting and Record Keeping					
	V	School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach					
	~	After School Snack					
	✓	Seamless Summer					
	✓	Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program					
	√	Other:					
Findings: 1) The SEA did not aggree all nonprogram food revenue to the nonprofit school food service aggreent.							

¹⁾ The SFA did not accrue all nonprogram food revenue to the nonprofit school food service account.