STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Date of	Admin	uthority Name: Stuttgart School District istrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): April 12, sults were provided to the School Food Authority (Exit Conference Date)		il 14, 2023
2.	What € ✓ Sche ✓ Nati □ Fre ✓ Afte □ Sea Does t ✓ Con □ Spe Findin	am Participation Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority pool Breakfast Program ional School Lunch Program sh Fruit and Vegetable Program erschool Snack unless Summer Option he School Food Authority operate under any Special Provis munity Eligibility Provision cial Provision 2 gs any findings identified during the review of this School Food	ions? (Select all that app	
	✓ Yes			
		REVIEW FINDINGS		
A. M YES	leal Acc	ess and Reimbursement – Performance Standard 1	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action
√ /		Certification and Benefit Issuance	Technical Assistance ✓	
		Verification		
		Meal Counting and Claiming		
		Charge Policy and Unpaid Meal Procedures		
2) Upo claimi breakf had no 2023. the ent respon	on revie ng perco ast or lu ot been f Upon fu tire 2022 ase to an	a. Eleven (11) students who were coded as 01 (free certification) due to extension of benefits. Per 7 (member of a household receiving assistance under the family shall be categorically eligible for free b. Three (3) students whose families did not respon been coded as 03 (paid) in Eschool but were still family fails to respond to requests for verification ten (10) days of notice of adverse action. We of daily records for the month of March, it was found that entages were not applied to meals served at Park Avenue Elember. All meals at these two sites were claimed as free meal fully processed. The claim was deleted, and the district applied to meals served at Park Avenue Elember. All meals at these two sites were claimed as free meal fully processed. The claim was deleted, and the district applied to meals served at Park Avenue Elember. All meals at these two sites were claimed as free meals fully processed. The claim was deleted, and the district applied to meals served at Park Avenue Elember. All meals at these two sites were claimed as free meals fully processed. The claim was deleted, and the district applied to meals served at Park Avenue Elember. All meals at these two sites were claimed as free meals fully processed. The claim was deleted, and the district applied to meals served at Park Avenue Elember. All meals at these two sites were claimed as free meals fully processed. The claim was deleted, and the district applied to meals served at Park Avenue Elember. All meals at these two sites were claimed as free meals fully processed. The claim was deleted, and the district applied to meals served at Park Avenue Elember. All meals at these two sites were claimed as free meals fully processed.) should have been coded CFR 245.2, if any child is er SNAP, FDPIR, or TAN meals, d to requests for verificat coded as 02 (reduced). P n, their status must be cha t the Community Eligibil ementary and Meekins M ls. The claim for reimbur lied the correct CEP perc mented the CEP claiming	s identified as a NF, all children in tion should have for 7 CFR 245.2, if a ranged to paid within ity Provision (CEP) fiddle School for resement in March entages for March g percentages for
		terns and Nutritional Quality	T. 1 . 1	
YES	NO	Meal Components and Quantities	Technical Assistance	Corrective Action
		Offer versus Serve		
		Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis		
Findin		, ,		

C. General Program Areas					
NO		Technical Assistance	Corrective Action		
	Resource Management				
	Civil Rights				
	SFA On-Site Monitoring				
	Local School Wellness Policy	√	√		
	Smart Snacks in Schools				
	Professional Standards				
	Water				
	Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American	✓	✓		
	Reporting and Record Keeping				
	School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach				
	After School Snack	✓	✓		
	Seamless Summer				
	Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program				
	Other:				
	NO	NO ☐ Resource Management ☐ Civil Rights ☐ SFA On-Site Monitoring ☐ Local School Wellness Policy ☐ Smart Snacks in Schools ☐ Professional Standards ☐ Water ☐ Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American ☐ Reporting and Record Keeping ☐ School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach ☐ After School Snack ☐ Seamless Summer ☐ Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program	NO Technical Assistance □ Resource Management □ □ Civil Rights □ □ SFA On-Site Monitoring □ □ Local School Wellness Policy ✓ □ Smart Snacks in Schools □ □ Professional Standards □ □ Water □ □ Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American ✓ □ Reporting and Record Keeping □ □ School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach □ □ After School Snack ✓ □ Seamless Summer □ □ Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program □		

Findings:

- 1) Upon review of daily records for the month of March for afterschool snacks, it was found that the district was claiming meals based on enrollment. In accordance with 7 CFR 210.9(b)(9), counts the SFA shall count the number of free, reduced price and paid reimbursable meals served to eligible children at the point of service. The SFA could not provide point of service counts for afterschool snacks in the month of March.
- 2) Upon a walk-through of Stuttgart Junior High School's dry storage area on April 13, 2023, it was discovered that several spices, sauces, and canned goods were not labelled with the date opened or received. Per Arkansas Food Code 3-501.17, all foods must be date labelled in storage.
- 3) Upon a walk-through of the Stuttgart Junior High School Kitchen's storages areas on April 13, 2023, it was found that two (2) products needed Buy American Justification Statements. The two products were pineapple chunks grown in Indonesia and cherry tomatoes grown in Mexico. Per the Buy American Provision 7 CFR 210.21(d) federal regulations require that program operators purchase and use domestic agriculture products to the maximum extent possible. There are a few exceptions to the Buy American requirement.
- 4) Upon review of the production records for the Afterschool Snack Program, it was discovered that only four (4) ounces of fruit juice were served. Per 7 CFR 210.10(a)(1)(ii), schools offering afterschool snack must meet the meal pattern requirements.
- 5) It was discovered that the school district did not complete on-site monitoring for breakfast and lunch. According to 7 CFR 220.11(d)(1) and 7 CFR 210.8(a)(1): every school year, each school food authority with more than one school shall perform no less than one on-site review of the counting and claiming system and the readily observable areas of review cited under 210.18(h), as prescribed by FNS for each school under its jurisdiction. The on-site review shall take place prior to February 1 of each school year. Additionally, the district did not complete monitoring for the Afterschool Snack Program. According to 7 CFR 210.9(c)(7), the program must be reviewed two times a year; the first review shall be made during the first four (4) weeks the program is in operation each school year.
- 6) Upon review of the districts Local Wellness Committee activities, the following was discovered:
 - a. The school district could not provide documentation that the Triennial Assessment was completed.
 - i. The districts Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated within the past three years, with the last documented review occurring in 2019.
 - ii. The Wellness Policy has not been made available to the public.
 - iii. The district could not provide documentation that the Wellness Policy has been compared to a model policy.
 - The district could not provide documentation of its assessment of compliance of the Wellness Policy.

In accordance with 7 CFR 210.31(e)(2) at least once every three years, the district must assess compliance with the local school wellness policy and make assessment results available to the

- public. The assessment must measure the implementation of the local school wellness policy and include: the extent to which schools under the jurisdiction of the local educational agency are in compliance with the local school wellness policy, the extent to which the local educational agency's local school wellness policy compares to model local school wellness policies, and a description of the progress made in attaining the goals of the local school wellness policy.
- b. The school district could not provide documentation of quarterly menu reviews or feedback given to the CND. Per A. C.A. § 6-20-709 and the Arkansas Department of Education Rules Governing Nutrition & Physical Activity Standards and Body Mass Index for Age Assessment Protocol in Arkansas Public Schools (6.06.5), the Wellness Committee is required to review district menus and other foods sold in the cafeteria on a quarterly basis.