ARKANSAS STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION DECEMBER 8, 2022

9:00 a.m.

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS:

MS. OUIDA NEWTON, Chairman

DR. SARAH MOORE, Vice Chairman

DR. FITZGERALD HILL

MS. KATHY MCFETRIDGE

MS. ADRIENNE WOODS

MR. STEVE SUTTON

MR. RANDY HENDERSON

MS. LISA HUNTER

MR. JEFF WOOD

NON-VOTING PARTICIPANTS:

MR. JOHNNY KEY, Secretary of Education

MS. JESSICA SAUM, Arkansas Teacher of the Year

DESE LEGAL COUNSEL:

MS. LORI FRENO, General Counsel

MS. WHITNEY JAMES, Attorney

LOCATION:

ARKANSAS DIVISION OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

- Auditorium

#4 Capitol Mall

Little Rock, AR 72201

Sharon K. Hill, CCR

INDEX

		Page
1)	Minimum School District Size Waivers	
	Preliminary Information	3
	a) Guy-Perkins	5
	b) Shirley	16
	c) Augusta	19
	d) Strong-Huttig	22
	e) Western Yell County	27
	f) Marvell-Elaine	31
2)	Pine Bluff School District	110
3)	Educational Service Cooperative Evaluations	122
4)	Arkansas Social Studies Standards	124
5)	Public Comment Release: Rules Governing	
	Home Schools	142
6)	Emergency Rules: School Safety	144
Cou	rt Reporter's Certificate	152
	EXHIBITS	
1(f) - MARVELL-ELAINE SCHOOL DISTRICT	
EXH	ITRIT ONE (1)	

Marvell-Elaine School District's PowerPoint Presentation

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	1) CONSIDERATIONS OF PETITIONS FOR MINIMUM SCHOOL DISTRICT
3	SIZE WAIVERS
4	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: So we'll move on to the action
5	agenda then. Ms. Freno. Considerations of Petitions
6	for Minimum School District Size Waivers.
7	MS. FRENO: Thank you, Ms. Newton. Lori Freno
8	is this working?
9	Lori Freno, Arkansas Department of Education.
.0	Act 60 of 2004 requires that all school
.1	districts with an average daily minimum an average
.2	daily membership of fewer than 350 students for the
.3	last two consecutive years must administratively
.4	consolidate or annex to another school district. By
.5	January 1st the Department has to put out a list of
6	all those school districts, which we did on November
.7	22nd, and six school districts appeared on that list.
.8	They were Augusta, Guy-Perkins, Marvell-Elaine,
9	Shirley, Strong-Huttig, and Western Yell.
0	The Arkansas code, however, in 6-13-1613, allows
1	school districts appearing on the consolidation list
2	to apply for a minimum school district size waiver,
13	which all six of those school districts have done.
4	The State Board in considering these petitions
25	must grant the petition if all the criteria are met

as set forth in 6-13-6 -- 6-13-1613(b), specifically that the school district is not classified as being in Level 5-In Need of Intensive Support, fiscal -- is not classified as being in fiscal distress or in facilities distress; is not on probationary violation of the Standards for Accreditation; that the academic facilities are adequate as evidenced by the district's Facilities Master Plan; and that the local board of education of each school district supports the waiver as being in the best interest of the students of the district due to the traveling time that it would otherwise cause if there was consolidation or annexation.

If the school district meets all these criteria, then the board must grant the petition. If the school district does not grant -- does not meet all these criteria, then the State Board has the option of granting the petition or denying the petition.

And at this point, unless there are any questions from any board members, I would be happy to just start with the first one which is Guy-Perkins.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Let me see if anyone -- does anyone on the Board have a question about the criteria for granting the waiver? Is everyone clear on that? Everybody okay?

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		

22

23

24

25

All right. Go ahead, Ms. Freno.

MS. FRENO: Thank you.

a) GUY-PERKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: The first petition filed was by Guy-Perkins School District. And we have -- I can see Tim Barnes on Zoom; he's the principal -- a principal at Guy-Perkins.

And we -- before we bring these petitions to all of you, we do a bunch of legwork so that we are able to tell you whether or not these criteria are met for all the school districts. And in this case Guy-Perkins is not classified in Level 5-In Need of Intensive Support, fiscal distress, or facilities distress; it's not on probationary status for violation of the Standards for Accreditation; the district's academic facilities are adequate as evidenced by the district's master plan; and the district's local board of directors supports the waiver as being in the best interest of the students.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. Let's see if we have any questions for Ms. Freno.

MS. FRENO: Oh. And if there are any questions for either the Division or for Mr. Barnes at Guy-Perkins --

DR. HILL: I do have a question.

3 they met all of those we were required to grant it? 4 Is that -- did you say that? 5 MS. FRENO: Yes, Dr. Hill. That's what the law 6 reads, that if all of those criteria are met that the 7 Board must grant the petition. 8 DR. HILL: So if they've met those, why would 9 they come to us? 10 MS. FRENO: Because the law requires that it 11 come to you. 12 DR. HILL: Even if they met it? 13 MS. FRENO: Even if they met them. And I quess, 14 like I said earlier, that might sound like it doesn't 15 make sense. But like I said earlier, we do all the 16 legwork before we bring this to you. I mean there might be -- for example, if we just had a hearing and 17 18 let everybody talk there might be question as to 19 whether, you know, the facilities were adequate as 20 shown on the master plan. 21 DR. HILL: Okay. 22 MS. FRENO: And that -- the Board might've had 23 to have made a decision as to whether they met all 24 the criteria. 25 DR. HILL: So --

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right.

DR. HILL: Did I hear you say initially that if

1

6	said that was a good question.
7	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Mr. Wood.
8	MR. WOOD: I have one general question. What's
9	that last criteria that you mentioned?
LO	MS. FRENO: The last one is that the local board
L1	supports the waiver as being in the best interest of
L2	the students due to the length of potential time
L3	spent on the bus by a student traveling to and from
L4	school.
L5	MR. WOOD: So that criteria is not even a
L6	question for this department or this board; that's a
L7	question for the local board?
L8	MS. FRENO: That is correct. That is a question
L9	for the local
20	MR. WOOD: If they've made that decision, that's
21	completely within their purview to decide one way or
22	another on that?
23	MS. FRENO: That is correct, Mr. Wood. And
24	there is in the packet, the board packet a resolution
25	from the state from the boards
	Sharon K. Hill, CCR

MS. FRENO: But in this case we can say --

MS. FRENO: -- they did; they have met them all.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Good question, Dr. Hill. I

DR. HILL: They have met it.

DR. HILL: Okay, I see.

1 2

1	MR. WOOD: Okay.
2	MS. FRENO: on that point.
3	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any other questions? Dr.
4	Moore.
5	DR. MOORE: Can you tell us how many years
6	they've received this waiver?
7	MS. FRENO: Guy-Perkins, this is their third
8	this would be their third year.
9	DR. MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
LO	MS. HUNTER: Another question. What is Guy-
L1	Perkins' enrollment over these last three years?
L2	Does it continue to decline?
L3	MS. FRENO: Mr. Barnes probably can answer that.
L4	MS. WOODS: It was in the packet that you
L5	MS. HUNTER: Oh.
L6	MS. WOODS: It's in the packet. So I think
L7	their current one, I just had it up, was like 292 and
L8	it's gone down steadily every year since.
L9	MS. HUNTER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Any other questions?
21	Mr. Sutton, did you have a question?
22	MR. SUTTON: No, ma'am.
23	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: No. Okay. All right.
24	MS. WOODS: Excuse me. I'm sorry. 297 is their
25	current so it looks like it was 332, 330, 292, and

I'm curious as to how this is impacting student 7 learning in these school districts. So maybe could 8 the Superintendents of each one just weigh in on what 9 achievement is being accomplished in reading and math 10 and all that, to see if there's a trend among 11 extremely small school districts or --12 MS. FRENO: That is certainly something you may 13 ask Mr. --14 MR. WOOD: Right. 15 MS. FRENO: -- Mr. Barnes. 16 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Mr. Barnes, can you speak to academics? 17 18 MR. BARNES: Yes. Can you hear me? 19 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yes. 20 MR. BARNES: Okay. Thank you. [Zoom audio 21 distortion] I'm been working with it this morning, so 22 you may hear an echo or something. 23 On the academic performance, I can tell you that 24 based on our ESSA scores that what we've done is --25 things at our elementary school and high school is Sharon K. Hill, CCR

then 297; so it's gone up five students this year.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. Mr. Wood.

very tied in most of these situations, so for the

most part this is kind of an academic exercise. But

MR. WOOD: It seems like the Board's hands are

1

2

3

4

5

implemented classroom reading. We have definitely 2 seen some growth in all of the areas. [Zoom audio 3 distortion] pretty much treaded water. We did not 4 see an expected decrease [Zoom audio distortion] and that worked through a lot of [Zoom audio distortion], basically with math that they've gone through, doing 7 the hard work that they've done in the last school year. So we are very proud. We're confident that we 8 9 are on the right path and we look forward to seeing 10 what our students accomplish this spring. 11 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Wood, did that 12 answer your questions on that one? 13 MR. WOOD: Maybe. 14 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: I think we --15 MR. WOOD: I couldn't hear all that well.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Right. Yeah.

MR. WOOD: But --

1

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: I think every district is different when you go and look at the performance of each one. I don't know that you can lump all small schools together.

MR. WOOD: Right.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: I think that you're going to have to look at each individual district and look at their performance.

1 MR. WOOD: I would agree. 2 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okav. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY KEY: Ms. Newton?

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yes.

MR. BARNES: [Zoom audio distortion] the Board that we were on [Zoom audio distortion] for some of our [Zoom audio distortion]. But we were able to [Zoom audio distortion] from DESE and from Arch Ford Co-op. We implemented some strategies last year based on our scores. This past year we were able to move from that [Zoom audio distortion].

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Thank you.

Secretary Key.

SECRETARY KEY: Yeah. I just want the Board to know, since we're kind of talking about the process, we have been having internal conversations about how we need to approach the growing list of school districts that are under 350. We do know your hands are tied; the Legislature, the change in the statute in 2015, I think, made that very clear. But we also -- in working with many districts we know that 350 is an indicator of simply one thing, and that's the enrollment. But we also know that behind that there are other issues that sometimes make it difficult -financial issues, academic issues, standards issues.

And we are working to improve our protocols for how we monitor the schools, especially those that are coming and asking for waivers. So if you're on the -- if you're below 350 for one year, you're not on the consolidation list. You have to be on there two consecutive years. And we have had districts that might go below one year and then their enrollment goes up. I mean that is not an uncommon situation. So for those the clock resets, and if they stay above 350, then -- you know, they have to go below 350 again two consecutive years before they wind up on the consolidation list. But as I mentioned earlier, the list is growing and we have more districts -every couple, three years there seems to be another district that falls below 350 for that second year in a row. So we're -- we will be happy to, as we refine our protocols for how we monitor those districts, to bring that back to you and give you a report on that so that before you have to make this decision again next year you will have a better idea of what we are looking at in identifying your areas of support or as a deficiency that really can impact students and student learning.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dr. Moore, did you have a question?

1	DR. MOORE: Yes. I was going to ask about that
2	too. Was it 2015 when legislation was passed?
3	SECRETARY KEY: I believe that's correct.
4	DR. MOORE: Okay. And prior to that time these
5	districts would have all automatically been closed
6	because of until that law was passed?
7	SECRETARY KEY: Been consolidated or annexed
8	DR. MOORE: Do we know
9	SECRETARY KEY: would've been the
10	requirement.
11	DR. MOORE: how many districts we've seen
12	since 2015?
13	SECRETARY KEY: We can get that for you.
14	DR. MOORE: That would be interesting to see. I
15	mean, and
16	SECRETARY KEY: I mean, I know and I know
17	I think there's some folks from Strong-Huttig here.
18	I think they have been on that list probably longer
19	than any of the other districts.
20	MS. FRENO: Seven years. This is their seventh
21	year.
22	SECRETARY KEY: Okay.
23	DR. MOORE: So that would be great.
24	MS. WOODS: I have a quick question specifically
25	related to their enrollment, which probably the

1	Department can anguer Co in the 110 10 caheel year
1	Department can answer. So in the '18-19 school year
2	they went down by two, but then during Covid they
3	lost 37 students. Do we know what happened to those
4	students? And then they've gone back up between '21
5	the '20-21 school year and this year they went
6	plus five. That's a large number. So I was just
7	curious do we know what happened? Because we didn't
8	grant that many appeals.
9	SECRETARY KEY: No. Mr. Barnes might be able to
.0	speak specifically to Guy-Perkins. I know that
.1	districts in general found that their incoming
.2	kindergarten classes were much lower
.3	MS. WOODS: Okay.
.4	SECRETARY KEY: during Covid, and so they
.5	weren't replacing their graduates.
.6	MS. WOODS: That's a great point.
.7	SECRETARY KEY: And that is the last couple
.8	of years now is leveling back out
.9	MS. WOODS: Okay.
10	SECRETARY KEY: and it's but we also had
1	statewide a number of you know, over 10,000
2	students that chose home-school option. We went from
13	20,000 or so to about 30,000.
14	MS. WOODS: Okay.
:5	SECRETARY KEY: So that's leveling out as well.

5	from the district, they can probably answer their
6	particular issue in more detail.
7	MS. WOODS: Right. And I know it's kind of hard
8	to hear on there. I was just curious. That's a
9	great explanation. I just want to that was just
10	such a significant drop and obviously Covid
11	participated a lot.
12	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Sure.
13	MS. WOODS: But they've been on the list several
14	years and it's usually two to five, not 37.
15	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: We are, I think, ready for a
16	motion for Guy-Perkins.
17	MS. WOODS: I'll make the motion to approve the
18	waiver.
19	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Ms
20	Woods.
21	MR. WOOD: Motion by Ms. Woods
22	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Sorry?
23	MR. WOOD: and seconded by Mr. Wood.
24	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: By Mr. Wood. So it's like
25	take you to take it the principal's office if y'all
	Sharon K. Hill, CCR

to the public school system.

MS. WOODS: Okay.

But not all of those students statewide have returned

SECRETARY KEY: So if you want to hear directly

1 2

1		didn't catch that. So, yes.
2		All right. So we have a motion and second. All
3		in favor?
4		(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
5		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
6		All right.
7	b)	SHIRLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT
8		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: So we're to Shirley School
9		District.
-0		MS. FRENO: Thank you. Shirley School District
.1		has met all the criteria in 6-13-1613(b)(2), A
.2		through D. They're not classified in Level 5-In Need
.3		of Intensive Support, in fiscal or facilities
.4		distress; they're not on probationary status for
.5		Standards for Accreditation; their academic
-6		facilities are adequate as evidenced by the
.7		district's master plan; and the district's local
-8		board of directors supports the waiver as being in
9		the best interest of the students.
20		And we have today with us, I believe in person,
21		Superintendent Aaron Wiggins in case anyone has any
22		questions for the Division or for the Superintendent.
23		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Wiggins?
24		Okay. Maybe he'll join us in a minute.
25		All right. And I've got a question on this one

and it's -- I think it may be from -- someone from the Department maybe could help us out a little bit. I know that the academic part is they have to be in Level 5. Okay. But looking at some of the performance, in particular at Shirley, in elementary, and thinking about academics and thinking about growth, you know, when is that cut-off that's going to trigger that "hey, we've got a problem in academics?" You know, they may not be in Level 5 --I know the law says Level 5. But what's that trigger that is going to get us to say are these kids getting an adequate education academically? So I don't know who wants to answer that. I see

Ms. Coffman.

MS. COFFMAN: Debbie Coffman, Department of Ed.

So, you know, we did away with that one cut score --

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. COFFMAN: -- for academic distress. So what we try to look at is look at the school more holistically. So we are watching Shirley to see what their progress looks like and they did have previously a big dip in '21. We are seeing a rebound in '22. We're seeing them start to come back up. But the data just sends us to dig deeper. And so we

sent a team out to observe in classrooms, to make sure what are we seeing going on, are we encouraged by what we're seeing, are we discouraged. So we try to take all of those factors in.

The other thing that I would say is they do have a new superintendent. He has reached out, he's asked for help. We talk to him quite often. And they qualified for Level 3 support based on their percentage of students in need of support in reading. But he requested Level 4 support. So he's being very proactive to try to make this turn and we're trying to work along beside him to see if we can get that done.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. This was the one that I know it didn't meet the criteria, but I was concerned on academics.

MS. COFFMAN: We have our eye on them.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right.

MS. COFFMAN: But we also have our hands on them, trying to help them.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah. Okay. All right.

Any other questions from board members on Shirley?

Okay. Mr. Sutton, I'm going to trust you to speak up when you have a question.

1		MR. SUTTON: I will.
2		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. All right. So
3		we're ready for a motion on Shirley.
4		MS. McFETRIDGE: I'll make the motion that we
5		approve the waiver for Shirley School District.
6		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Ms.
7		McFetridge.
8		MR. HENDERSON: Second.
9		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Mr. Henderson.
10		All in favor?
11		(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
12		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
13		Motion passes.
14	c)	AUGUSTA SCHOOL DISTRICT
15		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Augusta.
16		MS. FRENO: Thank you. The Augusta School
17		District, likewise, meets all the criteria in 6-13-
18		1613(b)(2). Superintendent Cathy Tanner I can see
19		that she is on the Zoom, if there would be any
20		questions for her or for Division personnel.
21		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. Ms. Tanner,
22		I did look at your academic performance in that, and
23		so I saw some growth. I know that you are very, very
24		happy about that because that shows that you're going
25		in the right direction. So I just wanted to point

any board members have any questions for Ms. Tanner. 3 SUPT. TANNER: I would like to say today we're 4 at 352. 5 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Oh. 6 SUPT. TANNER: So tomorrow we may be at 348. 7 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah. 8 SUPT. TANNER: But, you know, we started out 9 with 344 this school year and right now we're up to 10 352. And we had projected that we would be above 350 11 the next school year, but we are seeing an increase 12 in enrollment especially in the lower grades. And so 13 that is -- that's helping keep our number right there 14 at that number. 15 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah. 16 SUPT. TANNER: But we're hoping for it to be 17 much better. We also have got 28 in pre-K, so we 18 have quite a few. 19 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Oh, good. Good. That's 20 wonderful news. 21 Any questions? 22 DR. MOORE: I do. 23 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Dr. Moore. 24 DR. MOORE: Has Augusta received this waiver before? 25

out that -- congratulations on that. We'll see if

1

1	SUPT. TANNER: Yes. Yes.
2	DR. MOORE: How many years?
3	MS. FRENO: This is the second year, right,
4	Superintendent?
5	SUPT. TANNER: Yes.
6	DR. MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Any other questions on
8	Augusta?
9	Okay. We're ready for a motion.
10	MR. SUTTON: Motion to approve.
11	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: We have a motion by Mr.
12	Sutton.
13	DR. MOORE: I'll second that.
14	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Dr. Moore.
15	All in favor?
16	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
17	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
18	Motion passes. All right.
19	SUPT. TANNER: Thank you so much.
20	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Thank you for joining us.
21	Okay. Our next one is Strong-Huttig.
22	Yes?
23	MS. SAUM: Can I just ask a quick question?
24	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Sure. Absolutely.
25	MS. SAUM: Pre-k numbers do not count for the

1		total enrollment
2		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Right.
3		MS. SAUM: numbers?
4		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.
5		MS. SAUM: That's what I wanted to make sure.
6		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah.
7		MS. SAUM: Thank you.
8	d)	STRONG-HUTTIG SCHOOL DISTRICT
9		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Strong-Huttig.
LO		MS. FRENO: Strong-Huttig has met all the
L1		criteria set forth in 6-13-1613(b)(2). As we
L2		discussed previously, this would be the seventh
L3		petition that Strong-Huttig has been has filed and
L4		would be granted. Superintendent Kimberly Thomas is
L5		here today in the audience if anyone has questions of
L6		her
L7		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.
L8		MS. FRENO: or the Division.
L9		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Ms. Thomas, okay.
20		Ms. Coffman, I'm going to get you to come back,
21		if you don't mind, on Strong-Huttig. And kind of
22		tell me where they're at as far this was another
23		one that I was concerned about the academics.
24		MS. COFFMAN: Debbie Coffman. Strong-Huttig
25		does not have any standards exceptions at this time.

5	Directed Support for literacy with 70.41% of their
6	students in need of support in reading. And our team
7	has been working with them on a plan of support.
8	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: So they are in Level 4 right
9	now?
10	MS. COFFMAN: Correct.
11	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. And so you
12	are working with them?
13	MS. COFFMAN: Yes. We speak Superintendent
14	and I have each other on speed dial when we need each
15	other.
16	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right.
17	DR. MOORE: May I ask a question?
18	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Sure.
19	DR. MOORE: I noticed that there's when I
20	went to look at their school report card they're
21	classified as a K-12 school. Is that
22	MS. COFFMAN: Yes.
23	DR. MOORE: Is that the case, and what was I
24	don't know what their indications are either way, but
25	I just had
	Sharon K. Hill, CCR

Of course, you know, we look at that daily --

MS. COFFMAN: -- so we're always attuned to

that. In 2022, they were identified for Level 4-

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Right.

1	MS. COFFMAN: So it's very often that a school
2	district that is small in numbers would move to that
3	level of configuration because it makes smart it
4	makes smart sense standards-wise and accountability-
5	wise.
6	DR. MOORE: So in that case do y'all have one
7	building and principal? How does that work, if you
8	don't mind sharing?
9	SUPT. THOMAS: So we actually have two campuses
10	although we're considered one building.
11	DR. MOORE: Okay.
12	SUPT. THOMAS: So we're one building, one
13	district, but two campuses. And we share we
14	currently we do have one K-12 principal.
15	Previously, because we were below 300, I served as
16	the principal and the superintendent. But this is
17	our first year with a building level principal for K
18	12.
19	DR. MOORE: That's great. I'm glad you have
20	that support in that work.
21	MS. COFFMAN: And the other thing I'd like to
22	say about them is they previously qualified for
23	comprehensive support and improvement under our
24	federal accountability and met the criteria to exit.
25	DR. MOORE: Okay.
	OL STATE IN LITTLE COR

۷	DR. MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right.
4	Any questions from the Board on Strong-Huttig?
5	Okay. I think we're ready for a motion.
6	MS. WOODS: Do we is this your first year or
7	second year?
8	SUPT. THOMAS: Our seventh.
9	MS. WOODS: Your seventh.
10	SUPT. THOMAS: Yes, ma'am. We've applied every
11	year that this waiver has been available to apply
12	for.
13	DR. MOORE: And I guess I'll ask have your
14	kindergarten classes been about the same every year?
15	Have you seen up and down?
16	SUPT. THOMAS: They really kind of fluctuate.
17	Last year we only had one kindergarten class, but
18	this year we have two kindergarten classes. So
19	that's promising.
20	DR. MOORE: That is promising. I imagine that's
21	difficult staffing-wise though.
22	SUPT. THOMAS: Yes, ma'am. It does create some
23	difficulties. No matter what grade level you teach
24	this year be prepared for something different next
25	year based on enrollment.

MS. COFFMAN: During the pandemic, I might add.

2	courses offered for students?
3	SUPT. THOMAS: We do have some that we offer
4	through Virtual Arkansas.
5	DR. MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
6	MR. HENDERSON: How long have there been two
7	campuses?
8	SUPT. THOMAS: We've always had two buildings in
9	Strong-Huttig. Prior to consolidation we had two
10	buildings, an elementary building and a high school
11	building, in Strong, and Huttig had their own campus.
12	And so once the school districts were consolidated we
13	maintained the elementary building and then the high
14	school building.
15	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Any other questions?
16	We are ready for a motion on Strong-Huttig.
17	MS. McFETRIDGE: I'll make the motion to approve
18	the waiver for Strong-Huttig.
19	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Ms.
20	McFetridge to approve the waiver.
21	MR. SUTTON: I'll second.
22	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Mr. Sutton.
23	All in favor?
24	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
25	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?

Sharon K. Hill, CCR

DR. MOORE: Do you all have a number of virtual

	Thanks for being here, Ms. Thomas, today.
e)	WESTERN YELL COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. Western Yell
	County.
	MS. FRENO: This would be the third time that
	Western Yell County is before the State Board seeking
	a waiver. They do meet all the criteria set forth in
	6-13-1613(b)(2). And the Superintendent is on via
	Zoom in case there are any questions for the
	Superintendent, or for Division staff.
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. We'll see
	if we have any questions. Any questions about
	Western Yell?
	DR. MOORE: Yeah. I believe we've had Western
	Yell here before. How many years, do we know?
	MS. FRENO: Yes. This would be the third time
	that the district was is filing a petition for a
	waiver.
	DR. MOORE: Okay. And Western Yell, in my
	memory, they're on a four-day week right now. Is
	that correct?
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: I think they're on a four-day
	MS. COFFMAN: We'll look it up.
	DR. MOORE: Okay. If the Superintendent is on,
	e)

Okay. Motion passes.

4	SECRETARY KEY: I Would say and I see Ms.
5	Coffman making her way to the front but y'all wil
6	remember Western Yell was one of the districts that
7	we featured in November, on November 9th, with the
8	ESSA Arkansas ESSA Student Committee and State
9	Board joint meeting as having made significant
LO	progress. So your questions about the academics in
L1	some of these schools, we certainly don't want to
L2	paint the picture that it's dire in every situation
L3	or that additional support is needed. There is
L4	actually growth.
L5	So Ms. Coffman may have been coming up to talk
L6	to you about that. But
L7	MS. COFFMAN: I was.
L8	SECRETARY: if not, now she will.
L9	MS. COFFMAN: Stealing my thunder.
20	So Western Yell County School District exited
21	Level 4-Directed Support. They moved from 4 a long
22	way back up to 1
23	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah.
24	MS. COFFMAN: General Support in one year.
25	That's pretty awesome. They were recognized, as
	Sharon K. Hill, CCR

I'd like to hear a little bit about that.

she's on.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: I don't see her. I'm not sure

Secretary Key said, as School on the Move for increasing their letter grade between 2019 and '22, for increasing their overall ESSA School Index score by 9.64 points between '21 and '22, and by increasing their weighted achievement by 15.74 points between '21 and '22. That's the elementary. The high school was recognized as a School on the Move because their overall index score increased 6.64 points. And, remember, we're coming off a pandemic, so making these types of increases, and especially in those amounts, are really exciting. They also had a weighted achievement increase of 12.7 points and they have no Standards for Accreditation exceptions.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Those are the ones we like to hear. That is wonderful. And congratulations to Western Yell. And I think this -- go ahead.

MS. COFFMAN: Yes, they are on a four-day week.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. This is what we were discussing, you know, it's just like having individual students in a classroom; no two are alike. It's the same way with districts -- you know, small districts, large districts -- there are some that are doing a really good job and some that need to get better. And so I don't know if you can put size as a reason for that.

24

25

MR. WOOD: And I'd like to speak to what my question was a few minutes ago. It almost sounded as though I was suspicious that small school districts must be struggling academically. And that absolutely is not my point.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.

MR. WOOD: I'm very proud of what's going on in Western Yell County, and I actually wrote about them in a column a couple of weeks ago because I was so impressed with what the Superintendent said at that accountability report day. She said -- and I hope that every school district in Arkansas hears this and takes it to heart and applies it to your mentality of leading your school district. She said, "We stopped arguing with the test, we guit denying where we were, and we made changes that led to our improvement." That's remarkable, you know, to stop arguing with "it doesn't test the standards" or, you know, whatever -whatever you're saying that the test doesn't do. Here's a school district of 325 kids that stopped arguing about the test and just fixed what was going on in their district and they moved from D's in their schools to C's in their schools during a pandemic --

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah.

MR. WOOD: -- with 325 kids. So I'm very

1		impressed with Western Yell County. I hope more
2		people will call the superintendent and the
3		principals at Western Yell County and ask, "How can
4		y'all help us do the same for our kids?"
5		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah. Excellent.
6		All right.
7		MR. WOOD: And so I'll move to approve the
8		waiver.
9		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. Good. All right.
LO		Thank you, Mr. Wood. We appreciate that.
L1		All right. And then, do we have a second?
L2		MS. HUNTER: Second.
L3		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Ms. Hunter.
L4		All right. All in favor?
L5		(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
L6		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
L7		All right. Motion passes.
L8	f)	MARVELL-ELAINE SCHOOL DISTRICT
L9		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. Ms. Freno.
20		MS. FRENO: Thank you. The last is Marvell-
21		Elaine. This is the second year that it has come
22		before the Board seeking a waiver. And they have met
23		this one is different than the others because in
24		the others all the criteria had been met by the
25		school district. In this one, Marvell-Elaine has met

all the criteria with the exception of they are in Level 5-In Need of Intensive Support. Consequently, it is not a given that the school district has -- the petition has to be granted but rather, it's solely within the discretion of the Board whether or not to grant this petition.

And we have with us today Dr. Katina Ray -Superintendent Katina Ray from the school district.

And I know also that Division staff would like to
speak to this. So I would give up the floor to
Division staff to speak to this.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. Ms. Smith.

MS. SMITH: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Good morning.

MS. SMITH: Stacy Smith, Deputy Commissioner.

So since the last board meeting when we classified, we've had lots of staff in the district starting the initial analysis of the district. And so today I'm going to present some findings. It's tough; it's a tough situation.

And as I start this presentation I want to say that I consider Dr. Katina Ray my friend, and so everything I'm going to say today is not a reflection of the work that she's doing or her as a superintendent. Okay? It is an uphill battle and it

22

23

24

25

would be probably far worse, especially on the Standards for Accreditation side, if she were not as resourceful and had as many connections in the state as she has -- as she said, "foothold." Right? Footholds. And, Mr. Williams, you show up every time. So I'm going to be talking some hard things today, but know that hopefully we all can walk out afterwards and we're still going to hug; right?

SUPT. RAY: (Nodding head up and down.)

MS. SMITH: Okay. Marvell-Elaine School District, the analysis, findings, I actually visited the school district this week. I was there on Monday -- I believe it was Monday. Everything is running together at this point, y'all. Findings, I want to start out with, and then I'm going to go through a presentation to kind of support why I say that these are the findings. One, that the students are not receiving the education that is adequate or equitable; the challenges of recruitment and retention in the district are insurmountable for the region and for the district at the size that it is; the use of contracted purchase services to fill those holes is not sustainable; the district will continue each month to have Standards for Accreditation violations that they fix, but they're just going to

continue to pop; and the concern that ongoing Level 5 support from DESE will not address the underlying deficiencies that are occurring there. No matter how much support we put out there, I'm just not confident that we can fix it.

Okay. We're going to start off with Human Capital. And I've actually asked Dr. Pfeffer -- this is her area of specialty -- to kind of go over some human capital pieces with you to think about. And then I'll get back up and talk about some of the outcomes in the district and some other areas that we saw. Okay. So, Dr. Pfeffer.

DR. PFEFFER: Good morning. And as I was sitting there listening to the questions you had with the prior schools it made me think about being at a meeting a few weeks ago. And the incoming chair of the Chief Counsel of State School Officers began her platform with a story about a tribe in Africa, where the warriors in the tribe had a traditional greeting. And the greeting was, "And how are the children?" And the response if things were well -- and they took it as their role to protect the young and the powerless, to make that their priority. And their response would be, "All the children are well." And I couldn't help but think about you all today and in

your role thinking, you know, you are those warriors. We need to be those warriors and we need to be approaching every decision we make with that question, "And how are the children?" And if we're having to say "some of the children are well" or "the children are well but," then we have to stop and say, okay, we've got to peal this back further.

And so, and I'm not saying that to say that there aren't improvements to make. And Secretary Key started off by saying we are making improvements in how we're addressing those schools early-on; so that as they're coming to you when their enrollment continues to decline and when the challenges look to be insurmountable that we're bringing those to you early-on so you have had that opportunity to really know and to understand what's going on with these districts. Because these are big decisions, they are hard decision, and we realize that that is the position you are in as those warriors making sure our children are well.

So I'm going to go through the human capital part of this system and the reason why this is such an integral part, because this is about the children. However, teachers matter more to student achievement than any other aspect of schooling. That has been

25

proven over and over with research. When it comes to student performance on reading and math tests, teachers are estimated to have two to three times the effect of any other school factor, including services, facilities, and even leadership. And in our human capital system we have divided up the supports into three areas or the criteria -- the healthy human capital system into three areas: the recruitment, support and development of staff, and retention. And in every case a healthy school will have a systematic approach. Okay? And that systematic approach is in each one of those criteria. And so when we go in and we look we want to see what is the system approach for recruiting and attracting effective educators and staff members. And we have an effective teacher definition as part of our ESSA plan and that was crafted several years ago. And an effective teacher is one who has the experience, who has training, who has the background, who has demonstrated that; they are teaching those content standards at a level of mastery that impacts student performance. Okay? So we have that definition of an effective teacher. We know it's been a struggle for many schools to retain effective teachers. We're putting a lot of things in place. But a district

that has a systematic approach will be bringing in people and then setting up the support and development and the retention strategies in order to keep those people in place. But as we look at the situation right now in the district, we can see in those bullet points that are kind of outlined, the highlights. I'm going to show you some detail on in a minute. But the secondary staff is comprised primarily of virtual teachers. And if you want to look at the teachers who are mostly licensed in the district, it is those virtual teachers. So the heavy reliance on virtual teachers for core instruction is a concern in terms of a lack of a systematic approach for attracting the staff that's needed.

The majority of elementary teachers are taught by unlicensed teachers. Only two teachers assigned to the K-6 grades are licensed. So when you start thinking about those very young learners and they're having to be taught foundational fundamental skills, even to the point of how to hold a pencil to write, a teacher who has not been taught to teach children how to do even those basic fundamental things is going to struggle and, therefore, those children are as well.

There's a lot of lack of clarity, even yesterday we were still trying to go back and unpack some of

the data. Having an Act 1240 waiver district-wide makes it harder for us to determine what's going on at that district. So being able to be onsite and do some follow-up has helped us unpeel -- peel back some of the layers and I think we can give you a picture of what their staff look like. We did not have ALPs on file last week, so there were some questions about some teachers who maybe are licensed but just teaching out of their area.

This supporting development piece -- again, that systematic approach, we find -- we're finding that they have a lot of people contracted to come in and do mentoring and support in development of teachers. But you'll see here that there's no evidence in their educator effectiveness system to show that teachers have even developed a professional growth plan. And there's no evidence that observations are taking place and that teachers are receiving feedback.

On the retention aspect, they do have a high rate of turnover. It's been over 25% for the past two years. And the district waited until just last week to begin enrolling their Act 1240 teachers in the ARPEP program. I believe that was starting to happen last week. So that lack of systematic approach, saying, okay, here are the people that we

have and waiting that long to get them on a licensure pathway.

It's also a concern, that last bullet there, enrollment in career centers, that kind of gets into the student supports and academic piece. But I wanted to mention it here because, in a moment when we look at their staff for their career education programs, they are not able to provide a robust career and technical education experience for students with three programs and three courses in those program areas. However, there are at least two career centers that would be available to students and that's where students could be going to get that really robust career education experience. And, according to our records, there are no students enrolled in either of those centers.

And so we pulled together just a sheet here to show you their teachers. So this is their elementary -- and I've color-coded it green, yellow and red. So green Go means they are fully licensed for that grade level that they're teaching; red would mean they're not; yellow means that they may be licensed but just not for that area. So you can see in their kindergarten and 1st grade their teachers are not licensed. They don't meet the -- the ETP is

emergency teaching permit; so that would be someone who would've had some qualifications, would've had a background -- that they're not meeting that criteria and they're not a long-term sub. And that's the result of having an Act 1240 waiver; you really don't have to have any of that.

So the middle school with the math and science, you can see that they do have a middle school math certified teacher, but that teacher is also teaching science. So if that teacher were on an ALP adding to their license that would've, you know, made that person appropriately accepted to be able to teach that position. But you can see here at a glance the majority of the staff in the elementary school are not licensed.

When we go to the secondary, these are the ones -- these are the teachers -- face-to-face onsite teachers. Again, you've got some who are licensed for those areas that they're teaching, but then you have several that are not. Two of those there are virtual. I think we left a question-mark beside one of them. We think that is also a contracted person. So I guess when I say they're onsite, they may not actually be; they may just be a contracted person not tied to like Virtual Arkansas.

This next group is where you have your Virtual Arkansas contracted teachers teaching several of those core areas. And then there's one there that we had a question about; we're not sure what that person — they weren't showing up in the system. So — and somebody may be able to answer that question as well. But just in general, again, that systematic approach to when you recruit your staff, when you have them there it's going to be very hard to retain teachers that are not part of the pathway, not getting the support that really matches what they're doing.

This next part, the special education, two of those are licensed but one of them is a contracted person — so someone from outside the district. Some of those more general area courses there you can see. You know, you have someone with an early childhood background that is assigned to teach art. You know, in thinking about a systematic process there are times that districts move teachers to teach in a different area. But it's also a concern though when you've got someone licensed for an area and they're not being assigned. Again, there are reasons locally why decisions are made on placement but overall, it — when you think about stability in the workforce that raises some questions and concerns.

So if you put this data together in terms of charts, on the elementary side the blue would indicate how many are licensed, the red and yellow are either unlicensed and out-of-area. So you can see kind of the ratios there. For the high school, you can see what those ratios look like. The majority of those that are licensed are virtual teachers. And then the district-wide percentages are there, so you have that to kind of give you a perspective.

Virtual versus face-to-face, in terms of the number of staff for the core instruction there -- so 34% are virtual teachers, a pretty large percentage there when you think about that and the experience students are getting.

We pulled their data on the teacher attrition. So the district is in that black line, the blue line is the co-op, and then the green dotted line would be state data. So you can see what their data had been the last couple of years -- well, you can see all the way back. So the data, you know, have been kind of fluctuating back from -- 2018 looks like it was when they had the least amount of attrition in the district.

This piece of data here I think is important.

25

This would be the evidence if you had principals who are in the classrooms doing the observations. But I think the one that's probably more compelling to me -- because knowing they've had trouble staffing at a principal level -- the one that's probably more compelling is the fact of the professional growth plans as a teacher. And the system has been designed to be there intuitive and for the teachers to be able to take ownership over the type of professional growth opportunities that they need in order to get better. And teachers who are coming in -- we have other districts that -- where teachers who are unlicensed but they're coming in and they're being treated like professionals so that they're being invested in and they're being asked what is it that you need to do to get better and there's that professional conversation. You know, the teacher that talked to us a while ago, who's getting to go and have that professional experience through the Fulbright award, I mean what greater investment in a professional and being treated that way. And these professional growth plans, that's what they're designed to do. They're designed to be the -- that what I get to count on to help me grow and get better.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

So those are the data we have on the human capital pieces. I don't know if you want to do questions now or Ms. Smith can go on.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Anyone have a question on that portion?

MR. WOOD: I might have a question about that. CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.

MR. WOOD: But feel free to tell me to move that to the end of this. The ultimate question that's probably headed towards us, what are the prospects in surrounding districts to improve those human capital concerns?

SECRETARY KEY: Yeah. I would respectfully suggest we hold that to later in the discussion.

MR. WOOD: Okay.

MS. SMITH: So I can tell you just from being there this week that even some of those -- again, this is how resourceful Dr. Ray is. So, even this week they were hiring a special ed. teacher as a long-term sub to come in and start impacting by providing services to the high school. You know, even this week she's readjusted her purchase service contract because she's not satisfied with some of the services. So this constantly changes. Staffing is changing. Okay? And she's trying to address where

2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

the hole is and trying to plug. So we know that.

We'll talk about student outcomes. So I pulled -- we had -- this is Marvell-Elaine math for grade K-2. Okay. And so they use NWEA. All right. So you can see fall and you can see spring. And I went back and asked for previous years of testing so we can see trends. Okay. What you want to see -- on the righthand side on the spring column is you want to see green. Okay. Light green means there wasn't a significant -- non-significant increase, but they either increased or they maintained what they were expecting kind of for that -- where they were. Okay. So if they were below to begin with, you want to see a higher number because you want to see the growth. All right. So dark green are good areas, reds are areas in which they actually lost ground that year in math. Okay. So you can see, depending on the grade levels, where we're losing some ground and in some grade levels where we're maintaining or even growing. I will say in observations in classrooms for math I did see math materials. I believe you have Go Math?

SUPT. RAY: Uh-huh.

MS. SMITH: Go Math district-wide. So they do have curriculum materials for that. They have hired mentors to come in and assist and coach. In one of

2	the room with a teacher teaching math. Okay. So I
3	observed that, I saw that happening.
4	This is for literacy.
5	DR. MOORE: Stacy, can you tell us what
6	assessment is this?
7	MS. SMITH: NWEA.
8	DR. MOORE: Okay.
9	MS. SMITH: NWEA.
10	This is for literacy K-2 and this is the area
11	that I have to say I have the most concerns about,
12	not only in their test data but in classroom
13	observations. This is their NWEA data back from
14	2017, all the way up to 21-22. Again, red indicates
15	that the students have lost ground from the previous
16	test at the beginning of the year.
17	MS. SAUM: Stacy, can I ask a quick question?
18	For the 2nd graders, are they taking the K-2 test or
19	the 2-5 test, do you know?
20	MS. SMITH: Where does that fall for NWEA,
21	Sherry?
22	MS. BAIRD: The 2-5 is the default.
23	MS. SMITH: $2-5$, so it's the $2-5$.
24	MS. SAUM: Okay. Thank you.
25	MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Stacy, I have a question as
	Sharon K. Hill, CCR

the classrooms I actually saw there was a mentor in

1

well. On the green, what segregates the differences between dark green and light green as far as percentile?

MS. SMITH: What's the -- when you went from light green to dark green -- come up here, Sheree. When you guys were deciding like on this slide right here, when you said non-significant increase and significant increase, how did you determine when it clicked to --

MS. BAIRD: Right.

MS. SMITH: -- dark green?

MS. BAIRD: So NWEA has an algorithm --

MS. SMITH: Tell them who you are.

MS. BAIRD: Oh. Sherry Baird, Program Manager for Assessment.

So using NWEA's numbers, when you test in the fall versus in the spring you're going to have what's called expected growth because you're getting instruction over the year. And if you're meeting or maintaining, that would be your light green; so I would have to look at what that percentile number was. But then, of course, you know, in order to close the gap we're looking for what we call stretch growth. And so -- but then when we see the red from fall to spring, we actually saw a decline with those

8 the K-2 or the 2-5 for the 2nd graders. Is there a 9 reason? 10 MS. BAIRD: So for students that are using -- if 11 they have been identified as an IRI, where they need 12 intensive reading instruction, they are allowed to 13 take the K-2. That's a local decision. But for most 14 students -- and so this district might have probably 15 more students who've been identified as an IRI, so 16 they may have many more on K-2 than a typical school. 17 But the 2-5 is the default for the state. 18 MS. SAUM: Okay. Thank you. 19 MR. WOOD: I have a question. And this might be 20 kind of a silly question. I'm sorry. But what does 21 the number mean? What is the number? 22 MS. BAIRD: The percentile? 23 MR. WOOD: Yes. 24 MS. BAIRD: So a percentile is how you compare 25 with other students. So if you're like say in the Sharon K. Hill, CCR

scores. They didn't make growth.

MR. HENDERSON: Thank you.

MS. SAUM: I was going to ask too, switching to

the 2-5, I know it's a lot more difficult. I see it

to have a decline. Is there a reason they aren't --

I know schools sometimes have that option of doing

in my own children and my school how children do tend

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

5	student.
6	MR. WOOD: So this means the average so this
7	means the average kindergartner in this school
8	district in 2017-18, in the fall, was better than
9	30.6% of students who took the test?
10	MS. HUNTER: Across the state?
11	MR. WOOD: Across the state? I just
12	MS. BAIRD: I'm just trying to see if I follow
13	your question. So like looking at kindergarten,
14	okay, so they're in the so the 50th percentile
15	like when we think of a bell curve those would be
16	like your what a typical student looks like.
17	MR. WOOD: 50 is average?
18	MS. BAIRD: 50 is average. So if you're in the
19	30th percentile, you're on the bottom slope of that
20	bell curve; you're on that tail.
21	MR. WOOD: And so this is a summation this
22	number is the average
23	MS. BAIRD: These numbers are just Marvell-
24	Elaine numbers.
25	MR. WOOD: Right. The average student in
	Sharon K. Hill, CCR

higher than your typical --

MR. WOOD: Right.

90th percentile that means you are 90% -- or scoring

MS. BAIRD: -- 1st grade student, 2nd grade

1 2

3

4

Marvell-Elaine scored 30.6? 1 2 MS. BATRD: Yes. 3 MR. WOOD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 4 MS. BAIRD: Uh-huh. 5 MS. HUNTER: Yeah, that was the question, like 6 how -- what is the 7 MS. BAIRD: National comparison. MS. HUNTER: It's a national score? 8 9 MS. BATRD: Yes. MS. HUNTER: Another -- I'm sorry; another 10 11 question. In the previous -- when we were talking 12 about the human capital, did we see that 2nd graders 13 in this district are taught by --14 MS. BAIRD: Licensed teachers. 15 MS. HUNTER: -- licensed teachers. Thank you. 16 MS. SMITH: Okay. So this is the Aspire math scores. Again, we kind of talked about this before. 17 18 This is in comparison to the state average. So this 19 is last year's scores in comparison with the state 20 score for the elementary school. Okay. So you can 21 see that from 2017, up to '22. I wanted you to see 22 the decline over the years. Now, again, we did have 23 Covid; we didn't test in 2020. In 2022, we saw most 24 schools actually rebounding. Okay. Not significant 25 rebounding, but we saw them rebounding, where here

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

they actually declined. This is their math -- their ELA -- I'm sorry -- this is math, this is ELA.

Again, when we talk about their teachers, licensed and non-licensed, you can kind of see again kindergarten not licensed. But this is -- it's over time too. Okay. So in classroom observations yesterday, especially in the area of literacy -- I spend quite a bit of time in schools and I spend a lot of my time in schools that are in state authority doing observations. And so I am used to seeing schools who are struggling, I'm used to seeing teachers who are on 1240 waivers, I'm used to seeing teachers who are novice teachers. This is the -consistently through literacy instruction, classroom to classroom, this is the poorest instruction I've seen. And it wasn't because people weren't trying. I saw mispronunciations, I saw misspelling of words in kindergarten that weren't even words, I saw -- my staff on multiple attempts kept trying to go back and see literacy instruction. But now they have adopted curriculum aligned to the Science of Reading and they are using it, especially with the phonemic awareness. But we had a really difficult time seeing them using the phonics instruction or seeing them use their Wit and Wisdom program for comprehension, things like

that. And we kept circling back in their schedule trying to catch that; kept seeing lots of brain breaks when we would go in the room, trying to get up and move, or they would pull out the Heggerty curriculum, which is very scripted. That is supposed to be about 10 minutes and it would get stretched out. And so we had a very hard -- difficult time catching that. Observations in the 2nd grade class -- and I don't -- I'm not going to say the scores for the 2nd is because of the 2nd grade teachers; that's not what I'm saying. This is over time. Okay. But I saw students who could not form their letters, and then I saw students who could write. Okay. But I saw a large group of kids that were not anywhere close to where they need to be at the point they're supposed to be in, and I didn't see any instruction that was going to close that gap.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I say all that, and I'm going to -- in a little bit I'm going to show you the amount of support we've provided them in literacy professional development and support to teachers over several years in a minute. Okay? So we should be a lot further along in the amount of support that's been poured in. All right. And then even -- and even Dr. Ray this year -- she went a different direction. She

didn't use our folks; she went and got her own folks, trying to pull in people for support, and listening to her people saying, "That didn't work for us; we want something different." So I'm going to talk a little bit about that. Lots of support has been provided.

So moving to high school, so AP exams. Each year you can -- the state provides AP. There is a requirement to provide AP classes. In 2018, '19, '20, '21, you can see the number of exams taken at the school. Not a single student has ever earned college credit in these years from their AP courses or classes. I did observe a student with an AP class; it was a virtual class. In talking to the student, he only gets the virtual teacher about twice a week for 15 minutes; the rest of the time is independent work.

ACT composite scores -- the average ACT score at Marvell-Elaine is 14. And you can see it over time -- 15, 15, 16, 13; 2021, 14 -- and that's in comparison to the state average at 18.3.

This is their graduation rate. Last year it was 93%. Their college attendance rate is 31%. Their college remediation rate is 100% of their students from this last year.

23

2425

In classroom observations, again, I saw an Algebra II class yesterday that was a virtual class. It has -- was supposed to have 24 kids; I think it had like 17. There wasn't enough Chromebooks for the kids that were in there; they were short like two or three, and kids were having to pair up. The teacher was actually teaching. But in interviews with the students for Algebra II content, and in discussion with the para that was supervising, they get direct instruction from their virtual teacher about twice a week for 15 minutes, and the rest of the time is independent work, watching videos, doing the work. Т was told the class is doing great, 80% of the kids have -- or not 80% of the kids -- almost all the kids but two have an 80% or better in the class as a grade. I would -- I'm making the assumption that when they're doing their independent work they're working together and they're turning in their assignments and they're getting the grade. But I would not bet that they would pass an Algebra II or show any type of progress for higher level math. that is an observation of me being there, walking in classrooms, and my staff being there walking in classrooms.

So, again, kind of laying over -- I chunked this

graph in content area. So when you look at ELA, they have two ELA teachers onsite for 7th through 12th grade. So that one teacher is licensed in 7/8, not licensed in 10/11, but she's been teaching those courses. Second teacher, not licensed in any of those classes; so that's critical reading -- critical reading, oral communication, journalism, transitional literacy. That third is a virtual teacher, and so that would be a virtual program. Okay. And, again, having that instruction by a virtual teacher is very limited. It's not like they're in first period every day getting taught by a virtual teacher and there's a para in there. It's very limited.

When you look at math -- math, that teacher is actually certified. But the reason they're graded out is she's on leave, and that's actually common. They have actually had teachers in there last year that were -- and people are allowed to have leave; you're allowed to have medical leave; you're allowed to have -- but she's not there right now, and so that's being filled by I believe a sub. Second teacher, not licensed in pre-calculus. I think they're a tech coordinator for the district and they're teaching the pre-calculus class. And then the virtual class was Algebra II, and, again, I

observed that.

Social studies, they have two teachers there and they are licensed in all those areas, 7th through 12th grade.

Science, all virtual for chemistry and physical science, and then virtual asynchronous -- I have that in a different color, because this is a program that doesn't actually use a live teacher; it's all recorded. There is a teacher with a program that is checking the work; that is a certified teacher. So when you look at how many certified teachers they have it looks like a lot sometimes, but that is not direct contact with kids.

Am I right about that?

SUPT. RAY: (Nodding head up and down.)

MS. SMITH: Yeah, it's not direct contact. So you're already talking about a group of kids who are struggling, who -- they don't have a direct teacher teaching them. It is self-paced going through. They do have paras; she has brought in mentors galore. But the sustainability of it and the instruction for kids is suffering.

Specialty areas, they have a special education teacher. The high school, they just hired that person this week; that's a long-term sub, not

licensed -- but a long-term sub that's going to start servicing kids. Again, those are specialty areas. You see licensed and non-licensed there.

So I'm going to pause for a second because I know that was a lot. Any questions on those pieces? And then I'm going to kind of hit some of these other areas.

I am going to make this comment: so I know we've talked a lot about licensed teachers. There were licensed teachers in this school that I observed, that I would, if I were a principal, probably have them on an action plan or not have them in my school. The quality was very low. So just because you have a license doesn't mean you're great.

DR. MOORE: May I ask a question?

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

DR. MOORE: How long have they had Act 1240 waivers in the district?

MS. SMITH: How long have they had Act 1240 waivers? For several years.

MS. FRENO: From our records, they've had an Act 1240 waiver for Teacher Licensure since 2019. They currently have it running through the 24-25 school year. And this board just granted them that extension in April of this year.

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

> 21 22

> 23

25

24

DR. MOORE: Okay. And has the district relied on Act 1240 waivers -- I mean obviously since 2019. Do we have any data going back to before then, if there was a lot of long-term subs or what staffing looked like at that point in time?

MS. SMITH: So that was some of the data that Ivy was showing you over time, the turnover rate and the 1240 teachers. So it has been -- in fact, this year we really tried to work with Marvell-Elaine too when they were coming for their waiver, trying to identify where licensed teachers could be. So it has been an ongoing issue. We've been working with the district since 2018-19, as far as the Office of Coordinated Support and Service, and working specifically on principal leadership and supporting teachers in curriculum content. I can give you the exact numbers, but I don't have them at this minute.

DR. MOORE: Okay, that's helpful.

MS. SMITH: Okay. The last time when we were here I think it was Mr. Wood -- no S -- asked about have they been identified before, and I said, "No, they're not." But that was not an act -- that wasn't exactly correct. So right now they are Level 4 for directed support, and then they would classify for Level 5. They do have a Standards for Accreditation

like -- finding right now for the principal, but they're going to resolve that and fix it for the next one. They have some current employees with background checks, but I'm going to move through that.

We're going to talk a little bit about federal accountability. So they are a district that, in 2018, were identified for comprehensive support and improvement, so CSI. That's our federal accountability and that's how we identify schools who are the lowest performing schools in the past -- or in the state. Normally, in the past that's where you heard academic distress; they're now comprehensive support and improvement. The district did not exit that this year, and now they're in what's considered more rigorous interventions, comprehensive support and improvement. So since 2018, they have been classified as a low-performing school receiving additional funding and support in those areas.

So that's kind of a big overview of that. We can dive deeper in that with Deb. I can bring them up here if we need to. But I just wanted to put out that this isn't something that just happened. Okay.

Facilities and transportation, I don't have any concerns. Their facilities were clean; their

facilities have classrooms, they have actually expanded them; they're open, large, nice rooms, clean rooms. Materials, supplies were available.

Curriculum was available, posted -- I mean they had the materials needed to teach. Their facilities were nice. When I pulled up, Dr. Ray -- what's she doing? She's out front greeting people, she's picking up trash, she's working. Okay. I saw other people working doing the same thing. I saw both principals in their building working. So I don't want to say that they weren't working and doing their jobs. They're facing insurmountable tasks.

Student supports -- ALE, they have five students that are assigned to an ALE. It is a purchase service, so they're off-campus elsewhere. I attempted to visit the campus several times and students were not there. When we did contact the supervisor, they let students go for work programs, and they didn't have a teacher, so kids were out because they didn't have a sub for the room. We did finally get there this week and did see some kids on the campus. The only students in this consortium are from Marvell-Elaine. So there's more support that needs to be done there right now. I'm showing all the kids are filling their classes.

Special education -- they've hired a long-term sub for high school. But at this point I don't think high school kids have been served their special education minutes for this year at all. I could be wrong, they may have had some other things; but at this point my special ed. staff that went out found that as a concern and something that needed to be addressed

Related services are provided through a purchase service contract. That is not atypical. A lot of school districts hire extra speech teachers, OT and PT. They're having to do all of that through that, but they're also having to hire just their direct instruction teacher through those kind of contracts as well.

The academics, the curriculum -- they have Wit and Wisdom; they have Fundations; they have Heggerty; they have Go Math. That's good. That's good. The outside person they hired to do pacing materials -- when you look at it, it looks very good. Okay. It looks like a good curriculum. I mean, Dr. Ray has some really good contacts and resources and people who have been supporting her to get them to the point they're at at this moment. We do have some concerns about some of the pacing following the fidelity of

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

those pieces. But the bigger concern for me was seeing implementation in the classroom; it didn't necessarily match.

Literacy -- the literacy instruction was poor throughout the school. And even in the high school, in classroom instruction, I saw students sleeping, heads down, just not engaged. And the virtual classroom that I observed the teacher who -- as she was teaching, when I first walked in and did an observation all the kids got guiet. I left. When I came back they were all talking, the teacher was still teaching. They're not even -- I mean, I think I had one or two kids in the back of the room that had their headphones on and were really listening; everybody else was muted and they were having a good time.

Dyslexia -- they have 46 students identified. ADE and the co-op actually assisted in screening and identifying kids. And we do have services being provided through Barton, the Barton program, in 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th. You saw that. That was going. The district has not completed level 2 screeners. I actually visited with the person about that. That should be getting done. So, students in those other grades are not being served at this time. And that person is Take Flight trained, which is a really good program for dyslexia. But, again, we're right at -- now almost to January and we haven't even started serving those students.

Counseling plan -- they do have a counselor. She's a novice. Their comprehensive school counseling plan, it needs assistance.

Support previously provided in literacy -- so going back to 2018-19 is the first notes that I found where it shows that staff from the Office of Coordinated State Support was going in and directly working with the school district on a regular basis. So Rocky Malone, who many of you may know, she was the principal support; Sheila Whitlow, who's on my team, was going in and supporting the superintendent -- that was Dr. Cottoms at the time, then that changed over to Dr. Anderson, and now we've got Dr. -- and then we had Ms. Williams for that -- the one year, and now we've got Ms. Ray.

Back in 2021, this is my first year as OCSS, so this is where I did more detail because this is where I'm -- I come in. So I can tell you that, in 2021, we sent -- I sent my best. Sandy Shepard is the lead of RISE Arkansas in the state. I talked to Dr. Pride and I said, "No more do the ADE specialists -- no

25

more going to northwest Arkansas, no more going over here; I want all of the people who are the best of the best to have trained everybody else assigned to this region. So I made her shift the entire organization and where people were assigned. So these are high-quality thorough, good, solid trainers. In 2021, the specialist did the full-day RISE training for K-2. We actually hosted it on Marvell-Elaine campus; other schools were coming there. We did the 3-6 right down the street at Lee County. Jennifer Barbaree is also literacy background; she was the specialist, Assistant Superintendent -- State Superintendent assigned there. She was onsite weekly and she was assisting. We had literacy specialists going in to Atwood, going into kindergarten and pre-K classrooms. Alisha Atwood worked for me when I was principal -- one of the best kindergarten teachers I've ever seen. She was going in and modeling lessons. ADE dyslexia support provided by Vicki King, that was happening in 2021. In 21-22, we had the co-op folks were going in; so they were going in regularly to provide support. We had pushback from the teachers and the principal on our expectations and what we were expecting from teachers and we actually reassigned

folks. Were my folks culturally responsive enough was questioned, so we switched who our specialist was going there. We tried to adjust as needed to provide support. We even had people from Public School Accountability that were assisting. In 22-23, we were asked to pull back. Dr. Ray hired some folks who did have Science of Reading training and they've been coming in trying to support. But there has been a lot of support in reading instruction poured into that elementary campus. And the observations that I had -- and I was there on Tuesday, not Monday -- I'm going to call that cold medicine error, okay -- Tuesday, it was sorely lacking.

So lack of concerns about the teachers, lack of progress over the last three years, with intensive literacy support, and resistance to the direction from the Department staff. I'm not saying that Dr. Ray is resisting me, so don't take that. Okay. She and I have had some very good conversations and she's called me to say, "Come back, come help us."

Curriculums -- I talked about that a minute ago.

Purchase service contracts -- again, these are things that she's had to go outside of the district to hire extra people to come in. They're not employed by the district. Everything from mentors to

her high school principal is not an employee of the district. For her curriculum, for related SPED services, her LEA supervisor, for ALE services, the GT teachers, the virtual instructions for required courses -- you know, it goes on and on. If she didn't have the ability to do this and the connections to do this, they would have so many Standards for Accreditation violations. Okay. Again, this is her resources and her ability to pull people in, but that's not sustainable and it's not a person that's consistently at the school.

The budget summary -- I did want to put the updated one on there. We do not have a concern about the budget that we had last month. Okay. If you'll look at this one, this shows the difference on the '23 budget. The last time it showed like \$900,000. It's at \$161,000. So that's okay. Dr. Saunders is here, but he does not have any huge concerns right now about the budget. We did look about the purchase service contracts; they have been coming through the debt request. So, again, they're trying to keep their things there organized. But our team did go in and support helping them get their budget.

Conclusion --

DR. MOORE: May I ask a question --

1	MS. SMITH: Yes.
2	DR. MOORE: on the budget fiscal-wise? Have
3	they ever been in fiscal distress?
4	MS. SMITH: Yes.
5	DR. MOORE: Okay. I think I looked back at some
6	news articles. When did they exit fiscal distress?
7	MS. SMITH: We exited the fiscal distress last
8	year.
9	DR. MOORE: Oh. It was just last year?
10	MS. SMITH: Uh-huh.
11	DR. MOORE: Okay. And when I read it, it was
12	something like misuse of funds in the district. But
13	all that was resolved with the return of fiscal
14	MS. SMITH: Yes. And just to recall, that was
15	one of those that was right before the
16	superintendent passed away
17	DR. MOORE: Okay.
18	MS. SMITH: and the interim superintendent
19	came. We clapped, we I mean, it was Mr.
20	Williams was here. And we talked about the fiscal
21	distress and that was last year.
22	DR. MOORE: Okay. But since then, I mean
23	MS. SMITH: So last year our office still
24	monitors and assists.
25	DR. MOORE: Okay.

1 MS. SMITH: So we don't have huge concerns about 2 the fiscal. 3 DR. MOORE: Okay. 4 MR. WOOD: Can I ask a question --5 MS. SMITH: Yes. 6 MR. WOOD: -- before we get too far from the 7 human capital stuff there? I don't think I saw a discussion about staff turnover in the district. Is 8 9 that an issue that's causing ineffectiveness? So 10 when we talk about the history of interventions going 11 back to 17-18, 18-19, whatever, if they're constantly 12 hiring new teachers then you're losing the training. MS. SMITH: Yeah. So earlier, I believe when 13 14 Dr. Pfeffer was up here, she said 25% of their staff 15 has turned over. And we do have other staff that has 16 turned over, and then you have other staff that's within there that's not been licensed. And so it 17 18 does -- it is an impact. 19 MR. WOOD: Yes. 20 MS. SMITH: And, again -- and so for the 21 recommendation of not giving them the waiver, when we 22 say it's not that people aren't there working hard or 23 trying; it's they are going to have constant 24 turnover, they are constantly going to be in this 25 thing of I'm hiring a licensed teacher but it's

either a novice teacher or it's a licensed teacher that is struggling, or a non-licensed teacher that we're then trying to get them through a pathway. It is a constant -- it's a constant. And so that is -- I think it's almost insurmountable for the district to even be able to address it.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the conclusion here, basically, this is how I started. Okay. I started by saying that the students were not receiving adequate or equitable -and I can say that confidently through observation. I think the challenge of retention and recruitment in the district is something that at their site, at their competitive pay, at just the way everything is set up, I just don't think they can compete. I think they're going to constantly be facing that. In fact, I had teachers who were in Lee County and other districts that we work with closely that have come from Marvell. You know, their superintendent has changed frequently, not that -- you know, we're not committed now, but it's an ongoing thing. The district will continue to have violations that we're going to continue to have to find creative ways to solve, and that doesn't necessarily mean that's the best thing for kids. And we have been providing support. I know this is -- you know, for you guys

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15 16

17 18

19 20 21

22 23

24

25

trying to make this decision this feels very recent, but we have been supporting for several years.

DR. HILL: What have you been able to -- since your time there, from a community support standpoint -- I mean, that's -- I mean, do we know, you know, from a transformation --

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

DR. HILL: -- vision of what you're trying --

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

DR. HILL: -- to do with that and how integral that is?

MS. SMITH: Yes. So I visited with Katina, or Dr. Ray, about that this week when I was there. It's not that the alumni and the folks that live in that community don't love their school and aren't connected to it; most -- a lot of those people, that's the school they went to. The area though around as far as the community and businesses, it's just not -- it's mainly farmland, there's not a lot of community action. They do have folks who come and support the sports and the basketball team and, you know, those types of things. But as far as the community being able to come in -- a lot of the people who work there that are not licensed live in the community, so you have that type of engagement.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

They want to be involved and they don't want to see their school close; it's just providing the quality education that kids need. Did that help?

DR. HILL: Yes. The desire is there.

 ${
m MS.}$ SMITH: The desire is there. The desire is there.

Okay. I'm done with my presentation, if you guys want to talk to Dr. Ray or if you have any other questions for me.

SECRETARY KEY: So I just -- I want to give -- I want to give a little context to the Board, because since the day after y'all met on the 28th and considered Level 5 we've had folks there I think every day or almost every day. I mean, but it's been a regular occurrence that we've had teams onsite assessing, as we told y'all we would. You know, every day I would get a report on, you know, what they find and it was always their -- folks in the schools are working hard; that's not an issue. The capacity simply isn't there -- I mean the capacity all the way around. That's why we said we would look at the six systems to see, and I think gave a very clear picture of the conditions that we observed. And this is emotional. I mean, you know, in those phone calls, sometimes we would have to hang up

because of the -- we couldn't have a conversation for the emotions that were coming in in reporting of what we've seen or, you know, what they had seen when they were there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

But I put this reference to Arkansas code 10-3-2101. It was there at the top of the Conclusion, that ultimately it is the responsibility of the State. It was made very clear in the Lake View decision. And I think we've done a very good job of holding to that commitment. It's been up and down. You know, we work with districts all the time that are -- they struggle. I don't think we have seen the level of struggle like we're seeing here. And that's not -- I mean, you see Mr. Williams here every time that Marvell-Elaine -- on good days and bad days Mr. Williams is here. He's there on -- in town; you know, he's -- Sundays, Wednesday nights probably, whenever the church doors are open I know he's there talking about the school and the kids.

Ultimately, the General Assembly has left that to us as the Department and the Board to make sometimes what is very, very, very difficult decisions. It is never an easy decision and we bear the weight of the magnitude of that decision. And when we bring presentations like this to y'all and

bring recommendations -- because we know -- we understand the importance of this school to its community. Bottom line though, they're at 300 or so students that their futures and any students that come after them, their futures, are absolutely at stake and it is our responsibility to make sure.

Now I'm going to address your question. Mr

Now I'm going to address your question, Mr. Wood, that you brought earlier. The capacity of other districts in that area, you all know those — there are districts in that area that have struggled, and two of them we work with and have for years. The Helena-West Helena district has been in and out of state authority; we all know that. Lee County, in and out of state authority. But to your — specifically to your question, I believe it was Dr. McGee — no, it was with Lee County — I think Stacy did make a report this year, it was the first year they've started school fully staffed.

MS. SMITH: Yes.

SECRETARY KEY: And that was a report that we were able to bring -

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

MS. SMITH: And even in Helena-West Helena, the

support --

SECRETARY KEY: Yes.

MS. SMITH: -- we've provided them in putting -- you know, looking at where teachers were licensed, assigning people, re-doing their schedules. We were able to get them to participate with core teachers that they had onsite in-person.

SECRETARY KEY: Yeah. So to address that question, is it -- it is better. And the work that we have done in those districts has helped create a better -- you know, a better situation in those districts. Barton, which is right next-door, you know, we've not worked as much with them, but, you know, we still have worked with them in the past.

So that's -- you know, that's what we would be looking at next. And as y'all get through your questions, if you want to have a brief conversation about what next steps would be we can do that. But I don't want to get that confused with this decision that you all have to make regarding this waiver request.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Dr. Pfeffer, did you have something?

DR. PFEFFER: To what Secretary Key was going to say, it really becomes about workforce stability.

And in a district that is so small the number of staff that are needed to provide basic education services, it gets very hard to be able to do that. And when you look at the other districts in the region, there's more capacity there to provide not only the basic education but those support services and add to the stability. That's really what -- one difference that it would be.

1 2

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. Does anyone have a question maybe for Dr. Ray or the district that you wanted to ask them?

I know -- and I think this would be a good time to remind you as a board, whether we like it or not we have responsibilities. Okay? And some of those responsibilities are personal that we feel and some of them are obligations by law that we are required to make sure of. And one of them -- you saw it on the screen where Stacy put it up while ago -- I want to read it to make sure that we are clear what our responsibility is: Therefore, because the state of Arkansas has an absolute duty to provide the school children of the state of Arkansas with an adequate education, the General Assembly finds that insuring that an adequate and equitable system of public education is available in the state of Arkansas and

1 shall be the ongoing priority for the state of 2 Arkansas. That's our responsibility, is to make sure 3 that those children, each and every one, have an 4 adequate education. 5 And so that's the charge that I guess I'm going 6 to lead with you today and then we can have 7 discussions on that as we go forward. 8 Questions, comments, feelings? 9 Ms. Freno. 10 MS. FRENO: Ms. Newton, just for clarification, 11 I know you asked if anyone has questions of the 12 district. But the district has an opportunity to get 13 up --14 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. 15 MS. FRENO: -- and make any comments that it 16 wishes to make. 17 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. So, Dr. 18 Ray, we'll -- we didn't necessarily have a question, 19 but we know that you probably would like to speak. 20 Welcome. 21 SUPT. RAY: Thank you. Thank you for this 22 opportunity. 23 When I met with Stacy this week she shared with 24 me that this would be a tough experience, and it has 25 been that. Let me say to my board members that are

here, Mr. Williams and Mr. Cox, thank you for your support, for always being here and supporting us. And we also have Mr. English that's online, and we may have others that are online. Thank you all for being here and always supporting the district.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ten days ago, we stood in front of you and we shared that we have lots of challenges in the Marvell-Elaine School District. Ten days ago, we stood before you and we said that we welcome any support that the State was willing to give us. And so as the presentation has been shared, those -there's already been some supports in place, but we have never been in Level 5. Last school year our district lost its leader. So some of that -- I wasn't there, but I'm going to say I know that some of this is due to just the grief and hardship that came along with that. We know that over the years, like I said, there's been some supports. But I would also ask during the time that the State was offering those supports why didn't test scores go up? I mean, they've proved today that they have put a lot of work into Marvell-Elaine School District. So I'm going to again ask what will Level 5 look like? Why is it -what is the difference? We just got moved in there 10 days ago. So we are asking for -- to be given the

5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

> 24 25

23

opportunity to receive that intensive support that

was shared 10 days ago, that it would be different. We haven't received that extra support for the Level 5. So, again, we are again asking to receive those additional supports. We're asking for more time to prove that we can do this, that we can make the turnarounds. We know that we cannot do this by ourselves. We need your support. We need the support of the State. We need the support of the -anyone that's willing to come in to assist us in this effort. But, again, we were just placed into Level 5 ten days ago. And so we again ask that you would consider giving us the waiver, and then at the end of the year if we do not make the gains and the progress that's needed in an effort to adequately educate our students in our area, then we're willing to come back before you. But we're again asking to have that opportunity because we were just moved into Level 5 ten days ago.

I will offer [sic] any questions that you may have for me.

We're going to continue to do the work. That's the bottom line. This is about the kids and we want the very best for them. We want them to be educated. We're going to continue to call in all of the favors

that we can muster up in an effort to insure that our kids are being — their needs are being met. We know that there's a lot of challenges and the area of retention and recruitment is very, very — it's difficult. You know, it's a rural community. We have people that — you know, they like the urban cities of Little Rock and the areas around here. So we definitely understand that. So we are looking for the support from the State. You know, are there people — are there some things that we can do differently in an effort to attract teachers to our area that will want to stay and be invested in the community?

So, again, you know, we're willing to continue to do the work and that's what we ask for today. Give our board, give our staff and our new administration an opportunity to learn and grow, but to -- also to work with our students and to give those students in our community a school, because they want a school in their area that they can go to every day and feel real good about, but also be educated.

Any questions for me?

DR. MOORE: I do.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Dr. Moore.

DR. MOORE: Thanks for all the hard work you're doing and it's very challenging. I noticed when Stacy was going over the data one positive light was you had a higher than the state average graduation rate. I believe it was 93%. But the college-going rate was very low and it sounds like there's no students participating in a career and technical program. Do y'all see that changing? I mean, so there's a large group of students who aren't going to college and not receiving any career/tech training -- career and technical or any sort of training. Is that changing? Is that something that is going to be worked on?

SUPT. RAY: It is. We do have some -- we've been talking with our students and seeing those areas that they want to be educated in, so we have put some new areas in place. And so some of that is our students don't know that they don't want to go to college. You know, they -- you know, we have to make sure that we're exposing them to those opportunities. In communities like Marvell-Elaine -- communities of Marvell-Elaine, some of it is the students -- they don't know what's available to them, and so that's what we're trying to do. We're trying to bring in opportunities so that they can see that this is --

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

24

25

these are the possibilities for them outside of just in the Marvell area where they see a lot of agriculture.

DR. MOORE: Absolutely. All kids deserve that opportunity.

Does the district have a college and career coach?

SUPT. RAY: No, we do not have.

DR. MOORE: And does the counselor serve in that role?

SUPT. RAY: Yes. And, again, we do have a new counselor this year, so she's also being provided training because she's a novice. But we're working with her, we're bringing people in in an effort to help support those efforts of getting, you know, her trained so that she can best work with the students on those opportunities.

DR. MOORE: My next just questions revolve around the capacity of the community to build a long-term educator workforce. We're looking at, you know, a lot of unlicensed teachers, which is allowable by the Act 1240 waivers.

SUPT. RAY: Right.

DR. MOORE: I know this is your first year. But in previous years do you know if there were efforts

to get those unlicensed teachers on a pathway to licensure?

SUPT. RAY: Yes.

DR. MOORE: And have those people left and there's new people, or what does that cycle look like and why aren't the current ones on any sort of pathway? Because the way I see it if you're on a pathway, whether in school or otherwise, you're getting that additional layer of support from outside the district to help you.

SUPT. RAY: Right. So we have worked very closely with our Great Rivers Co-op in an effort to put those teachers on a pathway. Some of that is just we didn't push the button. We had a new registrar this year and so we are aware -- and like I said, we've been working very closely. So we have talked with those teachers, and so starting in January we have teachers already that are on 1240 that are already signed up to participate in those programs. So we do have that pathway. And, again, we have that documentation to show that we do have teachers who will be going through a pathway in an effort to be licensed.

DR. MOORE: Okay. And do you see those teachers staying in your community? Teacher salary-wise, have

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

> 21 22

23

24

25

y'all been able to increase? Because I know that's a challenge certainly --

SUPT RAY: Yeah

DR. MOORE: -- if you can retain teachers or not.

SUPT. RAY: It's definitely a challenge. So we do have the minimum license -- minimum amount for salaries, but we do need to increase it. And I think that's something that's going to be important for the entire delta area because, you know, those areas -because they are very challenging those teachers need to be making more money and having more incentives in an effort to remain there. But to answer your question about the teachers, if they will remain, I would say yes because a lot of those teachers are from that community. They're new. With the turnover, they've not been fully trained yet and so we're still in the process.

The things that I've shared with the community is that -- you know, I often share that we're in a state of emergency, so we're having to work harder, work faster. But our teachers are learning as they're teaching our students. And so we just feel like if we give them the adequate amount of time to be able to be invested in, then we will see -- once

we go into classrooms and observations are being made that there will be a change. But, again, our teachers are in a learning curve because they are new.

DR. MOORE: It's hard. I think my last questions revolve around sort of the community support. I fully believe that we can't do this from 8:00 to 2:00 every day. There needs to be wraparound supports for students --

SUPT. RAY: Right.

DR. MOORE: -- summer or afterschool programs, weekends. What capacity does the community have to provide more supports for your students?

SUPT. RAY: Well, I am going to say since our last State Board meeting on the 28th I've had a lot of community members to reach out to me wanting to know what can we do to help. So I think now because we have this sense of urgency -- you know, it's been there. But now, coming in front of the State Board and going into the Level 5, our community is -- they're feeling it and they're wanting to be able to do more. So I do feel like those opportunities will be available for our students, again, just given the opportunity.

Now, like I said, there's a larger investment.

No community wants to lose their school district, they don't. And so those that have ways that they can help support us they are reaching out and saying, "Hey, you know, what else can we do or what can we do in an effort to support this district, because we want this district to remain open in our community."

DR. MOORE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Mr. Wood.

MR. WOOD: When it comes to the question that faces us today though, rather than "we want to do," what -- do you have a specific plan to address the recruitment of high-quality instruction?

SUPT. RAY: The plan that I have in an effort to recruit high-quality -- again, we've been looking all over. We've looked not just in Arkansas, we've been looking out-of-state in an effort of trying to find people that are willing to come and make an investment, to stay here in this community. So we've been open to that. But, additionally, we've been working with local -- with colleges in the state, working with them in terms of trying to lead them from the college to come in directly to work in our schools. We actually have a recent college graduate that lived in that community. We said, "Hey, we want you here; you know, we'll continue to work with you."

25

She is on a 1240 waiver. But, again, she's from the community; she's a recent college graduate. She did go through the education program, there's some tests that she needs to take. So we're going to continue those opportunities in an effort of bringing the members of the community -- because I have people reach out to me a lot, they'll say, "You know, I'm from Marvell" or, you know, "I'm teaching in another area." And we want you to come back, you know, especially those effective highly-qualified persons that are willing to come back to our district to see some things that are different. But I think it will also start with there's some changes that we're going to have to make in terms of some of our processes. The way we pay, I was sharing with my board just the other night in terms of being equal and what's equitable. Because we have a lot of deficits in our district we have to make sure that everything is not equal because it's harder to hire a chemistry teacher in our area. So we're going to have to do more incentives for those people. So making those -having those conversations -- and I really feel like the board is onboard with seeing that these changes must happen, because we have to be equitable; we have to make sure that everyone is receiving what they

need, even if it's not the same but it's equitable. It's supply-and-demand at this point, and that's a statewide concern.

So we do have a plan. We will continue, again, to work with the local colleges in an effort to insure that we're bringing in those highly-qualified people in an effort to, you know, to teach our kids.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any questions over here?

Mr. Sutton, did you have a question?

MR. SUTTON: Huh-uh.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.

MR. HENDERSON: I do have one.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Henderson.

MR. HENDERSON: Dr. Ray, thank you for your comments and your time. You did mention that from the community now you have overwhelming support. Are there any community or business members here representing you today?

SUPT. RAY: We did ask for some to be online. I don't know if they were -- we do have the support -- and I'm not sure if they are on or not -- the support of our -- both of the mayors are highly supportive of keeping the districts open. Again, we shared at our last board meeting -- this last board meeting that, in 2006, the consolidation took place with Marvell

and Elaine. So, again, we -- you know, they are in much support of keeping the districts open so that we don't have this transition again for our students. But, again, we don't have a lot of industry in the Marvell-Elaine area; a lot of it is agricultural. But, again, we do have the support of some of the programs. Some of the local programs, they have reached out to us, and, again, I'm not sure if they're on but we did invite them to participate in this process so that they could hear what's going on to see how they can also best support this. But there are some that are out there that's willing, so -- but I don't know if they're here or if they're online or not.

MR. HENDERSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any other questions or discussion from the Board?

The item before you on Marvell-Elaine is different than the first five we went through. This one, we will have to vote to either grant the waiver or deny the waiver.

MR. WOOD: I did have another question -- CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.

MR. WOOD: -- going back to neighboring things. I don't want to get too far down into that process; I

appreciate what Secretary Key said there. But what is the burden going to be on students at this -- at these schools if they are reassigned to Lee County or Helena-West Helena? How much -- what are we looking at as far as the burden on those students?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY KEY: So depending on your action on this question today, the -- it sets forth -- it sets in motion another timeline. And under the law, without a waiver a district that is below 350 for two consecutive years first has the opportunity to find a partner to consolidate with. That would have to be done by March 1st of the year -- March 1st -- the first -- well, let's see, how was the law -- so March 1st following the publication of the list. Right? So in this case, March 1st of '23. If the district is not successful in doing that, then it becomes the State's responsibility by May 1st. Either way, this decision has to be done by May 1st of '23, in preparation for the 23-24 school year. We have maps that we've been working with Arkansas GIS to see what the impact would be. And Stacy -- you know, I know we didn't bring any of the maps with us, but it was -- it was a range of distances and times, depending on the district that might take in. And I can't recall exactly what those were.

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

SECRETARY KEY: But 30 minutes, 45 minutes were some of the times --

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

SECRETARY KEY: -- that we were judging from Arkansas GIS mapping.

MS. SMITH: Yeah. And in no way I want to take away from the local board's ability to be able to sit down and look at that and determine, you know, who they want to talk to, what's the best move to go forward. So I mean we would assist them with every—all the information that they would need to be able to start reaching out and making some decisions and having some conversations and figuring out. But we can plot to the point of an address where someone is on a map for the students in the district. So there would be lots of decisions to be made.

MS. WOODS: I was just going to follow-up. So I look at some of the maps. One of the -- so Marvell-Elaine is a consolidated district. One of the school districts is driving through another school district to get to Marvell-Elaine, correct, is my understanding?

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

MS. WOODS: Okay. Because I think Barton and a

3

5 6 7

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

1920

21 22

23

2425

9 v 10 c

want to go back and talk about what happened, but I
would figure that that probably was the Elaine
district and Marvell agreeing to that at some point.
 MS. WOODS: And to your point, Jeff, as I
started looking at, well, is a kid going to be stuck

couple of those are around there, and so they're

driving through that district to get to their school.

we're talking about today, the district would get to

try to create a partnership or a consolidation on

districts. And so I think in the case -- I don't

their own, so they could reach out to different

MS. SMITH: So, again, in this scenario that

started looking at, well, is a kid going to be stuck on a bus for an hour, there's already a district that's going through -- not that that's the district they would partner with, if that's the decision of the Board. But it looks like there's options that are actually closer for some, from my view of that.

MS. SMITH: Well, I don't want to mislead -- I don't want to mislead to say that there could be -- when you're looking at a map the roads -- it's an isolated area. There are road issues, there are bridge issues, there are river issues. This is not -- this will be difficult. But, again, I think the board -- the local community and the board -- being able to provide them with the different maps and

different scenarios, letting them ask us for different things so that they can go back and try to come up with some options. And we will most definitely sit elbow-to-elbow and assist. If a consolidation were to occur with one of the districts that we work with closely, we would work with transition plans for students. We could start that immediately, having kids -- I mean, there's so many things.

MS. WOODS: Okay. Thank you.

MS. SMITH: But it's going to be really difficult and --

SECRETARY KEY: And the other component of this too that is much different now than it was in 2006, mid-2000's when these other rounds of consolidation were happening, is with respect to school choice and public school choice. So parents -- you know, if whatever plan was adopted by local boards and this board, and if that didn't fit with the needs of those individual students in that area, then they also have the opportunity to school-choice. So we would have to work through those timelines. But obviously, you know, we've always been very flexible with parents and try to assist them if they have to bring things to this board to say, "Hey, we need to ask, you know,

2	where we are zoned."
3	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Stacy, are there funds
4	available for if they did decide to go with another
5	district to help both districts during the transition
6	
7	MS. SMITH: Yes, they
8	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: as far as consolidation
9	funds?
10	MS. SMITH: Yeah, they would qualify for the
11	consolidation funds.
12	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Do you know how much that
13	would be?
14	MS. SMITH: I think in total, over two years,
15	it's about \$3,000,000, I think.
16	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.
17	MS. SMITH: I would have to get the exact
18	number, but I think that's about right.
19	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.
20	SECRETARY KEY: Yeah. Mr. Rogers is shaking his
21	head that that's in the ballpark.
22	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Ms. McFetridge.
23	MS. McFETRIDGE: Yea. Ms. Smith, this district
24	is still at Level 5 and will continue to be
25	regardless of our decision this morning. Right?

for some dispensation to do something different than

1	MS. SMITH: Yes.
2	MS. McFETRIDGE: And the Department will be in
3	there
4	MS. SMITH: Yes.
5	MS. McFETRIDGE: full okay.
6	MS. SMITH: Yes. I told the team on Tuesday
7	when I was there, as far as what we do past today,
8	we'll be there either way. And that even based on
9	my observations from the week that we we've got to
LO	come up with some other plans to address issues that
L1	are going on right now and figure out some of those
L2	things. But we were trying to get past this decision
L3	today.
L4	MS. McFETRIDGE: Okay. Thank you.
L5	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any other Dr. Hill.
L6	DR. HILL: It was you know, how do I say
L7	this. So we're going to be supporting whatever
L8	decision, you're going to be there to help kind of in
L9	Level 5 create support systems strategically for
20	whatever is needed for the best interest of the
21	students. Is that I'm just
22	MS. SMITH: Yeah.
23	DR. HILL: trying to I mean
24	MS. SMITH: If the decision today is not to
25	grant the waiver and we're looking at we still

1	have to go in
2	DR. HILL: Yeah.
3	MS. SMITH: and address some of the issues
4	that we saw going on and try to figure out what that
5	is. If it's we're going to still address if
6	it's a consolidation piece, we're going to assist
7	them with that and try to create transition plans for
8	those students.
9	DR. HILL: But I heard you mention if it's
.0	consolidation you're allowing the board to decide
.1	what they want to do, who they want to proceed to
.2	move forward
.3	MS. SMITH: Which district they want to
.4	DR. HILL: Not us.
.5	MS. SMITH: Not us.
-6	DR. HILL: Then they would bring that back to
.7	you-all
.8	MS. SMITH: Yes.
_9	DR. HILL: and say hey
20	MS. SMITH: They
21	DR. HILL: and you will work with them and
22	say, "Okay, logistically this is what we
23	MS. SMITH: Yes.
24	DR. HILL: " recommend that takes place that's
25	going to deliver us of our responsibility of

education to the community?"

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

SECRETARY KEY: If -- yes.

that comes out of that process.

Commissioner. SECRETARY KEY: Yes. So as far as the consolidation -- and it's -- it won't be -- just reminding you, two of those districts in that area are already receiving Level 5 support. But whatever consolidation agreement would take place, that would simply say, you know, we -- the Marvell-Elaine School District agrees to annex or consolidate to, you know, whatever that school district would be. And that would be discussions, negotiations, whatever between

the boards, up until the point of -- you know, if

they don't get that done by March 1, then we have to

step in and -- but ultimately, by May 1 this board

will have to approve whatever plan moving forward

MS. SMITH: Yes. Logistically -- go ahead,

DR. HILL: So if there's not -- if there's not a consolidation plan, you continue to do that. But if there is a consolidation and we approve that that's the way -- direction for the best of the children, then we work to support following what they decide to do within their board? I mean, therefore, you're following their lead?

1 MS. SMITH: Yes. On the -- on where -- where, 2 yes. SECRETARY KEY: Well, if it's a voluntary 3 4 consolidation --5 DR. HILL: Yeah. 6 MS. SMITH: Yeah. 7 SECRETARY KEY: -- then it would --8 MS. SMITH: Yeah. 9 SECRETARY KEY: Yes. The board -- the boards 10 control that. We provide -- we'll continue to 11 provide the support, including that transition. 12 DR. HILL: Yeah. 13 SECRETARY KEY: Okay. If the waiver is granted, 14 then they're still Level -- receiving Level 5 15 support. And, you know, so it's -- our commitment 16 doesn't change. 17 DR. HILL: Right. 18 SECRETARY KEY: I think what we're saying is 19 based on what we've seen; you know, even with Level 5 20 support we could go another year or two years. It is 21 highly unlikely to change for that 300-student 22 population. We think there's a better chance -- even 23 to the point that if a child has to sit on a bus for 24 30 to 45 minutes, they're going to get a better 25 opportunity than what we're currently seeing them get 1 right now.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. HILL: And then at that point we work with those just to make -- if we have to start school at 8:30 rather than 8:00, or whatever, that we're looking strategically to have a plan for the level of education in that community based on what's best for them.

SECRETARY KEY: And I will point out, too, a consolidation does not necessarily mean school closure. We have examples all over the state where, you know, there is a consolidation but a -- let's say an elementary school is maintained in the community and high school kids or junior high and high school kids then go to another campus somewhere. So that's part of that conversation that the local boards will have between now and March 1st. And so I don't want the community to think that it's a foregone conclusion that those buildings will be empty. Because there is still that option available that with, you know, a consolidated district or an annexed district you're gaining some economies of scale where the possibility of teachers, you know, coming from -into a building that are licensed teachers or, you know, better, capable, whatever it might be in that school and keeping it open. That is something we

can't give you right now because the local board would be engaged in that conversation.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any other discussion?
Ms. Hunter.

MS. HUNTER: I just want a reminder for myself. In the meeting in November, Dr. Ray, did you say that your students were already spending -- was it about 45 minutes on a bus ride?

SUPT. RAY: Uh-huh.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MS}}.$ HUNTER: And then -- okay. Thank you for that.

And then, Stacy, as we talk about, you know, if we move to a consolidation conversation, is it a requirement that they partner with a single school district or could they find multiple partners depending on the geography?

MS. SMITH: They can find multiple partners. I mean, the board has the ability during this timeline to be able to talk to their local folks and decide what to do. So, yes.

SECRETARY KEY: Yeah. We refer to that as "starburst," and there have been examples where districts would starburst. I don't know why we called it that. But I think it was one district that looked -- when they did it, it looked like spokes

MS. HUNTER: Thank you. 7 MR. WOOD: I have a question --8 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. 9 MR. WOOD: -- about what was just talked about. 10 Do we have any level of engagement or approval of 11 what the local board comes up with as far as 12 cooperation with other districts? 13 SECRETARY KEY: Yes. I mean, that -- ultimately 14 that plan, if they are able to put together a plan by 15 March 1st, by May 1st whatever plan, whether it's a 16 state plan or local plan, you all must give the final 17 approval of that consolidation plan. 18 MR. WOOD: Okay. Very good. 19 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Anything else? 20 Mr. Sutton, did you have anything that you 21 wanted to discuss? 22 MR. SUTTON: Well, I was just going to echo what 23 Secretary Key had said earlier, that I think is very 24 important for the board, the school -- local school 25 board there, as well as the community, in that you Sharon K. Hill, CCR

from a wheel and it went out to -- and they --

to different districts in the surrounding area.

an option as well.

different sections of the spokes from that wheel went

So, thank you for bringing that up because it is

1 2

3

4

5

6

can there are ways to still maintain a presence or
a school. As Secretary Key said, whether it's K-6 or
some I mean, consolidation doesn't necessarily
mean that, you know, those buildings, as he said,
would be empty and there would be no presence. I'm a
big supporter of small districts. I am from the
delta. This is happening, unfortunately, very often
with farming communities. I would love to see there
remain some presence of a school system in Marvell
and Elaine. At the same time, as we've all said and
echoed, I mean first and foremost our concern is with
the students. But I just want Ms. Ray to understand
and her board to understand that the State or this
board and some of the members of the board are very
much in support of small districts. We understand
the importance that it means to the city. So we've
got to work it out. It's got to be figured out. And
if it can be, then it will be. I don't think it's
anybody's fault, but we've just seen this way too
often. And just take that message back, you know, to
your board. There are ways to work it out as far as
keeping a presence there in the community.
CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Thank you, Mr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Mr. Williams, would you like

1	to speak? Thank you for being here, Mr. Williams.
2	We know how deeply you care about the students at
3	Marvell-Elaine and we just really appreciate you so
4	much.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. I'd like to say hello
6	to the Board and Secretary Key. I had a question.
7	If I know you have to make a decision on what
8	you've got to do [clearing throat] excuse me
9	and I know you are doing what you need to do. But
10	just like we came last year and got off academic
11	distress and did real well, from now on till March is
12	we do the same and you see improvement can this
13	decision be reversed?
14	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Ms. Freno, question.
15	SECRETARY KEY: It's a legal question.
16	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay, Ms. Freno.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: Ma'am?
18	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: I'm getting Ms. Freno.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, okay.
20	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: She's our I wouldn't want
21	to speak in any legal terms. That's out of my
22	MS. FRENO: And what was the question?
23	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: If they showed improvement
24	between now and March, could this decision be
25	reversed?

4	MS. FRENO: It could not.
5	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right.
6	MR. WILLIAMS: Because of the waiver, that's the
7	reason?
8	(COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: Ms. Freno is walking
9	back to her seat.)
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Ma'am?
11	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Ms. Freno
12	MS. FRENO: Oh, I'll just stay here.
13	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah.
14	MS. WOODS: I think it's because of the
15	timeline.
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Because of the waiver, that's the
17	reason?
18	MS. FRENO: Because of the law, the way the law
19	reads for the petition.
20	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. But because if we deny
21	the waiver then the timeline gets set in motion and
22	there's no mechanism to stop that timeline?
23	MS. FRENO: Correct. Once the petition if
24	the petition is denied, then there would be then
25	the time the timeline would be set in motion.
	Sharon K. Hill, CCR

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.

is denied, no.

1

MS. FRENO: If the petition today for the waiver

And, you know, January 1st is the date by which we have to issue the letter. We try to issue it earlier just to give more opportunity in case any school district ever has to think about annexing to or consolidating with another district. But it's -once the -- if it would be -- if the petition would be denied, then it would be set in motion. CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okav. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FRENO: Thank you, sir.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank the Board for allowing me to speak. And just like Secretary Key said, it's heartbreaking. And we have gone through the whole school and whole district from the standards from past, they were the downfall for everything because we were really climbing. And I'm not saying that you didn't help us, or Dr. Ray or our interim superintendent. But the way things are going, it's just gone and the kids really suffer. Some of the teachers should've gone on to therapy or some kind of counseling when he passed, the impact that he had upon the school and what he'd done for the school in such a short time. That's why I was asking the question if we did come up to the standards that we need to did we have to still abide by the May 1st or

the March 1st. But I just thank you all -- and we will do our best if you keep supporting us.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yes, sir.

MR. WILLIAMS: So whatever happens, happens. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yes. Thank you.

Dr. Hill.

DR. HILL: Well, I think that kind of speaks to what you were saying about how involved that school could be as we continue on. I mean, I think there's — to what you were saying, Secretary Key, as we look and evaluate what's going on, we can always be inclusive of that school to the growth plan to what you have. So it's not a demolish; it's not — I mean, it's not — is that correct? I mean, so I think in a way it may have to move on from whatever decision is made. But because of how we move forward it can be as inclusive as we say it's going to be for delivery of the education program for the students. Is that correct?

SECRETARY KEY: Yeah, right, Dr. Hill. And, you know, that's something that I really want to -- have tried to emphasize through the years too, is, you know, the section of the statute refers to administrative consolidation. It never refers to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

closure of buildings; it refers to administrative consolidation, and the closure of buildings sometimes becomes a necessary part of that. But it's not a required part of that. So you're right. I mean, there could continue to be a school presence in this community. That's something that, you know, we would hope -- and there are examples around the state in other communities where that has been the case. And we have -- we've talked about some of those in the past that while it's a consolidated district there are still campuses in the communities in -- within that district. Again, that's not wanting to get in the way of the conversations and creativity and possibilities that this board and Dr. Ray could engage in with their surrounding partners, but that is something that we would certainly lend support to and help and assist them in any way we could as this moves forward.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any other discussion from any member?

Okay. I want to remind you once again the motion would be to either grant the waiver or to deny the waiver.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ WOOD: I make a motion to deny the waiver request.

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Mr. Wood has made a motion to deny the waiver for Marvell-Elaine.

MS. WOODS: I will second.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Ms. Woods.

MR. WOOD: Can I speak to it --

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yes.

MR. WOOD: -- just real -- very briefly. To Dr. Ray and to Mr. Williams, I just want you to know you have my personal utmost respect. And it sounds to me from everything I've heard that you have the respect of the Department of Education for the hard work and the engagement y'all have in your community. Not a single bit of this is about you. You seem like a very talented individual, you're enthusiastic, and I look forward to the great things you do. If it's to continue in that community and affect these kids, I believe in you. If it's somewhere else, I look forward to seeing what you do. I just think that, you know, the somberness we have in the room is about the things we've heard today, about what's happening for kids. And the heaviness we have about, you know, going in a different direction and, you know, the way you all will take that is scary. But when it comes to our responsibility of the best interest of the students in that community I think that that's what

2

5 6

4

7 8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

compels me to make the motion and I hope the Board will follow that.

I would want to highlight one thing about the delta, because there's a lot of discussion about the delta and the delta, and it's this -- it's almost labeled with it's so hard. I would -- anyone who's listening that finds yourself in a similar situation I hope you'll call the principal at Eudora Elementary School and ask what -- I don't even know that person -- what he or she is doing at Eudora Elementary. Probably the most southeast community in Arkansas, and through the pandemic, with 91% low-income families, low-income students in that school, they maintained a C through the pandemic. So success can happen in the delta. They can do good things. And I know that there's improvement in other places, but Eudora stood out to me as a beacon of hope, and I hope other principals and superintendents will reach out and learn from what they're doing in similarly challenging areas.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Thank you.

All right. So we do have a motion and a second to deny the waiver. And I think we probably need to do a roll-call, please.

SECRETARY KEY: All right. On the motion to

1	deny the waiver
2	Mr. Sutton.
3	MR. SUTTON: Yes.
4	SECRETARY KEY: Ms. Hunter.
5	MS. HUNTER: Yes.
6	SECRETARY KEY: Mr. Wood.
7	MR. WOOD: Yes.
8	SECRETARY KEY: Ms. McFetridge.
9	MS. McFETRIDGE: Yes.
10	SECRETARY KEY: Ms. Woods.
11	MS. WOODS: Yes.
12	SECRETARY KEY: Dr. Hill.
13	DR. HILL: Yes.
14	SECRETARY KEY: Mr. Henderson.
15	MR. HENDERSON: Yes.
16	SECRETARY KEY: Dr. Moore.
17	DR. MOORE: Yes.
18	SECRETARY KEY: Eight yes.
19	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: The motion passes.
20	Again, Dr. Ray, Mr. Williams, thank you for
21	being here. I know this is a hard day a hard day.
22	My heart is for small schools. But my heart is also
23	for those babies and we want to make sure that they
24	are getting what they need to be able to go out in
25	the world and be successful. And so I know that I

1		can speak for the Department they will help you
2		any way that we can in these next few weeks. So you
3		just let us know what you need.
4		SUPT. RAY: Yes, ma'am.
5		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Thank you for being here.
6		All right. I know, Ms. Collins, that y'all are
7		here and y'all have sat so patiently all morning.
8		But I think we're going to have to take a break.
9		Will that be okay with y'all?
10		Okay. So we're going to take about a 15-minute
11		break. Okay. I'll give you a little bit more than
12		that. We're going to come back at 12:15. Okay?
13		We'll be back at 12:15.
14		(BREAK: 11:54 a.m 12:15 p.m.)
15		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Welcome back. Thank you for
16		letting us take a break. It had been a long morning
17		and we needed to move around and get some blood
18		circulating again. So, thank you for letting us take
19		that break.
20		We are since we Mr. Sutton is not back on
21		there. So he'll be back in just a minute.
22	2) PINE	BLUFF SCHOOL DISTRICT
23		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: We are to the item agenda on
24		the Pine Bluff School District. Ms. Smith.
25		Oh, I'm sorry. Secretary Key.

1 MS. SMITH: My name is on there, but --2 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. 3 SECRETARY KEY: So I just distributed a paper, 4 and I'm going to try to get that to Mr. Sutton. 5 That's one thing we haven't figured out on the 6 members who Zoom, how to make sure --CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah, how to get the stuff --7 8 SECRETARY KEY: -- in-meeting documentation that 9 we make sure we get it to them. So he has that in 10 his messages now. 11 When we last talked about this, we talked about 12 the process. And, Ms. Newton, I would certainly 13 welcome any comments that you might have regarding 14 this process. I want to -- first, I want to commend 15 the members of the Pine Bluff community who 16 volunteered and put their names forward. 17 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yes. 18 MS. SMITH: Over 30. 19 SECRETARY KEY: Over, yeah, 30. 20 MS. SMITH: Thirty. 21 SECRETARY KEY: Over 30 applications for seven 22 positions. And, you know, if you remember, just a 23 few years ago we were looking to start a Community 24 Advisory Board in the former Dollarway district and 25 we were having difficulty getting response. So, you

1 know, to
2 CF
3 SF
4 community
5 a regulation involve
7 members
8 have by

know, that community is stepping up -- CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah.

SECRETARY KEY: -- those of you who are from the community that have been attending these meetings on a regular basis. And I know you all have been involved in the recruiting of members, potential members, and I want to commend you for what you all have brought to us and those that stepped up.

It was a very -- it was a difficult decision, but it was an encouraging process. The reports that came back to me regarding the interviews -- of course, I reviewed the resumes; impressive. And when I read through this list, that would be my recommendation for you to appoint for the limited authority board. I hope that you are as impressed as I was.

And, of course, Ms. Newton has led that committee work. So I don't know if you want to --

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah, I would like to say a couple of things. First of all, I want to say thank-you for the -- to the people that served on the committee. Ms. Mattie Collins was there with us and Reverend Turner from the community, and then we had Mr. John Hoy, and Mr. Dan Jordan from the School Boards Association.

1 2 how 3 tha 4 she

how loved Ms. Mattie is in Pine Bluff. Everybody that came through the door gave her a hug. It was -- she is loved. And her input and Reverend Turner's input was so vital to the process.

And just as a side comment, I want you to know

And we were -- I'll say it this way: I was blown away by the high-quality of the applicants that you had gathered up for us, and so we're very appreciative. I think this is going to be a great board -- professional, very knowledgeable, want what's best for the students of Pine Bluff and the community of Pine Bluff. I cannot say enough good things about these applicants. They are top-notch. And you in the Pine Bluff community can be proud of this board, I promise you.

SECRETARY KEY: So with that, here's the list -- and I've distributed the list and some motions that we'll need you to take under consideration. But my recommendations to you today for appointment to the Pine Bluff School District limited authority board are Lozanne Calhoun, Dr. Stephen Broughton, Dr. Charles Colen, Ms. Jomeka Edwards, Ms. Lori Guelache -- get that right? Okay -- Dr. Sederick Rice, and Mr. Ricky Whitmore.

After you've taken action on the appointment,

then it would be our recommendation that once those 2 board -- limited authority board members have 3 completed initial training, organized by OCSS, that 4 it would consider all matters and -- as would be considered by an elected local, a locally elected board of directors. And that the limited authority 7 board would then make recommendations based on that action to me for final determination as the initial 9 process. Then, we would recommend that OCSS continue 10 to report quarterly to you all on the district operations and that I would make recommendations to 11 12 you concerning transitioning more authority to --13 full authority to the board. So it would be a system 14 of limited release -- limited authority release that 15 as training happens, as embedded training happens 16 after the initial training and as those operations 17 become more smooth, that the full authority in those 18 elements of school board governance be gradually turned back over to the limited authority board. 19 20 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okav.

1

5

6

8

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY KEY: I'll be happy to take any questions, and of course Stacy is also here to help address some questions.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Let's see if we have any questions over here. No, okay.

1	Any questions over here?
2	Mr. Sutton, did you have any questions that you
3	would like to ask?
4	MR. SUTTON: No questions.
5	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: No. Okay.
6	SECRETARY KEY: So I think the first action we'd
7	ask the Board to take would be to accept the
8	recommendation of the candidates.
9	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Oh, thank you. I was
10	about to forget Ms. Freno reminded me a while ago
11	and I forgot. We do have an individual signed up for
12	public comment on this particular item, and so we
13	would need a motion to accept public comment on the
14	public public comment on the Pine Bluff School
15	District agenda item, to accept that.
16	MS. HUNTER: So moved.
17	MS. McFETRIDGE: So moved.
18	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. So we've got several.
19	We'll say Ms. Hunter made the motion and Ms.
20	McFetridge seconded. All in favor?
21	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
22	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. So we can accept public
23	comment on the Pine Bluff School District.
24	Ms. Mouser, welcome. We've been here a while,
25	but we're making progress.

1718

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MOUSER: We are making great progress. And thank you for this opportunity to speak. Ms. Mattie and Ms. Erlene [ps] decided that of the three of us I would be the one that should speak.

This is just the first step; right? CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Right.

MS. MOUSER: As Chairman Key said, we're very grateful that we were allowed to go with a limited board. I know that, Mr. Wood, you expressed concern about that, but I think that this was the right decision. We are the unified stakeholders of the Pine Bluff School District. It's just a volunteer group of people that got together. So we're very grateful for this day. We look forward to participating. Hopefully some of those applicants that were not chosen -- as I told Alfred Carroll at Wal-Mart last night, I said, you know, "They're going to make the announcement tomorrow." He goes, "Oh, I'm not on it." I said, "Well, I'm sorry, but you can still be involved." And I think that, when you were talking with the gentleman and the superintendent from Elaine, that the community involvement in Pine Bluff has greatly increased. That will continue. It will need to continue to insure that the Pine Bluff School District becomes

1	more successful. So we're very grateful. Thank you
2	very much.
3	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Thank you. It's a day of
4	smiles, for sure. It's a great day for Pine Bluff,
5	and so we are very thankful for that.
6	So we are ready for the first motion would be
7	to accept the recommendation of the Commissioner of
8	these seven board members.
9	MR. HENDERSON: I will.
10	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.
11	MR. HENDERSON: I'd like to make a motion that
12	we accept the recommendation of the Commissioner
13	from the Commissioner of Education to appoint the
14	following candidates.
15	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Do you want to read
16	their names to make sure we get them in the record?
17	MR. HENDERSON: Lozanne Calhoun, Dr. Stephen
18	Broughton, Dr. Charles Colen, Ms. Jomeka Edwards, Ms
19	Lori Guelache, Dr. Sederick Rice, and Mr. Ricky
20	Whitmore.
21	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yes. All right.
22	MS. HUNTER: I'll second that.
23	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Mr
24	Henderson and then we have a second by Ms. Hunter.
25	Do we need to roll-call this?

1	SECRETARY KEY: No.
2	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All in favor?
3	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
4	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
5	MS. SMITH: I'm going to clap.
6	[AUDIENCE APPLAUSE]
7	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. The second motion
8	would be
9	SECRETARY KEY: Second motion would be regarding
10	the recommendation that once all the members of the
11	limited authority board have completed initial
12	training that it would consider all matters that
13	would be considered by an elected board, and that
14	limited authority board make recommendations to the
15	Commissioner for final determination.
16	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.
17	MS. HUNTER: So moved.
18	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Ms. Hunter is making
19	that motion. Do we have a second?
20	MR. WOOD: Second.
21	MR. SUTTON: I'll second.
22	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. I think Mr. Wood beat
23	you to that one.
24	All in favor?
25	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)

1	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
2	All right. That motion passes.
3	[TIMER BELL RINGS]
4	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: And we have one more.
5	SECRETARY KEY: All right.
6	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: That was the three minutes was
7	up.
8	MS. MOUSER: I'm getting shorter.
9	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah, you are.
10	SECRETARY KEY: All right. Then the last item
11	we would ask you to take action on would be the
12	recommendation that OCSS would continue to report
13	quarterly to the State Board concerning Pine Bluff
14	School District operations, and that the Commissioner
15	make recommendations to the State Board concerning
16	transitions of authority to the limited authority
17	board as appropriate.
18	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Do we have a motion?
19	MS. HUNTER: So moved.
20	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Motion by Ms. Hunter.
21	MR. WOOD: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Mr. Wood. All in
23	favor?
24	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
25	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?

Sharon K. Hill, CCR

Motion passes.

Congratulations, congratulations, congratulations.

[AUDIENCE APPLAUSE]

MS. SMITH: I just wanted to make a quick note to that.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah.

MS. SMITH: Ms. Warren and some of the Pine Bluff School District board were going to be here, but I told them that we wouldn't get to this till after 1:00, for the lunch thing. And so I literally just said, "Don't come, don't come." But they're very excited to continue the process, so --

And thank you, Ms. Newton, for doing such an outstanding job with the subcommittee, and Ms. Freno and Quarnicia and everybody who helped organize. It went very smooth, so thank you.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: It was a great -- it was a full few days, it was long days, but it was great. We could not have asked for it to have gone any better.

It was -- and, again, the quality of the applicants -- and I am so glad Ms. Mouser brought up that these are seven that are going to serve on the board, but there are 23 others there that are

1 available to really make a difference in that district. 2 3 And, Ladies, that doesn't mean you're off the 4 hook either. 5 Okay. All right. Okay. So, you know, we're 6 all working together for common good and that's these 7 kids. And so we're making progress and we're going 8 in the right direction. So, thank y'all. Thank 9 y'all for being here. 10 All right. 11 MS. MOUSER: And may we be excused? 12 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: You may be excused. My 13 teacher voice: you're dismissed. 14 MS. MOUSER: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. 16 (OFF THE RECORD FOR THE CONSENT AGENDA) 17 (BACK ON THE RECORD) 18 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. So we're going to 19 break for lunch, it is 12:28, and be back at 1:15. 20 Okav. We'll be back at 1:15. 21 (LUNCH BREAK: 12:28 p.m. - 1:19 p.m.) 22 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: We are back. Thank you for 23 letting us take a lunch break. It made us feel much 24 better. As you can hear from the chatter, we're all 25 revived and ready to go again.

3)	EDUCATIONAL SERVICE COOPERATIVE (ESC) EVALUATIONS
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: So we are going to take up now
	Ms. Smith, you've just been front-and-center all
	morning.
	MS. SMITH: Well, they had to tell me I was on
	the agenda. I said, "I don't have anything else on
	the agenda." And they said co-op evaluations.
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah.
	MS. SMITH: I said, "Oh."
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah.
	MS. SMITH: So, okay.
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right.
	MS. SMITH: So, yes, you have two more co-op
	evaluations, one with OUR and the other was
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: North Central.
	MS. SMITH: Northeast
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: North Central.
	MS. SMITH: North Central. North Central.
	Both are excellent co-ops, do a great job. The
	reports are there. If you have any questions, I'm
	happy to answer. But other than that, it's been a
	great opportunity for me, so
	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Any questions?
	Dr. Moore.
	DR. MOORE: Can you remind me, this is new,
	3)

1	doing these evaluations? Or is it just new to come
2	to us?
3	MS. SMITH: It happens once every five years.
4	DR. MOORE: Okay. For all the co-ops?
5	MS. SMITH: For all the co-ops. So
6	DR. MOORE: And are you doing one a month or two
7	a year, or how does that
8	MS. SMITH: I've done three since the two I put
9	on there.
10	DR. MOORE: Okay.
11	MS. SMITH: So I'm doing all 15 this year.
12	DR. MOORE: Okay.
13	MS. SMITH: So we've completed I believe I've
14	completed eight and I've got two more next week. So
15	we're just knocking them out.
16	DR. MOORE: Yeah. This is a very positive one.
17	MS. SMITH: Very positive. I was blown away by
18	both of these co-ops. North Central is probably the
19	first it's been a long time since I've been up
20	there. But the work that they're doing even the
21	connections and the direct connections to students
22	they have a whole room dedicated to gaming sports and
23	bring students in
24	DR. MOORE: Yeah.
25	MS. SMITH: that computer science aspect. I

1		mean it was exciting stuff. And then just the
2		connections with the initiatives here at the
3		Department with professional learning communities and
4		literacy. So very it just cements how much work
5		they do for us at the Department, so
6		DR. MOORE: That's great.
7		MS. SMITH: Any other questions?
8		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Do we need to make a motion to
9		approve the report?
10		MS. SMITH: Just to accept the reports, yes.
11		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. All right. So we need
12		a motion to accept this report.
13		DR. MOORE: I'll make a motion to accept the
14		report.
15		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: We have a motion by Dr. Moore.
16		MS. HUNTER: Second.
17		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: A second by Ms. Hunter. All
18		in favor?
19		(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
20		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
21		Dr. Moore and Ms. Hunter.
22	4)	REVISION OF ARKANSAS SOCIAL STUDIES STANDARDS
23		CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. So now, Dr. Pride,
24		Revision of the Arkansas Social Studies Standards.
25		DR. PRIDE: Good afternoon.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Good afternoon.

DR. PRIDE: All right. So I've got a team here with me. So Kiffany Pride, Assistant Commissioner of Learning Services.

So we are standing -- I'm standing here before you today for consideration of the Social Studies standards. We respectfully submit those for State Board of Education approval. Before -- we're going to do a little bit of a review for you and then we're going to ask you to -- for approval.

All right. So I have Amber Pirnique with me here today; she's a Program Manager for Disciplinary Literacy and she helps to lead this process around revision of standards. And then I have Jeffery Davidson; he's our Social Studies Specialist, and he is also newly hired six months -- was it six months? Something like that. But he has also come in and had a great impact on facilitating this process of the revision of the standards.

And so we're just going to talk a little bit about who and what informed the work; changes that were made along the line continuum; we're also going to talk about how the standards are revised for the benefit of our educators; and then we'll talk a little bit about the implementation timeline.

All right. So when thinking about our standards revision process we really thought about three things: we wanted to make sure that we strengthened the standards, provided clarity around the standards, and we put the necessary supports in our standards document.

And so you see there around strengthening the standards we're really making sure that we have that essential content, which is our knowledge and skills, in our standards for our students in each grade level. Also, making sure that there was continuity around learning the eras in history was also a part of that strengthening aspect of the standards.

And then in terms of clarifying the standards we wanted to make sure that we had greater specificity about what our students were learning in Social Studies and what was essential. We wanted to make sure that was clear and that we had provided the clarity we need for the ease of reading for our teachers, no matter the pathway, no matter if they were new, experienced, had moved over content. So we wanted to make sure we clarified the standards.

And then also making sure that essential piece that makes the classroom teaching fun, making sure you have the supports in place, the notes they need, to make sure if there's anything that may be complex that you fully understand the complexity around what it is that you're teaching. And then making sure that we had a very robust front matter that was an introduction around how do you teach Social Studies in the K-12 continuum in the state of Arkansas.

All right. So just to give you a visual around representation in our committee -- so, a diverse committee of educators from around the state, 60 give or take. There were more take, given the day that we were there, but we kept a consistent number of educators and -- who we consider our experts across Arkansas, and we made sure that we represented the five regions of our state. And you see there representation and support around the standards. We also had national and state experts to provide us with information and feedback around what students should learn in different courses in areas of history.

And so, again, just thinking about the surveys that we sent out for all of our educators to contribute, whether they were on a committee or if they just provided written feedback through a survey, they all wanted essential -- wanted to make sure we had the essential content for all grade levels

evid
arou
thre
clar
teac
Stud

evident in our documents and they wanted that clarity around the standards. And so that's why we took that three-pronged approach; we wanted to make sure we clarified, strengthened, and provided support for our teachers in terms of the standards for Social Studies.

So what are the changes? So we have a handy-dandy graphic here for you. So you can see there wasn't an overhaul of the standards but what we did, again, is we just wanted to create greater continuity. And you'll see that geography was placed in 5th grade to give our students a great start around world geography, geography of the United States, as well as geography of Arkansas. It gives them a good foundation as they progress into the middle grades and into high school. And so you'll see geography was placed in 5th grade, U.S. History was placed in 7th grade, and then you see a greater continuity around learning history over two years in 7th and 8th grade without a break in eras. So you'll see they'll learn eras 1 through 7 -- right?

MS. PIRNIQUE: Yes.

DR. PRIDE: And I think you're going to come and talk a little bit more about that -- without interruption. And so that was one of the biggest

changes that you saw or shifts you saw in the continuum around what students learn.

So I'm going to turn it over to Amber. She's going to give you a little bit greater specificity around some of the changes in the standards.

MS. PIRNIQUE: Good afternoon. Amber Pirnique, Disciplinary Literacy in the Office of Learning Services.

So we adjusted the language to provide a greater sense of clarity and to strengthen the K-12 progression. So we streamlined the organization of the standards by consolidating the content standards into disciplinary standards. Within civics, economics, geography, and history we now have six K-12 standards in each of those disciplines. Another way we clarified the language in the standards is by taking the student learning expectations and shifting them into knowledge and skill performance expectations so that we could signal through those expectations what students should be able to know and should be able to do.

All right. This is the work of the committee. As we see here in K-6, we have a focus on the foundational standards to provide students with a wealth of content knowledge and skills. By shifting

grade 5 U.S. History to grade 7, and grade 7 Geography to grade 5, we are strengthening the 5th grade students' foundational geography knowledge and then providing continuity for students to build their historical knowledge and better equip them for those upper secondary courses.

And this is the overview of the revised standards for 9-12. The work of the committee, they decided that major shifts were not necessary and so the progression really does stay the same here. The only thing I might want to mention here is in U.S. History since 1929, those eras did shift just a little bit.

All right. There are several pieces of legislation that affects Social Studies in schools. The committee wanted to make sure that laws that impact particular Social Studies classes were present in the front matter to help guide educators in planning their units, receiving any required professional development, as well as assisting and planning any kind of campus for district-wide events. So here are some of the most common laws that we will see placed in the front matter of the standards document. So here we've got different holidays and observances; laws that affect the personnel as far as

professional development; and then, finally, some of the laws relating to curriculum and how that might impact how a teacher designs their units.

So thank you very much. And at this time I'm going to turn it over to Jeffery Davidson who is our Social Studies content specialist. We are so happy that he is here to help lead with this work. So thank you very much.

MR. DAVIDSON: Good afternoon, everyone.

Jeffery Davidson, Social Studies Specialist, as Amber just mentioned.

So there are going to be four major shifts that I'm going to talk to us about -- is it this next slide? Yes, there we go -- four major shifts that we're looking at with these standards. The first one was already shared with you by Dr. Pride, the placing of the 5th grade U.S. History to the 7th grade, and moving the 7th grade Geography to the 5th grade. The second shift is in more content examples. Essential content was the desire of the committee and that has been embedded throughout the standards to provide more specific guidance for teachers. And greater clarity as well was also part of the will of the committee. Standards that had substantially similar content was consolidated and the content was

organized by topic and categorized for ease of reading. Finally, the shift to incorporating a flag etiquette was put in all required Social Studies courses, which I want to spend that next slide just reviewing.

Legislation guided the decision to add flag etiquette to all the required courses. You can see examples on this slide of that flag etiquette standard. You see there a K-4 example, the grade 5 World Geography course example, and the 9-12 Civics course example. But they are -- this standard is in all of the required Social Studies courses. And as I said, there's a lot of legislation that impacts this decision. But by including glad etiquette it further builds that civics component throughout all of the standards, which is something that we wanted to do.

Dr. Pride.

DR. PRIDE: Thank you to Amber and Jeffery. They've done an excellent job making sure that the committee -- the will of the committee, those things that were really important to our teachers through surveys, through the committee time that they were together, that they have those incorporated into the Social Studies standards. And we're just elated to be here today to be able to bring them forward to

you. There are a lot of people out there waiting for these because, you know, history is really important, right, and engaging. And so everybody is ready and so we're excited to be here today.

And so that's what we have as our review and we're here, again, respectfully asking for approval of the Social Studies standards.

SECRETARY KEY: Dr. Pride --

DR. PRIDE: Oh, I'm sorry.

SECRETARY KEY: Okay.

DR. PRIDE: One more thing. I'm sorry,
Secretary Key. We did want to just share with you,
in case you got these questions around
implementation, as soon as the standards are approved
there is an initial implementation. Schools may
begin at their -- whenever they're ready. But then,
by 24-25 there's full implementation. And we know
that there was a placing of Geography in 5th grade in
the continuity built around history in 7th and 8th.
So we do have some review units so that none of our
students will miss any of the essential content
that's necessary as they matriculate along their K-12
journey and they're learning about important history.

So, and then, in 25-26, full implementation with no need for review units and we think we set a good

course and path to support our teachers based on their feedback.

SECRETARY KEY: So, Dr. Pride --

DR. PRIDE: Yes, sir.

SECRETARY KEY: -- you mentioned that there are a lot of educators out there waiting and educators out there listening. A seven-year cycle for academic standards -- I mean, we're a little behind that schedule.

DR. PRIDE: Yes, we are.

SECRETARY KEY: Our seven years, we probably hit that a couple of years ago.

DR. PRIDE: Yes.

SECRETARY KEY: And -- but they've been working really hard. And what I had encouraged, mainly talking to Stacy about this process, is I wanted to get it right versus getting it done according to schedule. And if we had to be a little late to get it right, that was -- I was willing to take that risk. And I think the team has done a fantastic job. We -- you know, like everything else, we get compared to other states. So there were organizations that would compare, you know, our Social Studies standards to other states, and we took that and we learned from that, or the team did, and I appreciate the work they

did there. And there are some things that we as 1 2 Arkansans and as Americans we need to teach our 3 students to appreciate, and they did a really good 4 job of embedding those things, such as flag 5 etiquette. Because how many times do you -- are you 6 at an event and you see that maybe that's not 7 something that we really pay as much attention to as 8 a society as we once did. And to me, that's 9 something that is very important to honor our 10 veterans and honor all those sacrifices that came 11 before, because that flag does represent all of those 12 things and the history of our nation we just 13 celebrated or commemorated -- we didn't celebrate, 14 but commemorated the Pearl Harbor Day yesterday. You 15 know, there are -- as time goes on, society -- the 16 memories of society fades over some of those things 17 and we want to make sure -- and I just -- I commend 18 Dr. Pride, I commend Amber, Jeffery, the whole team, 19 the committees that came in and were part of this. I 20 can't say enough. They are eagerly awaiting too. I 21 get contacted --22 DR. PRIDE: Yeah.

SECRETARY KEY: -- pretty often from members of the committee, saying, "Hey, when's this" -- I say, "Thursday, it's going to be on the State Board

23

24

25

Thursday."

DR. PRIDE: Yeah.

SECRETARY KEY: So thank you all for the dedicated efforts y'all have put into this and I certainly think it's a good product.

DR. PRIDE: Well, we thank our Secretary. So I would say it was a collaborative effort from our Secretary to our Deputies. Everybody here was vested in helping as they -- as we asked them to help. So we appreciate that full collaboration, so --

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Thank you. I've got a couple of questions.

DR. PRIDE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: First one, we know to implement any kind of standards-based curriculum you have to have high quality instruction materials. What work have y'all done on that side of it to make sure that teachers are going to have the resources that will align with what you've got envisioned here?

DR. PRIDE: Right. You've asked a great question. So as we think about high quality instruction materials, we have journeyed through literacy and math -- and of course you know we have that partnership with Ed Report. And so with science and social studies the field is catching up. Right?

And so some of the things we will do is support our teachers and educators with units of studies that will support them in, you know, those things that may not be present in their resources. And so we also have characteristics and criteria that we know should be part of high quality instruction materials. So what they have that's of quality, they will know; and what they don't have, we'll be able to help them fill in the gaps until the field catches up with high quality instructional materials with Social Studies.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay.

DR. PRIDE: I mean, it's just -- right now it's just not as robust as math and literacy.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Right.

DR. PRIDE: But we see that for science and both social studies, and that's a national conversation right now. And so we talk about it because we have a strategy around it, and so in the coming years, 23, 24, we really have it in our strategy to say for science and other areas, like Social Studies, how do we signal what's important and how do you know you have HQIN. So we're working on that. And until then, we'll fill in the gaps, units of studies, and open educational resources that will help our teachers with building robust units in teaching

Social Studies.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: So your plans are to develop some units that will help that?

DR. PRIDE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: So that leads to my other question. You're in this transition phase. You talked about having some teachers do some review units?

DR. PRIDE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Is that something that y'all are going to develop or is that going to be the teacher have to develop that? How would that --

DR. PRIDE: So it'll be a collaborative process; so Jeffery will lead that process. And we always engage our educators who are experts in the classroom, so we always involve them in that process. Typically, in the summer, you know, as we're training and putting out needs they are always responding to those needs. So we always try to have a collaborative approach to that.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Just kind of speaking from teacher viewpoint for a little bit, you know, you've got the standards, and if they don't have the materials or the review units the intention going into the school year is, "Well, I'll develop that as

2 start doing this and that --3 DR. PRIDE: Right. 4 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: -- and so you revert back to 5 what you had before. And so I would encourage you to 6 help teachers -- you know, have some materials developed for them that will fill in those blanks 7 until, you know, they can get the instructional 8 9 materials and resources that they kind of need. DR. PRIDE: Yes, ma'am. Thank you for that. 10 11 And I know Jeffery and I have talked about that, and 12 he's excited about it. 13 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. 14 DR. PRIDE: Especially about Geography. He's a 15 Geography expert. 16 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah. 17 DR. PRIDE: He's been deputized by National 18 Geographic, so he's really excited about that. 19 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Good. 20 DR. PRIDE: And so we do have a great team here 21 who is going to engage with our educators. And, 22 you're right, it's really essential. 23 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yeah. 24 DR. PRIDE: They have a great start on 25 professional learning. We'll help them with that, as

Sharon K. Hill, CCR

I go along." But you start putting out fires and you

1

1	well as continually filling their bucket around units
2	of instruction and how do you pull it together
3	without HQA.
4	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Very good.
5	Any questions from the Board over here?
6	Dr. Moore.
7	DR. MOORE: I do have a question.
8	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Sure.
9	DR. MOORE: Arkansas History is 7th and 8th
.0	grade. Is that correct?
.1	DR. PRIDE: Yes.
.2	DR. MOORE: Is that how it's always been?
.3	DR. PRIDE: No. So Geography was placed from
.4	the 7/8 band so districts had an opportunity where
.5	they could put it in 7 or 8
.6	DR. MOORE: Okay.
.7	DR. PRIDE: along with a combination of
-8	Arkansas History. But it's been placed in Geography.
_9	Geography has been placed in 5th grade so we could
20	create greater continuity around learning the eras.
21	So before, there would be a gap. They would learn an
22	era, go on to some other subject areas, and then
23	learn another era opposed to now they'll learn all
24	of the eras over a two-year span of time, back-to-
25	back, so that they can really understand the

1 progression from the Colonial times on into, you 2 know, today. 3 DR. MOORE: That makes sense. So is Arkansas 4 History sort of a part of the unit or is it a 5 separate -- you know, as a part --6 DR. PRIDE: Yeah. Do you want to answer that? 7 DR. MOORE: -- as you're learning about the 8 history, or is it separate? 9 DR. PRIDE: Yeah, how we embed it -- yeah. 10 MR. DAVIDSON: Yes. Arkansas History has always 11 been a semester length course in the state and 12 districts can offer it in 7th and 8th grade or 9 13 through 12. Most districts tend to do the 7th and 14 8th grade and they pair it with one of those other 15 7th and 8th grade courses. But districts have that 16 freedom to decide do we want to make Arkansas History 17 -- do we want to put like 7th grade as one semester, 18 Arkansas History second semester, or do we just want 19 to put Arkansas History as its own required course 20 and make 7th grade an entire year. That's what most 21 districts have done, and this maintains that. 22 Districts can choose to put it in 7th or 8th as a 23 one-semester course. 24 DR. MOORE: Okay. DR. PRIDE: Thank you. He loves history, I told 25

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any other questions? Okay. We will need a motion to approve the revision of the Arkansas Social Studies standards. DR. MOORE: I'll make a motion to approve the standards. CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Dr Moore. MR. HENDERSON: Second.	
revision of the Arkansas Social Studies standards. DR. MOORE: I'll make a motion to approve the standards. CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Dr. Moore.	
DR. MOORE: I'll make a motion to approve the standards. CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Dr. Moore.	
standards. CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Dr Moore.	
7 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. We have a motion by Dr 8 Moore.	
8 Moore.	
	•
9 MR. HENDERSON: Second.	
10 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Mr. Henderson.	
11 All in favor?	
12 (UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)	
13 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?	
Motion passes.	
Congratulations.	
DR. PRIDE: Thank you. We appreciate you all.	
17 Thank you.	
18 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: And thank you, team, for your	
19 hard work and thank you for all the teachers that	
worked with you on this.	
21 5) CONSIDERATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT RELEASE: DESE RULES	
22 GOVERNING HOME SCHOOLS	
23 CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. Ms. Freno, I thin	k
you're up. Consideration for Public Comment with	
DESE Rules Governing Home Schools.	

1	MS. FRENO: Yes. These are rules that we are
2	asking the Board to release for public comment
3	pending Governor's office review.
4	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Okay. Are there any questions
5	or comments on the DESE rules governing home school?
6	Okay. We need a motion.
7	MS. WOODS: I'll move to submit to Governor's
8	office for approval. Is that the correct motion, to
9	present for public comment?
_0	MS. FRENO: To release the rules for public
.1	comment
.2	MS. WOODS: Yeah.
.3	MS. FRENO: review pending Governor's office
.4	approval.
.5	MS. WOODS: Exactly that.
-6	MS. FRENO: Thank you.
.7	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. Okay. We have a
-8	motion by Ms. Woods.
_9	MS. McFETRIDGE: I'll second that.
20	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Ms. McFetridge.
21	All in favor?
22	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
23	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
24	MS. FRENO: Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Motion passes. Thank you.

Sharon K. Hill, CCR

1	6)	REQUEST	TO	APPROVE	EMERGENCY	RULES:	DESE	RULES	GOVERNING
2	SCHOOL SAFETY								

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. Now we have a request to approve emergency rules for DESE rules governing school safety.

MS. SALAS-FORD: Good afternoon. Courtney Salas-Ford. I apologize for my voice; I've got a little sinus issue going on, but --

So we are asking for your approval on these emergency rules, and hopefully they look a little familiar to you because you all approved these several months ago to go out for public comment. However, while they were out for public comment the Legislature actually decided to put aside \$50,000,000 to be able to issue school safety grants to school districts. And so, instead of starting an entirely separate process for rules to distribute that funding we decided to add them into the public safety rules that were already out.

Now in an effort to get that money to the schools faster we decided to promulgate these as emergency room. And so what that means is if you all approve these rules they will go to the Legislature -- Legislative Council next week for approval and then become effective immediately so that we can

start the process of distributing those grant funds.

Simultaneously, the original regular rules will also go out for a second public comment period so that we do receive public comment and will fully promulgate those rules, because emergency rules are only effective for 120 days. But that gives us enough time to go ahead and start the grant process and get those funds flowing, which is required per the Legislature -- legislative act.

So I'd be happy to answer any questions. I also have some additional copies, if anyone needs them, if you had trouble getting into that.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any questions?

MR. SUTTON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Mr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: Are the grant funds on sort of a first-come first-serve basis, or are they available for all districts, or how is that going to work?

MS. SALAS-FORD: So the way the process is laid out, there's a formula that makes a specific amount of money available to each district based on the size of the district, a range of size, and then a perstudent amount based on the enrollment of that school district. Now in order to access the specific amount of funds that has been allotted for that district

they will have to submit an application saying what they are going to use those funds for. And it has to be tied to a prioritized list of the recommendations of the Governor's School Safety Commission that met earlier this year and issued recommendations in October.

MR. SUTTON: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any other questions on these emergency rules?

Oh, you have -- okay.

MR. WOOD: Well, I was reading while Mr. Sutton asked that question. So if this happens to be the same question, I apologize.

MS. SALAS-FORD: You're fine.

MR. WOOD: I heard something that you referenced there about the recommendations that came out in October.

MS. SALAS-FORD: Yeah.

MR. WOOD: Is that right?

MS. SALAS-FORD: Yes. So it's not an automatic that they get the money. They still have to apply for their designated amount of money and they have to say what they're going to do with it, and it has to be at least initially in alignment with the recommendations of the Governor's School Safety

Commission. So mostly things like physical upgrades or modifications to the public school facilities -so locks, doors, anti-shatter resistant film, cameras, monitoring systems, lots of different things. Now if they already have those in their school district or are in the process of getting those, and then they still have funds available, then they could kind of go to a Priority 2 or a Priority 3. In Priority 3 they can come back and say, "Well, hey, I have all of Priority 1 and Priority 2; I want to do this with it, " and then that will go through an approval process here at the Department. But they have some flexibility of how they want to spend those additional funds. MS. WOODS: Is there a timeline that they have to spend them by?

MS. SALAS-FORD: Yes. And he's not in here, but I believe it's maybe two years. Well, the funds are appropriated through June 30th of '23, but I don't -- I'm not sure entirely if they have to all be spent by June of '23 or if they can carry those over.

Do you know?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY KEY: Any funds that remain in there that have not been dispersed for the state can be carried over through re-appropriation into the next

fiscal year. I think once it goes to the districts then there's more flexibility once it hits their account of how long it takes them. But we would expect that most of these situations are going to be fairly immediate.

MR. WOOD: I have another question.

CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Yes.

MR. WOOD: Given that these rules originated in the summer, I assume they don't incorporate the recommendations of the Governor's Commission on School Safety? And I'm probably getting the name of that commission wrong.

MS. SALAS-FORD: No. Yes, absolutely, they do. Those are the recommendations. Because even though these originally started back in the spring and through the summer, it wasn't until the \$50,000,000 was set aside in July and then the recommendations came out in October that we went ahead and proceeded with this. We had to get approval from ALC. But, yes, they must be specifically tied to the recommendations of the Governor's School Safety Commission and that is specified in 6.03.4. And there will be a prioritized list of all of those recommendations available to school districts so they can see what that includes.

5 that we would be able to do through rules. 6 MR. WOOD: Okav. 7 MS. SALAS-FORD: If a -- and that's not in the 8 Priority 1 or Priority 2 items. If a school district 9 wanted to use these funds in -- somehow to obtain an 10 armed presence, that's something they could request. 11 But since these are one-time funds it's going to be 12 more about, again, facility improvements or upgrades, 13 materials, equipment. A district could ask, though I 14 wouldn't say very pertinent to want to use that for 15 something revolving, like a salary, that that cost is 16 going to recur every year. But that is something 17 they could ask to use these funds for. 18 MR. WOOD: All right. I would like to learn 19 more background about what rules do versus what I 20 just said. 21 MS. SALAS-FORD: Yeah, legis- -- yeah. 22 MR. WOOD: How those things work together. 23 MS. SALAS-FORD: Yeah, absolutely. 24 MR. WOOD: But that's another day. 25 MS. SALAS-FORD: Any time. We actually have a Sharon K. Hill, CCR

MR. WOOD: Getting right to it though, do these

MS. SALAS-FORD: No. No, that's not something

rules -- do they require schools to have an armed

police -- an armed presence in the school?

1

2

3

4

new School Safety Unit. We have two individuals -Mr. Jerry Keefer and Jason Weatherly -- who are
leading that. And they, as well as I, would be happy
to sit down any time and go over that with you.

1 2

But this is essentially just putting a little more detail into what the Legislature has in law about the distribution of those funds.

MR. HENDERSON: I do have a question. You know, obviously some school districts are in higher crime areas maybe than others. And I'm trying to understand when it says school climate and culture is that factored into that? Because I don't necessarily see anything on here where it incorporates the crime factor.

MS. SALAD-FORD: So there is nothing in the formula that addresses crime factor or the actual location of a school district. It is done solely based on the size of the school district and the number of students. But some of the things that they can use the funding for would be to do a school climate and culture study or things that if once they did their climate survey they discovered that they have bullying issues they could use these funds to put in measures, programs, things to address the bullying issues.

1	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Anything else?
2	All right. These are rules to be approved for
3	emergency promulgation. Do we have a motion?
4	MS. WOODS: Is the motion to release for public
5	comment, same one as last time? Because I'll make
6	that motion.
7	MS. SALAS-FORD: No. It would be to approve the
8	emergency rules.
9	MS. WOODS: Is that it?
LO	MS. SALAD-FORD: Yeah.
L1	MS. WOODS: I'll move to approve.
L2	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: All right. We have a motion
L3	by Ms. Woods.
L4	MR. HENDERSON: Second.
L5	MR. SUTTON: I'll second.
L6	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Seconded by Mr. Henderson.
L7	All in favor?
L8	(UNANIMOUS CHORUS OF AYES)
L9	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Any opposed?
20	All right.
21	MS. SALAS-FORD: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN NEWTON: Thank you, Courtney.
23	
24	
25	(The Action Agenda was concluded at 1:51 p.m.)

```
C E R T I F I C A T E
STATE OF ARKANSAS )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SALINE )
```

I, SHARON K. HILL, CCR, a Certified Stenomask Reporter and Notary Public before whom the foregoing proceedings was taken, do hereby certify that the same is a true and correct transcription before the Arkansas Division of Elementary and Secondary Education, State Board of Education, in Little Rock, Arkansas, on December 8, 2022, that the said proceedings was reduced to typewritten form by me or under my direction and supervision; and that the foregoing pages constitute a true and correct transcription of all proceedings had in said matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have no contract with any parties within this action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect impartiality, that requires me to relinquish control of an original transcript or copies of the transcript before it is certified and delivered to the custodial agency, or that requires me to provide any service not made available to all parties to the action.

WITNESS, MY HAND AND SEAL, THIS DATE: December 15, 2022.

SHARON K. HILL, CCR Certified Court Reporter Certificate No. 670